

On the Boston's Unramified Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Yufan Luo

ABSTRACT

This paper studies the Unramified Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture for p -adic Galois representations and its generalizations. We prove some basic cases of the conjecture and provide some useful criteria for verifying it. In addition, we propose several different strategies to attack the conjecture and reduce it to some special cases. We also prove many new results of the conjecture in the two-dimensional case. Furthermore, we also study the unramified Galois deformation rings. Assuming the Unramified Fontaine-Mazur conjecture, we prove that the generic fiber of the unramified deformation ring is a finite direct product of fields. In particular, the unramified deformation ring has only finitely many $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -valued points. We also give some counterexamples to the so-called dimension conjecture for Galois deformation rings assuming the conjecture.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Let p be a prime. The Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture (see [FM95, Conjecture 1]) is one of the central problems in modern algebraic number theory.

CONJECTURE 1.1 (Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture) *Let K be a number field and G_K the absolute Galois group of K . An irreducible p -adic representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ of G_K is geometric (i.e. it is unramified outside a finite set of primes of K and its restriction to the decomposition groups D_p is potentially semi-stable) if and only if it comes from algebraic geometry (i.e. if it is isomorphic to a subquotient of an étale cohomology group with coefficients in $\mathbf{Q}_p(r)$ for some Tate twist $r \in \mathbf{Z}$, of a smooth projective variety over K .)*

When we assume some standard conjectures in algebraic geometry, there is a special case of Conjecture 1.1, the so-called unramified Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture (see [FM95, Conjecture 5a]), as follows.

CONJECTURE 1.2 (Unramified Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture) *If K is a number field and S is a finite set of primes of K not containing any prime above p , then any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ has finite image where $G_{K,S}$ is the Galois group of the maximal extension of K unramified outside S .*

In [FM95], it's remarked that Conjecture 1.1 together with the Tate conjecture implies Conjecture 1.2 without proof. Later, Mark Kisin and Sigrid Wortmann proved this remark assuming

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification 11F80, 11R32, 20E18, 22E35.

Keywords: Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture, Galois extensions, profinite groups, p -adic analytic groups

The author is supported by the China Scholarship Council (CSC, grant number: 201908440220).

in addition that the action of $G_{K,S}$ on the p -adic étale cohomology of a smooth projective variety over K is semi-simple, see [KW03]. Thanks to the work of Ben Moonen, now we have the following.

THEOREM 1.3 *Assume that the following assumptions hold.*

- (i) *Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture 1.1 is true;*
- (ii) *the Tate Conjecture on algebraic cycles holds;*

Then Unramified Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture 1.2 holds.

Proof. It follows from [KW03, Proposition 3.2] and [Moo19, Theorem 1]. □

Roughly speaking, the philosophy of Conjecture 1.2 is that the eigenvalues of Frobenius elements must be roots of unity. By Chebotarev's density theorem, we see that there is a dense subset D of the image $\text{Im}(\rho)$ of ρ such that the eigenvalues of all elements in D are roots of unity. Then the image of such a representation contains an open unipotent subgroup, and hence is finite by class field theory. See Proposition 4.2. For more details, we refer to [KW03].

Conjecture 1.2 for $S = \emptyset$ implies the following, see [FM95, Conjecture 5b].

CONJECTURE 1.4 (Weak Unramified Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture) *Let K be a number field, and $G_{K,\emptyset}(p)$ the Galois group of the maximal everywhere unramified pro- p -extensions of K . Then any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{K,\emptyset}(p) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ has finite image.*

Recall that, in [Gv64], Golod and Shafarevich proved that $G_{K,\emptyset}(p)$ can be infinite. However, Conjecture 1.4 says that there does not exist an everywhere unramified p -adic representation of the absolute Galois group of a number field K with infinite image.

Motivated by considerations concerning the deformations of representations of the global Galois group $G_{K,S}$, Boston extended Conjecture 1.2 in [Bos99, Conjecture 2] as follows.

CONJECTURE 1.5 (Boston's generalization of unramified Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture)

Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K not containing any prime above p . Then every continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$, where A is a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p , has finite image where $G_{K,S}$ is the Galois group of the maximal extension of K unramified outside S .

The first results towards 1.5 were given by Frank Calegari and David Geraghty in [CG18, Corollary 1.6]. When K is a totally real field and $n = 2$, many cases of Conjecture 1.5 were proved by Patrick B. Allen and Frank Calegari, following from the result of [PS16], see [AC14, Corollary 3]. Moreover, when A has positive characteristic, they can even prove Conjecture 1.5 for any n -dimensional representations for many cases, see [AC14, Theorem 1]. See also Theorem 6.3.

1.2 Outline of the paper

The goal of Section 2 is to collect all the information we need on profinite groups, p -adic analytic groups, and the structure of local and global Galois groups to detect Boston's generalization of unramified Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture 1.5. It is worth mentioning that the solvable case of Conjecture 1.5 is not a direct corollary of the abelian case, but also relies on the fact that its image in the general linear group over a pro- p ring is always topologically finitely generated. See Corollary 2.25.

In Section 3, we study the Galois group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ of maximal tamely ramified extension of a number field K which is unramified outside a finite set S of primes of K . In Section 3.1, we show that Conjecture 1.5 is essentially a conjecture about the Galois group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$. In Section 3.2, we show that $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ is finite when $K = \mathbf{Q}$, and the ramified primes are very small. In particular, Conjecture 1.5 holds in this case in a trivial way. In Section 3.3, we show that, if Conjecture 1.5 fails, then each conjugacy class in the image of the continuous homomorphism cannot be too large or too small. See Theorem 3.9. In Section 3.4, we prove that the group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ can be topologically generated by a finite number of Frobenius conjugacy classes. The main theorem of this section is Theorem 3.13.

In Section 4, we study Conjecture 1.5, and it is proved in Theorem 4.1 that Conjecture 1.5 holds if the image of the continuous homomorphism contains an open solvable subgroup. This is the basic case of Conjecture 1.5. From this, we provide a useful criterion for verifying Conjecture 1.5 in Proposition 4.2.

In Section 5, we study the reductions of Conjecture 1.5, and we explain how to divide Conjecture 1.5 into several parts in Theorem 5.6. It turns out that we can verify Conjecture 1.5 by restricting ourselves to examining continuous representations of global Galois groups over non-Archimedean local fields. The key to the proof is the following Theorem, which is also the first main result of this paper.

THEOREM 1.6 (THEOREM 5.1) *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K not containing any prime above p . Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p . Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed positive integer. Assume the following:*

- (i) *When $\text{char}(A) = 0$, suppose that for any non-Archimedean local field F with residue field of characteristic p , any continuous representation $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ has finite image.*
- (ii) *When $\text{char}(A) > 0$, suppose that for any non-Archimedean local field F of characteristic p , any continuous representation $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ has finite image.*

Then any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ has finite image.

The proof consists of three steps. Firstly, we reduce the problem to the case where A is reduced, since the image of ρ must be a FAb profinite group. Secondly, we can further reduce to the case where A is an integral domain, which follows from A being Noetherian. Finally, we apply some theorems from commutative ring theory to prove the theorem.

In Section 6, we verify some cases of Conjecture 1.5 for $\mathbf{F}_p[[T]]$ -adic representations. See Theorem 6.1.

In Section 7, we study Conjecture 1.2. In Section 7.1, we first investigate Conjecture 1.2 using Lazard's theory on p -valued groups. In this direction, our main theorems are Theorem 7.4 and Corollary 7.9. In section 7.2, we show that the pro- p group $G_{\mathbf{Q},\{q_1,q_2,q_3\}}(p)$ is finite under mild conditions. In Section 7.3, we study the two-dimensional case of Conjecture 1.2. Our second main result of this paper is as follows:

THEOREM 1.7 (THEOREM 7.15) *Let p be an odd prime, and $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_d\}$ a finite set of primes of \mathbf{Q} not containing p such that $q_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Let $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q},S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ be a continuous homomorphism. Suppose that the image $\text{Im}(\bar{\rho})$ of the reduction $\bar{\rho}$ of ρ modulo p is trivial. Then either $\text{Im}(\rho) = \{1\}$ is trivial or $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is the kernel of the natural surjection $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p) \twoheadrightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$. Assume further that q_i is a p -th power modulo q_j for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ with $i \neq j$. Then ρ is trivial.*

The key to the proof is the presentation of the pro- p group $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ which is due to Helmut Koch.

In Section 8, we study the universal deformation ring of a continuous absolutely irreducible mod p representation $G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$ where S is a finite set of primes of K not containing any prime above p . After some preparation in Section 8.1, we prove the finiteness of unramified deformation rings assuming a special case of Conjecture 1.5 in Section 8.2. Moreover, we prove the following theorem which is the third main result of this paper.

THEOREM 1.8 (*THEOREM 8.9*) *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K not containing any prime above p . Let $\bar{\rho} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$ be a continuous absolutely irreducible representation. Suppose that the Galois representation associated to any $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -points of the universal deformation ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ of $\bar{\rho}$ has finite image. Then the ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}[1/p] = \prod_x E_x$ is the finite direct product of fields E_x where E_x is a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p indexed by $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -points of $R_{\bar{\rho}}$. In particular, there are only finitely many $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -points of $R_{\bar{\rho}}$, i.e. the set $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(R_{\bar{\rho}}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ of continuous \mathbf{Z}_p -algebra homomorphisms is finite. Moreover, assume further that $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is p -torsion-free, then $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is finite over \mathbf{Z}_p , and the universal deformation $\rho^{\mathrm{univ}} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(R_{\bar{\rho}})$ has finite image.*

This generalizes [CG18, Lemma 4.14]. The proof of the above theorem relies on the theory of generic fibers of deformation rings developed by Kisin, and the fact that the tangent space to any $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -point with finite image will be trivial. In Section 8.3, we also study deformations of mod p representations of the global Galois group $G_{K,S}$ with big image. We obtain the following result.

THEOREM 1.9 (*THEOREM 8.17*). *Suppose that Conjecture 1.5 holds. Let $n \geq 2$ and $p \geq 7$. Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K not containing any prime above p . If $\bar{\rho} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$ is a mod p representation of $G_{K,S}$ such that $\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\rho}) \supset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$, then the universal deformation ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ of $\bar{\rho}$ is a finite ring.*

Our argument crucially exploits the work of Manoharmayum [Man15] on the subgroups of GL_n over complete local Noetherian rings with large residual image. Note that the above theorem gives counterexamples to the so-called dimension conjecture 8.6 for Galois deformation rings assuming Conjecture 1.5. For more details, see Corollary 8.19.

1.3 Notation and conventions

Throughout this paper,

- p will be a rational prime.
- \mathbf{Z} will be the ring of integers, and \mathbf{Q} will be the field of rational numbers and $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ will be a fixed algebraic closure of \mathbf{Q} .
- \mathbf{F}_p will be a finite field of order p , \mathbf{Q}_p will be the field of p -adic numbers, \mathbf{Z}_p will be the ring of integers of \mathbf{Q}_p , and v_p will be the usual p -adic valuation of \mathbf{Q}_p . We also fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ of the field \mathbf{Q}_p .
- If K is a field, then we denote by G_K the absolute Galois group of K .
- If K is a number field, then we denote by $S_p = S_p(K)$ the set of primes of K above p and $S_\infty = S_\infty(K)$ the set of all Archimedean primes of K .

- If K is a number field and S a finite set of primes of K , then we denote by $G_{K,S}$ (resp. $G_{K,S}(p)$) the Galois group of maximal extension (resp. maximal p -extension) of K in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ which is unramified outside S .
- If F is a non-Archimedean local field, then we denote by \mathcal{O}_F the ring of integers of F and π_F a uniformizer of \mathcal{O}_F .
- If \mathbf{F} is a finite field, then we denote by $\mathbf{F}[[T]]$ the ring of formal power series over \mathbf{F} , and $\mathbf{F}((T))$ the field of formal Laurent series in one variable over \mathbf{F} .
- If R is a commutative ring, then we will denote by $\text{char}(R)$ the characteristic of R . Recall that $\text{char}(R)$ is the natural number n such that $n\mathbf{Z}$ is the kernel of the unique ring homomorphism from \mathbf{Z} to R .
- If R is a commutative ring and n a positive integer, then $M_n(R)$ is the space of all $n \times n$ matrices over R , $\text{GL}_n(R)$ is the general linear group over R , and $\text{SL}_n(R)$ is the special linear group over R .
- If G is a group and $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R)$ is a group homomorphism, then we denote by $\text{Im}(\rho)$ the image of ρ .

2. Preliminaries

2.1 The general linear group over a pro- p ring and FAb profinite groups

By a *pro- p ring* we mean a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p .

NOTATION 2.1 *If A is a pro- p ring with finite residue field \mathbf{F} , then we will denote $\text{GL}_n^1(A)$ to be the kernel of the natural projection $\eta : \text{GL}_n(A) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$, and we define*

$$\text{SL}_n^1(A) := \text{SL}_n(A) \cap \text{GL}_n^1(A).$$

Moreover, if $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ is a continuous homomorphism where G is a profinite group, then we will denote by $\bar{\rho}$ the composition of morphisms

$$\bar{\rho} : G \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{GL}_n(A) \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}),$$

and we say that $\bar{\rho}$ is the reduction of ρ modulo \mathfrak{m}_A .

The following lemma is useful.

LEMMA 2.2 *Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field \mathbf{F} of characteristic p and n a fixed positive integer. Then we have the following:*

- (i) *The profinite group $\text{GL}_n^1(A)$ is a pro- p group.*
- (ii) *If G is a closed subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(A)$ with order (as a supernatural number) prime to p , then G is finite.*
- (iii) *If G is a torsion-free closed subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(A)$, then it is a pro- p group.*

Proof. We prove our lemma as follows:

- (i) It is [Bos91, Lemma 1.2].
- (ii) Since $\text{GL}_n^1(A)$ is a pro- p group by the claim (i), we see that the Sylow pro- p subgroup H of $\text{GL}_n(A)$ is just the preimage under η of any Sylow p -subgroup of the finite group $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$. By Lemma [RZ10, Corollary 2.3.6], any two Sylow pro- p subgroups are conjugate. Without loss

of generality, we choose one particular H . Since H has finite index in $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$, $[\mathrm{GL}_n(A) : H]$ is a natural number. Note that the order of a profinite group is a natural number if and only if the order of the profinite group is finite, cf. [RZ10, Proposition 2.3.2(a)]. Thus, if G is a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ with order prime to p , then the order G must be a natural number and hence it is finite.

- (iii) By (ii), we know that for every prime ℓ different from p , the Sylow pro- ℓ subgroup of G is finite. Since G is torsion-free, we see that G must be pro- p . The claim is proved. \square

We say that a profinite group G has a property \mathcal{P} *virtually* if G has an open subgroup with property \mathcal{P} . For example, a profinite group is called *virtually solvable* if it has an open solvable subgroup.

A profinite group G is called *FAb* if U^{ab} is finite for all closed subgroups U of G of finite index where U^{ab} denotes the topological abelianization of U . Observe that any open subgroup (resp. continuous quotient) of a FAb profinite group is FAb.

PROPOSITION 2.3 *Let G be a FAb profinite group. Then any topologically finitely generated virtually solvable (continuous) quotient of G is finite.*

Proof. We prove our claim as follows:

- Step 1: We show that any topologically finitely generated solvable (continuous) quotient of G is finite. Since any (continuous) quotient of a FAb profinite group is also FAb, we can assume that G is a FAb solvable group, and we need to show that G is finite. Let $G = G^{(0)} \supset G^{(1)} \supset \cdots \supset G^{(i)} \supset \cdots$ be the derived series of G . Since G is solvable, it has finite length. Thus, it suffices to show that all (abstract) quotients $G^{(i)}/G^{(i+1)}$ are finite. By [NS07, Theorem 1.4], $G^{(1)}$ is a closed subgroup of G , and hence $G/G^{(1)}$ is an abelian (continuous) quotient of G . Since G is FAb, we know that $G/G^{(1)}$ is finite, and hence $G^{(1)}$ is an open subgroup of G . Since G is topologically finitely generated, so is $G^{(1)}$ by [RZ10, Prop. 2.5.5]. Since G is FAb, it follows that all $G^{(i)}/G^{(i+1)}$ are finite by induction. Therefore, G is finite.
- Step 2: In general, we need to show that if G is a topologically finitely generated, FAb virtually solvable group, then G is finite. By definition, G contains an open solvable subgroup H . Since H is an open subgroup of G and G is FAb, we see that H is FAb and topologically finitely generated. By Step 1, H is finite and so is G . We are done. \square

2.2 p -adic analytic groups

In this subsection, we review some basic definitions and properties of p -adic analytic groups.

We say that a compact topological group G is *p -adic analytic* if it is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ for some positive integer d . We say that a pro- p group G is *powerful* if p is odd and $G/\overline{G^p}$ is abelian, or if $p = 2$ and $G/\overline{G^4}$ is abelian where $\overline{G^p}$ is the closure of the subgroup of G generated by the set $\{g^p \mid g \in G\}$ in G . Moreover, a powerful group is *uniform* if it is also torsion-free.

A key invariant of a p -adic analytic group is its *dimension* $\dim(G)$ as a p -adic manifold. Algebraically, one can define $\dim(G)$ as $d(U)$, where U is any uniform open pro- p subgroup of G and $d(U)$ is the minimal cardinality of a topological generating set for U .

EXAMPLE 2.4 *If G is a compact p -adic analytic group, then we have the following:*

- (i) $\dim(G) = 0$ if and only if G is finite.
- (ii) $\dim(G) = 1$ if and only if G contains an open procyclic pro- p group H , i.e. H is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_p .
- (iii) If $\dim(G) = 2$, then G contains an open meta-procyclic pro- p group H , i.e. H has a procyclic normal subgroup with procyclic quotient. See [DdSMS99, Exercise 3.11].

