# ON MANIFOLDS WITH NONNEGATIVE RICCI CURVATURE AND THE INFIMUM OF VOLUME GROWTH ORDER < 2

#### ZHU YE

ABSTRACT. we prove two rigidity theorems for open (complete and noncompact) n-manifolds M with nonnegative Ricci curvature and the infimum of volume growth order < 2. The first theorem asserts that the Riemannian universal cover of M has Euclidean volume growth if and only if M is flat with an n-1 dimensional soul. The second theorem asserts that there exists a nonconstant linear growth harmonic function on M if and only if M is isometric to the metric product  $\mathbb{R} \times N$  for some compact manifold N.

### 1. Introduction

Let M be an open n-manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. It is well known that M has at least linear volume growth (Yau [18]) and at most Euclidean volume growth (Bishop volume comparison [1]). That is, for some point  $p \in M$ , we have

$$C_1 R \leq \operatorname{vol}(B_R(p)) \leq \omega_n R^n, \forall R \geq 1,$$

where  $C_1 > 0$  is a constant that may rely on p and  $\omega_n$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that M has linear volume growth, if  $\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p)) \leq C_2 R$  for some constant  $C_2 > 0$  and any  $R \geq 1$ . We say that M has Euclidean volume growth or M is noncollapsed, if  $\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p)) \geq CR^n$  for some constant C > 0 and any  $R \geq 1$ .

In this paper, we will generalize two rigidity theorems that have been established under the condition that M has linear volume growth.

In [19], the author proved the following geometric rigidity:

**Theorem 1.1.** [19] Let M be an open n-manifold with  $Ric \geq 0$  and linear volume growth. Then the Riemannian universal cover of M is noncollapsed if and only if M is flat with an n-1 dimensional soul.

As the first main result of this paper, we obtain an optimal volume growth condition on M such that Theorem 1.1 still holds.

# **Definition 1.2.** We define

$$\begin{split} & \text{IV}(M) = \inf\{s > 0 \mid \liminf_{R \to \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^s} = 0\}, \\ & \text{SV}(M) = \sup\{s > 0 \mid \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^s} > 0\}. \end{split}$$

We will refer to IV(M) (resp. SV(M)) as the infimum (resp. supremum) of volume growth order of M.

Supported partially by National Natural Science Foundation of China [11821101] and [12271372].

By definition, IV(M) < k if and only if there exists an  $\alpha < k$  and a sequence  $R_i \to \infty$  such that  $\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_{R_i}(p))}{R_i^{\alpha}} = 0$ . Our first main result is:

**Theorem A.** Let M be an open n-manifold with  $Ric \geq 0$  and IV(M) < 2. Then the Riemannian universal cover of M is noncollapsed if and only if M is flat with an n - 1 dimensional soul.

Theorem A is optimal in the sense that IV(M) = 2 does not imply flatness. Indeed, we may consider the metric product  $M_0 = N^2 \times F^{n-2}$ , where N is a 2manifold with Euclidean volume growth and positive sectional curvature, and F is a compact flat manifold. Then  $IV(M_0) = 2$ , the universal cover of  $M_0$  has Euclidean volume growth, but  $M_0$  is not flat.

Assume that M has noncollapsed universal cover. By Theorem A, IV(M) < 2implies that IV(M) = SV(M) = 1. This motivates the author to propose the following question:

Question 1.3. Let M be an open manifold with Ric  $\geq 0$  and noncollapsed universal cover. Is it true that  $IV(M) = SV(M) \in \mathbb{N}_+$ ?

Let's illustrate the idea to prove Theorem A. Let  $\pi: (\tilde{M}, \tilde{p}) \to (M, p)$  be the Riemannian universal cover with deck transformation group  $\Gamma$ . The volume growth conditions on M and  $\tilde{M}$  guarantee that the order of the orbit growth of  $\Gamma$  is strictly larger than n-2 in some scales. This enables us to prove that for some equivariant asymptotic cone ([7, 8]) of  $(M, \tilde{p}, \Gamma)$ , say (Y, y, G), the orbit Gy has lower Box dimension  $\dim_{lb}(Gy) > n-2$  (Proposition 2.2). Since M has Euclidean volume growth, Y is a metric cone by Cheeger-Colding [3]. By Cheeger-Colding splitting theorem,  $Y \cong \mathbb{R}^k \times C(Z)$  with  $\operatorname{diam}(Z) < \pi$ . Now  $\operatorname{dim}_{ub}(Gy) > n-2$  forces  $Y \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ , and thus  $\tilde{M} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$  as a corollary of Colding's volume convergence [6]. This proves Theorem A. A generalized version of Theorem A is given at the end of the introduction, and we will prove it in Section 2.

