

INVERSE CLUSTER MAGNIFICATION PROBLEM AND CLUSTER TOWERS

SHUBHAM JAISWAL

Abstract. Inspired from the work of M Krithika and Prof. P Vanchinathan in [4], we state the Inverse Cluster Magnification Problem in this article and establish an equivalent criterion for that. We provide an important example answering a question in [4] about Cluster Towers. We also provide some generalisations and alternate proofs of results in [7] and [4].

1. Introduction

We will assume K to be a perfect field throughout this article. Let f over K be an irreducible polynomial with $\deg(f)$ as its degree over K . Hence f is separable. Let α be a root of f in a fixed algebraic closure $\bar{K}$. The cluster of α is defined as the set of roots of f in the field $K(\alpha)$. Let $r_K(f)$ be the cardinality of this set, that is the cluster size of α over K . Let $s_K(f)$ be the number of distinct fields $K(\alpha')$, with α' varying over all the $\deg(f)$ roots of f . Let K_f be splitting field of f over K inside $\bar{K}$ and $G = \text{Gal}(K_f/K)$. Let $H \subset G$ be subgroup with $K(\alpha)$ as the fixed field that is $H = \text{Gal}(K_f/K(\alpha))$.

The following result is proved in [7] and [6].

Theorem 1.1. (*Perlis*)

- (1) $r_K(f)$ is independent of the choice of α (which justifies the notation).
- (2) $r_K(f) \cdot s_K(f) = \deg(f)$. In particular, $r_K(f) \mid \deg(f)$.
- (3) $r_K(f) = \text{number of roots fixed by } H = |\text{Aut}(K(\alpha)/K)| = [N_G(H) : H]$.

Let L/K be an extension of degree n contained in $\bar{K}$ and $\tilde{L}$ be its Galois closure inside $\bar{K}$. Since K is perfect, by primitive element theorem, $L = K(\alpha)$ with f over K a degree n irreducible polynomial with α as a root in $\bar{K}$. The cluster size of L/K is defined as $r_K(L) := r_K(f)$ which is well defined by Thm 1.1 (3) (Corollary 1 in [4]). Similarly one can define $s_K(L) := s_K(f)$.

Remark 1.1.1. Let E_1 and E_2 be finite extensions over K . Suppose one of them is Galois. Then E_1 and E_2 are linearly disjoint over $F \iff E_1 \cap E_2 = K$ (Def 20.1 and Example 20.6 in [5]).

The following result proved in 3.1 [4] is referred to as the Cluster Magnification theorem. The theorem is reformulated in 4 [4].

Theorem 1.2. (*Krithika, Vanchinathan*) Let K, f and α be as above. Let $\deg(f) = n > 2$ over K with cluster size $r_K(f) = r$. Assume that there is a Galois extension F of K , say of degree d , which is linearly disjoint with K_f over K . Then there exists an irreducible polynomial g over K of degree nd with cluster size rd . (F can be chosen to be $K(\beta)$ for some β in $\bar{K}$ so that $K(\alpha, \beta) = K(\alpha + \beta)$ and the irreducible polynomial of $\alpha + \beta$ over K , has degree nd with cluster size rd). (d is the magnification factor).

Date: May 14, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11R32, 12F05, 12F10.

Reformulation : Let K be a perfect field as above and L/K be an extension of degree $n > 2$ contained in $\bar{K}$ with cluster size $r_K(L) = r$. Let F/K be any finite Galois extension of degree d contained in $\bar{K}$, which is linearly disjoint with $\tilde{L}$ over K . Then the compositum LF/K has degree nd with cluster size $r_K(LF) = rd$. (d is the magnification factor).

In Sec. 2 we state the Inverse Cluster Magnification Problem and establish an equivalent criterion for that. In Sec. 3 we provide an important example answering a question in [4] about Cluster Towers. In Sec. 4 we provide some generalisations and alternate proofs of results in [7] and [4].