LEMMA 2.5 [DdSMS99, Theorem 9.11 and Theorem 9.14] *Let G be a p -adic analytic group and H be a closed subgroup of G such that $\dim(H) = \dim(G)$. Then G is an open subgroup of G .*

DEFINITION 2.6 [Sch11, Section 23] *A p -valued group is a group G together with a real valued function $\omega : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$ on G , which is called p -valuation, such that the following properties hold for all $g, h \in G$:*

- (i) $\omega(g) > \frac{1}{p-1}$,
- (ii) $\omega(g) = \infty$ if and only if $g = 1$,
- (iii) $\omega(g^{-1}h) \geq \min\{\omega(g), \omega(h)\}$,
- (iv) $\omega([g, h]) \geq \omega(g) + \omega(h)$,
- (v) $\omega(g^p) = \omega(g) + 1$

where $[g, h] = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$.

It is said to have *integer values* if $\omega(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$ for all $g \in G$, $g \neq 1$. Note that any p -valued group is torsion-free.

Lazard uses the valuation ω to define a topology on G by choosing the subgroups $G_\nu = \{g \in G \mid \omega(g) \geq \nu\}$, with $\nu \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$, as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of the identity.

Let G be a pro- p group and ω be a p -valuation on G which we assume defines the topology on G . Let $g \in G$ be any element. Then we have the group homomorphism

$$c : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow G, \quad m \mapsto g^m,$$

and it extends uniquely to a continuous group homomorphism $c : \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow G$ which we always will write as $g^x := c(x)$. The p -valuation ω on G has the following property, see [Sch11, Remark 26.3].

- (vi) $\omega(g^x) = \omega(g) + v_p(x)$ for any $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ and any $x \neq 0$ in \mathbf{Z}_p where v_p denotes the usual p -adic valuation on \mathbf{Q}_p .

DEFINITION 2.7 [Sch11, Section 26] *A pro- p group is called p -valuable if there exists a p -valuation ω on G which defines the topology on G and G has finite rank. (See [Sch11, Section 26] for the precise definition of finite rank.) A p -valuable group G is called p -saturated if it has the following property: if $g \in G$ with $\omega(g) > \frac{p}{p-1}$, then there exists a $h \in G$ such that $g = h^p$.*

THEOREM 2.8 (Lazard) [Laz65] *A compact topological group is p -adic analytic if and only if it contains an open p -saturated pro- p subgroup.*

The following example is important in the context of Conjecture 1.2.

EXAMPLE 2.9 Let L/\mathbf{Q}_p be a finite extension and $v = v_L$ its additive valuation normalized by $v(p) = 1$. Fix a positive integer n , we consider the space $M_n(L)$ of all $n \times n$ matrices over L . For any nonzero matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, we put

$$w(A) := \min_{i,j} v(a_{ij}),$$

and $w(0) := \infty$. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_n(L) := \left\{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(L) \mid w(g - 1) > \frac{1}{p-1} \right\},$$

and

$$\omega(g) := w(g - 1) \quad \text{for } g \in \mathcal{G}_n(L).$$

Then one can check that ω is a p -valuation on $\mathcal{G}_n(L)$ and ω defines the subspace topology on the open subgroup $\mathcal{G}_n(L)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L)$, cf. [Sch11, Example 23.2]. Then $\mathcal{G}_n(L)$ is a torsion-free open subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L)$.

For any real number $\nu > 0$, we put

$$\mathcal{G}_n(L)_{\nu+} := \{g \in \mathcal{G}_n(L) \mid \omega(g) > \nu\}.$$

NOTATION 2.10 For any integer $i \geq 1$, we define

$$\mathrm{GL}_n^i(\mathcal{O}_L) := \ker(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L/\pi_L^i \mathcal{O}_L)) = 1 + \pi_L^i M_n(\mathcal{O}_L),$$

and

$$\mathrm{SL}_n^i(\mathcal{O}_L) := \mathrm{GL}_n^i(\mathcal{O}_L) \cap \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L).$$

Note that for any real number $\nu > 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{G}_n(L)_{\nu+} = \mathrm{GL}_n^{\eta(\nu)}(\mathcal{O}_L),$$

where $\eta(\nu)$ is the smallest integer which is larger than $e_{L/\mathbf{Q}_p} \cdot \nu$. For example, when $L = \mathbf{Q}_p$ where $p \geq 3$, we have $\mathcal{G}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p) = \mathrm{GL}_n^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ and $\mathcal{G}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)_{m+} = \mathrm{GL}_n^{m+1}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ for any integer $m \geq 1$.

2.3 Just-infinite profinite groups

An infinite profinite group G is called *just-infinite* if all non-trivial closed normal subgroup of G have finite index. For example, the pro- p groups $\mathrm{SL}_n^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ and $\mathrm{SL}_n^1(\mathbf{F}_p[[T]])$ are just-infinite where $p > 2$, see [KLGP97]. The following lemma is known.

LEMMA 2.11 [Gri00, Theorem 3] *Let G be a topologically finitely generated infinite profinite group which is a virtually pro- p group. Then G admits a just-infinite quotient which is also a virtually pro- p group.*

We prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 2.12 *Let G be an infinite profinite group such that it admits a topologically finitely generated just-infinite quotient which is not virtually abelian. Then we have the following:*

- (i) *There is no dense normal subgroup N of G such that N has only countably many conjugacy classes.*
- (ii) *There is no countable subset D of G such that normal subgroup of G generated by D is dense in G and the conjugacy class g^G of g in G is finite (as a set) for any $g \in D$.*

To prove Theorem 2.12, we need the following easy lemma.

LEMMA 2.13 *Let G be an abstract group and A be an infinite subset of G . Then A and the subgroup $\langle A \rangle$ of G generated by A have the same cardinality.*

Proof. Put $A^{-1} := \{a^{-1} \mid a \in A\}$, and denote by $\text{Pr}_n(A)$ the set of all finite products of the form $a_1^{\pm 1} \cdots a_n^{\pm 1}$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ for any integer $n \geq 1$. By definition, we have $\langle A \rangle = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \text{Pr}_n(A)$. Note that for any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$|\text{Pr}_n(A)| \leq |A \cup A^{-1}|^n = |A|$$

since $|A|$ is an infinite cardinal. Thus, we have

$$|\langle A \rangle| = \left| \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \text{Pr}_n(A) \right| \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} |\text{Pr}_n(A)| \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} |A| = |A|.$$

On the other hand, it is clear that $|A| \leq |\langle A \rangle|$. We are done. \square

COROLLARY 2.14 *Let G be an abstract group and A a countable subset of G such that $G = \langle A \rangle$. Then the group G is countable.*

Proof. If A is finite, then it is clear that G is countable. If A is infinite, then our claim follows from Lemma 2.13. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.12. We prove our theorem as follows:

- (i) Suppose that a counter-example exists. Let H be a topologically finitely generated just-infinite quotient of G which is not virtually abelian. By our assumption, there exists a dense normal subgroup N of H such that N has only countably many conjugacy classes. By [NS12, Corollary 1.15], we know that $H = N$. But this contradicts [JZN19, Theorem 1.1].
- (ii) Suppose that a counter-example exists. By our assumption and Corollary 2.14, the normal subgroup N of G generated by D is a countable dense subgroup of G . But this contradicts the previous assertion. We are done. \square

2.4 Some results on local Galois groups and global Galois groups

2.4.1 *Local Galois groups* Let p be a prime.

PROPOSITION 2.15 *Let k be a local field with residue field of characteristic p , k_{tr} the maximal tamely ramified extension of k and $\text{Gal}(k_{tr}/k)$ the Galois group of the maximal tamely ramified extension of k . Let q denote the cardinality of the residue field of k . Then we have the following:*

- (i) *The Galois group $\text{Gal}(k_{tr}/k)$ is isomorphic to the profinite group topologically generated by two elements τ, σ with the only relation*

$$\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} = \tau^q, \tag{1}$$

where the element τ is a generator of the inertia group, and σ is a Frobenius lift.

- (ii) Let F be a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic zero and $\rho : \text{Gal}(k_{tr}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ a continuous representation. Then the roots of the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(\tau)$ are roots of unity. In particular, $\rho(\tau)$ has finite order if and only if it's semisimple (i.e. diagonalizable over the algebraic closure of F).
- (iii) Let F be a non-Archimedean local field of positive characteristic and $\rho : \text{Gal}(k_{tr}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ a continuous representation. Then $\rho(\tau)$ has finite order.

Proof. The claim (i) is [NSW08, Theorem 7.5.3]. If F is a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic zero or of positive characteristic, then we can assume that $\rho(\tau)$ is upper triangular after taking finite extension of F . Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ denote the eigenvalues of $\rho(\tau)$. Using the relation (1), we see that

$$\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\} = \{\lambda_1^q, \dots, \lambda_n^q\}.$$

It follows that $\lambda_i^{q^m-1} = 1$ for all i , and hence λ_i are roots of unity. Hence $\rho(\tau)^m$ is unipotent for some positive integer m . Moreover, if F has positive characteristic ℓ , then we see that $\rho(\tau)^m$ is a ℓ -element, and hence $\rho(\tau)$ has finite order. This completes the proof of the claim (ii) and (iii). The proposition is proved. \square

REMARK 2.16 In (ii), the image $\rho(\tau)$ of ρ does not necessarily have finite order, see Theorem 7.16 for a counterexample.

By a p -extension we mean a Galois extension whose Galois group is a p -group.

NOTATION 2.17 For any prime \mathfrak{p} of a number field K , we denote by $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (resp. $G_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)$) the absolute Galois group of the completion $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of K at \mathfrak{p} (resp. the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)/K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ of the maximal p -extension $K_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)$ of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ inside $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$). Let $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset G_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (resp. $I_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) \subset G_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)$) denote the inertia subgroup, and let $P_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the wild inertia subgroup. We denote by $N(\mathfrak{p})$ the number of elements of the residue field $\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of \mathfrak{p} .

LEMMA 2.18 [Koc02, Section 8.5] Let K be a number field. Then the following type of primes of K cannot ramify in a p -extension of K :

- (i) non-Archimedean primes \mathfrak{p} with $N(\mathfrak{p}) \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{p}$,
- (ii) complex primes,
- (iii) real primes for $p \neq 2$.

Moreover, if L/K is a finite p -extension which is ramified at \mathfrak{p} , then L/K is tamely ramified at \mathfrak{p} if and only if $N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

THEOREM 2.19 Let K be a number field and \mathfrak{p} a non-Archimedean prime of K with $\mathfrak{p} \notin S_p(K)$. Suppose that the characteristic of the residue field of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is ℓ . Then

- (i) If $N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then $G_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)$ is the pro- p group on two generators σ, τ subject to the relation $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \tau^q$ where the element τ is a generator of the inertia group, σ is a Frobenius lift and $q = N(\mathfrak{p})$.
- (ii) If $\rho : G_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ is a continuous homomorphism, then $\rho(P_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is finite and $\rho(I_{\mathfrak{p}})$ contains an open unipotent subgroup.
- (iii) Let F be a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic p . If $\rho : G_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ is a continuous representation, then $\rho(I_{\mathfrak{p}})$ has finite image.

Proof. Firstly, note that the prime ℓ is different from p by our assumption. The claim (i) follows from Lemma 2.18 and (i) in Proposition 2.15 by passing to the maximal p -quotient. For the claim (ii), we may assume that $\text{Im}(\rho) \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L)$ for some finite extension L/\mathbf{Q}_p . Since $P_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a pro- ℓ group and $\text{GL}_n^1(\mathcal{O}_L)$ is a pro- p group, we see that the composite morphism $\rho(P_{\mathfrak{p}}) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L) \twoheadrightarrow \text{GL}_n(\kappa_L)$ is injective. In particular, $\rho(P_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is finite. After taking finite extension of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we may assume that $\rho(P_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is trivial, and then it must factor through the Galois group of the maximal tamely ramified extension of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus, the claim (ii) follows from (ii) in Proposition 2.15. Similarly, the claim (iii) follows from (iii) in Proposition 2.15. We are done. \square

2.4.2 Global Galois groups Recall that the *maximal pro- p quotient* $G^{(p)}$ of a profinite group G is the quotient group of G such that every pro- p quotient of G factors through $G^{(p)}$.

DEFINITION 2.20 *Let K be a number field and S be a finite set of primes of K .*

- (i) *A finite extension L/K of fields is called S -ramified if it is unramified outside S . The composite of two such extensions in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ is again S -ramified, so it makes sense to consider the composite K_S of all the finite S -ramified extensions of K . Because of maximality, K_S/K is Galois. Define $G_{K,S} := \text{Gal}(K_S/K)$ and we say that $G_{K,S}$ is the Galois group of the maximal extension of K unramified outside S .*
- (ii) *We will denote by $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ the Galois group of the maximal extension of K in K_S with at most tame ramification at the primes in S where we assume that the Archimedean primes of K are always tame. It is a quotient of $G_{K,S}$.*
- (iii) *Let $K_S(p)$ denote the maximal p -extension of K in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ which is unramified outside S (i.e. it is the compositum of all finite p -power degree extensions of K unramified outside S). Put $G_{K,S}(p) = \text{Gal}(K_S(p)/K)$ and we say that $G_{K,S}(p)$ is the Galois group of the maximal p -extension of K unramified outside S .*

REMARK 2.21 (i) *Note that $G_{K,S}(p)$ is the maximal pro- p quotient of $G_{K,S}$.*

- (ii) *One can always remove redundant primes from S , as in Lemma 2.18, to get a subset $S_{\min} \subset S$ which satisfies $G_{K,S}(p) = G_{K,S_{\min}}(p)$. Furthermore, if all primes \mathfrak{p}_i in S_{\min} satisfies $N(\mathfrak{p}_i) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then $G_{K,S_{\min}}(p)$ is a quotient of $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$.*

If K is a number field, then we denote by $S_p = S_p(K)$ the set of primes of K above p and $S_{\infty} = S_{\infty}(K)$ the set of all Archimedean primes of K . For simplicity, the following easy lemma will be used often without an explicit reference.

LEMMA 2.22 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K . If U is an open subgroup of $G_{K,S}$, then there is a finite extension K' of K such that $G_{K',S'} = U$ where S' is the set of primes of K' lying above the primes in S . In particular, if $S \cap S_p(K) = \emptyset$, then $S' \cap S_p(K') = \emptyset$.*

The following theorem collects the known information about the structure of the global Galois group.

THEOREM 2.23 *Let K be a number field, and S a finite set of primes of K . Then we have the following:*

- (i) *The profinite group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ is FAb.*
- (ii) *Suppose that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Then the pro- p group $G_{K,S}(p)$ is FAb.*

- (iii) The pro- p group $G_{K,S}(p)$ is topologically finitely generated.
- (iv) Suppose that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$ and the class number of K is prime to p . Then the minimal cardinality $d(G_{K,S}(p))$ of a topological generating set for the pro- p group $G_{K,S}(p)$ satisfies $d(G_{K,S}(p)) \leq |S|$. In particular, if $|S| = \emptyset$, then $G_{K,S}(p)$ is trivial; if $|S| = 1$, then $G_{K,S}(p)$ is a finite cyclic group.
- (v) If $K = \mathbf{Q}$, then we have $G_{\mathbf{Q},S_\infty} = \{1\}$.
- (vi) If $K = \mathbf{Q}$ and $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_d\}$ with $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod p$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. If $p = 2$, we assume further that $S \supset S_\infty$. We put $f_i := v_p(q_i - 1)$ where v_p denotes the usual p -adic valuation of \mathbf{Q}_p .
 - (a) The (topological) abelianization $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)^{ab}$ of the pro- p group $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^d (\mathbf{Z}/p^{f_i}\mathbf{Z})$.
 - (b) The pro- p group $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ has a presentation F/R where F is the free pro- p group on x_1, \dots, x_d and $R = (r_1, \dots, r_d)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &= x_i^{q_i-1} [x_i^{-1}, y_i^{-1}], \\ y_i &\equiv \prod_{j \neq i} x_j^{L_{ij}} \pmod{F^p[F, F]}, \quad L_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where

- (i) $[x_i^{-1}, y_i^{-1}] := x_i y_i x_i^{-1} y_i^{-1}$ is the commutator and $F^p[F, F]$ is the closed subgroup generated by p -th power of elements of F and the commutators of F ;
- (ii) the image τ_i of x_i in $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is a generator of the (cyclic) inertia group at a fixed prime \mathfrak{Q}_i above q_i ;
- (iii) the image σ_i of y_i is a lifting of the Frobenius automorphism at q_i ;
- (iv) Let g_i denote a primitive root mod q_i for any i . Then we have

$$q_i \equiv g_j^{-L_{ij}} \pmod{q_j},$$

Let ℓ_{ij} denote the image of L_{ij} in $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. Then $\ell_{ij} = 0$ if and only if q_i is a p -th power modulo q_j .

Proof. By [Gra03, Section 5.2.2, Chapter II], the topological abelianization of the profinite group $G_{F,T}^{\text{tame}}$ is finite for any pair (F, T) where F is a number field and T is a finite set of primes of F . Thus, if U is an open subgroup of $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$, then there is a finite extension K' of K and a finite set S' of primes of K' such that $G_{K',S'}^{\text{tame}} = U$. It follows that the topological abelianization of U is finite. Thus, the group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ is FAb. This proves the claim (i).

For the claim (ii), note that the pro- p group $G_{K,S}(p)$ is a quotient of $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ since $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. By the claim (i), we see that $G_{K,S}(p)$ is FAb.

The claims (iii) and (iv) follow from [Koc02, Theorem 11.5 and Theorem 11.8]. The claim (v) is [Neu99, Theorem 2.18, Section III.2]. The claim (vi) follows from [Koc02, Section 11.4]. This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

REMARK 2.24 (i) It is not known whether or not the profinite group $G_{K,S}$ is topologically finitely generated. This has been asked by Shafarevich before 1962, see [Sha89, pp. 283-294]. Moreover, we don't even know if $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ is topologically finitely generated although this has been conjectured to be the case by Harbater, see [Har94, Conjecture 2.1] and [Pol21, Proposition 4.1]. However, we have the following weaker result: the group $G_{K,S}$ is topologically generated by a finite number of conjugacy classes, see [NSW08, Theorem 10.2.5].

