

Nonrelativistic nuclear reduction for tensor couplings in dark matter direct detection and $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion

Ayala Glick-Magid*

Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

The Nonrelativistic Effective Theory (NRET) is widely used in dark matter direct detection and charged-lepton flavor violation studies through $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion. However, existing literature has not fully considered tensor couplings. This study bridges this gap by utilizing an innovative tensor decomposition method, extending NRET to incorporate previously overlooked tensor interactions. We find additional operators in the $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion that are not present in the scalar and vector couplings. This development is expected to have a significant impact on ongoing experiments seeking physics beyond the Standard Model and on our understanding of the new-physics interactions. To support further research and experimental analyses, comprehensive tables featuring tensor matrix elements and their corresponding operators are provided.

Cosmological and astrophysical observations have established that about 25% of the energy density in our universe is attributed to dark matter (DM), a form of matter that rarely interacts with regular matter and has not yet been directly observed [1–3]. This matter serves as an explanation for certain gravitational phenomena, such as the angular velocity of gas clouds around galaxies, and the motion of galaxies within clusters, which appear to be operating under the influence of additional mass that cannot be detected. As DM candidates are naturally predicted by extensions of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics [4], unraveling the nature of DM stands as a paramount challenge in contemporary particle physics and astrophysics.

A well-known type of DM candidate is weakly-interacting massive particles (WIMPs) [2], exemplified by the neutralino in supersymmetric SM extensions. WIMPs interact with quarks, enabling their detection through elastic scattering off nuclei [5]. The momentum transfer in such interactions typically lies around $q \sim 100$ MeV [6]. Recent years have witnessed the introduction of direct detection experiments tailored for this energy range [7], wherein Earth-based detectors aim to capture interactions of extraterrestrial DM by measuring the recoil energy of nuclei, indicative of DM scattering events.

Yet, assessing how nuclei respond to WIMPs poses a formidable challenge. Achieving this task necessitates matching WIMP-quark couplings in a specific model to WIMP-nucleon currents. Given the non-perturbative nature of QCD at low energies, this task is best accomplished using effective theories. Within the literature, two prominent methods have been advanced for the analysis of WIMPs scattering off nuclei. The first approach employs Nonrelativistic Effective Field Theory (NRET, also known as NREFT) [8–10], while the alternative method utilizes chiral Effective Field Theory (χ EFT) [3, 6, 7, 11].

In both theories, the structure of the coupling is determined only by symmetry considerations. For instance, a probe with vector symmetry couples to a current with

the same structure, albeit with a different coupling constant. However, early works have overlooked the coupling of the tensor symmetry [7–9, 12], which is crucial for understanding the nature of DM-nucleon interactions once it is detected, analogous to the identification of the SM's $V - A$ structure in weak interactions during the previous century. Only recently, the inclusion of the tensor symmetry coupling has been incorporated into χ EFT [13], but it is still missing in NRET. Due to the extensive use of NRET in various DM search applications, such as software programs (e.g., [9, 14]), numerical calculations (e.g., [10, 15]), direct detection experiments (e.g., [16, 17]), and forecasts for new measurement opportunities (e.g., [18]), this gap cannot be ignored.

We have recently developed a new method for decomposing fermionic tensor-type interactions based on their antisymmetric nature [19]. This method has proven to be highly useful in describing the impact of beyond the Standard Model (BSM) tensor symmetry on different interactions, including β -decay [19], neutrino scattering [20], and more [19, 21]. Using this approach, the goal of this letter is to incorporate the missing tensor component into the NRET, akin to treatments applied to other symmetry couplings.

The utility of NRET extends beyond DM interactions with nuclei, encompassing explorations into other BSM physics. One such avenue is the investigation of charged-lepton flavor-violating (CLFV) processes, offering unique insights into fundamental symmetries. The Nobel Prize in 2015 recognized the establishment of neutrino flavor oscillations, further motivating the search for additional flavor-violation, this time among charged leptons. A notable CLFV process is muon-to-electron ($\mu \rightarrow e$) conversion, where a muon undergoes a flavor-violating transformation into an electron.

Several past and current experiments are being conducted to detect evidence of this conversion. Ongoing and upcoming experiments, such as Mu2e at Fermilab, COMET, and DeeMe at J-PARC, are poised to significantly advance CLFV limits on the branching ratio by

over four orders of magnitude [22]. These experiments seek evidence of a muon within the influence of a nucleus, converting into a highly energetic, much lighter electron. Accurate predictions, incorporating all known symmetries, are crucial for interpreting such measurements.

Recent papers have underscored the efficacy of NRET in calculating elastic $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion [22, 23], introducing the nuclear-level effective theory for this process. These papers have also highlighted the potential of tensor mediators, which can give rise to effective operators that are not present in mediators of other symmetries. As indicated in [21], our tensor-decomposing approach can readily provide the missing tensor $\mu \rightarrow e$ terms, which, as in the DM case, are crucial for understanding the nature of CLFV interactions once they are detected.

This letter aims to bridge the gap in these two seemingly disparate but framework-sharing BSM searches, employing the tensor-decomposing approach [19], starting from introducing NRET and its tensor completion for dark matter, and then moving to $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion.