2. Inverse Cluster Magnification Problem

2.1. Inverse Cluster Magnification Problem for Field Extension. Let K be perfect. Let M/K be an extension of degree m contained in $\bar{K}$ with $r_K(M) = k$.

Definition 2.1. M/K is said to be obtained by cluster magnification from an L/K if we have the following:

- (1) an extension L/K where $[L : K] = n > 2$ and $r_K(L) = r$.
- (2) there exists a Galois extension F/K with $[F : K] = d$ such that $\tilde{L}$ and F are linearly disjoint over K where $\tilde{L}$ is Galois closure of L in $\bar{K}$.
- (3) $LF = M$.

The magnification is called trivial if $d = 1$ and nontrivial otherwise. (d is the magnification factor).

Remark 2.1.1. The cluster size is preserved under isomorphism over K . If M/K and M'/K contained in $\bar{K}$ are isomorphic over K , then $r_K(M) = r_K(M')$.

Let $\tilde{M}$ be Galois closure of M/K inside $\bar{K}$. Let $G' = \text{Gal}(\tilde{M}/K)$. Let $H' \subset G'$ be subgroup with M as the fixed field that is $H' = \text{Gal}(\tilde{M}/M)$. We have H' is normal in G' if and only if H' is trivial.

Proposition 2.2. Suppose M/K is obtained by cluster magnification from an L/K . Let $G = \text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$ and $H = \text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/L)$. The following hold:

- (1) $s_K(M) = s_K(L) = [G : N_G(H)]$.
- (2) $\tilde{L}F = \tilde{M}$.
- (3) Let $R = \text{Gal}(F/K)$. Then $G' \cong G \times R$ where isomorphism is given by mapping $\lambda \in G'$ to $(\lambda|_{\tilde{L}}, \lambda|_F)$.
- (4) Then $H' \cong H \times \{e\} \subset G \times R$ under the above isomorphism.

Proof.

- (1) From Thm 1.1 (2) and (3), $s_K(L) = [G : N_G(H)]$. Now from Thm 1.2, $[M : K] = [L : K][F : K]$ and $r_K(M) = r_K(L)[F : K]$. Also from Thm 1.1, $[M : K] = r_K(M)s_K(M)$ and $[L : K] = r_K(L)s_K(L)$. Hence $s_K(M) = s_K(L)$.
- (2) Since $M = LF$, we have $\tilde{L}F \subset \tilde{M}$. Since $\tilde{L}/K$ and F/K are Galois. Hence, $\tilde{L}F/K$ is Galois. Thus, $\tilde{L}F = \tilde{M}$.
- (3) $\tilde{L}$ and F are linearly disjoint over K . Since F/K is Galois, $\tilde{L} \cap F = K$. Therefore, by (2) and Thm 2.1 in [1], $G' \cong G \times R$ with the given isomorphism.
- (4) Let $\lambda \in G'$. Now,

$$\lambda \in H' \iff \lambda|_M = id_M \iff \lambda|_L = id_L \text{ and } \lambda|_F = id_F \iff \lambda|_{\tilde{L}} \in H \subset G \text{ and } \lambda|_F = e \in R.$$

□

We establish an equivalent criterion for inverse cluster magnification for field extension.

Theorem 2.3. *M/K is obtained by nontrivial cluster magnification from an L/K if and only if $\text{Gal}(\tilde{M}/K) \cong A \times B$ for nontrivial subgroups A and B and $\text{Gal}(\tilde{M}/M) \cong A' \times 1$ (under the same isomorphism) for a subgroup $A' \subset A$ with $[A : A'] > 2$.*

Proof. Suppose M/K is obtained by nontrivial cluster magnification from an L/K . From Prop 2.2 (3) and (4), we get $A = G$, $B = R$ and $A' = H$ with the required conditions since, $d = |R| > 1$, $n = [G : H] > 2$.