Our second main result involves linear growth harmonic functions on M. Recall that to say a harmonic function f on M has polynomial growth means |f| $C(d(p,\cdot)+1)^k$  for some C,k>0 and  $p\in M$ . In the particular case k=1, we say f has linear growth.

Sormani considered the harmonic functions on manifolds with linear volume growth, and proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.4.** [17] Let M be an open n-manifold with Ric  $\geq 0$  and linear volume growth. If there exists a nonconstant polynomial growth harmonic function on M, then M splits isometrically as  $M \cong \mathbb{R} \times N^{n-1}$ .

We partially generalize Theorem 1.4 to the following:

**Theorem B.** Let M be an open n-manifold such that  $Ric \geq 0$  and IV(M) < 2. Then there exists a nonconstant linear growth harmonic function on M if and only if M splits isometrically as  $M \cong \mathbb{R} \times N^{n-1}$  for some compact manifold N.

When M has slow volume growth, Theorem B follows from Section 2 of Li-Tam [11].

Compared with Theorem 1.4, we propose the following question:

**Question 1.5.** Let M be an open n-manifold with  $Ric \geq 0$  and IV(M) < 2. If there exists a nonconstant polynomial growth harmonic function on M, is M necessarily isometric to  $\mathbb{R} \times N$  for some compact manifold N?

Let M be complete with Ric  $\geq 0$ . Given an integer  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , we say that M is k-Euclidean at infinity, if any asymptotic cone of M split off an  $\mathbb{R}^k$  factor. Note that M is always 0-Euclidean at infinity. We recall the following result of Cheeger-Colding-Minicozzi:

**Theorem 1.6.** [2] Let M be a complete manifold with  $Ric \geq 0$ . If the space of linear growth harmonic functions on M has dimension k + 1, then M is k-Euclidean at infinity.

Theorem B is a by-product of Theorem 1.6 and our research on the relation between the volume growth and the asymptotic cones (with renormalized limit measure) of M.

We will prove the following volume growth gap result:

**Theorem C.** Let M be an open manifold with  $Ric \ge 0$ . If  $M^n$  is k-Euclidean at infinity, then M is of one of the following two types:

type I: M has unique asymptotic cone  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

type II: every asymptotic cone of M splits as  $\mathbb{R}^k \times Z$  with Z noncompact.

If M is of type I, then IV(M) = SV(M) = k. That is,

(1.7) 
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^{k-\alpha}} = \infty \ \ and \ \ \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^{k+\alpha}} = 0, \forall \alpha > 0.$$

If M is of type II, then  $IV(M) \ge k + 1$ . That is,

(1.8) 
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^{k+1-\alpha}} = \infty, \forall \alpha > 0.$$

Theorem B follows from Theorem 1.6 and Theorem C. Let M be an open n-manifold with Ric  $\geq 0$  and IV(M) < 2. By Theorem 1.6, if there exists a non-constant linear growth harmonic function on M, then M is 1-Euclidean at infinity. Since IV(M) < 2, by Theorem C, M can only be 1-Euclidean of type I, and thus  $M \cong \mathbb{R} \times N$  for some compact mianifold N (see Proposition 3.3).

The word k-Euclidean comes from Cheeger-Colding [4], where a point p in a Ricci limit space is called k-Euclidean if any tangent cone at p split off an  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

The proof of Theorem C is divided into two steps.

In Section 3, we prove that if M is k-Euclidean at infinity, then either M has unique asymptotic cone  $\mathbb{R}^k$  or any asymptotic cone of M is isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^k \times Z$  with noncompact Z. This is an application of the critical rescaling technique developed by Pan in [12]. See also Pan [13, 14, 15] for applications of critical rescaling technique to the geometry and topology of open manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature.

In Section 4, we establish the volume growth estimate (1.7) and (1.8). To achieve this, we shall consider the space of all asymptotic cones with renormalized limit measure and establish the relationship between volume growth and renormalized

limit measure. To obtain the volume growth estimate of manifolds with k-Euclidean at infinity property of type II, we must use the splitting theorem of RCD(0, n) spaces, which is proved by Gigli in [9]. Compared with the splitting theorem for Ricci limit spaces proved by Cheeger-Colding in [3], an advantage of this version is that it proved that the remaining space after a line splitting is a CD(0, n-1) space, thus enables us to use volume comparison on it.

Finally, we pointed out that using the k-Euclidean at infinity condition, we can generalize Theorem A in the following way:

**Theorem D.** Let M be a complete n-manifold with  $\operatorname{Ric} \geq 0$  and noncollapsed universal cover. Assume that M is k-Euclidean at infinity. Then  $\operatorname{IV}(M) < k+2$  if and only if M is flat and is isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^k \times N^{n-k}$ , where N is flat and either closed or open with an n-k-1 dimensional soul.