- (ii) When $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$, then group $G_{K,S}(p)$ can be infinite. For example, if $K = \mathbf{Q}$, $p > 2$ and $|S_{\min}| \geq 4$, then $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is infinite (Golod-Shafarevich).
- (iii) The pro- p group $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is still mysterious. The key point is that it is not known how to formulate for σ_i in terms of τ_1, \dots, τ_d in (vi).
- (iv) Since the quotient $F/(F^p[F, F])$ is a \mathbf{F}_p -vector space, we can write (2) as $y_i \equiv \prod_{j \neq i} x_j^{\ell_{ij}}, \text{ mod } F^p[F, F]$ in (vi).

However, the following corollary shows that we don't need to worry about the question whether or not the global Galois group $G_{K,S}$ is topologically finitely generated when we consider linear representations of $G_{K,S}$ over pro- p rings.

COROLLARY 2.25 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K . If A is a complete Noetherian local ring of finite residue field of characteristic p , and $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ is a continuous homomorphism, then the image of ρ is topologically finitely generated.*

Proof. Let $\bar{\rho}$ denote the reduction of ρ modulo \mathfrak{m}_A . Then we have the following extension of profinite groups:

$$1 \rightarrow \rho(\ker(\bar{\rho})) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\rho) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\bar{\rho}) \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\text{Im}(\bar{\rho})$ is a finite group. By Lemma 2.2, we know that $\text{GL}_n^1(A)$ is a pro- p group. It follows that $\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho}))$ is a pro- p subgroup of $\text{Im}(\rho)$ since $\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho}))$ is a closed subgroup of $\text{GL}_n^1(A)$. Since $\ker(\bar{\rho})$ is an open subgroup of $G_{K,S}$, there is a finite extension K' of K and a finite set S' of primes of K' with $S' \cap S_p(K') = \emptyset$ such that $\ker(\bar{\rho}) = G_{K',S'}$. Thus, the restriction $\rho|_{\ker(\bar{\rho})}$ of ρ on $\ker(\bar{\rho})$ will factor through $G_{K',S'}(p)$. By Theorem 2.23, $G_{K',S'}(p)$ is topologically finitely generated. It follows that $\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho}))$ is topologically finitely generated. Since $\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho}))$ is an open normal subgroup of $\text{Im}(\rho)$, we obtain that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is also topologically finitely generated. Indeed, $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is topologically generated by the generators of $\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho}))$ and lifts of the finite group $\text{Im}(\bar{\rho})$. This finishes the proof. \square

3. Galois group of the maximal tamely ramified extension of a number field

In this section, we study the Galois group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ of the maximal tamely ramified extension of a number field K which is unramified outside a finite set S of primes of K .

3.1 An equivalent description of Conjecture 1.5

In this subsection, we give an equivalent description of Conjecture 1.5 as follows:

CONJECTURE 3.1 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K . Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p . Then any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ has finite image.*

PROPOSITION 3.2 *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) Conjecture 1.5.
- (ii) Conjecture 3.1.

Proof. We prove our claim as follows:

- (i) \implies (ii): Let $\rho : G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ be a continuous homomorphism. We need to show that the group $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is finite. By Lemma 2.2, we know that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ contains an open pro- p group. After taking a finite extension of K , we can assume that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is a pro- p group. By Lemma 2.18, we see that $\rho(I_v)$ is trivial for all prime $v \in S_p$. Thus, after removing redundant primes from S , we can assume that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Then Conjecture 1.5 implies that ρ has finite image. We are done.
- (ii) \implies (i): Let $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ be a continuous homomorphism as in Conjecture 1.5 where $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ contains an open pro- p group. After taking a finite extension of K , we can assume that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is a pro- p group. By Lemma 2.18, we see that ρ factors through $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ since $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Then Conjecture 3.1 implies that ρ has finite image. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

The following theorem confirms a basic case of Conjecture 3.1.

THEOREM 3.3 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K . Then any topologically finitely generated virtually solvable (continuous) quotient of $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ is finite.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.23 and Proposition 2.3. \square

3.2 Galois extension of \mathbf{Q} which is tamely ramified at small primes

In [Har94, Theorem 2.6], Harbater proved that the Galois group $G_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}}^{\text{tame}}$ is cyclic of order $p-1$ if $p < 23$. Inspired by this work, we prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 3.4 *Let $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_d\}$ be a finite set of non-Archimedean primes such that $\prod_{j=1}^d p_j < 60.1$. Then the Galois group $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}^{\text{tame}}$ is finite. In particular, Conjecture 3.1 holds in this case.*

To prove this theorem, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.5 [Har94, Section 2] *Let K be a number field and Δ_K its (absolute) discriminant. Let p be a prime dividing Δ_K . Then we have*

$$v_p(\Delta_K) \leq \sum_{\mathfrak{P}|p} f_{\mathfrak{P}}(e_{\mathfrak{P}} - 1 + e_{\mathfrak{P}} v_p(e_{\mathfrak{P}})),$$

where $e_{\mathfrak{P}}$ (resp. $f_{\mathfrak{P}}$) is the ramification index (resp. the residue extension degree) of a prime ideal \mathfrak{P} lying over p , and v_p is the usual p -adic valuation of \mathbf{Q} .

THEOREM 3.6 [Odl77, Theorem 1] *Let K be a number field of signature (r_1, r_2) , i.e. K has r_1 real, and r_2 pairs of complex conjugate, embeddings. Then we have*

$$|\Delta_K| \geq (60.1)^{r_1} (22.2)^{2r_2} e^{-254}.$$

REMARK 3.7 *Assuming the generalized Riemann Hypothesis, one can get better bounds for $|\Delta_K|$, cf. [Odl90].*

LEMMA 3.8 [RZ10, Corollary 2.6.6] *Let G be a second countable profinite group. Then there is a chain of open normal subgroups $G = G_1 \geq G_2 \geq \dots \geq G_i \geq \dots$ of G such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i = \{1\}$. In particular, if G is infinite, then there is no bound on the orders of finite quotients of G .*

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Since S does not contain S_∞ , the extension \mathbf{Q}_S/\mathbf{Q} is totally real, and any finite extension K of \mathbf{Q} inside \mathbf{Q}_S is totally real. By Lemma 3.8, it suffices to show that there is a constant C such that the degree $n = [K : \mathbf{Q}]$ of any finite Galois extension K/\mathbf{Q} which is tamely ramified at S must be less than C . Indeed, for such K , by Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_K|^{1/n} &\leq \prod_{j=1}^d p_j^{1+v_{p_j}(e_{p_j})-1/e_{p_j}} \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^d p_j^{1-1/n} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

since K/\mathbf{Q} is tamely ramified at each p_j . On the other hand, by Theorem 3.6 we have

$$|\Delta_K|^{1/n} \geq 60.1 \cdot e^{-254/n}, \quad (4)$$

since K/\mathbf{Q} is totally real extension. Thus, we have

$$60.1 \cdot e^{-254/n} \leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^d p_j \right)^{1-1/n}.$$

Since $\prod_{j=1}^d p_j < 60.1$, this implies that

$$n \leq \frac{254 - \log(\prod_{j=1}^d p_j)}{\log(60.1 / \prod_{j=1}^d p_j)}.$$

Finally, take $C := \frac{254 - \log(\prod_{j=1}^d p_j)}{\log(60.1 / \prod_{j=1}^d p_j)}$. This completes our proof. \square

3.3 Conjugacy classes and dense normal subgroups

In this subsection, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.9 *Let K be a number field, S a finite set of primes of K and A a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p . Let $\rho : G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ be a continuous homomorphism. Assume that at least one of the following conditions holds.*

- (i) *$\text{Im}(\rho)$ has an open conjugacy class.*
- (ii) *$\text{Im}(\rho)$ contains a dense normal subgroup which has only countably many conjugacy classes.*
- (iii) *There exists a countable subset D of $\text{Im}(\rho)$ such that normal subgroup N of $\text{Im}(\rho)$ generated by D is dense in $\text{Im}(\rho)$ and the conjugacy class $g^{\text{Im}(\rho)}$ of g in $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is finite (as a set) for any $g \in D$.*
- (iv) *There are only finitely many elements in each conjugacy class $\rho(\text{Frob}_v)$ in $\text{Im}(\rho)$ for any $v \notin S$ where Frob_v is the Frobenius conjugacy class of v in $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$.*

Then ρ has finite image.

To prove Theorem 3.9, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.10 *Let G be a profinite group. If g^G is an open conjugacy class in G for some $g \in G$, then g has finite order.*

Proof. The proof is the same as the step 2.3 in the proof of [JZN19, Theorem 1.1]. By assumption, g^G contains gH for some open subgroup H of G . Then we have

$$|C_{G/N}(gN)| = \frac{|G/N|}{|g^G N/N|} \leq \frac{|G/N|}{|HN/N|} \leq [G : H]$$

for every normal open subgroup N of G where $C_{G/N}(gN)$ denotes the centralizer of gN in G/N . Since the sizes of $C_{G/N}(gN)$ are uniformly bounded where N ranges over all the open normal subgroups of G , we see that g has finite order. \square

PROPOSITION 3.11 *Let F be a non-Archimedean local field. If H is a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ such that it has an open conjugacy class, then H is virtually solvable.*

Proof. Assume that H is not virtually solvable. By the topological Tits alternative in [BG07, Theorem 1.3], we see that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ contains a dense free subgroup D . But this contradicts Lemma 3.10. We are done. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.9. By Corollary 2.25, we know that the group $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is topologically finitely generated. Suppose the condition (i) holds. Then $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ contains an open conjugacy class. By Theorem 3.3, we see that any virtually solvable continuous quotient of $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is finite. To show that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is finite, it's enough to show that any continuous representation $f : \mathrm{Im}(\rho) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ of $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ has finite image by Theorem 5.15 where F is a non-Archimedean local field. But such f always has finite image by Proposition 3.11. Therefore, $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ must be finite.

Assume the condition (ii) (resp. (iii)) holds. Suppose that the group $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is infinite. By Lemma 2.2, we see that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is a topologically finitely generated infinite profinite group which is a virtually pro- p group. By Lemma 2.11 and Theorem 3.3, we obtain that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ admits a topologically finitely generated just-infinite quotient which is not virtually abelian. But this contradicts Theorem 2.12. We conclude that ρ has finite image.

Assume the condition (iv) holds. Let N be the normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ generated by the conjugacy classes $\rho(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ where $v \notin S$. By Chebotarev density theorem, N is dense in $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$. Note that there are only countably many Frobenius conjugacy classes in $G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{tame}}$. By our assumption and the previous assertion, we see that ρ has finite image. This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

3.4 Infinite tamely ramified Galois extensions of a number field

In [Iha83], Ihara proved the following theorem. See also Tsfasman-Vladut [TV02], and [Leb10, Proposition F].

THEOREM 3.12 *Let K be a number field and L/K is an infinite Galois extension of K which is tamely ramified outside a finite set S of primes of K . Let S_f denote the set of primes of K that split completely in L . Then there is a finite constant $c(K)$ depending only on K such that*

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S_f} \frac{\log N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p}) - 1} \leq c(K).$$

As mentioned in Remark 2.24, it's conjectured that the Galois group $G_{K,S}^{\mathrm{tame}}$ is topologically finitely generated but not too many results are known yet. However, we have the following result which is the main theorem in this section.

THEOREM 3.13 *Let K be a number field of signature (r_1, r_2) , i.e. K has r_1 real, and r_2 pairs of complex conjugate, embeddings, and S a finite set of primes of K . Then there is a finite set T of primes in K such that $S \cap T = \emptyset$ and the group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ is topologically generated by the set $\bigcup_{v \in T} \text{Frob}_v$ where Frob_v is the Frobenius conjugacy class of v in $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$. Moreover, we have the following:*

- (i) *If the profinite group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ admits a topologically finitely generated just-infinite quotient, then there exists a $v \in T$ such that the Frobenius conjugacy class Frob_v contains uncountably many elements.*
- (ii) *If $|S| - |S \cap S_{\mathbf{C}}| - |S \cap S_2(K)| \geq 2 + r_1 + r_2 + 2\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}$ where $S_{\mathbf{C}}$ is the set of complex primes of K and $S_2(K)$ is the set of primes of K above 2, then the profinite group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ admits a topologically finitely generated just-infinite quotient. In particular, there exists a $v \in T$ such that the Frobenius conjugacy class Frob_v contains uncountably many elements.*
- (iii) *If there are only finitely many elements in each conjugacy class Frob_v for any $v \in T$, then any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ has finite image where A is a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p . That is, Conjecture 3.1 holds for such $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$.*

REMARK 3.14 *We ask a natural question as follows: If the maximal pro- p quotient $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}(p)$ of the group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ is finite for every prime p , then is it true that $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ is finite? Assume the answer is yes. Then the group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ always admits a topologically finitely generated just-infinite quotient if it is infinite.*

Proof of Theorem 3.13. The proof of the first part of our theorem is similar to the proof of [NSW08, Corollary 10.11.15]. If the group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ is finite, then we are done by Chebotarev density theorem. So we assume that $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ is infinite. Since S is a finite set and the sum of $(\log N(\mathfrak{p}))/N(\mathfrak{p}-1)$ over all non-archimedean primes of \mathfrak{p} of K is divergent, we can find primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_i \notin S$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\log N(\mathfrak{p}_i)}{N(\mathfrak{p}_i) - 1} > c(K),$$

where $c(K)$ was defined in Theorem 3.12. Let M be the maximal tamely ramified extension of K which is unramified outside S such that all \mathfrak{p}_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$, completely split. Then the extension M/K is finite by Theorem 3.12. It follows that the normal subgroup topologically generated by the decomposition groups $G_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \langle \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \rangle$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, has finite index in $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$. By Chebotarev density theorem, we can find some Frobenius conjugacy classes $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}_{r+1}}, \dots, \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}_{r+m}}$ in $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ such that the set of their images in the finite quotient $\text{Gal}(M/K)$ generates the entire group. We conclude that $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ is topologically generated by the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r+m} \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. This proves the first part of our theorem.

For the second part of the theorem, if the group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ admits a topologically finitely generated just-infinite quotient H , then H is not virtually abelian by Theorem 3.3. Suppose that there are only countably many elements in each conjugacy class Frob_v for any $v \in T$. Then the normal subgroup N of $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ generated by the set $\bigcup_{v \in T} \text{Frob}_v$ is a countable dense subgroup of $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ by Corollary 2.14. This contradicts Theorem 2.12. We conclude that there exists a $v \in T$ such that the Frobenius conjugacy class Frob_v contains uncountably many elements.

For the third part of the theorem, note that the maximal pro-2 quotient $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}(2)$ of the group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ is infinite by our assumption and [NSW08, Theorem 10.10.1]. By Theorem 2.23, we know

that the pro-2 group $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}(2)$ is topologically finitely generated. It follows from Lemma 2.11 that $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}(2)$ admits a topologically finitely generated just-infinite quotient, and so is $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$.

For the last part of the theorem, let $\rho : G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ be a continuous homomorphism. If there are only finitely many elements in each conjugacy class Frob_v for any $v \in T$, then the normal subgroup N of $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ generated by the set $\bigcup_{v \in T} \text{Frob}_v$ is a countable dense subgroup of $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ by Corollary 2.14. It follows that the group $\text{Im}(\rho)$ contains a countable normal dense subgroup. By Theorem 3.9, $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is finite. This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

4. The fundamental case of Conjecture 1.5

In this section, we study the fundamental case of Conjecture 1.5. We start with the case of $\text{Im}(\rho)$ being virtually solvable.

THEOREM 4.1 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K with $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p . If $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ is a continuous homomorphism such that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is virtually solvable, then ρ has finite image. In particular, Conjecture 1.5 holds for $n = 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we see that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ contains an open pro- p group subgroup. Note that any subgroup of virtually solvable group is also virtually solvable. After replacing K by a finite extension, we can assume that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is a virtually solvable pro- p group. Since $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$, we see that ρ factors through $G_{K,S}^{\text{tame}}$ by Lemma 2.18. By Corollary 2.25, we see that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is topologically finitely generated. Then our claim follows from Theorem 3.3. \square

The following proposition provides a useful criterion for verifying Conjecture 1.5.

PROPOSITION 4.2 *Let K be a number field, S a finite set of primes of K with $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$ and F a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic zero or of characteristic p . Let $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ be a continuous representation of $G_{K,S}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is finite.
- (ii) $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is virtually solvable.
- (iii) $\text{Im}(\rho)$ contains a dense subset D such that the roots of the characteristic polynomial $P_x(t) = \det(1 - t \cdot x)$ of x are roots of unity for any $x \in D$. When F has characteristic p , it is equivalent to state that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ contains a dense subset D such that the characteristic polynomial of x has coefficients in a finite subfield of F for any $x \in D$.
- (iv) $\text{Im}(\rho)$ contains an open unipotent subgroup.
- (v) The semisimplification ρ^{ss} of ρ has finite image.
- (vi) The eigenvalues of all $\rho(\text{Frob}_v)$, $v \notin S$, are roots of unity.

To prove Proposition 4.2, we need the following fact.