Conversely, suppose $G' \cong A \times B$ for nontrivial subgroups A and B and $H' \cong A' \times \{e\}$ (under the same isomorphism) for a subgroup $A' \subset A$ with $[A : A'] > 2$. We identify G' and H' with their images under the isomorphism. Now we check the three conditions of Def 2.1 for M/K .

- (1) Since $1 \times B$ is normal in G' , we have that $N := \tilde{M}^{1 \times B}$ is Galois over K with Galois group A . Let $L := \tilde{M}^{A' \times B}$. Hence L/K has degree $n = [A : A'] > 2$.
- (2) Since $A \times 1$ is normal in G' , we have that $F := \tilde{M}^{A \times 1}$ is Galois over K with Galois group B and degree $d = |B|$. Let $\tilde{L}$ be Galois closure of L in $\bar{K}$. Since, $L \subset N$, we have $\tilde{L} \subset N$. $N \cap F$ will correspond to group generated by $A \times 1$ and $1 \times B$ which is G' . Hence $N \cap F = K$. Thus $\tilde{L} \cap F = K$. So $\tilde{L}$ and F are linearly disjoint over K .
- (3) Now, $M = \tilde{M}^{A' \times 1}$. Hence $L, F \subset M$, thus $LF \subset M$. Since $\tilde{L} \cap F = K$, $L \cap F = K$. Hence $[LF : K] = [L : K][F : K] = nd$. Also, $[M : K] = [G' : H'] = [A : A']|B| = nd$. Hence $LF = M$.

The magnification is nontrivial since B is nontrivial subgroup. □

Remark 2.3.1. In the above proof of the converse part, we can additionally conclude $N = \tilde{L}$. Since, $N \cap F = \tilde{L} \cap F = K$. Hence, $[F : K] = [NF : N] = [\tilde{L}F : F]$. Now, $NF \subset \tilde{M}$ will correspond to intersection of the groups $A \times 1$ and $1 \times B$ which is trivial. Hence $NF = \tilde{M}$. From Prop 2.2 (2), $\tilde{M} = \tilde{L}F$. Hence, $[N : K] = [\tilde{L} : K]$. Thus, $N = \tilde{L}$.

Corollary 2.3.1. *Let M/K be Galois. Then M/K is obtained by nontrivial cluster magnification from an L/K if and only if $\text{Gal}(M/K) \cong A \times B$ for nontrivial subgroups A and B with $|A| > 2$. If this happens then L/K is also Galois.*

Proof. Since M/K is Galois, $\tilde{M} = M$, $G' = \text{Gal}(M/K)$ and H' is trivial. So A' is trivial and $[A : A'] = |A|$. Also $\tilde{L} = N = L$. Hence, L/K is Galois. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let M'/K be contained in $\bar{K}$ and $\sigma : M \rightarrow M'$ be an isomorphism over K . If M/K is obtained by cluster magnification from L/K , then M'/K is obtained by cluster magnification from $\sigma(L)/K$.*

Proof. Suppose M/K is obtained by cluster magnification from L/K . We have L/K , n , r , F/K , d as above. Now we check the three conditions of Def 2.1 for M'/K .

- (1) $\sigma(L) \cong L$ has degree $n > 2$ over K with $r_K(\sigma(L)) = r_K(L) = r$.
- (2) $F = \sigma(F)$ since F/K is Galois. It is easy to prove that $\tilde{L}$ is Galois closure of $\sigma(L)$ in $\bar{K}$. $\tilde{L}$ and F are linearly disjoint over K .
- (3) $\sigma(L)F = \sigma(M) = M'$.

Alternatively, we can use the criterion in Thm 2.3. The isomorphism between M and M' extends to isomorphism between their Galois closures. Hence we also get an isomorphism between G', H' and corresponding groups of M' . □

2.2. Inverse Cluster Magnification Problem for Irreducible Polynomial. Let g over K be an m -degree irreducible polynomial over K with $r_K(g) = k$.