Remark 1.9. Note that Schwarzschild metric on  $N^{k+1} = S^{k-1} \times R^2$  is k-Euclidean of type I, and thus IV(N) = SV(N) = k by Theorem C, but N is not flat. This shows the necessity of the noncollapsed condition on the universal cover in Theorem D.

Indeed, put k = 0 in Theorem D, we obtain Theorem A.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Xiaochun Rong for his continuous encouragement. The author would like to thank Professor Jiayin Pan for helpful discussions and suggestions.

# Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Proof of Theorem D	4
3.	k-Euclidean at infinity	7
4.	Volume growth and asymptotic cone	8
References		11

## 2. Proof of Theorem D

In this section, we prove Theorem D. Let M be a complete n-manifold with Ric  $\geq 0$ . Let  $\pi: (\tilde{M}, \tilde{p}) \to (M, p)$  be the Riemannian universal cover with deck transformation group  $\Gamma$ . Denote by

$$\Gamma(R) = \{ g \in \Gamma \mid d(\tilde{p}, g\tilde{p}) \le R \}.$$

We define the supremum of the orbit growth order of  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $SO(\Gamma)$ , by

$$\mathrm{SO}(\Gamma) = \sup\{s \mid \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\#(\Gamma(R))}{R^s} > 0\}.$$

We recall the definition of lower Box dimension. For a metric space X, a bounded subset  $A \subset X$ , and an  $\epsilon > 0$ , the  $\epsilon$ -capacity of A is defined by

$$\operatorname{Cap}(A; \epsilon) = \sup\{k \mid \text{there are } x_1, \cdots, x_k \in A \text{ such that } d(x_i, x_j) \geq \epsilon, \forall i \neq j\}.$$

The lower Box dimension of A, denoted by  $\dim_{lb}(A)$ , is given by

$$\dim_{lb}(A) = \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} -\frac{\ln \operatorname{Cap}(A; \epsilon)}{\ln \epsilon}.$$

We also define the lower box dimension of X as  $\dim_{lb}(X) = \sup_{A} \dim_{lb}(A)$ , where A run over all bounded subset of X.

The following slope lemma 2.1 is inspired by Gromov [10].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $f:[0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function that is upper bounded on any finite interval:  $f|_{[0,R]} \le C(R) < \infty$  for any R > 0. Assume that  $f(s_i) \ge ks_i$  for some k > 0 and a sequence  $s_i \to \infty$ . Then for any  $l \ge 1$ , we can find a sequence  $r_i \to \infty$  such that

$$f(r_i) - f(t) > (k - l^{-1})(r_i - t), \forall t \in [r_i - l, r_i - 1].$$

*Proof.* Assume the Lemma does not hold for some  $l \geq 1$ . That is, there is an N(l) > 0, such that for any r > N(l), we have

$$f(r) - f(t_r) \le (k - l^{-1})(r - t_r)$$

for some  $t_r \in [r-l,r-1]$ . Then for  $r_0 = s_i > N(l)$ , we can find a  $r_1$  such that  $r_0 - l \le r_1 \le r_0 - 1$  and  $f(r_0) - f(r_1) \le (k - l^{-1})(r_0 - r_1)$ . If we still have  $r_1 > K(l)$ , then we can find an  $r_2$  such that  $r_1 - l \le r_2 \le r_1 - 1$  and  $f(r_1) - f(r_2) \le (k - l^{-1})(r_1 - r_2)$ . Inductively, we can find  $r_0 = s_i, r_1, \dots, r_{k_i}$  such that the follow hold:

- 1.  $r_j l \le r_{j+1} \le r_j 1$ ; 2.  $f(r_j) - f(r_{j+1}) \le (k - l^{-1})(r_j - r_{j+1})$  for every  $j = 0, \dots, k_i - 1$ ; 3.  $r_{k_i-1} > K(l)$ , and  $r_{k_i} \le K(l)$ . We have
  - $kr_0 \le f(r_0)$   $\le (f(r_0) - f(r_1)) + (f(r_1) - f(r_2)) +$   $\cdots + (f(r_{k_i-1}) - f(r_{k_i})) + f(r_{k_i})$  $\le (k - l^{-1})(r_0 - r_{k_i}) + C(K(l)).$

When  $i \to \infty$ , we have  $r_0 = s_i \to \infty$ , this leads to a contradiction.

Lemma 2.1 allows us to prove the following general Proposition that relates orbit growth and asymptotic geometry of universal cover.

**Proposition 2.2.** There exists an equivariant asymptotic cone of  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{p}, \Gamma)$ , denoted by (Y, y, G), such that the lower box dimension of Gy,  $\dim_{lb}(Gy) \geq SO(\Gamma)$ .