NOTATION 4.3 *If F is a non-Archimedean local field, then we put $F_0 = \mathbf{Q}_p$ when $\text{char}(F) = 0$ and $F_0 = \mathbf{F}_p((T))$ when $\text{char}(F) = p$.*

LEMMA 4.4 *Let n, d be two positive integers. Let r be 0 or p . Then there is an integer $m = m(n, d, r)$ depending on n, d and r such that the order of every torsion element in $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ divides m for any non-Archimedean local field F of characteristic r with degree (over F_0) less than or equal to d .*

Proof. At first, we consider the case of $r = 0$. Using Krasner's lemma, we know that there are only finitely many degree k extensions of \mathbf{Q}_p (in a fixed algebraic closure of \mathbf{Q}_p) for any integer k , cf. [Rob00, Section 1.6, Chapter 3]. Thus, it suffices to show that the order of torsion elements in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is bounded by a constant if F is a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p . Indeed, let F' be a finite extension of F which is the compositum of all degree n extensions of F . Then any torsion element in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is diagonalizable over F' . Since there are only finitely many roots of unity in F' , we see that the order of torsion elements in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is bounded by a constant.

Secondly, we consider the case of $r = p$. Let F be a finite extension of $\mathbf{F}_p((T))$ of degree d . Then $\mathcal{O}_F = \mathbf{F}_q[[T]]$ where $q = p^d$. If $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is an element of finite order, then the order of x^{p^n} is prime to p . By Lemma 2.2, the group $\mathrm{GL}_n^1(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is a pro- p group, and hence the order of x^{p^n} is the same as the order of $\eta(x^{p^n})$ in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$ where $\eta : \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$ is the natural surjection. By [Dar05, Corollary 2], the order of $\eta(x^{p^n})$ is less than or equal to $q^n - 1$, and so is x^{p^n} . It follows that the order of x is less than or equal to $p^n(q^n - 1) = p^n(p^{nd} - 1)$. Since $p^n(p^{nd} - 1) \geq p^n(p^{nk} - 1)$ for any positive integer $k \leq d$, the order of torsion elements in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is bounded by $p^n(p^{nd} - 1)$ when F is a finite extension of $\mathbf{F}_p[[T]]$ of degree less than or equal to d . We are done. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Firstly, when F has characteristic p , one has $F = \mathbf{F}((T))$ for some finite field \mathbf{F} of characteristic p . Then an element in F is algebraic (over the prime subfield of F) if and only if it lies in the finite subfield \mathbf{F} of F . The equivalence in the statement 3 follows. Now, We prove our claim as follows:

- (ii) \implies (i): It is Theorem 4.1.
- (iv) \implies (ii): It follows from the fact that any unipotent group is solvable.
- (iii) \implies (iv): By Lemma 4.4, we know that these roots of unity must have bounded order uniformly as x varies over D . Since the degree of the characteristic polynomial $P_x(t)$ for some $x \in D$ is fixed and independent of $x \in D$, there are only finitely many possibilities for the characteristic polynomial $P_x(t)$ as x varies over D . Since D is dense in $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$, we see that there are also only finitely many possibilities for the characteristic polynomial of any element in $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$, and the characteristic polynomial map $G_{K,S} \rightarrow F[t]$ sending any element of $G_{K,S}$ to the characteristic polynomial of the image of the element is locally constant. It follows that the set $\{g \in G_{K,S} \mid \det(1 - t\rho(g)) = (1 - t)^n\}$ is open in $G_{K,S}$, and hence contains an open subgroup $U \subset G_{K,S}$ such that the image $\rho(U)$ of U under the representation ρ is unipotent.
- (i) \implies (iii): If $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is finite, then there exists a positive integer m such that x satisfies the polynomial $t^m - 1 = 0$ for any $x \in \mathrm{Im}(\rho)$. Hence the minimal polynomial of x must divide this polynomial for any $x \in \mathrm{Im}(\rho)$. Thus every eigenvalue of x must be a root of unity for any $x \in \mathrm{Im}(\rho)$. Put $D = \mathrm{Im}(\rho)$.
- (v) \implies (iii): It follows from the Brauer–Nesbitt theorem (cf. [CR62, Section 30.16]) and the argument in (i) \implies (iii).
- (vi) \implies (iii): It follows from Chebotarev density theorem.
- (i) \implies (v): It is trivial.
- (i) \implies (vi): Using the same argument in (i) \implies (iii), we see it is true.

\square

The following corollary is known.

COROLLARY 4.5 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K with $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Let m be 1 or 2. Then there is no p -adic analytic quotient of $G_{K,S}$ of dimension m .*

Proof. By Example 2.4, we know that any p -adic analytic group of dimension m is an infinite virtually solvable group. Then our claim follows from Proposition 4.2. \square

If Conjecture 1.5 fails, then we have the following:

COROLLARY 4.6 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K such that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Let F be a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic 0 or p , and $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ be a continuous homomorphism. Suppose that the image $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ of ρ is infinite. Then $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ contains a dense free subgroup D .*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is infinite, we see that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is not virtually solvable by Proposition 4.2. By the topological Tits alternative in [BG07, Theorem 1.3], we obtain that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ contains a dense free subgroup D . By Corollary 2.25, we see that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is topologically finitely generated. This completes the proof. \square

Let F be a field. Recall that a *quasi-unipotent* matrix A in $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ is a matrix such that some power of A is unipotent matrix. Note that all the eigenvalues of a quasi-unipotent matrix are roots of unity.

COROLLARY 4.7 *Let K be a number field such that it has no non-trivial unramified extensions, S a finite set of primes of K with $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$ and F a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic zero or of characteristic p . If $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ is a continuous representation such that the quasi-unipotent elements in the image of ρ form a subgroup, then ρ has finite image.*

Proof. Since K has no non-trivial unramified extensions, the group $G_{K,S}$ is topologically generated by inertia groups $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at primes \mathfrak{p} at S and all elements in $\rho(I_{\mathfrak{p}})$ are quasi-unipotent by Theorem 2.19. By our assumption, this implies that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ contains a dense subgroup consisting of quasi-unipotent elements. Thus, our claim follows from Proposition 4.2. \square

5. Reductions of Conjecture 1.5

5.1 Statements

In this section, we study the reductions of Conjecture 1.5, and we will explain how to divide Conjecture 1.5 into several parts. For example, we show that, to verify Conjecture 1.5, it suffices to consider the continuous representations of global Galois groups over non-Archimedean local fields. Our first main result of this thesis is the following:

THEOREM 5.1 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K with $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p . Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed positive integer. Assume the following:*

- (i) *When $\mathrm{char}(A) = 0$, suppose that for any non-Archimedean local field F with residue field of characteristic p , any continuous representation $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ has finite image.*
- (ii) *When $\mathrm{char}(A) > 0$, suppose that for any non-Archimedean local field F of characteristic p , any continuous representation $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ has finite image.*

Then any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ has finite image.

- REMARK 5.2** (i) *By definition, the characteristic $\text{char}(A)$ of A is 0 or p^r for some positive integer r .*
- (ii) *When the reduction $\bar{\rho}$ of ρ is absolutely irreducible, Allen and Calegari proved a similar result in [AC14, Proposition 10].*
- (iii) *The theorem also holds for the profinite group $G_{K,S}^{\text{lame}}$ where S is any finite set of primes of K .*

A special case of Conjecture 1.2 is the following:

CONJECTURE 5.3 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K such that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Suppose that $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ is a continuous representation. Then the image $\rho(I_{\mathfrak{q}})$ of $I_{\mathfrak{q}}$ under ρ is finite for any $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ where $I_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is the inertia subgroup of $G_{K,S}$ at \mathfrak{q} which is defined up to conjugacy.*

An $\mathbf{F}_p[[T]]$ -adic version of Conjecture 1.2 is the following:

CONJECTURE 5.4 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K such that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Let F be a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic p . Then any continuous representation $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ has finite image.*

Also, we state an $\mathbf{F}_p[[T]]$ -adic version of Conjecture 1.4 as follows:

CONJECTURE 5.5 *Let K be a number field and let F be a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic p . Then any continuous representation $\rho : G_{K,\emptyset}(p) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ of the pro- p group $G_{K,\emptyset}(p)$ has finite image.*

Applying Theorem 5.1, we prove that

THEOREM 5.6 (i) *Conjecture 5.4 is equivalent to Conjecture 5.5.*

- (ii) *The following are equivalent:*
- (a) *Conjecture 1.5 holds.*
 - (b) *Both Conjecture 1.2 and 5.5 hold.*
 - (c) *Conjecture 1.4, Conjecture 5.3 and Conjecture 5.5 are all true.*

REMARK 5.7 *The equivalence between (ii)(a) and (ii)(b) of the above theorem was stated in [BØ2, Section 2] without giving a proof.*

5.2 Proof of Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.6

NOTATION 5.8 *Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p . We say that A is a pro- p domain if it is an integral domain. For a pro- p domain (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) , we put $A_0 := \mathbf{Z}_p$ when $\text{char}(A) = 0$ and $A_0 := \mathbf{F}_p[[T]]$ when $\text{char}(A) = p$. The field of fractions of the integral domain A_0 is denoted by $\text{Frac}(A_0)$. For any positive integer d , we write $\mathfrak{m}_A^{(d)}$ for the set of d -tuples of elements of \mathfrak{m}_A .*

To prove Theorem 5.1, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 5.9 (Cohen's structure theorem) *Let A be a pro- p domain. Then A is a finite integral extension of $A_0[[T_1, \dots, T_{r-1}]]$, where r is the Krull dimension of A . Moreover, if $r = 1$, then A is a finitely generated free module over A_0 , i.e. $A = \mathcal{O}_F$ for some non-Archimedean local field F .*

Proof. It is [DdSMS99, Theorem 6.42 and Corollary 6.43]. \square

LEMMA 5.10 *Let A be a pro- p domain. Suppose that $F = \sum a_{m_1, \dots, m_d} T_1^{m_1} \cdots T_d^{m_d} \in A[[T_1, \dots, T_d]]$. Then*

- (i) *F can be evaluated at $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d)$ for every $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathfrak{m}_A^{(d)}$, i.e. the series $\sum a_{m_1, \dots, m_d} t_1^{m_1} \cdots t_d^{m_d}$ converges in A .*
- (ii) *If $F(t) = 0$ for any $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathfrak{m}_A^{(d)}$, then $F = 0$.*

Proof. This first claim is [DdSMS99, Lemma 6.44], and the second claim is [JZ06, Lemma 9]. \square

LEMMA 5.11 *Let $A \subset B$ be commutative rings with B integral over A .*

- (i) *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of A . Then there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of B such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap A$.*
- (ii) *Suppose also that B is an integral domain. If I is an ideal of B such that $I \cap A = \{0\}$, then $I = \{0\}$.*

Proof. The first claim is the well-known going-up theorem, cf. [Mat86, Theorem 9.3]. For the second claim, we need to show that if I is a non-zero ideal of B , then $I \cap A \neq \{0\}$. If $I \subset A$, then the claim is trivial and hence we can assume that I is not contained in A . We fix an element $x \neq 0$ in I such that $x \notin A$. Then we have an equation $x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 = 0$ of x over A where $a_i \in A$ and $n \geq 2$. Since B is an integral domain, we can find some $a_i \neq 0$. Let $r \geq 0$ be the minimal integer such that $a_r \neq 0$. Then we have $r \leq n-1$ and $x^r(x^{n-r} + \cdots + a_r) = 0$. Since B is an integral domain and $x \neq 0$, we see that $x^{n-r} + \cdots + a_r = 0$. This implies that $a_r \in (xB) \cap A \subset I \cap A$, i.e. $I \cap A \neq \{0\}$. We are done. \square

The following proposition shows that a pro- p domain is determined by its values in local fields.

PROPOSITION 5.12 *Let A be a pro- p domain. Then there exists a positive integer d such that the intersection of kernels of all morphisms $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F$ is the zero ideal where F runs over all finite extensions of $\text{Frac}(A_0)$ with degree less than or equal to d .*

Proof. By Lemma 5.9, we see that A is a finite integral extension of $A_0[[T_1, \dots, T_{r-1}]]$, where r is the Krull dimension of A . If $r = 1$, then the claim is trivial, and we can assume that $r \geq 2$. For any $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \in \mathfrak{m}_{A_0}^{(r-1)}$, by Lemma 5.10 we have the following well-defined continuous evaluation map:

$$s_a : A_0[[T_1, \dots, T_{r-1}]] \rightarrow A_0, F \mapsto F(a).$$

Moreover, we have $\bigcap_{a \in \mathfrak{m}_{A_0}^{(r-1)}} \ker(s_a) = \{0\}$.

Set $\mathfrak{p}_a := \ker(s_a)$. Since A is a finite integral extension of $A_0[[T_1, \dots, T_{r-1}]]$, there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{q}_a of A such that $\mathfrak{q}_a \cap A_0[[T_1, \dots, T_{r-1}]] = \mathfrak{p}_a$ by Lemma 5.11. Set $B_a := A/\mathfrak{q}_a$, and define $\tilde{s}_a : A \rightarrow B_a$ to be the natural projection. Then we have the obvious injection $A_0 \rightarrow B_a$, and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0[[T_1, \dots, T_{r-1}]] & \xrightarrow{s_a} & A_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}_a} & B_a \end{array}$$

That is, the ring homomorphism s_a extends to a continuous ring epimorphism $\tilde{s}_a : A \rightarrow B_a$ where B_a is a pro- p domain of Krull dimension 1. Note that we have

$$A_0[[T_1, \dots, T_{r-1}]] \cap \left(\bigcap_{a \in \mathfrak{m}_{A_0}^{(r-1)}} \mathfrak{q}_a \right) = \bigcap_{a \in \mathfrak{m}_{A_0}^{(r-1)}} \mathfrak{p}_a = \{0\}.$$

Since A is a finite integral extension of $A_0[[T_1, \dots, T_{r-1}]]$, by Lemma 5.11 we have

$$\bigcap_{a \in \mathfrak{m}_{A_0}^{(r-1)}} \ker(\tilde{s}_a) = \bigcap_{a \in \mathfrak{m}_{A_0}^{(r-1)}} \mathfrak{q}_a = \{0\}.$$

If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ is a system of generators of the finite $A_0[[T_1, \dots, T_{r-1}]]$ -module A , then $\tilde{s}_a(\alpha_1), \dots, \tilde{s}_a(\alpha_d)$ is a system of generators of the finite free A_0 -module B_a . It follows that each B_a is the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F for some finite extension F of $\text{Frac}(A_0)$ with degree less than or equal to d by Lemma 5.9. The theorem is proved. \square

Note that if R_1, R_2 are commutative rings and there exists a ring homomorphism $R_1 \rightarrow R_2$, then the characteristic of R_2 divides the characteristic of R_1 .

PROPOSITION 5.13 *Let G be a topologically finitely generated profinite group and let A be a reduced complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p . Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed positive integer. Assume the following:*

- (i) *When $\text{char}(A) = 0$, suppose that for any non-Archimedean local field F with residue field of characteristic p , any continuous representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ has finite image.*
- (ii) *When $\text{char}(A) > 0$, suppose that for any non-Archimedean local field F of characteristic p , any continuous representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ has finite image.*

Then any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ has finite image.

Proof. We prove our proposition as follows:

- Step 1: Assume that A is an integral domain. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ be a continuous homomorphism. Since G is topologically finitely generated, so is $\text{Im}(\rho)$. We need to show that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is finite. By [Zm92, Theorem 1], we know that every topologically finitely generated profinite torsion group is finite. Thus, it suffices to show that each element g in the group $\text{Im}(\rho)$ has finite order. So let $g \in \text{Im}(\rho)$. We need to show that g has finite order. By Proposition 5.12, there exists a positive integer d such that the intersection of kernels of all morphisms $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F$ is the zero ideal where F runs over all finite extensions of $\text{Frac}(A_0)$ with degree less than or equal to d . By Lemma 4.4, there is an integer N such that the order of every torsion element in these $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ divides N . Since the image of g in these $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ are elements of finite order by our assumption, we see that

$$g^N \equiv 1 \pmod{\ker(A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F)},$$

for all such F , and hence we have $g^N = 1$. Therefore, the group $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is finite.

- Step 2: In general, let A be a reduced complete Noetherian local ring, and $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ be a continuous homomorphism. Consider the natural ring homomorphism

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Minspec}(A)} A/\mathfrak{p}_i,$$

where $A \in \text{Minspec}(A)$ runs over all minimal prime ideals of A . Since A is Noetherian and reduced, there are only finitely many minimal prime ideals of A , and the ring homomorphism φ is injective. In particular, the ring homomorphism φ induces the following group homomorphism

$$\text{GL}_n(A) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Minspec}(A)} A/\mathfrak{p}_i\right) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Minspec}(A)} \text{GL}_n(A/\mathfrak{p}_i).$$

Since each A/\mathfrak{p}_i is a domain, we see that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is a finite subgroup of $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Minspec}(A)} \text{GL}_n(A/\mathfrak{p}_i)$ by Step 1. This completes the proof of our proposition. \square

LEMMA 5.14 *Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p , and A^{red} the quotient of A by the nilradical of A . Then the kernel of the group homomorphism $\text{GL}_n(A) \twoheadrightarrow \text{GL}_n(A^{\text{red}})$ induced by the ring homomorphism $A \twoheadrightarrow A^{\text{red}}$ is a nilpotent group.*

Proof. Let $\text{Nil}(A)$ denote the nilradical of A . Then $A^{\text{red}} = A/\text{Nil}(A)$, and the kernel of $\text{GL}_n(A) \twoheadrightarrow \text{GL}_n(A^{\text{red}})$ is $I_n + M_n(\text{Nil}(A)) := \{1 + x \mid x \in M_n(\text{Nil}(A))\}$. We need to show that the group $I_n + M_n(\text{Nil}(A))$ is nilpotent.