Definition 2.5. We have the following equivalent definitions:

- (1) g over K is said to be obtained by cluster magnification from an f over K if we have the following:
 - (a) an extension $K(\alpha)/K$ of degree $n > 2$ with irreducible polynomial f of α over K with $r_K(f) = r$,
 - (b) there exists a Galois extension F/K of degree d and K_f and F are linearly disjoint over K , and
 - (c) $K(\alpha)F = K(\gamma)$ where γ is some root of g in $\bar{K}$.

The magnification is called trivial if $d = 1$ and nontrivial otherwise. (d is the magnification factor).

- (2) g over K is said to be obtained by cluster magnification from an f over K , if $M = K(\gamma)$ over K , for some root γ of g in $\bar{K}$, is obtained by cluster magnification from some L/K with $L = K(\alpha)$, where α is a root of the irreducible polynomial f .

Remark 2.5.1. Let $s_K(g) = s$. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_s$ be a complete set of representatives of the clusters of roots of g in $\bar{K}$. Let $M_i = K(\gamma_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

- (1) All M_i 's are isomorphic by mapping γ_i 's to each other. For every i , M_i/K is an extension of degree m contained in $\bar{K}$ with $r_K(M_i) = r_K(g) = k$.
- (2) By Prop 2.4, if for some i , M_i/K is obtained by cluster magnification from an L_i/K then for each $1 \leq j \leq s$, M_j/K is obtained by cluster magnification from an L_j/K .
- (3) Also, if $L_i = K(\alpha_i)$ for α_i a root of the irreducible polynomial f then, by isomorphism of M_i and M_j , we get $L_j = K(\alpha_j)$ where α_j is a root of f .

Because of the above remark, if cluster magnification holds for some root of g , then it holds for every root of g . Hence we can work with any root γ of g in $\bar{K}$. Let $M = K(\gamma)$. Let K_g be splitting field of g over K inside $\bar{K}$. Let $G' = \text{Gal}(K_g/K)$. Let $H' \subset G'$ be subgroup with $K(\gamma)$ as the fixed field that is $H' = \text{Gal}(K_g/K(\gamma))$.

By Thm 2.3, we get an equivalent criterion for inverse cluster magnification of irreducible polynomial.

Theorem 2.6. g over K is obtained by nontrivial cluster magnification from an f over K if and only if $\text{Gal}(K_g/K) \cong A \times B$ for nontrivial subgroups A and B and (for γ as above) $\text{Gal}(K_g/K(\gamma)) \cong A' \times 1$ (under the same isomorphism) for a subgroup $A' \subset A$ with $[A : A'] > 2$ and f is irreducible polynomial for a primitive element of $(K_g)^{A' \times B}$ over K .

Definition 2.7. g over K , not obtained by nontrivial cluster magnification, is called primitive.

Example 2.8. Some simple examples of primitive g over K following from Thm 1.2 and Thm 2.6.

- (1) If $\deg g = 4$ or a prime $p > 2$.
- (2) If $|\text{Gal}(K_g/K)| = 4$.
- (3) If $\text{Gal}(K_g/K)$ is not a direct product of two nontrivial groups. In particular if $\text{Gal}(K_g/K)$ is simple. In particular if $|\text{Gal}(K_g/K)|$ is a prime $p > 2$.

3. Cluster Towers

Let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s$ contained in $\bar{K}$ be a complete set of representatives of the clusters of roots of an irreducible polynomial f over K where $s = s_K(f)$. Now consider the following cluster tower of fields terminating at the splitting field with degrees of these extensions over K forming the degree sequence and length of tower as number of distinct fields in the tower:

$$K \subset K(\beta_1) \subset K(\beta_1, \beta_2) \subset \dots \subset K(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s)$$

A question in [4] : Is this degree sequence independent of the ordering of the β_i 's?