*Proof.* We denote  $m = SO(\Gamma)$ . By definition, for every positive integer i and  $m_i = m - \frac{1}{i}$ , there exists a sequence  $R_{ij} \to \infty$  such that  $\#(\Gamma(R_{ij})) > e^{m_i} R_{ij}^{m_i}$ . Assume that  $R_{ij} \in [e^{k_{ij}}, e^{k_{ij}+1})$ , then

$$\#(\Gamma(e^{k_{ij}+1})) \ge \#(\Gamma(R_{ij}))$$
  
> $e^{m_i}R_{ij}^{m_i}$   
 $\ge (e^{k_{ij}+1})^{m_i}.$ 

Put  $f(k) = \ln(\#(\Gamma(e^k)), r_{ij} = k_{ij} + 1$ , then  $f(r_{ij}) > m_i r_{ij}$ . Using Lemma 2.1, we find a sequence  $a_{ij} \to \infty$  such that

$$f(a_{ij}) - f(t) > (m_i - \frac{1}{i})(a_{ij} - t), \forall t \in [a_{ij} - i, a_{ij} - 1].$$

That is,

(2.3) 
$$\frac{\#(\Gamma(e^{a_{ij}}))}{\#(\Gamma(e^{a_{ij}-t'}))} > e^{(m_i - \frac{1}{i})t'} \text{ for } t' = [1, i].$$

We choose  $a_{ij_i} \to \infty$  and put  $r_i = e^{a_{ij_i}}$ . Let d be the distance function on  $\tilde{M}$ , we denote by  $\Gamma_i \tilde{p}$  the orbit  $\Gamma \tilde{p}$  equipped with the distance  $d_i(g_1 \tilde{p}, g_2 \tilde{p}) := r_i^{-1} d(g_1 \tilde{p}, g_2 \tilde{p})$ . For  $x \in \Gamma \tilde{p}$  and r > 0, we put

$$B_r^i(x) = \{ y \in \Gamma_i \tilde{p} \mid d_i(x, y) \le r \}.$$

For  $l \geq 1$ , we choose  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s \in B_1^i(\tilde{p})$  such that

$$s = \text{Cap}(B_1^i(\tilde{p}); e^{-l}), d_i(x_a, x_b) \ge e^{-l} \text{ for any } a \ne b.$$

We have  $B_1^i(\tilde{p}) \subset \bigcup_{q=1}^s B_{e^{-l}}^i(x_q)$ . Since  $\#B_r^i(x_a) = \#B_r^i(x_b)$  for any  $x_a, x_b \in \Gamma \tilde{p}$ , we have

(2.4) 
$$\operatorname{Cap}(B_1^i(\tilde{p}); e^{-l}) \ge \frac{\#(B_1^i(\tilde{p}))}{\#(B_{c-l}^i(\tilde{p}))} = \frac{\#(\Gamma(r_i))}{\#(\Gamma(e^{-l}r_i))}.$$

After passing to a subsequence, consider the equivariant point Gromov-Hausdorff convergence ([7, 8])  $(r_i^{-1}\tilde{M}, \tilde{p}, \Gamma) \to (Y, y, G)$ . Denote by  $B_r^{\infty}(y) = \{w \in Gy \mid d_Y(y, w) \leq r\}$ . By (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\operatorname{Cap}(B_1^\infty(z);e^{-l}) \geq \limsup_{i \to \infty} \operatorname{Cap}(B_1^i(\tilde{p});e^{-l}) \geq e^{ml}, \text{for any } l \geq 1.$$

This implies that  $\dim_{lb}(Gz) \ge \dim_{lb}(B_1(z)) \ge m$ .

Remark 2.5. In Proposition 4.2 of [16], Pan-Ye proved that for any asymptotic cone (Y, y, G) of  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{p}, \Gamma)$ , Gy has hausdorff dimension l, under the condition that  $\Gamma$  has stable orbit growth of order l:  $c_1R^l \leq \#(\Gamma(R)) \leq c_2R^l, \forall R \geq 1$ .

*Proof of Theorem D.* Assume that IV(M) < k + 2. By a fundamental domain argument (see Theorem 4 (1.2) in [19] and its proof), we have:

$$\#(\Gamma(2R)) \ge \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(\tilde{p}))}{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}.$$

Since  $\tilde{M}$  has Euclidean volume growth and IV(M) < k + 2, we conclude that  $SO(\Gamma) > n - k - 2$ . By proposition 2.2, we can find a sequence  $r_i \to \infty$  such that for the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff convergence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (r_i^{-1}\tilde{M},\tilde{p},\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{GH} & (Y,y,G) \\ & & \downarrow^{\pi_i} & & \downarrow^{\pi} \\ & & (r_i^{-1}M,p) & \xrightarrow{GH} & (Z=Y/G,z) \end{array}$$

we have  $\dim_{lb}(Gy) > n-k-2$ . Since M is k-Euclidean at infinity, we have  $(Z,z) \cong (\mathbb{R}^k \times Z', (0^k, z'))$ . Since  $\pi$  is a submetry, we can write  $(Y,y) = (\mathbb{R}^k \times Y', (0^k, y'))$ . Note that  $\pi$  preserves the  $\mathbb{R}^k$  factor, thus we have  $G \cdot y \subseteq \{0^k\} \times Y'$ .