Since A is Noetherian, the ideal $\text{Nil}(A)$ is finitely generated. Let a_1, \dots, a_m generate $\text{Nil}(A)$. For each i , we have $a_i^{r_i} = 0$ for some integer r_i . By definition, every element $a \in \text{Nil}(A)$ can be written as

$$c_1 a_1 + \dots + c_m a_m, \quad c_i \in A.$$

Note that any product of $(r_1 + \dots + r_m)$ elements of $\text{Nil}(A)$ is equal to 0. Indeed, for $b_j = c_{1,j} a_1 + \dots + c_{m,j} a_m \in A$, $j = 1, \dots, r_1 + \dots + r_m$, every term of the product

$$\prod_{j=1}^{r_1+\dots+r_m} b_j = \prod_{j=1}^{r_1+\dots+r_m} (c_{1,j} a_1 + \dots + c_{m,j} a_m)$$

has a factor of the form $a_i^{r_i}$ for some i , and hence it is 0.

It follows that there exists a positive integer N such that any product of N elements of $M_n(\text{Nil}(A))$ is equal to 0. Write M for $M_n(\text{Nil}(A))$, and M^i for the set of all sums of product of i elements of M where i is any positive integer. So $M^N = 0$. Put $U_i := \{1 + x \mid x \in M^i\}$ for all $i \geq 1$. So $U_1 = 1 + M$, and $U_N = \{1\}$. Since $M = M_n(\text{Nil}(A))$ is an ideal of the ring $M_n(A)$, U_i is a semigroup with respect to the matrix multiplication, and $U_i \supset U_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 1$.

If $1 + x \in U_r$ and $1 + y \in U_s$ where $r, s \geq 1$, then we have

$$[1 + x, 1 + y] = ((1 + y)(1 + x))^{-1}(1 + x)(1 + y) = (1 + y + x + yx)^{-1}(1 + x + y + xy).$$

Put $u = x + y + xy$ and $v = y + x + yx$. Using the fact that $M^N = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + x, 1 + y] &= (1 + v)^{-1}(1 + u) \\ &= (1 - v + v^2 - \dots + (-1)^{N-1}v^{N-1})(1 + u) \\ &= 1 + (1 - v + v^2 - \dots + (-1)^{N-2}v^{N-2})(u - v) + (-1)^{N-1}v^{N-1}u, \end{aligned}$$

where $v^{N-1}u = 0$ and $u - v = xy - yx \in M^{r+s}$. Thus, we see that $[1 + x, 1 + y]$ lies in U_{r+s} . In other words, $[U_r, U_s] \subset U_{r+s}$.

Finally, let $G = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \cdots G_i \supset \cdots$ be the lower central series of $G := U_1$ where $G_{i+1} := [G_i, G]$. Then we have $G_1 = [G, G] = [U_1, U_1] \subset U_2$. By induction, we see that

$$G_{N-1} = [G_{N-2}, G] \subset [U_{N-1}, U_1] \subset U_N = \{1\}.$$

Thus, $G_{N-1} = \{1\}$ and G is nilpotent. The lemma is proved. \square

THEOREM 5.15 *Let G be a topologically finitely generated profinite group such that any virtually solvable continuous quotient of G is finite. Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p . Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed positive integer. Assume the following:*

- (i) *When $\text{char}(A) = 0$, suppose that for any non-Archimedean local field F with residue field of characteristic p , any continuous representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ has finite image.*
- (ii) *When $\text{char}(A) > 0$, suppose that for any non-Archimedean local field F of characteristic p , any continuous representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ has finite image.*

Then any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ has finite image.

REMARK 5.16 *By Proposition 2.3, any FAb profinite group satisfies the assumption of the theorem.*

Proof. Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p , and $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ a continuous homomorphism. We consider the following composition of group homomorphisms:

$$\tilde{\rho} : G \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{GL}_n(A) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{GL}_n(A^{\text{red}}),$$

where A^{red} is the quotient of A by the nilradical of A , and the second group homomorphism $\pi : \text{GL}_n(A) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A^{\text{red}})$ is induced by the natural ring homomorphism $A \twoheadrightarrow A^{\text{red}}$. By our assumption and Proposition 5.13, we know that the group $\text{Im}(\tilde{\rho})$ is finite. It follows that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ contains an open nilpotent group by Lemma 5.14. By our assumption, $\text{Im}(\rho)$ must be finite. This proves the theorem. \square

Finally, we prove Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.6 as follows.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic p , and $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ a continuous homomorphism. By Corollary 2.25, we see that the image of ρ is topologically finitely generated. Then our claim follows from Theorem 5.15 and Theorem 4.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.6. We prove our theorem as follows:

- (i) It is clear that Conjecture 5.4 implies Conjecture 5.5. Conversely, let $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(F)$ be a continuous homomorphism where $S = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_d\}$. We may assume that $\text{Im}(\rho) \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that $\text{GL}_n^1(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is an open pro- p subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$. After taking a finite extension of K , we can assume that $\text{Im}(\rho) \subset \text{GL}_n^1(\mathcal{O}_F)$. By Theorem 2.19, we see that $\rho(I_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$ has finite image where $I_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is the inertia subgroup at \mathfrak{p}_i for all i . After taking another finite extension of K , we can assume that $\rho(I_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$ is trivial for all i . It follows that ρ factors through $G_{K,\emptyset}(p)$. Assuming Conjecture 5.5, we see that ρ has finite image. The claim is proved.
- (ii) It is clear that (a) \implies (b) and (b) \implies (c). To see (b) \implies (a), assume that both Conjecture 1.2 and Conjecture 5.5 hold. By 1, we see that Conjecture 5.4 holds. By Theorem 5.1, we see that Conjecture 1.5 holds. To see (c) \implies (b), it is enough to show that

Conjecture 1.2 holds assuming Conjecture 1.4 and 5.3. Let $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ be a continuous homomorphism. By Lemma 2.2, we see that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ contains an open pro- p group. Moreover, $\rho(I_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is finite for all $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ by our assumption. After taking a finite extension of K , we can assume that ρ factors through $G_{K,\emptyset}(p)$. By our assumption, we see that ρ has finite image. This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

6. Some cases of Conjecture 5.4

In this section, we verify some cases of Conjecture 5.4. Our main theorem in this section is the following:

THEOREM 6.1 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K such that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Let F be a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic p , and $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ a continuous homomorphism. Assume further that at least one of the following conditions holds.*

- (i) *The group $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is p -adic analytic.*
- (ii) *The class number of K is prime to p , and the group $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is torsion-free.*

Then ρ has finite image.

To prove Theorem 6.1, we need the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.2 [Sha00, Proposition 5.6][JZ02, Theorem 1.8] *Let G be a p -adic analytic pro- p group. Suppose that G is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ where F is a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic p . Then G is virtually abelian.*

Proof of Theorem 6.1. If $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is a p -adic analytic group, then it contains an open pro- p group H . By Theorem 6.2, we know that H is virtually abelian, and so is $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$. By Theorem 4.1, we obtain that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is finite.

If the group $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is torsion-free, then ρ factors through $G_{K,\emptyset}(p)$ by Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.19. Moreover, if the class number of K is prime to p , then by Theorem 2.23 we have $G_{K,\emptyset}(p) = \{1\}$, and hence ρ is trivial. The theorem is proved. \square

Finally, we point out that Allen and Calegari have also confirmed many cases of Conjecture 5.4 as follows:

THEOREM 6.3 *Let K be a totally real number field and S a finite set of primes of K with $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Let \mathbf{F} be a finite field of characteristic p and $\mathbf{F}[[T]]$ the ring of formal power series over \mathbf{F} . Let $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}[[T]])$ be a continuous homomorphism. Suppose that $p > 2n^2 - 1$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\rho}) \supset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$ where $\bar{\rho}$ the reduction of ρ modulo T . Then ρ has finite image.*

Proof. By [AC14, Theorem 1], it suffices to show that $\mathrm{ad}^0(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{K(\zeta_p)}})$ is absolutely irreducible where $K(\zeta_p)$ is the p -th cyclotomic field of K , $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{K(\zeta_p)}}$ is the restriction of the representation $\bar{\rho}$ on the open subgroup $G_{K(\zeta_p)}$ of G_K and $\mathrm{ad}^0(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{K(\zeta_p)}})$ is the subrepresentation of the adjoint representation of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{K(\zeta_p)}}$ on trace zero matrices.

We can assume that $n \geq 2$ by Theorem 4.1. Since $p > 5$ and p does not divide n , we know that the action of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$ on the $n \times n$ matrices with trace 0 over \mathbf{F}_p is absolutely irreducible by [Man15, Lemma 3.3]. Thus, it suffices to show that the image of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{K(\zeta_p)}}$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$. Since

$p \geq 5$, by [EM16, Proposition 2.2], we know that the group $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$ is perfect, i.e. the derived subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$ is itself. Since $\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\rho}) \supset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$ and the extension $K(\zeta_p)/K$ is abelian, we see that the image of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{K(\zeta_p)}}$ also contains $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$. We are done. \square

7. Some cases of Conjecture 1.2

Following [Lab14], we introduce the following notion.

DEFINITION 7.1 *We say that a pro- p group G is a Fontaine-Mazur group if any p -adic analytic quotient of G is finite.*

Note that Conjecture 1.4 is equivalent to the claim that the pro- p group $G_{K,\emptyset}(p)$ is a Fontaine-Mazur group for any number field K . For example, if K is a number field with class number prime to p , then by Theorem 2.23 we have $G_{K,\emptyset}(p) = \{1\}$, and hence it is a Fontaine-Mazur group.

7.1 When $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is a p -valuable pro- p group

In this subsection, inspired by the work of [Lab14], we consider those representations of global Galois group such that their image are p -valuable pro- p groups. First of all, note that we can reformulate Conjecture 1.2 as follows:

CONJECTURE 7.2 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K such that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. If (Γ, ω) is a p -valuable pro- p group, then any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{K,S}(p) \rightarrow \Gamma$ is trivial.*

PROPOSITION 7.3 *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Conjecture 1.2.*
- (ii) *Conjecture 7.2*

Proof. We prove our claim as follows:

- (i) \implies (ii): Let Γ be a p -valuable pro- p group and $\rho : G_{K,S}(p) \rightarrow \Gamma$ a continuous homomorphism. By Theorem 2.8, Γ is p -adic analytic. That is, Γ is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. Note that Γ is torsion-free. Conjecture 1.2 implies that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho) \subset \Gamma$ is finite, and hence $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is trivial.
- (ii) \implies (i): Let $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ be a continuous representation. We can assume that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. By Theorem 2.8, $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ contains an open p -valuable pro- p group Γ . After taking a finite extension of K , we can assume that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho) = \Gamma$. Conjecture 7.2 implies that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is trivial. We are done.

\square

We confirm Conjecture 7.2 in many cases as follows.

THEOREM 7.4 *Let K be a number field such that the pro- p group $G_{K,\emptyset}(p)$ is a Fontaine-Mazur group, and $S = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_d\}$ a finite set of non-Archimedean primes of K such that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. If (Γ, ω) is a p -valuable pro- p group such that $\omega(\gamma) > \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} v_p(N(\mathfrak{p}_i) - 1)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ where v_p denotes the usual p -adic valuation of \mathbf{Q}_p , then any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{K,S}(p) \rightarrow \Gamma$ is trivial.*

Proof. After removing redundant primes from S , we can assume that ρ is exactly ramified at S . By Lemma 2.18, we have $N(\mathfrak{p}_i) \equiv 1 \pmod p$ for all i . If $S = \emptyset$, then we are done since $G_{K,\emptyset}(p)$ is a Fontaine-Mazur group and Γ is torsion-free. Thus, we may assume that $S \neq \emptyset$ (hence $d \geq 1$), and ρ is non-trivial. We want to deduce a contradiction.

For each i with $1 \leq i \leq d$, let τ_i denote a generator of the inertia group at \mathfrak{p}_i and σ_i denote a lifting of the Frobenius automorphism at \mathfrak{p}_i . Put $\tilde{\tau}_i := \rho(\tau_i)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_i = \rho(\sigma_i)$. By Theorem 2.19, we have

$$\tilde{\tau}_i^{N(\mathfrak{p}_i)-1} = [\tilde{\sigma}_i^{-1}, \tilde{\tau}_i^{-1}] \quad (5)$$

for each i . By our assumption, $\tilde{\tau}_i \neq 1$. We claim that $\tilde{\sigma}_i \neq 1$ for each i . Indeed, if $\tilde{\sigma}_i = 1$, then we have $\tilde{\tau}_i^{N(\mathfrak{p}_i)} = \tilde{\tau}_i$ by (5). But $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is torsion-free which implies that $\tilde{\tau}_i = 1$. It is a contradiction.

In the following, we will use the properties (iv) and (vi) of the valuation ω defined in Section 2.2. For each i , by (5), we have

$$\omega(\tilde{\tau}_i^{N(\mathfrak{p}_i)-1}) = \omega(\tilde{\tau}_i) + v_p(N(\mathfrak{p}_i) - 1) \geq \omega(\tilde{\tau}_i) + \omega(\tilde{\sigma}_i).$$

This implies that

$$\omega(\tilde{\sigma}_i) \leq v_p(N(\mathfrak{p}_i) - 1).$$

But by our assumption, we have

$$\omega(\tilde{\sigma}_i) > \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} v_p(N(\mathfrak{p}_i) - 1) \geq v_p(N(\mathfrak{p}_i) - 1),$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

When $p = 2$, it is always true that $\omega > 1/(2 - 1) = 1$ by definition, and hence we have the following result.

COROLLARY 7.5 *Let K be a number field such that $G_{K,\emptyset}(2)$ is a Fontaine-Mazur group, and $S = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_d\}$ a finite set of primes of K such that $N(\mathfrak{p}_i) \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ for all i . Then for any 2-valuable pro-2 group Γ , any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{K,S}(2) \rightarrow \Gamma$ is trivial.*

Furthermore, if L/\mathbf{Q}_p is a finite extension and m_0 is the smallest integer which is larger than

$$e_{L/\mathbf{Q}_p} \cdot \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq d} v_p(N(\mathfrak{p}_i) - 1) \right),$$

then the p -valuable pro- p group

$$\Gamma := \text{GL}_n^{m_0}(\mathcal{O}_L) = \mathcal{G}_n(L)_{(\max_{1 \leq i \leq d} v_p(N(\mathfrak{p}_i) - 1)) +}$$

satisfies the condition in Theorem 7.4.

NOTATION 7.6 *Recall that if $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_d\}$ is a finite set of primes of \mathbf{Q} and we choose a primitive root mod q_i for any i , then we have defined the link numbers $\ell_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ with $i \neq j$ in Theorem 2.23. Note that $\ell_{ij} = 0$ if and only if q_i is a p -th power modulo q_j .*

THEOREM 7.7 *Let p be an odd prime. Let $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_d\}$ be a finite set of rational primes of \mathbf{Q} not containing p such that $q_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ for all i . Assume that $\ell_{ij} = 0$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ with $i \neq j$. If (Γ, ω) is a p -valuable pro- p group such that $\omega(\gamma) > 1/2$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p) \rightarrow \Gamma$ is trivial.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.18, we can assume that $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod p$ for all i . As in the proof of Theorem 7.4, we may assume that ρ is exactly ramified at S and $|S| \geq 1$. Let τ_i denote a generator of inertia group at q_i and σ_i denote a lifting of the Frobenius automorphism at q_i for each i . Put $\tilde{\tau}_i := \rho(\tau_i)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_i := \rho(\sigma_i)$. By Theorem 2.23, for each i , we have

$$\tilde{\tau}_i^{q_i-1} = [\tilde{\sigma}_i^{-1}, \tilde{\tau}_i^{-1}], \quad (6)$$

and

$$\tilde{\sigma}_i = \left(\prod_{j \neq i} \tilde{\tau}_j^{L_{ij}} \right) \cdot \gamma_i \quad (7)$$

where $L_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$ satisfying its image in $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ is ℓ_{ij} , and γ_i is an element in $\text{Im}(\rho)^p[\text{Im}(\rho), \text{Im}(\rho)]$. Since $\ell_{ij} = 0$, we see that $v_p(L_{ij}) \geq 1$. In the following, we will use the properties (iii), (iv) and (vi) of the valuation ω defined in Section 2.2. Then we have

$$\omega(\tau_i) > 1/2, \text{ and } \omega(\gamma_i) > 1. \quad (8)$$

By our assumption, we have $v_p(q_i - 1) = 1$ for each i . The relation (6) yields

$$\omega(\tilde{\sigma}_i) \leq v_p(q_i - 1) = 1.$$

Together with (7), (8), we have

$$1 = v_p(q_i - 1) \geq \omega(\tilde{\sigma}_i) = \omega\left(\prod_{j \neq i} \tilde{\tau}_j^{L_{ij}} \cdot \gamma_i\right) \geq \min_{j \neq i} \{\omega(\tau_j) + v_p(L_{ij}), \omega(\gamma_i)\} > 1,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $S = \emptyset$ and ρ factors through $G_{\mathbf{Q}, \emptyset} = \{1\}$. This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

REMARK 7.8 *By definition, we always have $\omega > 1/2$ if $p = 3$.*

For example, if (Γ, ω) has integer values, then Γ satisfies the condition of Theorem 7.7. Moreover, we have:

COROLLARY 7.9 *Let p be an odd prime. Let $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_d\}$ be a finite set of rational primes of \mathbf{Q} not containing p such that $q_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ for all i . Then we have the following:*

- (i) *Any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q}, S}(p) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n^2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is trivial.*
- (ii) *Assume further that $\ell_{ij} = 0$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ with $i \neq j$. Then any continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q}, S}(p) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is trivial.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.18, we can assume that $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod p$ for all i . In Example 2.9, we have defined a valuation ω on $\text{GL}_n^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ such that $g \in \text{GL}_n^i(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ if and only if $\omega(g) \geq i$ for any $g \in \text{GL}_n^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ and any positive integer i . By our assumption, we have $\max_{1 \leq i \leq d} v_p(q_i - 1) = 1$. Thus, the first claim follows from Theorem 7.4, and the second claim follows from Theorem 7.7. \square

7.2 When $K = \mathbf{Q}$ and $|S| \leq 3$

In this section, we will keep the notation in Convention 7.6. Recall that the pro- p group $G_{\mathbf{Q}, S}(p)$ is a finite cyclic group if $|S| = 1$ by Theorem 2.23. For $|S| = 2$, we have the following:

THEOREM 7.10 *Let p be an odd prime, and $S = \{q_1, q_2\}$ a set of primes of \mathbf{Q} such that $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod p$ for $i = 1, 2$. Assume that $\ell_{12} \neq 0$ or $\ell_{21} \neq 0$. Then the pro- p group $G_{\mathbf{Q}, S}(p)$ is finite.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $\ell_{12} \neq 0$. Let τ_i denote a generator of the inertia group at q_i and σ_i denote a lifting of the Frobenius automorphism at q_i for each i . We write H for $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$. By Theorem 2.23, we know that the pro- p group H is topologically generated by τ_1, τ_2 , and we have

$$\sigma_1 \equiv \tau_2^{\ell_{12}} \pmod{\Phi(H)},$$

where $\Phi(H) = H^p[H, H]$ is the Frattini subgroup of H . Since H is topologically generated by τ_1, τ_2 , we find that the set $\{\overline{\tau_1}, \overline{\tau_2}\}$ is a basis of the two dimensional \mathbf{F}_p -vector space $H/\Phi(H)$ where $\overline{\tau_i}$ is the image of τ_i in $H/\Phi(H)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $\ell_{12} \neq 0$, we know that the set $\{\overline{\tau_1}, \overline{\sigma_1}\}$ is also a basis of the \mathbf{F}_p -vector space $H/\Phi(H)$ where $\overline{\sigma_1}$ is the image of σ_1 in $H/\Phi(H)$. It follows that the set $\{\tau_1, \sigma_1\}$ topologically generates H . But the decomposition group at q_1 generated by τ_1, σ_1 is isomorphic to a quotient of a semi-direct product $\mathbf{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbf{Z}_p$ which is a solvable group by Proposition 2.15. By Theorem 4.1, H must be finite. \square

REMARK 7.11 (i) Assume further that $q_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is isomorphic to the nonabelian group with order p^3 and exponent p^2 , see [Koc02, Example 11.15].
(ii) In the general case, it has been shown that $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ can be infinite when $|S| = 2$ in [HM02, Theorem 5.9].