Example 3.1. As noted in [4], if the Galois group of f over K is S_n for $n > 2$, we have $s = n$ and the cluster tower

$$K \subset K(\beta_1) \subset K(\beta_1, \beta_2) \subset \dots \subset K(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-1}) = K_f$$

with degrees $n, n(n-1), n(n-1)(n-2), \dots, n!/2$ and $n!$. So in this case the degree sequence is independent of ordering of β_i 's. The length of the tower is n .

We will construct an important example which shows that in general, the degree sequence is not independent of the ordering of the β_i 's.

First we mention some easy to verify properties of Euler's totient function ϕ .

Proposition 3.2. Suppose $l|n$. Consider their prime factorisations $n = \prod_p p^{v_p(n)}$ and $l = \prod_p p^{v_p(l)}$ with $v_p(l) \leq v_p(n)$ for every prime. (v_p is usual p -adic valuation). Then

- (1) $\phi(n)/\phi(l) = (n/l) \cdot (\phi(k)/k)$ where $k = \prod_{p|l} p^{v_p(n)}$.
- (2) $k|(n/l)$. Hence, $\phi(l)|\phi(n)$.
- (3) $\phi(n) = \phi(l)$ if and only if $n = l$ or l is odd and $n = 2l$.
- (4) $\phi(n)/\phi(l) = n/l$ if and only if for any prime p , $p|n \implies p|l$.

Example 3.3. Let $n \geq 6$ and $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a fixed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ and ζ_n be a primitive n -th root of unity. Let c be a positive rational number and $f = x^n - c$ be an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$. (In particular, $c=p$ prime works for any n by Eisenstein criterion). Let $c^{1/n}$ be the positive real root of f . We observe, when n is odd, $r = 1, s = n$ and when n is even, $r = 2, s = n/2$.

By Prop 1 and Thm A in [2], the Galois group of splitting field of f is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \iff n$ is odd or, n is even with $\sqrt{c} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \iff \mathbb{Q}(c^{1/n}) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Q}$. Assume n to satisfy these conditions. Hence, order of the Galois group is $n\phi(n)$.

Yet further, assume n is composite and $l|n$, where for the cases n odd or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ we assume $2 < l < n$ and for the case $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ we assume $2 < l < n/2$. Let $a = c^{1/n}$ and $b = \zeta_n$. Because of our assumptions, $ab^{n/l} \neq \pm a, \pm ab$ and $1 < \phi(l) < \phi(n)$ by (3) of Prop 3.2. Also, $\phi(l)|\phi(n)$ by (2) of Prop 3.2. Since $\mathbb{Q}(b)/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois, by Thm 2.6 in [1], we get $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(a, b^{n/l})/\mathbb{Q}(a)) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(b^{n/l})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

In particular, $c = 2, n = 9, l = 3$ and $c = 3, n = 8, l = 4$ work.

Because of our assumptions $(n/l) + 1 \leq s$. Let the representatives of clusters of roots of f be $\beta_3 = ab^{n/l}$, $\beta_{(n/l)+1} = ab^2$ and $\beta_i = ab^{i-1}$ for other $1 \leq i \leq s$. Consider the following cluster towers:

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\beta_1) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \mathbb{Q}_f$$

with degrees n and $n\phi(n)$ and length of tower = 3.

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\beta_1) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\beta_1, \beta_3) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\beta_1, \beta_3, \beta_2) = \mathbb{Q}_f$$

with degrees n , $n\phi(l)$ and $n\phi(n)$ and length of tower = 4.

This example shows us that not only the degree sequence is not independent of the ordering of the β_i 's but length of tower is also not independent of the ordering of the β_i 's.