Since  $\tilde{M}$  is noncollapsed, Y is a metric cone with vertex y. So we can write

$$Y = \mathbb{R}^k \times Y' = \mathbb{R}^k \times (\mathbb{R}^m \times C(X)) = \mathbb{R}^{k+m} \times C(X)$$

and  $y = (0^k, 0^m, v)$ , where C(X) does not contain any line and v is the unique vertex of C(X). we have inclusion  $Gy \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k+m} \times \{v\}$ . Together with  $Gy \subseteq \{0^k\} \times Y'$ , we derive

$$Gy \subseteq \{0^k\} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \{v\}.$$

As Gy has lower box dimension  $\dim_{lb} > n-k-2$ , we have  $m \ge n-k-1$ . Thus Y splits off an  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  factor. By Cheeger-Colding [4], the set of singular points in Y has codimension at least 2, thus  $Y \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ . By Colding [6],  $\tilde{M}$  itself is isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . So M is flat. Since M is k-Euclidean at infinity, M is isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^k \times N^{n-k}$  for some flat manifold N. Since  $\mathrm{IV}(M) < k+2$ , N is either closed or an open flat manifold with an n-k-1 dimensional soul.

#### 3. k-Euclidean at infinity

In this section, we prove the first part of Theorem C:

**Proposition 3.1.** If M is k-Euclidean at infinity, then either M has unique asymptotic cone  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , or any asymptotic cone  $M_{\infty}$  split as  $\mathbb{R}^k \times Z$ , where Z is noncompact and may rely on  $M_{\infty}$ .

*Proof.* We will prove the following claim:

**Claim 3.2.** Let  $Y_1 = \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $Y_2 = \mathbb{R}^k \times Z$ , where Z is noncompact, then at most one of them can be an asymptotic cone of M.

Assume Claim 3.2 holds. If  $(X, x_0) = (\mathbb{R}^k \times K, (0, a))$  with  $K \neq \{pt\}$  compact occurs as an asymptotic cone of M, then a tangent cone of X at  $x_0$  is  $\mathbb{R}^k \times K'$ , where K' is noncompact. Meanwhile, the asymptotic cone of X is  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Since any tangent cone at  $x_0$  or asymptotic cone of X is an asymptotic cone of M, this contradicts Claim 3.2. Now Proposition 3.1 follows from Claim 3.2.

Now we turn to the proof of Claim 3.2. Denote by  $\Omega$  the set of all asymptotic cones of M.

Assume that Claim 3.2 does not hold, that is, both  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  belong to  $\Omega$ . Then there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the  $\epsilon$  neighberhood of  $(Y_1, o_1)$  (according to pointed Gromov-Hausdorff distance) in  $\Omega$ ,  $B_{\epsilon}((Y_1, o_1))$  contains no elements like  $\mathbb{R}^k \times Z'$  with Z' noncompact.

Choose  $r_i \to 0, s_i \to 0$  such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} (s_i M, p) = (Y_1, o_1), \lim_{i \to \infty} (r_i M, p) = (Y_2, o_2).$$

Write  $N_i = r_i M$ ,  $l_i = r_i^{-1} s_i$ . Then

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} (N_i, p) = (Y_2, o_2), \lim_{i \to \infty} (l_i N_i, p) = (Y_1, o_1).$$

After passing to a subsequence, we can always assume that  $l_i \to \infty$ .

Set  $L_i = \{t \in [1, l_i] \mid d_{pGH}((tN_i, p), (Y_1, o_1)) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}$ , then  $l_i \in L_i$  for i large. Let  $h_i = \inf L_i \in L_i$ .

We claim that  $h_i \to \infty$ . If not, (after passing subsequence) the limit  $\lim_{i \to \infty} (h_i N_i, p)$  will be  $(rY_2, o_2)$  for some  $r \ge 1$ . Since  $rY_2 = \mathbb{R}^k \times (rZ)$  with rZ noncompact, we have  $d_{pGH}((rY_2, o_2), (Y_1, o_1)) \ge \epsilon$ . This contradicts with  $h_i \in L_i$  for i large.