Next, we consider the case of $|S| = 3$. First, we need an important lemma as follows:

LEMMA 7.12 Let p be an odd prime. Let G be a powerful pro- p group defined by 3 generators and 3 relations such that $G/[G, G] \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^3$. Then either G is finite or G is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$.

Proof. Since the pro- p group G is powerful and $G/[G, G]$ is an elementary abelian p -group, we see that $G^p = [G, G]$. Then our claim follows from [AT74, Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1]. \square

Based on the work of Labute in [Lab14], we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 7.13 Let p be an odd prime, and $S = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ a finite set of primes of \mathbf{Q} such that $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ but $q_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Suppose that the pro- p group $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is powerful. If the group $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is infinite, then it is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. Moreover, in this case, we must have $\ell_{ij} \neq 0$ for all i, j and $\ell_{13}/c_1 = -\ell_{23}/c_2$, $\ell_{21}/c_2 = -\ell_{31}/c_3$, $\ell_{12}/c_1 = -\ell_{32}/c_3$ where $c_i := (q_i - 1)/p$ for each i .

Proof. By Theorem 2.23, we see that the pro- p group $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is defined by 3 generators and 3 relations, and the abelianization $G/[G, G]$ of G is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^3$ since $q_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ for all i . By Lemma 7.12, we see that either $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is finite or $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. Thus, if $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is infinite, then it is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. Our second claim follows from [Lab14, Theorem 1.5 and 1.7]. The theorem is proved. \square

Labute gave the following example in [Lab14]: if $p = 3$, $S = \{7, 31, 229\}$ or $p = 5$, $S = \{11, 31, 1021\}$, then the condition of Theorem 7.13 is satisfied, and it is not known whether $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is infinite or not.

7.3 Conjecture 1.2 in the two-dimensional case

7.3.1 Statements In this subsection, we will keep the notation in Convention 7.6. Our second main result of this paper is the following theorems.

THEOREM 7.14 *Let p be an odd prime. Let K be a number field, S a finite set of primes of K such that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$, and $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ a continuous homomorphism. Then either ρ has finite image or there exists an integer $i \geq 1$ such that $\mathrm{SL}_2^i(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is an open subgroup of $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$.*

In other words, if Conjecture 1.2 fails, then the corresponding representation will have large image. Furthermore, inspired by the work of [Lab14], we prove:

THEOREM 7.15 *Let p be an odd prime, and $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_d\}$ a finite set of primes of \mathbf{Q} not containing p such that $q_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Let $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q},S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ be a continuous homomorphism. Suppose that the image $\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\rho})$ of the reduction $\bar{\rho}$ of ρ is trivial. Then either ρ is trivial or $\mathrm{Im}(\rho) = \mathrm{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. Assume further that $\ell_{ij} = 0$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ with $i \neq j$. Then ρ is trivial.*

The following theorem shows that Conjecture 5.3 (or Conjecture 1.2) cannot be simply reduced to a local problem.

THEOREM 7.16 *Let p be an odd prime and let q be a prime such that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Let G be the pro- p group topologically generated by two generators σ, τ subject to the only relation $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \tau^q$. Then there exists a continuous homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ such that $\rho(\tau)$ has infinite order.*

When $\mathrm{Im}(\rho) \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$, we prove the following:

THEOREM 7.17 *Let $p > 3$ be a prime. Let $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_d\}$ be a finite set of primes of \mathbf{Q} not containing p and $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q},S} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ a continuous homomorphism. Suppose that q_i does not divide $p^2 - 1$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Then we have the following:*

- (i) *Either $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is finite or $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ is an open subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$.*
- (ii) *ρ is tamely ramified at each q_i . In particular, $\rho(I_{q_i})$ is a cyclic group.*
- (iii) *Let $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Assume that $x^2 \not\equiv q_i \pmod{p}$ for any $x \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then the group $\rho(I_{q_i})$ is finite where I_{q_i} is the inertia group at q_i .*
- (iv) *Suppose that the group $\rho(I_{q_i})$ is finite for some i . Then the order of the cyclic group $\rho(I_{q_i})$ divides $p - 1$ or $p + 1$.*
- (v) *Suppose that the group $\rho(I_{q_i})$ is finite for some i . Then the order of the cyclic group $\rho(I_{q_i})$ divides $q_i - 1$ or $q_i + 1$.*
- (vi) *Suppose that the group $\rho(I_{q_i})$ is finite for any $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Assume that at least one of the following conditions holds. Let \gcd denote the greatest common divisor.*
 - (a) *One has $\gcd(p - 1, q_i - 1) = 2$, and the order of the cyclic group $\rho(I_{q_i})$ divides $p - 1$ and $q_i - 1$ for all i .*
 - (b) *One has $\gcd(p - 1, q_i + 1) = 2$, and the order of the cyclic group $\rho(I_{q_i})$ divides $p - 1$ and $q_i + 1$ for all i .*
 - (c) *One has $\gcd(p + 1, q_i - 1) = 2$, and the order of the cyclic group $\rho(I_{q_i})$ divides $p + 1$ and $q_i - 1$ for all i .*
 - (d) *One has $\gcd(p + 1, q_i + 1) = 2$, and the order of the cyclic group $\rho(I_{q_i})$ divides $p + 1$ and $q_i + 1$ for all i .*

Then the order $|\mathrm{Im}(\rho)|$ of the group $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ satisfies $|\mathrm{Im}(\rho)| \leq 2$.

7.3.2 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 7.14. Suppose that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is infinite. Then we consider the following extension of profinite groups

$$1 \rightarrow \rho(\ker(\bar{\rho})) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\rho) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\bar{\rho}) \rightarrow 1.$$

By Theorem 4.1, we see that ρ has finite determinant. Since $p > 2$, we know that $\mu(\mathbf{Z}_p) = \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ where $\mu(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is the multiplicative group consisting of the roots of unity in \mathbf{Z}_p . Since the group $\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho}))$ is pro- p , we see that any matrix in $\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho})) \subset \text{GL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ has determinant of order p^m for some positive integer m and hence has determinant 1. That is, we have

$$\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho})) \subset \text{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p). \quad (9)$$

By [DdSMS99, Theorem 5.2], we see that the dimension of $\text{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is 3 as a p -adic analytic group. By [DdSMS99, Exercise 4, Chapter 8] and (9), we have

$$\dim(\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho}))) \leq 3.$$

Since $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is infinite and $\text{Im}(\bar{\rho})$ is finite, we see that $\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho}))$ is infinite. This implies that $\dim(\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho}))) = 3$ by Corollary 4.5. By Lemma 2.5, we know that $\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho}))$ is an open subgroup of $\text{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. By [DdSMS99, Exercise 10, Chapter 1], we obtain that $\rho(\ker(\bar{\rho}))$ contains $\text{SL}_2^i(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ for some $i \geq 1$. It is clear that $\text{SL}_2^i(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is an open subgroup of $\text{Im}(\rho)$. This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

To prove Theorem 7.15, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 7.18 [APRT22, Lemma 3.13] *Let $M_2^0(\mathbf{F}_p)$ denote the three-dimensional \mathbf{F}_p -linear space of 2×2 matrices with trace zero over \mathbf{F}_p . Then the Frattini subgroup of $\text{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is $\text{SL}_2^2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$, and the group homomorphism from the group $\text{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)/\text{SL}_2^2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ to $M_2^0(\mathbf{F}_p)$ given by*

$$1 + pA \mapsto \bar{A},$$

is an isomorphism where \bar{A} is the image of $A \in M_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ in $M_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

Proof of Theorem 7.15. First of all, we prove our first assertion as follows. By our assumption, we have $\text{Im}(\rho) \subset \text{GL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. Since $\text{GL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is a pro- p group, the group $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is also pro- p , and hence ρ factors through the maximal pro- p quotient $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ of $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}$. By Lemma 2.18, we can assume that $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all i . Set $c_i := (q_i - 1)/p$ for each i . By Theorem 2.23, we see that the pro- p group $G := G_{\mathbf{Q},S}(p)$ is defined by d generators τ_1, \dots, τ_d and d relations

$$r_i = \tau_i^{pc_i} [\tau_i^{-1}, \sigma_i^{-1}],$$

$$\sigma_i \equiv \prod_{j \neq i} \tau_j^{\ell_{ij}}, \pmod{G_2},$$

where G_i is the lower p -central series of G , cf. [DdSMS99, Def. 1.15]. It follows that

$$r_i \equiv \tau_i^{pc_i} \prod_{j \neq i} [\tau_i, \tau_j]^{\ell_{ij}} \pmod{G_3}. \quad (10)$$

Since the abelianization G^{ab} of G is finite and $p > 2$, we see that $\text{Im}(\rho) \subseteq \text{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. Since the group $\text{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is torsion-free, we know that the group $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is torsion-free. Thus, if the group $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is finite, then it must be trivial.

Suppose now that $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is infinite. In Example 2.9, we have shown that $\text{GL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is a p -valued group where the valuation ω on $\text{GL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is defined by

$$\omega(A) = w(A - I_2),$$

where $w(A) = \min_{i,j} v_p(a_{ij})$ for any $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{GL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. Moreover, we have $\text{GL}_2^i(\mathbf{Z}_p) = \{A \in \text{GL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p) \mid \omega(A) \geq i\}$ for any positive integer i . Using the properties of the valuation ω on $\text{GL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$, we see that the image of any element of G_3 under ρ is contained in $\text{GL}_2^3(\mathbf{Z}_p) = 1 + p^3\mathbf{Z}_p$. Let $\rho(\tau_i) = 1 + pA_i$ for every i . By (10), we have

$$1 = \rho(r_i) \equiv 1 + p^2 \left(c_i A_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \ell_{ij} [A_i, A_j] \right), \text{ mod } p^3.$$

where $[A_i, A_j] := A_i A_j - A_j A_i$. Let $\overline{A_i}$ denote the image of A_i in $M_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$. Then we have

$$c_i \overline{A_i} + \sum_{j \neq i} \ell_{ij} [\overline{A_i}, \overline{A_j}] = 0. \quad (11)$$

We claim that the set $\{\overline{A_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ generates $M_2^0(\mathbf{F}_p)$. If not, then the rank of the set $\{\overline{A_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ in the linear space $M_2^0(\mathbf{F}_p)$ is less than 3, i.e. there exist j, k such that each $\overline{A_i}$ is a linear combination $\overline{A_j}, \overline{A_k}$. By (11), we see that $\overline{A_j}$ and $\overline{A_k}$ are both multiples of $[\overline{A_j}, \overline{A_k}]$. This implies that either $\overline{A_k} = 0$ or $\overline{A_k}$ is a multiple of $\overline{A_j}$. In either case, we always have $\overline{A_j} = \overline{A_k} = 0$, and hence all $\overline{A_i}$ are zero. Since the group $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is topologically generated by $1 + pA_1, \dots, 1 + pA_d$, we see that $\text{Im}(\rho) \subset \text{GL}_2^2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. But by Corollary 7.9, we must have $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is trivial which is a contradiction. We conclude that the set $\{\overline{A_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ generates $M_2^0(\mathbf{F}_p)$. By Lemma 7.18, we see that $\text{Im}(\rho) = \text{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. The first assertion of our theorem is proved.

Finally, the second assertion follows from Corollary 7.9. This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

To prove Theorem 7.16, we need the following simple fact.

LEMMA 7.19 *Suppose that a pro- p group G has a presentation $F(x_1, \dots, x_d)/R$ where $F(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is the free pro- p group on x_1, \dots, x_d and $R = (r_1, \dots, r_s)$. Let H be another pro- p group and $f : \{x_1, \dots, x_d\} \rightarrow H$ a function. In an obvious way, f extends to a function $F(x_1, \dots, x_d) \rightarrow H$. That is, if $g = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r}$, then we put $f(g) = f(x_{i_1}) \cdots f(x_{i_r})$. Suppose that $f(r_i) = 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Then the function f extends to a continuous homomorphism $G \rightarrow H$.*

Proof. By the universal property of free pro- p group (cf. [Koc02, Theorem 4.6]), the function $f : F(x_1, \dots, x_d) \rightarrow H$ is a continuous homomorphism. Let $K := \ker(f)$. Since $f(r_i) = 1$ for all i , we have $\{r_1, \dots, r_s\} \subset K$. By definition of R , we find that R is a closed subgroup of K . It follows that the homomorphism f factors through $F(x_1, \dots, x_d)/R = G$. In this way, we get a continuous homomorphism $G \rightarrow H$ that extends f . \square

Proof of Theorem 7.16. By our assumption, the pro- p group G has a presentation $F(x, y)/(r_1)$ where $F(x, y)$ is the free pro- p group on x, y and $r_1 = x^{q-1}[x^{-1}, y^{-1}]$. Since $q \equiv 1 \pmod p$ and $p > 2$, there is an element $\sqrt{q} \in 1 + p\mathbf{Z}_p$ such that $(\sqrt{q})^2 = q$ by Hensel's lemma. Then we consider the function $\rho : \{x, y\} \rightarrow \text{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ as follows:

$$\rho(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{q} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{q}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

A simple calculation shows that $f(r_1) = 1$. Note that $\rho(x)$ has infinite order. Then our claim follows from Lemma 7.19. \square

To prove Theorem 7.17, we need the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 7.20 [Gor80, Section 8, Chapter 2] *The order of the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ is $p(p^2 - 1)$. Moreover, if x is an element of the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ such that its order is relatively prime to p , then the order of x divides $p - 1$ or $p + 1$.*

Proof of Theorem 7.17. The claim (i) follows from Theorem 7.14. For the claim (ii), note that the composition $\rho(P_{q_i}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ of morphisms is injective since the wild inertia subgroup P_{q_i} at q_i is a pro- q_i group and the kernel $\mathrm{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ of the reduction map $\eta : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ is a pro- p group. Since the order of the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ is $p(p^2 - 1)$ and q_i does not divide $p^2 - 1$, we see that $\rho(P_{q_i})$ is trivial. That is, ρ is tamely ramified at each q_i . The claim (ii) is proved.

For the claim (iii), note that ρ will factor through $G_{\mathbf{Q}, S}^{\mathrm{tame}}$ by the claim (ii). By abuse of notation, we still write $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q}, S}^{\mathrm{tame}} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ for the corresponding representation. Let τ_i be a generator of the inertia subgroup at q_i of the Galois group $G_{\mathbf{Q}, S}^{\mathrm{tame}}$, and let σ_i be a lifting of the Frobenius automorphism at q_i . By Proposition 2.15, we have the relation

$$\sigma_i \tau_i \sigma_i^{-1} = \tau_i^{q_i}. \quad (12)$$

If $\rho(\tau_i)$ has distinct eigenvalues, then $\rho(\tau)$ is diagonalizable over the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ of \mathbf{Q}_p . By Proposition 2.15, we know that $\rho(\tau_i)$ has finite order. Thus, we can assume that $\rho(\tau_i)$ has the repeated eigenvalues λ which is equal to 1 or -1 . After conjugation we can assume that $\rho(\tau_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \beta \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ where $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}_p$. If $\beta = 0$, then $\rho(\tau_i)^2 = 1$, and we are done. Otherwise, we assume that $\beta \neq 0$. We write $\rho(\sigma_i) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. Using the relation $\rho(\sigma_i)\rho(\tau_i)\rho(\sigma_i)^{-1} = \rho(\tau_i)^{q_i}$, we see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \beta \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{q_i} & q_i \beta \lambda^{q_i-1} \\ 0 & \lambda^{q_i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda - ac\beta & a^2\beta \\ -c^2\beta & \lambda + ac\beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{q_i} & q_i \beta \lambda^{q_i-1} \\ 0 & \lambda^{q_i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\beta \neq 0$, we know that

$$c = 0, \lambda^{q_i-1} = 1, a = q_i d.$$

Since $\rho(\sigma_i) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$, we have $ad = a \cdot (a/q_i) = a^2/q_i = 1$. That is, we have $a^2 = q_i$ in \mathbf{Z}_p . But this contradicts our assumption. We conclude that $\rho(\tau_i)$ has finite order. The claim (iii) is proved.