4. Other Interesting Results on Cluster Size

4.1. Existence of polynomials for given degree and cluster size over number fields.

We present the following theorem which is generalisation of a result in an unpublished note of Perlis, Exercise 4 in [6] which was for $K = \mathbb{Q}$. This theorem includes even the excluded cases in generalisation done in Thm 2 in [4] namely $n = 2r$ where r is odd for $\mathbb{Q}$ and $n = 2r$ for any number field $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let K be a number field. Let $n > 2$ and $r|n$. Then there exists an irreducible polynomial over K of degree n with cluster size r .*

Before proving the theorem, we state some lemmas which we will use in the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 4.2. *The group S_n is realisable as Galois group over any number field.*

Proof. (For details refer Völklein [8]). Let K be a number field. Now $\mathbb{Q}$ is hilbertian. (Def 1.9 and Theorem 1.23 in [8]). Corollary 1.11 in [8] says that every finitely generated extension of a hilbertian field is hilbertian. Hence K is hilbertian. We know that the group S_n occurs regularly over every field (Def 1.14 and Example 1.17 in [8]). In particular, S_n occurs regularly over K . Corollary 1.15 in [8] says that if a group occurs regularly over a hilbertian field then it is realisable as a Galois group over that field. Hence S_n is realisable as Galois group over K . □

The following lemma is the final proposition in [6]. We write Perlis' proof for the sake of completion.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a transitive subgroup of S_n for some n . If there exists a finite Galois extension of a field K with Galois group isomorphic to G , then there exists an irreducible polynomial f over K of degree n and a labeling of the roots of f so that the Galois group of f , viewed as a group permuting roots of f , is precisely G .*

Proof. Let G act on n symbols $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $H \subset G$ be the stabiliser of the symbol 1. Then there is a canonical labeling of the n cosets in G/H so that G acts on G/H exactly the same way G acts on the original n symbols that is $\{x_1H, x_2H, \dots, x_nH\}$ with $x_j.1 = j$ and $g.(x_jH) = x_{g,j}H$ for all $g \in G$ and all $1 \leq j \leq n$. The action is faithful and transitive. We have $\text{Stab}(x_jH) = x_jHx_j^{-1}$. Now, $\cap_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\text{Stab}(x_jH))$ is trivial since action is faithful. Hence, $\cap_{1 \leq j \leq n} (x_jHx_j^{-1})$ is trivial.

Let N/K be finite Galois extension with Galois group isomorphic to G . We identify it with G . Let L be the subfield of N fixed by H . Since the Galois closure of L/K in N is the subfield of N corresponding to the intersection of the conjugates of H in G and that intersection is trivial because of argument in previous paragraph. Hence N is the Galois closure of L/K . Let f over K be the irreducible polynomial for a primitive element of L/K . We can identify the n roots of f with the n cosets in G/H . This is the required f . $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let K be a perfect field and K'/K be a finite extension. If for a group G , direct products G^n are realisable as Galois group over K for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then they are realisable as Galois groups over K' .*

As a corollary we get arbitrarily finitely many non-isomorphic over K Galois extensions of K inside a fixed $\bar{K}$ which are pairwise linearly disjoint over K with each having Galois group G over K . Corollary also holds for K' .

Proof. We will mimic the proof of Thm 4.2 in [1] which states that if every finite group can be realised as a Galois group over $\mathbb{Q}$ then every finite group can be realised as a Galois group over any finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.

Since K is perfect and K'/K is finite, we have that K'/K is separable. Hence, K'/K has finitely many intermediate fields. Let the number of these intermediate fields (including K' and K) be n . Now G^n is realisable over K by assumption, say for E/K Galois, we have $\text{Gal}(E/K) \cong G^n$. We have normal subgroups $N_i = G \times G \times \cdots \times 1 \times \cdots \times G$ in G^n for $1 \leq i \leq n$ where the i th coordinate is trivial and there is no restriction in other coordinates. So $N_i \cong G^{n-1}$. Let E_i be the subfield of E corresponding to N_i , so E_i/K is Galois with $\text{Gal}(E_i/K) \cong G^n/N_i \cong G$.