Note that  $h_i r_i \leq l_i r_i = s_i \to 0$ , so we can write

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} (h_i N_i, p) = \lim_{i \to \infty} (h_i r_i M, p) = (\mathbb{R}^k \times K, o_3) \in \Omega.$$

Since  $h_i \in L_i$ , K must be compact. Choose 0 < c < 1 such that  $(\mathbb{R}^k \times (cK), o_3) \in B_{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}(Y_1)$ . We have  $\lim_{i \to \infty} (ch_i N_i, p) = (\mathbb{R}^k \times (cK), o_3)$ , thus  $ch_i \in L_i$  for i large. This is impossible since  $h_i = \inf L_i$ .

The following result is well-known, we put a proof here for readers' convenience:

**Proposition 3.3.** If M has unique asymptotic cone  $\mathbb{R}$ , then M is isometric to  $\mathbb{R} \times N$  for some compact manifold N.

*Proof.* Fix a point  $p \in M$ , then for any sequence  $r_i \to \infty$ , we have pointed Gromov-Hausdorff convergence

$$(r_i^{-1}M, p) \xrightarrow{GH} (\mathbb{R}, 0).$$

Let  $q_{i,+}, q_{i,-} \in r_i^{-1}M$  such that  $q_{i,+} \to 1, q_{i,-} \to -1$ . Let  $\gamma_i$  be a minimal geodesic connecting  $q_{i,-}$  and  $q_{i,+}$  and let  $a_i$  be a point on  $\gamma_i$  that is closest to p. Then

$$\frac{d(q_{i,-}, q_{i,+})}{r_i} \to 2, \frac{d(a_i, p)}{r_i} \to 0.$$

Now if  $d(p, a_i) < C$  for some constant C > 0, then  $\gamma_i$  converge to a line in M. Thus  $M \cong \mathbb{R} \times N$  by Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem [5]. Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is the asymptotic cone of M, N must be compact.

If  $d(p, a_i) \to \infty$ , we put  $s_i = d(p, a_i)$  and consider the convergence

$$(s_i^{-1}M, p) \xrightarrow{GH} (\mathbb{R}, 0).$$

Since  $s_i r_i^{-1} \to 0$ , passing to subsequence  $\gamma_i$  converge to a line  $\gamma_{\infty}$  such that  $d(0, \gamma_{\infty}) = \lim_{i \to \infty} s_i^{-1} d(p, \gamma_i) = 1$ . Such a line cannot exist on  $\mathbb{R}$ . So  $d(p, a_i) \to \infty$  will not happen.

## 4. Volume growth and asymptotic cone

To prove the volume growth part of Theorem C, we shall study the relation between the renormalized limit measures (see Section 1 of [4] for definition) on asymptotic cones and the volume growth of M. Let  $r_i \to \infty$  such that  $\lim_{i \to \infty} (r_i^{-1}M, p) = (X, o, v)$ , where (X, o) is the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff limit of  $(r_i^{-1}M, p)$  and v is a renormalized limit measure on X. Then for any R > 0, we have

$$v(B_R(o)) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(B_R^{r_i^{-1}M}(p))}{\text{vol}(B_1^{r_i^{-1}M}(p))} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(B_{r_iR}(p))}{\text{vol}(B_{r_i}(p))},$$

where the existence of the limit is ensured by the construction of v. In particular,  $v(B_1(o)) = 1$ . Note that an asymptotic cone (X, o) may have different renormalized limit measures on it.

Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all (X, o, v), where (X, o) is an asymptotic cone of M, and v is a renormalized limit measure on X.

For  $l > 0, l \neq 1$ , define  $k_l, K_l$  by

$$l^{k_l} = \min\{v(B_l(o)) \mid (Z, o, v) \in \Omega\},\$$
  
$$l^{K_l} = \max\{v(B_l(o)) \mid (Z, o, v) \in \Omega\}.$$

Note that by volume comparison and  $v(B_1(o)) = 1$ , we have  $0 \le k_l \le K_l \le n$  when l > 1, and  $0 \le K_l \le k_l \le n$  when 0 < l < 1.

## Lemma 4.1.

$$l^{k_l} = \liminf_{R \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_{lR}(p))}{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))} \le \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_{lR}(p))}{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))} = l^{K_l}.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$\frac{\text{vol}(B_{lR}(p))}{\text{vol}(B_{R}(p))} = \frac{\text{vol}(B_{l}^{R^{-1}M}(p))}{\text{vol}(B_{1}^{R^{-1}M}(p))}$$

**Proposition 4.2.** If l > 1, then  $\forall \alpha > 0$ , we have

(4.3) 
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^{K_l + \alpha}} = 0,$$

(4.4) 
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^{k_l - \alpha}} = \infty.$$