For the claim (iv), note that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is p -torsion-free. It follows that the order of each $\rho(I_{q_i})$ is prime to p . Since $\mathrm{SL}_2^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is a pro- p group, we see that η induces an isomorphism $\rho(I_{q_i}) \simeq \bar{\rho}(I_{q_i})$. By Lemma 7.20, we obtain that the order of $\bar{\rho}(I_{q_i})$ divides $p - 1$ or $p + 1$, and so does $\rho(I_{q_i})$. The claim (iv) is proved.

For the claim (v), after taking a finite integral extension \mathcal{O} of \mathbf{Z}_p and a change of basis, we can assume that

$$\rho(I_{q_i}) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \omega \in \mathcal{O},$$

where ω satisfies $\omega^{p-1} = 1$ or $\omega^{p+1} = 1$. Let σ_i be a lifting of the Frobenius automorphism at q_i , and put $\rho(\sigma_i) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. By (12), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{q_i}.$$

That is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} ad\omega - bc\omega^{-1} & ab(-\omega + \omega^{-1}) \\ cd(\omega - \omega^{-1}) & ad\omega^{-1} - bc\omega \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{q_i} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-q_i} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

It follows that $(ab - cd)(-\omega + \omega^{-1}) = 0$. We divide the discussion into four cases as follows.

Case 1 : If $ab - cd \neq 0$, then $\omega^2 = 1$, and hence the order of $\rho(I_{q_i})$ divides 2.

Case 2 : If $ab = cd$ and $abcd \neq 0$, then by (13) we have

$$(ad\omega - bc\omega^{-1})(ad\omega^{-1} - bc\omega) = \omega^{q_i}\omega^{-q_i} = 1 = (ad - bc)^2.$$

A simple calculation shows that $abcd(\omega^2 + \omega^{-2}) = abcd \cdot 2$. Since $abcd \neq 0$, we have $\omega^2 = 1$, and hence the order of $\rho(I_{q_i})$ divides 2.

Case 3 : If $ab = cd$ and $a = d = 0$, then (13) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{q_i} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-q_i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is, we have $\omega^{q_i+1} = 1$, and hence the order of $\rho(I_{q_i})$ divides $q_i + 1$.

Case 4 : If $ab = cd$ and $b = c = 0$, then (13) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{q_i} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-q_i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is, we have $\omega^{q_i-1} = 1$, and hence the order of $\rho(I_{q_i})$ divides $q_i - 1$.

Since q_i does not divide $p^2 - 1$, we see that $q_i > 4$, and hence both $q_i + 1$ and $q_i - 1$ are even. The claim (v) is proved.

For the claim (vi), note that $-I_2$ is the unique element of order 2 in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ because $p > 2$ where I_2 is the identity matrix of size 2. By our assumption, we have $\rho(I_{q_i}) \subset \{\pm I_2\} \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ for all i . Since the group $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}$ is topologically generated by all I_{q_i} , we see that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho) \subset \{\pm I_2\}$. Therefore, the order $|\mathrm{Im}(\rho)|$ of $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ satisfies $|\mathrm{Im}(\rho)| \leq 2$. The theorem is proved. \square

8. Universal deformation rings

8.1 Preliminaries on deformations of representations of profinite groups

In this subsection, we recall some basic theory of deformations of representations of profinite groups. Our main references are [Bĭ3], [Gou01] and [Maz89].

NOTATION 8.1 *For the rest of this section,*

- \mathbf{F} a finite field of characteristic p .
- $W(\mathbf{F})$ the ring of Witt vectors of \mathbf{F} .
- G a profinite group.
- $V_{\mathbf{F}}$ a finite $\mathbf{F}[G]$ -module on which G acts continuously; set $d = \dim_{\mathbf{F}} V_{\mathbf{F}}$.

- $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{W(\mathbf{F})}$: the category whose objects are complete Noetherian local $W(\mathbf{F})$ -algebras, with a fixed isomorphism of the residue field to \mathbf{F} , and whose morphisms are local $W(\mathbf{F})$ -algebra homomorphisms.
- If $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{W(\mathbf{F})}$, then we will denote by \mathfrak{m}_A the maximal ideal of A .
- $\mathcal{C}_{W(\mathbf{F})}$: the full subcategory of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{W(\mathbf{F})}$ of finite local Artin $W(\mathbf{F})$ -algebras.
- $ad = \text{End}_{\mathbf{F}}(V_{\mathbf{F}}) \cong V_{\mathbf{F}} \otimes_{\mathbf{F}} V_{\mathbf{F}}^*$ is the adjoint representation of $V_{\mathbf{F}}$ where V^* is the dual of V ; it is again a G -module.

DEFINITION 8.2 [Bĭ3, Section 1.1] Let $A \in \mathcal{C}_{W(\mathbf{F})}$. A deformation of $V_{\mathbf{F}}$ to A is a pair (V_A, ι_A) such that

- (i) V_A is an $A[G]$ -module which is finite free over A and on which G acts continuously;
- (ii) ι_A is a G -equivariant isomorphism $\iota_A : V_A \otimes_A \mathbf{F} \cong V_{\mathbf{F}}$.

Let (Sets) be the category of sets. One defines functor $D_{V_{\mathbf{F}}} : \mathcal{C}_{W(\mathbf{F})} \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$ by setting, for $A \in \mathcal{C}_{W(\mathbf{F})}$,

$$D_{V_{\mathbf{F}}}(A) := \{\text{isomorphism classes of deformations of } V_{\mathbf{F}} \text{ to } A\},$$

and with the obvious extension to morphism.

We say that a profinite group G satisfies the *finiteness condition* Φ_p , if for all open subgroup $G' \subset G$, the \mathbf{F}_p -vector space $\text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G', \mathbf{F}_p)$ of continuous group homomorphisms is finite-dimensional. For example, the Galois group $G_{K,S}$ satisfies Φ_p for any number field K and any finite set of primes of K .

PROPOSITION 8.3 [Bĭ3, Proposition 1.3.1 and Theorem 2.4.1] Assume that G satisfies condition Φ_p and $V_{\mathbf{F}}$ is absolutely irreducible. Then $D_{V_{\mathbf{F}}}$ is prorepresentable by some $R_{V_{\mathbf{F}}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{W(\mathbf{F})}$. Moreover, the ring $R_{V_{\mathbf{F}}}$ is topologically generated over $W(\mathbf{F})$ by the values $\text{Tr}(\rho^{\text{univ}}(g))$ as g runs over any dense subset of G where $\rho^{\text{univ}} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(R_{V_{\mathbf{F}}})$ is the universal deformation and $\text{Tr}(\rho^{\text{univ}}(g))$ denotes the trace of $\rho^{\text{univ}}(g)$.

We will say that $R_{V_{\mathbf{F}}}$ is the *universal deformation ring* of $V_{\mathbf{F}}$.

PROPOSITION 8.4 [Bĭ3, Proposition 1.5.1][Gou01, Theorem 4.2] Suppose that G satisfies condition Φ_p and $V_{\mathbf{F}}$ is absolutely irreducible. Then the universal deformation ring $R_{V_{\mathbf{F}}}$ of $V_{\mathbf{F}}$ has a presentation

$$W(\mathbf{F})[[X_1, \dots, X_{h^1(G, ad)}]] / (f_1, \dots, f_{h^2(G, ad)})$$

where $h^i(\dots) := \dim_{\mathbf{F}} H^i(\dots)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, one has

$$\text{Krulldim}(R_{V_{\mathbf{F}}}/(p)) \geq h^1(G, ad) - h^2(G, ad), \quad (14)$$

where $\text{Krulldim}(R_{V_{\mathbf{F}}}/(p))$ denotes the Krull dimension of the ring $R_{V_{\mathbf{F}}}/(p)$.

DEFINITION 8.5 We define

$$\delta(ad(V_{\mathbf{F}})) := h^2(G, ad) - h^1(G, ad).$$

In [Gou01, Lecture 4], Gouvea conjectured that:

CONJECTURE 8.6 (Dimension Conjecture) In Proposition 8.4, we always have equality in (14). That is, we have

$$-\delta(ad(V_{\mathbf{F}})) = \text{Krulldim}(R_{V_{\mathbf{F}}}/(p)).$$

- REMARK 8.7** (i) *It is known that the conjecture fails for finite groups and $G = G_{K,S}$ for real quadratic fields K and $S = \emptyset$, see [BC07, Theorem 1.1].*
- (ii) *If $G = G_{K,S}$ for a number field K and a finite set of primes S with $S \supset S_p \cup S_\infty$, then the conjecture is still open.*

8.2 Finiteness of unramified deformation rings

8.2.1 *Statements* In this subsection, we prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 8.8 *Suppose that Conjecture 5.4 holds. Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K such that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. If $\bar{\rho} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ is a continuous absolutely irreducible representation, then the universal deformation ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is finite over $W(\mathbf{F})$.*

THEOREM 8.9 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K such that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Let $\bar{\rho} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ be a continuous absolutely irreducible representation. Suppose that the Galois representation associated to any $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -points of the universal deformation ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ of $\bar{\rho}$ has finite image. Then the ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}[1/p] = \prod_x E_x$ is the finite direct product of fields E_x where E_x is a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p indexed by $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -points of $R_{\bar{\rho}}$. In particular, there are only finitely many $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -points of $R_{\bar{\rho}}$, i.e. the set $\mathrm{Hom}_{W(\mathbf{F})}(R_{\bar{\rho}}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ of continuous $W(\mathbf{F})$ -algebra homomorphisms is finite. Moreover, assume further that $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is p -torsion-free, then $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is finite over $W(\mathbf{F})$, and the universal deformation $\rho^{\mathrm{univ}} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(R_{\bar{\rho}})$ has finite image.*

- REMARK 8.10** (i) *Conjecture 1.2 predicts that the Galois representation associated to any $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -points of the universal deformation ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ of $\bar{\rho}$ always has finite image, and Conjecture 1.5 predicts that the universal deformation has finite image.*
- (ii) *Without the assumption that $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is p -torsion-free, our result above cannot give any information about the ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ being finite over $W(\mathbf{F})$, as can be seen from the example $W(\mathbf{F})[[X]]/(pX)$, which satisfies our conclusion but fails to be finite over $W(\mathbf{F})$.*

We also consider the generic fiber of the unramified deformation ring associated to a tame Galois representation. In this direction, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 8.11 *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K such that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Suppose that $\bar{\rho} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ is a continuous absolutely irreducible representation which is tame, i.e. the order of $\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\rho})$ is prime to p . Assume that the generic fiber $R_{\bar{\rho}}[1/p]$ of the universal deformation ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ has no nontrivial idempotents. Then $R_{\bar{\rho}}[1/p] = W(\mathbf{F})[1/p]$. In particular, $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ has a unique $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -point, i.e. the trivial lift ρ_0 of $\bar{\rho}$ and hence the Galois representation associated to it has finite image, i.e. Conjecture 1.2 holds in this case.*

8.2.2 Proof of Theorem 8.8

LEMMA 8.12 *We keep the notation as in Convention 8.1. Let $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{W(\mathbf{F})}$. Suppose that the ring $A/(p)$ is finite. Then A is a finite $W(\mathbf{F})$ -algebra.*

Proof. Note that A is separated for the p -adic topology. Then our claim follows from [Mat86, Theorem 8.4]. \square

Proof of Theorem 8.8. By Proposition 8.3, we see that the ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is topologically generated over $W(\mathbf{F})$ by the traces $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho^{\mathrm{univ}}(g))$, $g \in G_{K,S}$, where $\rho^{\mathrm{univ}} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(R_{\bar{\rho}})$ is the universal

deformation. It follows that the ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}/(p)$ is generated over \mathbf{F} by the traces $\text{Tr}(\widetilde{\rho^{\text{univ}}}(g))$, $g \in G_{K,S}$, where

$$\widetilde{\rho^{\text{univ}}} : G_{K,S} \xrightarrow{\rho^{\text{univ}}} \text{GL}_n(R_{\bar{\rho}}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{GL}_n(R_{\bar{\rho}}/(p))$$

is the composition of ρ^{univ} and the surjective homomorphism $\pi : \text{GL}_n(R_{\bar{\rho}}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R_{\bar{\rho}}/(p))$ induced by the natural surjective ring homomorphism $R_{\bar{\rho}} \twoheadrightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}}/(p)$. Note that the ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}/(p)$ is the universal deformation ring for characteristic p deformation of $R_{\bar{\rho}}$. Assuming Conjecture 5.4, we know that $\widetilde{\rho^{\text{univ}}}$ has finite image by Theorem 5.1. This implies that $R_{\bar{\rho}}/(p)$ is finite, and hence $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is finite over $W(\mathbf{F})$ by Lemma 8.12. This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

REMARK 8.13 *Allen and Calegari proved the finiteness of unramified deformation rings in many cases without assuming Conjecture 5.4. See [AC14, Theorem 1].*

8.2.3 Proof of Theorem 8.9 and Theorem 8.11 Let G be a profinite group satisfying the condition Φ_p and let $\bar{\rho} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ be a continuous absolutely irreducible representation. Let $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ denote the universal deformation ring of $\bar{\rho}$ and $\rho^{\text{univ}} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R_{\bar{\rho}})$ denote the universal deformation. In the following, for simplicity, we will write R for $R_{\bar{\rho}}$, and \mathcal{O} for $W(\mathbf{F})$.

LEMMA 8.14 [Gro67, 10.5.7][DJ95, p. 78-79] *If R is a complete noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebra with finite residue field \mathbf{F} , then $R[1/p]$ is a Jacobson ring, and for all maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $R[1/p]$, the quotient $R[1/p]/\mathfrak{m}$ is a finite extension of the fraction field $E := \mathcal{O}[1/p]$ of \mathcal{O} .*

Fix a E -algebra map $x : R[1/p] \rightarrow R[1/p]/\mathfrak{m}_x = E_x$ for a finite extension E_x/E of fields where \mathfrak{m}_x is a maximal ideal of $R[1/p]$. Let

$$\rho_x : G \xrightarrow{\rho^{\text{univ}}} \text{GL}_n(R) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R[1/p]) \twoheadrightarrow \text{GL}_n(E_x)$$

be the specialized representation.

THEOREM 8.15 [Kis03, Section 9] *Let $R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^\wedge$ denote completion of the ring $R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x}$ at the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_x . Let $\rho_x^{\text{univ}} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^\wedge)$ be induced from ρ^{univ} by the natural map $R \rightarrow R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^\wedge$. Then we have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\rho_x^{\text{univ}}} & \text{GL}_n(R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^\wedge) \\ & \searrow \rho_x & \downarrow \\ & & \text{GL}_n(E_x) \end{array}$$

and ρ_x^{univ} is the universal continuous deformation of ρ_x .

Moreover, $R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^\wedge$ is the quotient of a power series over E_x in $H^1(G, \rho_x \otimes_{E_x} \rho_x^*)$ variables, by an ideal generated by at most $H^2(G, \rho_x \otimes_{E_x} \rho_x^*)$ elements where ρ_x^* is the dual of ρ_x .

Below, we will apply this to our case where $G = G_{K,S}$ is the Galois group of the maximal extension of K unramified outside S , and S a finite set of primes of K not containing any prime above p .

Proof of Theorem 8.9. First of all, we may assume that the ring $R[1/p]$ is non-zero, since otherwise the statement is trivial. For any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_x of $R[1/p]$, the continuous representation

$\rho_x : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(E_x)$ will factor through a finite quotient G_x by our assumption. If H_x denotes the kernel of $G \rightarrow G_x$, then we have

$$H^1(G, \rho_x \otimes_{E_x} \rho_x^*) \simeq H^1(H_x, \rho_x \otimes_{E_x} \rho_x^*)^{G_x} = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $H^1(H_x, \mathbf{Q}_p) = \mathrm{Hom}(H_x^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbf{Z}_p) = 0$, since the Galois group $G_{K,S}$ is FAb by Theorem 2.23. In other words, we have $R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^\wedge = E_x$ for each \mathfrak{m}_x . In particular, we see that $R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x} \subset E_x$ with Krull dimension

$$\mathrm{Krulldim}(R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x}) = \mathrm{Krulldim}(R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^\wedge) = \mathrm{Krulldim}(E_x) = 0.$$

Thus, every $R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x}$ is actually a field. It follows that each maximal ideal of $R[1/p]$ is also a minimal prime ideal, and hence $R[1/p]$ has only finitely many maximal ideals because the ring $R[1/p]$ is noetherian. Since $R[1/p]$ is Jacobson, the minimal prime ideals of $R[1/p]$ are exactly the maximal ideals of $R[1/p]$. Moreover, we have

$$E_x = R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x} \simeq R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x}.$$

It follows that $R[1/p]$ is reduced, and we have a natural isomorphism of rings

$$R[1/p] \simeq \prod_x R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_x} = \prod_x E_x,$$

where x runs over all maximal ideals of $R[1/p]$.