Now for $i \neq j$, $E_i \cap E_j$ corresponds to subgroup generated by N_i and N_j which is G^n . Hence $E_i \cap E_j = K$. Suppose for some $i \neq j$ we have $E_i \cap K' = E_j \cap K'$. Since $E_i \cap E_j = K$. Hence $E_i \cap K' = E_j \cap K' = K$. Now suppose that all $E_i \cap K'$ are distinct. Since we have n intermediate fields of K'/K , $E_i \cap K' = K$ for some i . In either case we get an i such that $E_i \cap K' = K$. Hence $\text{Gal}(E_i K'/K') \cong \text{Gal}(E_i/K) \cong G$. This realises G as a Galois group over K' . By replacing G with G^m for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ in the above argument, we can realise G^m over K' for any m .

For the proof of the corollary, we observe that for any n we have some E/K Galois with Galois group G^n and N_i normal subgroups of G^n and E_i subfield of E corresponding to N_i . Since N_i are not conjugate to each other in G^n and they pairwise generate G^n . Hence E_i are not isomorphic to each other over K and are pairwise linearly disjoint over K with G as Galois group of each E_i/K . $\square$

Now we prove Thm 4.1.

Proof. For $r = 1$. By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, there exists an irreducible polynomial f over K of degree n with Galois group S_n . This f satisfies $r_K(f) = 1$.

For $r > 1$. In solutions of Exercises 3 and 4 in [6], a solvable group $G \subset S_n$ is constructed with the properties that its action is transitive on n points, and a point stabiliser fixes precisely r points. The construction is as follows: We divide the n points into $n/r = s$ packets of size r . Let G be the group of permutations on these n points generated by independent cyclic permutations on each packet, together with a cyclic permutation on the overall set of packets. Hence G is transitive. This construction of G has the explicit description of a semidirect product of an s -fold direct product of cyclic groups C_r and a cyclic group C_s .

$G = (C_r)^s \rtimes C_s$ where each C_r permutes points in a packet and action of C_s permutes s copies of C_r .

It is easy to see that any point stabiliser is isomorphic to $(C_r)^{s-1}$. The group G is solvable since the following chain has successive cyclic quotients.

$$1 \subset C_r \subset (C_r)^2 \subset \cdots \subset (C_r)^s \subset G.$$

Since direct product of solvable groups is solvable, direct products G^i for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ are solvable. By Shafarevich's theorem, G^i for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ are realisable as Galois groups over $\mathbb{Q}$. Hence by Lemma 4.4, G is realisable as Galois group over number field K . By Lemma 4.3, there exists an irreducible polynomial f over K of degree n and a labeling of the roots so that the Galois group of f , viewed as a group permuting roots of f , is precisely G . This f satisfies $r_K(f) = r$. □

4.2. Alternate proofs for results in [7] and [4].

We begin this subsection by giving an alternate proof for last equality in (3) of Thm 1.1, which is stated in [7] and proved in first Proposition in unpublished note of Perlis [6]. That is $r_K(f) = [N_G(H) : H]$.

Proof. We observe that a field is isomorphic to $K(\alpha)$ over K if and only if it is of the form $K(\alpha')$ for some root α' of f . All these fields are contained in K_f . By Galois correspondence, K -isomorphic subfields of a Galois extension over K correspond to conjugate subgroups of its Galois group. Hence, $s_K(f) = \text{number of distinct } K(\alpha') = \text{number of distinct subgroups conjugate to } H \text{ in } G = [G : N_G(H)]$. By Thm 1.1 (2) we are done. □

We state the simple observation used above, as a lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let K be perfect field. For finite L/K , $s_K(L)$ (as defined in Sec. 1) is number of distinct fields inside $\bar{K}$ isomorphic to L over K .*

Proof. By primitive element theorem, $L = K(\alpha)$ with α root of some irreducible polynomial f over K . Now, L' is isomorphic with L over $K \iff L' = K(\alpha')$ for some root α' of f . Thus $s_K(L) = s_K(f) = \text{number of distinct } K(\alpha') \text{ for } \alpha' \text{ root of } f = \text{number of distinct fields isomorphic to } L \text{ over } K$. □

Using this lemma we give an alternate proof for Cluster Magnification theorem Thm 1.2, Thm 1 in [4].