If 0 < l < 1, then  $\forall \alpha > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^{k_l + \alpha}} = 0, \ \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^{K_l - \alpha}} = \infty.$$

*Proof.* If l>1: By Lemma 4.1,  $\forall \epsilon>0$ , we can choose N large enough such that  $R\geq N\Rightarrow$ 

$$l^{k_l} - \epsilon < \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_{lR}(p))}{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))} < l^{K_l} + \epsilon.$$

Define function  $\phi(R):(N,\infty)\to\mathbb{N}_+$  by  $N\leq\frac{R}{l\phi(R)}< lN$ . We have

$$\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p)) = \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{\operatorname{vol}(B_{\frac{R}{l}}(p))} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_{\frac{R}{l}}(p))}{\operatorname{vol}(B_{\frac{R}{l^2}}(p))} \cdots \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_{\frac{R}{l^{\phi(R)}-1}}(p))}{\operatorname{vol}(B_{\frac{R}{l^{\phi(R)}}}(p))} \cdot \operatorname{vol}(B_{\frac{R}{l^{\phi(R)}}}(p)).$$

So

$$(l^{k_l} - \epsilon)^{\phi(R)} \operatorname{vol}(B_N(p)) < \operatorname{vol}(B_R(p)) < (l^{K_l} + \epsilon)^{\phi(R)} \operatorname{vol}(B_{lN}(p)).$$

Now  $\forall \alpha > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^{K_l+\alpha}} < \frac{(l^{K_l} + \epsilon)^{\phi(R)} \operatorname{vol}(B_{lN}(p))}{(Nl^{\phi(R)})^{K_l+\alpha}}$$
$$= \left(\frac{l^{K_l} + \epsilon}{l^{K_l+\alpha}}\right)^{\phi(R)} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_{lN}(p))}{N^{K_l+\alpha}}.$$

Let  $\epsilon$  be small enough such that  $\frac{l^{K_l}+\epsilon}{l^{K_l}+\alpha} < 1$ , we obtain (4.3). Similarly, we have

$$\frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^{k_l - \alpha}} > \frac{(l^{k_l} - \epsilon)^{\phi(R)} \operatorname{vol}(B_N(p))}{(lNl^{\phi(R)})^{k_l - \alpha}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{l^{k_l} - \epsilon}{l^{k_l - \alpha}}\right)^{\phi(R)} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_N(p))}{(lN)^{k_l - \alpha}},$$

Let  $\epsilon$  be small enough such that  $\frac{l^k l - \epsilon}{l^k l - \alpha} > 1$ , we obtain (4.4).

When 0 < l < 1, we obtain from Lemma 4.1 that

$$l^{-K_l} \leq \liminf_{R \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{\operatorname{vol}(B_{lR}(p))} \leq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{\operatorname{vol}(B_{lR}(p))} \leq l^{-k_l}.$$

The rest of the derivation is similar.

**Corollary 4.5.** If (M, p) has unique asymptotic cone with unique renormalized limit measure (X, o, v), then there exists a unique  $k \ge 0$  such that

- (1)  $v(B_l(o)) = l^k, \forall l > 0.$
- (2) the volume growth of M satisfies (1.7).

*Proof.* Fix an  $l > 0, l \neq 1$ , then  $v(B_l(o)) = l^{k_l} = l^{K_l}$ . We obtain from Proposition 4.2 that  $\forall \alpha > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^{k_l+\alpha}}=0,\ \lim_{R\to\infty}\frac{\operatorname{vol}(B_R(p))}{R^{k_l-\alpha}}=\infty.$$

But the  $k_l$  satisfying the above volume growth is unique, if exists. So  $k := k_l$  is independent of l.

Now we can prove the volume growth part of Theorem C:

**Proposition 4.6.** If M is k-Euclidean at infinity, then:

- (1) if M has unique asymptotic cone  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , then the volume growth of M satisfies (1.7).
- (2) if any asymptotic cone is an  $\mathbb{R}^k \times Z$  for some noncompact Z, then the volume growth of M satisfies (1.8).

*Proof.* (1) If M has unique asymptotic cone  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , then the renormalized limit measure v on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is unique and must be the renormalized k-dimensional Lebesgue measure  $\frac{1}{\omega_k}\mathcal{L}^k$  on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  by Cheeger-Colding [4] Proposition 1.35, where  $\omega_k = \mathcal{L}^k(B_1(0^k))$ . So  $v(B_l(0^k)) = l^k$  for any l > 0. Inequality (1.7) now follows from Corollary (4.5).

(2) Let  $(T, o, v) = (\mathbb{R}^k \times Z, (0^k, z), \omega_k^{-1} \mathcal{L}^k \times \mathfrak{m}_Z)$  be an asymptotic cone of M. From the splitting theorem in RCD(0, n) spaces ([9]), we know that  $(Z, z, \mathfrak{m}_Z)$  is a CD(0, n - k) space, hence the volume comparison applies.