Finally, if R is p -torsion-free, then R is flat over \mathcal{O} . Since the set $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(R, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ is finite, we see that R is finite over \mathcal{O} . By [AC14, Prop. 10], we see that the universal deformation $\rho^{\mathrm{univ}} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ has finite image. This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

To prove Theorem 8.11, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 8.16 *Let A be a Noetherian commutative ring, and \mathfrak{m} a maximal ideal of A . Suppose that A has no nontrivial idempotents and $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a field. Then \mathfrak{m} is the zero ideal.*

Proof. Since $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a field, we have $\mathfrak{m}A_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$. Since A is Noetherian, we can write $\mathfrak{m} = \mathrm{Ann}(t)$ for some $t \in A - \mathfrak{m}$ where $\mathrm{Ann}(t)$ denotes the annihilator of t . Then the principal ideal At of A is a simple A -module. Since $t \in A - \mathrm{Ann}(t)$, we have $t^2 \neq 0$, and $At^2 = At$. It follows that $t = at^2$ for some $a \in A$. Put $e = at$. Then $e^2 = e$. By our assumption, $e = at = 1$ implies that t is a unit of A , and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathrm{Ann}(t) = 0$ is the zero ideal. \square

Proof of Theorem 8.11. Since the order of $\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\rho})$ is prime to p , we have the trivial lift ρ_0 of $\bar{\rho}$ to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ by Schur-Zassenhaus theorem [RZ10, Prop. 2.3.3], i.e. the one with $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_0) \simeq \mathrm{Im}(\bar{\rho})$. Then we have a E -algebra map corresponding to ρ_0

$$x_0 : R[1/p] \rightarrow R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}} = E.$$

The same argument in the proof of Theorem 8.9 shows that $R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}}^\wedge = E$. It follows that $R[1/p]_{\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}}$ is a field. By our assumption and Lemma 8.16, we obtain that $R[1/p] = E$. We are done. \square

8.3 Deformations of mod p representations of $G_{K,S}$ with full images

In this subsection, we study deformations of mod p representations $\bar{\rho}$ of the global Galois group $G_{K,S}$ with big image where $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$.

8.3.1 Statements Let G be a profinite group and \mathbf{F} a finite field of characteristic p . If $n \geq 2$, then we say that a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ of G is *full* if its image contains $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F})$.

Recall that if $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ is a p -adic representation of a profinite group G , then there is a finite extension L/\mathbf{Q}_p such that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ and there exists a $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ such that $g^{-1}\rho g$ has image in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L)$. (However, the choice of g is not unique.) Then we can define the *reduction* of ρ to be

$$\bar{\rho} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{m}_L).$$

Note that this definition depends on the choice of g and it is only well-defined up to semi-simplification. The main result in this section is the following theorem.

THEOREM 8.17 *Let $n \geq 2$ and $p \geq 5$. Suppose $p > 5$ for $n = 2$. Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K such that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Then we have the following.*

- (i) *Assume that Conjecture 1.2 holds. There is no p -adic representation of $G_{K,S}$ such that its reduction is full.*
- (ii) *Assume that both Conjecture 1.2 and Conjecture 5.4 hold. If $\bar{\rho} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ is a full representation of $G_{K,S}$, then the universal deformation ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ of $\bar{\rho}$ is a finite ring.*
- (iii) *Assume that both Conjecture 1.2 and Conjecture 5.4 hold. If $\bar{\rho} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ is a full representation of $G_{K,S}$, then we have $\delta(\mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho})) > 0$ where $\delta(-)$ is defined in Definition 8.5.*

REMARK 8.18 (i) *In 2 and 3, we can drop the assumption that Conjecture 5.4 holds if $p > 2n^2 - 1$, and K is a totally real number field by [AC14, Theorem 1 and Corollary 2].*

- (ii) *Note that conditions S is finite and $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$ are indispensable, otherwise there are counterexamples. Indeed, it is proved that there exists a surjective map $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_7)$ unramified at 7 and ramified at infinitely many primes other than 7 in [KLR05, Corollary 13]. Also, there exists a surjective map $G_{\mathbf{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_7)$ which is ramified at 7 and finitely ramified but its reduction $\bar{\rho}$ is unramified at 7, see [Ram99, Section 8].*

COROLLARY 8.19 *Assume that both Conjecture 1.2 and Conjecture 5.4 hold. If $p \geq 7$ is a prime, K is a number field, S is a finite set of primes of K such that $S \cap S_p = \emptyset$ and $\bar{\rho} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ is a full representation, then Conjecture 8.6 fails for $\bar{\rho}$.*

REMARK 8.20 *As in Remark 8.18, if $p > 2n^2 - 1$, and K is a totally real number field, then we can drop the assumption that Conjecture 5.4 holds.*

8.3.2 Proofs To prove Theorem 8.17, we need the following facts.

LEMMA 8.21 [Kha03, Lemma 2, Appendix] *Suppose a commutative ring R has a presentation $W(\mathbf{F})[[X_1, \dots, X_r]]/(f_1, \dots, f_s)$. If $R/(p)$ is finite and if $r \geq s$, then $r = s$, and R is a complete intersection and finite flat over $W(\mathbf{F})$.*

We keep the notation as in Convention 8.1. Let $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{W(\mathbf{F})}$, and we denote by $W(\mathbf{F})_A$ the image of the natural local homomorphism $W(\mathbf{F}) \rightarrow A$.

THEOREM 8.22 [Man15, Main theorem] *Let $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{W(\mathbf{F})}$. Let G be a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$. Assume that*

- *The cardinality of \mathbf{F} is least 4. Furthermore, assume that $\mathbf{F} \neq \mathbf{F}_5$ if $n = 2$ and that $\mathbf{F} \neq \mathbf{F}_4$ if $n = 3$.*

- The residual image of G in $\mathrm{GL}_n(A/\mathfrak{m}_A)$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F})$.

Then G contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_n(W(\mathbf{F})_A)$.

Proof of Theorem 8.17. We prove our theorem as follows:

- (i) Let $\rho : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L)$ be a p -adic representation where L/\mathbf{Q}_p is a finite extension. Suppose that the reduction $\bar{\rho}$ of ρ is full. Then by Theorem 8.22 we see that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_n(W(\mathbf{F}))$. In particular, ρ has infinite image, which contradicts Conjecture 1.2. Thus, such ρ does not exist.
- (ii) Assume that both Conjecture 1.2 and Conjecture 5.4 hold. By Theorem 5.6, we see that Conjecture 1.5 holds.
Let $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ be the universal deformation ring of $\bar{\rho}$ and $\rho^{\mathrm{univ}} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(R_{\bar{\rho}})$ the corresponding universal deformation. Suppose that $\mathrm{char}(R_{\bar{\rho}}) = 0$. Then by Theorem 8.22 we see that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho^{\mathrm{univ}})$ contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_n(W(\mathbf{F})_{R_{\bar{\rho}}})$. Since $\mathrm{char}(R_{\bar{\rho}}) = 0$, we know that the ring $W(\mathbf{F})_{R_{\bar{\rho}}}$ is infinite, and hence ρ has infinite image. But this contradicts Conjecture 1.5. Therefore, we obtain that $\mathrm{char}(R_{\bar{\rho}}) > 0$. It follows that $p^r R_{\bar{\rho}} = 0$ for some positive integer r , and the ring $W(\mathbf{F})_{R_{\bar{\rho}}}$ is finite. By Theorem 8.8, $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is finite over $W(\mathbf{F})$ and hence $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is a finite ring.
- (iii) Let $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ be the universal deformation ring of $\bar{\rho}$. Suppose that $\delta(\mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho})) \leq 0$. By Theorem 8.8, we see that $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is finite over $W(\mathbf{F})$, and hence $R_{\bar{\rho}}/(p)$ is finite. Write $h^i = h^i(G_{K,S}, \mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho}))$ for $i = 1, 2$. By Proposition 8.4, $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ has a presentation

$$W(\mathbf{F})[[X_1, \dots, X_{h^1}]]/(f_1, \dots, f_{h^2}),$$

with $h^1 \geq h^2$ because $\delta(\mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho})) \leq 0$ by our assumption. By Lemma 8.21, we see that $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is finite flat over $W(\mathbf{F})$, which contradicts the previous assertion 2. Therefore, we must have $\delta(\mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho})) > 0$. This completes the proof of our theorem. □

Proof of Corollary 8.19. Let $\bar{\rho} : G_{K,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ be a full representation and let $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ be the universal deformation ring of $\bar{\rho}$. By Theorem 8.17, we know that $\delta(\mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho})) > 0$ and $\mathrm{Krulldim}(R_{\bar{\rho}}/(p)) = 0$. Thus, we have

$$-\delta(\mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho})) < 0 = \mathrm{Krulldim}(R_{\bar{\rho}}/(p)).$$

That is, Conjecture 8.6 fails. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Part of this paper is the author's Ph.D. thesis at Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin. The author deeply thanks his supervisor Prof. Dr. Elmar Große-Klönne for all the helpful discussions. The author would like to thank the external examiners of the thesis for their valuable feedback. The author thanks Prof. Nigel Boston, Prof. John Labute, Prof. Dr. Andrei Jaikin-Zapirain, Prof. Ravi Ramakrishna, and Prof. Patrick Allen for helpful correspondence.

REFERENCES

- AC14 Patrick B. Allen and Frank Calegari, *Finiteness of unramified deformation rings*, Algebra Number Theory **8** (2014), no. 9, 2263–2272. MR 3294389

- APRT22 Ramla Abdellatif, Supriya Pisolkar, Marine Rougnant, and Lara Thomas, *From Fontaine-Mazur conjecture to analytic pro- p groups—a survey*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.03558 (2022).
- AT74 IV Andozhskii and Vladimir Mikhailovich Tsvetkov, *On a series of finite closed p -groups*, Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk. Seriya Matematicheskaya **38** (1974), no. 2, 278–290.
- Bö2 Gebhard Böckle, *Finiteness conjectures for $\mathbf{F}_l[[T]]$ -analytic extensions of number fields*, J. Number Theory **96** (2002), no. 2, 257–274. MR 1932455
- Bĭ3 ———, *Deformations of Galois representations*, Elliptic curves, Hilbert modular forms and Galois deformations, Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2013, pp. 21–115. MR 3184335
- BC07 Frauke M. Bleher and Ted Chinburg, *Universal deformation rings need not be complete intersections*, Math. Ann. **337** (2007), no. 4, 739–767. MR 2285736
- BG07 E. Breuillard and T. Gelander, *A topological Tits alternative*, Ann. of Math. (2) **166** (2007), no. 2, 427–474. MR 2373146
- Bos91 Nigel Boston, *Explicit deformation of Galois representations*, Invent. Math. **103** (1991), no. 1, 181–196. MR 1079842
- Bos99 ———, *Some cases of the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture. II*, J. Number Theory **75** (1999), no. 2, 161–169. MR 1681626
- CG18 Frank Calegari and David Geraghty, *Modularity lifting beyond the Taylor-Wiles method*, Invent. Math. **211** (2018), no. 1, 297–433. MR 3742760
- CR62 Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XI, Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.), New York-London, 1962. MR 0144979
- Dar05 M. R. Darafsheh, *Order of elements in the groups related to the general linear group*, Finite Fields Appl. **11** (2005), no. 4, 738–747. MR 2181417
- DdSMS99 J. D. Dixon, M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann, and D. Segal, *Analytic pro- p groups*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 61, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. MR 1720368
- DJ95 Arthur J De Jong, *Crystalline dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry*, Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS **82** (1995), 5–96.
- EM16 Timothy Eardley and Jayanta Manoharmayum, *The inverse deformation problem*, Compos. Math. **152** (2016), no. 8, 1725–1739. MR 3542491
- FM95 Jean-Marc Fontaine and Barry Mazur, *Geometric Galois representations*, Elliptic curves, modular forms, & Fermat’s last theorem (Hong Kong, 1993), Ser. Number Theory, I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995, pp. 41–78. MR 1363495
- Gor80 Daniel Gorenstein, *Finite groups*, second ed., Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1980. MR 569209
- Gou01 Fernando Q. Gouvêa, *Deformations of Galois representations*, Arithmetic algebraic geometry (Park City, UT, 1999), IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 9, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001, Appendix 1 by Mark Dickinson, Appendix 2 by Tom Weston and Appendix 3 by Matthew Emerton, pp. 233–406. MR 1860043
- Gra03 Georges Gras, *Class field theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003, From theory to practice, Translated from the French manuscript by Henri Cohen. MR 1941965
- Gri00 R. I. Grigorchuk, *Just infinite branch groups*, New horizons in pro- p groups, Progr. Math., vol. 184, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000, pp. 121–179. MR 1765119
- Gro67 A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1967), no. 32, 361. MR 238860
- Gv64 E. S. Golod and I. R. Šafarevič, *On the class field tower*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **28** (1964), 261–272. MR 0161852

- Har94 David Harbater, *Galois groups with prescribed ramification*, Arithmetic geometry (Tempe, AZ, 1993), Contemp. Math., vol. 174, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 35–60. MR 1299733
- HM02 Farshid Hajir and Christian Maire, *Unramified subextensions of ray class field towers*, J. Algebra **249** (2002), no. 2, 528–543. MR 1901171
- Iha83 Yasutaka Ihara, *How many primes decompose completely in an infinite unramified Galois extension of a global field?*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **35** (1983), no. 4, 693–709. MR 714470
- JZ02 Andrei Jaikin-Zapirain, *On linear just infinite pro- p groups*, J. Algebra **255** (2002), no. 2, 392–404. MR 1935507
- JZ06 A. Jaikin-Zapirain, *On linearity of finitely generated R -analytic groups*, Math. Z. **253** (2006), no. 2, 333–345. MR 2218704
- JZN19 Andrei Jaikin-Zapirain and Nikolay Nikolov, *An infinite compact Hausdorff group has uncountably many conjugacy classes*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), no. 9, 4083–4089. MR 3993799
- Kha03 Chandrashekhhar Khare, *On isomorphisms between deformation rings and Hecke rings*, Invent. Math. **154** (2003), no. 1, 199–222, With an appendix by Gebhard Böckle. MR 2004460
- Kis03 Mark Kisin, *Overconvergent modular forms and the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture*, Inventiones mathematicae **153** (2003), no. 2, 373–454.
- KLGP97 G. Klaas, C. R. Leedham-Green, and W. Plesken, *Linear pro- p -groups of finite width*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1674, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. MR 1483894
- KLR05 Chandrashekhhar Khare, Michael Larsen, and Ravi Ramakrishna, *Constructing semisimple p -adic Galois representations with prescribed properties*, Amer. J. Math. **127** (2005), no. 4, 709–734. MR 2154368
- Koc02 Helmut Koch, *Galois theory of p -extensions*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, With a foreword by I. R. Shafarevich, Translated from the 1970 German original by Franz Lemmermeyer, With a postscript by the author and Lemmermeyer. MR 1930372
- KW03 Mark Kisin and Sigrid Wortmann, *A note on Artin motives*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), no. 2-3, 375–389. MR 1981910
- Lab14 John Labute, *Linking numbers and the tame Fontaine-Mazur conjecture*, Ann. Math. Qué. **38** (2014), no. 1, 61–71. MR 3249411
- Laz65 Michel Lazard, *Groupes analytiques p -adiques*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1965), no. 26, 389–603. MR 209286
- Leb10 Philippe Lebacque, *On Tsfasman-Vlăduț invariants of infinite global fields*, Int. J. Number Theory **6** (2010), no. 6, 1419–1448. MR 2726590
- Man15 Jayanta Manoharmayum, *A structure theorem for subgroups of GL_n over complete local Noetherian rings with large residual image*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), no. 7, 2743–2758. MR 3336600
- Mat86 Hideyuki Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986, Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. MR 879273
- Maz89 B. Mazur, *Deforming Galois representations*, Galois groups over \mathbf{Q} (Berkeley, CA, 1987), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 16, Springer, New York, 1989, pp. 385–437. MR 1012172
- Moo19 Ben Moonen, *A remark on the Tate conjecture*, J. Algebraic Geom. **28** (2019), no. 3, 599–603. MR 3959072
- Neu99 Jürgen Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 322, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder. MR 1697859

- NS07 Nikolay Nikolov and Dan Segal, *On finitely generated profinite groups. I. Strong completeness and uniform bounds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 1, 171–238. MR 2276769
- NS12 ———, *Generators and commutators in finite groups; abstract quotients of compact groups*, Invent. Math. **190** (2012), no. 3, 513–602. MR 2995181
- NSW08 Jürgen Neukirch, Alexander Schmidt, and Kay Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, second ed., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. MR 2392026
- Odl77 A. M. Odlyzko, *Lower bounds for discriminants of number fields. II*, Tôhoku Math. J. **29** (1977), no. 2, 209–216. MR 441918
- Odl90 ———, *Bounds for discriminants and related estimates for class numbers, regulators and zeros of zeta functions: a survey of recent results*, Sémin. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux (2) **2** (1990), no. 1, 119–141. MR 1061762
- Pol21 Benjamin Pollak, *Ramification in the inverse Galois problem*, J. Number Theory **220** (2021), 34–60. MR 4177534
- PS16 Vincent Pilloni and Benoît Stroh, *Surconvergence, ramification et modularité*, Astérisque (2016), no. 382, 195–266. MR 3581178
- Ram99 Ravi Ramakrishna, *Lifting Galois representations*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), no. 3, 537–562. MR 1719819
- Rob00 Alain M. Robert, *A course in p -adic analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 198, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. MR 1760253
- RZ10 Luis Ribes and Pavel Zalesskii, *Profinite groups*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 40, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. MR 2599132
- Sch11 Peter Schneider, *p -adic Lie groups*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 344, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. MR 2810332
- Sha89 Igor R. Shafarevich, *Collected mathematical papers*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, Translated from the Russian. MR 977275
- Sha00 Aner Shalev, *Lie methods in the theory of pro- p groups*, New horizons in pro- p groups, Progr. Math., vol. 184, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000, pp. 1–54. MR 1765116
- TV02 M. A. Tsfasman and S. G. Vlăduț, *Infinite global fields and the generalized Brauer-Siegel theorem*, vol. 2, 2002, Dedicated to Yuri I. Manin on the occasion of his 65th birthday, pp. 329–402. MR 1944510
- Zm92 E. I. Zelcprime manov, *On periodic compact groups*, Israel J. Math. **77** (1992), no. 1-2, 83–95. MR 1194787

Yufan Luo yufanluo@hotmail.com