Proof. By Lemma 1, Chapter 8.15 in [3], since F/K is Galois, we have

$$\tilde{L} \cap F = K \iff \tilde{L} \cap LF = L \text{ and } L \cap F = K.$$

So $[LF : K] = [L : K][F : K] = nd$. Since F/K is Galois, we have

$$M \text{ is isomorphic to } LF \text{ over } K \iff M = L'F \text{ where } L' \text{ is isomorphic to } L \text{ over } K.$$

Suppose L_1 and L_2 are isomorphic to L over K . So their Galois closure over K inside $\bar{K}$ is $\tilde{L}$. Hence, $\tilde{L} \cap L_i F = L_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus, $L_1 F = L_2 F \iff L_1 = L_2$. By Lemma 4.5, $s_K(LF) = s_K(L)$. Hence by Thm 1.1 (2), $[LF : K]/r_K(LF) = [L : K]/r_K(L)$. Thus $r_K(LF) = r_K(L)[F : K] = rd$. □

Remark 4.5.1. For K a number field, since ${}^n P_k$ and ${}^n C_k$ are integers with ${}^n P_k = k! {}^n C_k$. By Thm 4.1, we get irreducible polynomial over the field with degree ${}^n P_k$ and cluster size $k!$. The following theorem tells that this is true under some condition for even a general perfect field.

By using the above lemma we give an alternate proof for Thm 3 in [4].

Theorem 4.6. *Let K be a perfect field. Let f over K be irreducible of degree n with Galois group S_n . For $k < n$, let L_k be an extension of K obtained by adjoining any k roots of f in $\bar{K}$. Let g be the irreducible polynomial over K for a primitive element of L_k . This polynomial has degree ${}^n P_k$ and cluster size $k!$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be roots of f in $\bar{K}$. Let $L_k = K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$. By Example 3.1, $\deg$ of L_k/K is $n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1) = {}^n P_k$. Since Galois group of f is S_n , we have L' is isomorphic to L_k over $K \iff L' = K(\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_k})$ for k roots α_{i_j} of f . By Lemma 4.5, $s_K(L_k)$ is number of distinct fields inside $\bar{K}$ isomorphic to L_k over K which is precisely the number of ways of choosing k roots from n roots which is ${}^n C_k$. By Thm 1.1 (2), $r_K(L_k) = k!$. $\square$

Acknowledgements: The author would like to express his gratitude towards his PhD guide Dr. Chandrasheel Bhagwat for his guidance and useful discussion regarding this work. The author would also like to thank Prof. P Vanchinathan for introducing his beautiful paper [4] to us by email. The author would like to acknowledge support of IISER Pune Institute Scholarship during this work.

References

- [1] Keith Conrad. The galois correspondence at work. <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/galoistheory/galoiscorrthms.pdf>, 2023.
- [2] Eliot T Jacobson and William Y Vélez. The galois group of a radical extension of the rationals. *manuscripta mathematica*, 67:271–284, 1990.
- [3] Nathan Jacobson. *Basic Algebra II*. 2nd edition, 1989.
- [4] M Krithika and P Vanchinathan. Root clusters of irreducible polynomials. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.13880*, 2023.
- [5] Patrick Morandi. *Field and Galois theory*, volume 167. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [6] Alexander R Perlis. Roots appear in quanta: exercise solutions. <https://www.math.lsu.edu/aperlis/publications/rootsinquanta/>.
- [7] Alexander R Perlis. Roots appear in quanta. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 111(1):61–63, 2004.
- [8] Helmut Völklein. *Groups as Galois groups: an introduction*. Number 53. Cambridge University Press, 1996.

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Pashan, Pune 411008, INDIA.

Email address: jaiswal.shubham@students.iiserpune.ac.in