Now  $v(B_1(o)) = 1$  from the definition of renormalized limit measure. So we have

$$\mathfrak{m}_Z(B_1(z)) = v(B_1(0^k) \times B_1(z)) \ge v(B_1(o)) = 1.$$

Let  $\gamma$  be a ray in Z starting from z, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{m}_{Z}(B_{2R}(z)) \geq & \mathfrak{m}_{Z}(B_{1}(z)) \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{Z}(B_{R-1}(\gamma(R)))}{\mathfrak{m}_{Z}(B_{R+1})(\gamma(R)) - \mathfrak{m}_{Z}(B_{R-1}(\gamma(R)))} \\ \geq & \frac{(R-1)^{n-k}}{(R+1)^{n-k} - (R-1)^{n-k}} \\ \geq & c_{n}R, \forall R > d_{n}, \end{split}$$

where  $c_n, d_n > 0$  are contants depends only on n. Thus

$$v(B_R(o)) \ge \omega_k^{-1} \mathcal{L}^k(B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)) \times \mathfrak{m}(B_{\frac{R}{2}}(z)) \ge c_n' R^{k+1}, \forall R \ge d_n.$$
 Now write  $v(B_R(o)) = R^{k(R)}$ . Since 
$$R^{k(R)} \ge c_n' R^{k+1}, \forall R \ge d_n,$$

for any  $\beta > 0$ , there is a  $C(n, \beta)$  such that

$$R \ge C(n, \beta) \Rightarrow k(R) > k + 1 - \beta.$$

We conclude that  $k_R \geq k+1-\beta, \forall R \geq C(n,\beta)$  (recall that  $R^{k_R} = \min\{v(B_R(o)) \mid (Z,o,v) \in \Omega\}$ ). The volume growth estimate (1.8) now follows from Proposition 4.2 (4.4).

#### References

- R. L. Bishop and R. J. Crittenden. Geometry of manifolds, volume 15 of Pure Appl. Math., Academic Press. New York and London: Academic Press, 1964.
- [2] J. Cheeger, T. H. Colding, and W. P. II Minicozzi. Linear growth harmonic functions on complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Geom. Funct. Anal., 5(6):948–954, 1995
- [3] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding. Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products. Ann. of Math. (2), 144(1):189-237, 1996.
- [4] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding. On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I. J. Differ. Geom., 46(3):406-480, 1997.
- [5] Jeff Cheeger and Detlef Gromoll. The splitting theorem for manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature. J. Differ. Geom., 6:119–128, 1971.
- [6] Tobias H. Colding. Ricci curvature and volume convergence. Ann. Math. (2), 145(3):477–501, 1997.
- [7] Kenji Fukaya. Theory of convergence for Riemannian orbifolds. Jpn. J. Math., New Ser., 12:121–160, 1986.
- [8] Kenji Fukaya and Takao Yamaguchi. The fundamental groups of almost nonnegatively curved manifolds. *Ann. Math.* (2), 136(2):253–333, 1992.
- [9] Nicola Gigli. The splitting theorem in non-smooth context. arXiv:1302.5555, 2013.
- [10] Mikhael Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. Appendix by Jacques Tits. Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci., 53:53–78, 1981.
- [11] Peter Li and Luen-Fai Tam. Linear growth harmonic functions on a complete manifold. J. Differ. Geom., 29(2):421–425, 1989.
- [12] Jiayin Pan. Nonnegative Ricci curvature, stability at infinity and finite generation of fundamental groups. Geom. Topol., 23(6):3203–3231, 2019.
- [13] Jiayin Pan. On the escape rate of geodesic loops in an open manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Geom. Topol., 25(2):1059–1085, 2021.
- [14] Jiayin Pan. Nonnegative Ricci curvature and escape rate gap. J. Reine Angew. Math., 782:175–196, 2022.
- [15] Jiayin Pan. Nonnegative ricci curvature, metric cones, and virtual abelianness, 2022.

- [16] Jiayin Pan and Zhu Ye. Nonnegative Ricci curvature, splitting at infinity, and first Betti number rigidity. arXiv:2404.10145, 2024.
- [17] Christiana Sormani. Harmonic functions on manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and linear volume growth. Pac. J. Math., 192(1), 2000.
- [18] Shing-Tung Yau. Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifold and their applications to geometry. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 25:659–670, 1976.
- [19] Zhu Ye. Maximal first Betti number rigidity for open manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature. J. Geom. Anal., 34(4):12, 2024.

(Zhu Ye) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CAPITAL NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, CHINA.  $Email\ address$ : 2210501006@cnu.edu.cn