DM detection necessitates structure factors for elastic WIMP-nucleus scattering, which are particularly sensitive to the nuclear structure inherent in spin-dependent WIMP-nucleon interactions as an input. To delve into this, let us consider a contact interaction involving a spin-half WIMP denoted as χ and a nucleon represented by N . In the framework of NRET, the comprehensive Lagrangian density is expressed as follows [8]:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{int}} = \bar{\chi} \mathcal{O}_\chi \chi \bar{N} \mathcal{O}_N N. \quad (1)$$

Here, the properties of the WIMP operator $\mathcal{O}_\chi$ and the nucleon operator $\mathcal{O}_N$ are determined by enforcing their corresponding symmetries, which may take the form of any of the five bilinear covariants: scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, axial-vector, and tensor. By considering scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, and axial-vector symmetries, it has been demonstrated that the contact Lagrangian density, at leading order in p/m_χ and k/m_N (where p^μ and k^μ are the four-momenta of the DM and nucleon, respectively, and m_χ and m_N are their masses), can be expressed in terms of 16 nonrelativistic operators [8, 9]:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{int}} = \sum_{i=1}^{16} c_i \mathcal{O}_i \bar{\chi} \chi \bar{N} N, \quad (2)$$

where the operators $\mathcal{O}_i$ are constructed from the following four three-vectors: $i \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}$, $\vec{v}^\perp \equiv \frac{\vec{P}}{2m_\chi} - \frac{\vec{K}}{2m_N}$, $\vec{S}_\chi \equiv \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2}$ and $\vec{S}_N \equiv \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2}$ the particle spins. In these expressions, $q^\mu \equiv p_f^\mu - p_i^\mu = k_i^\mu - k_f^\mu$ denotes the 4-momentum transfer, $P^\mu \equiv p_i^\mu + p_f^\mu$, $K^\mu \equiv k_i^\mu + k_f^\mu$, and k_i^μ (k_f^μ) and p_i^μ (p_f^μ) are the incoming (outgoing) 4-momenta.

To incorporate the missing tensor symmetry into the framework, we write the interaction of the DM and the nucleons using all the possible Lorentz-invariant tensor terms, similar to how non-tensor terms were treated [8, 9]. We conclude them based on the general form of the

single-nucleon matrix element between nuclear states of the tensor part of the nuclear current [24, 25]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k_f | \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \tau^a q | k_i \rangle &= \bar{u}(k_f) \left[g_T(q^2) \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{g}_T^{(1)}(q^2) \right. \\ &\times \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right) + \tilde{g}_T^{(2)}(q^2) \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{K^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{K^\mu}{m_M} \right) \\ &\left. + \tilde{g}_T^{(3)}(q^2) \left(\gamma_\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right) \right] \tau^a u(k_i), \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

which consists of all the possible Lorentz-invariant tensor combinations [26]. Here we use the nowadays convention for the gamma matrices γ_μ and their commutator $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ (see, e.g., [27]). The form factors $\tilde{g}_T^{(i)}$ ($i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) are defined as the dimensionless version of the standard tensor form factors $g_T^{(i)}$ given in [25]. Specifically, we introduced the redefined form factors as follows: $g_T^{(1)} = \frac{\tilde{g}_T^{(1)}}{m_M}$, $g_T^{(2)} = \frac{\tilde{g}_T^{(2)}}{m_M^2}$, and $g_T^{(3)} = \frac{\tilde{g}_T^{(3)}}{m_M}$, where m_M is the relevant theory-dependent mass scale (e.g., the nucleon mass) given a model context. This adjustment was made to align this work with Ref. [9], where similar theory-dependent masses were utilized. We assume a similar matrix element for the WIMP tensor current between the initial and final WIMP states, differing only in the coupling constants, and exclude the isospin operator τ^a ($a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$).

The focus of this letter is on the contact-level interaction between the nuclear and WIMP currents. However, we would like to note another tensor contribution that although not a contact term, may contribute to the leading order interaction, and therefore should require further investigation that is beyond the scope of this letter. As elucidated in [28], at the quark level, the tensor current can be matched onto interactions with photons and pions (e.g., utilizing the electromagnetic field tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$). This effective coupling to photons and pions enables the tensor operator to contribute to the interaction through the exchange of either a photon or a pion. Moreover, these new operators contribute to the interaction through the renormalization group evolution between the interaction boson mass and low energies, in which the tensor operator generates scalar interactions. While these interactions feature small coupling constants compared to contact interactions, their spin-independent nature enables them to yield larger contributions.

Given the presence of four distinct Lorentz-invariant tensor terms in the current, there exist 16 potential combinations for the tensor coupling between the nuclear and WIMPs currents, but 4 of them will vanish, leaving us with only 12 combinations. As done by Lee and Yang for the weak interaction [29], to these 12 basic combinations we add γ_5 variations. Utilizing the antisymmetric

Table I. The second column showcases the tensor Lagrangian densities $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^j$ corresponding to WIMP-nucleus scattering, with the index j enumerated in the first column. The terms encompass scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, and axial-vector contributions outlined in [9] ($j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 20\}$), augmented here by the inclusion of previously unaccounted tensor terms. In the third column, the operators resulting from the nonrelativistic reduction between Pauli spinors are presented, while the fourth column illustrates the associated effective interactions expressed in terms of the NRET operators defined in Eq. (6). Further details can be found in [9].

j	$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^j$	Pauli operator reduction	$\Sigma_i c_i \mathcal{O}_i$
21	$\bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} N$	$8 \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right)$	$8 \mathcal{O}_4$
22	$\bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \bar{N} \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right) N$	$-\frac{iq^2}{m_\chi m_M} 1_\chi 1_N - \frac{4}{m_M} \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot (\vec{q} \times \vec{v}^\perp)$ $+ \frac{4i}{m_N m_M} \left[\left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \cdot \vec{q} \right) \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \vec{q} \right) - q^2 \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \right) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{m^3}\right)$	$-i \frac{q^2}{m_M m_\chi} \mathcal{O}_1 + 4i \frac{m_N}{m_M} \mathcal{O}_5$ $+ 4i \frac{m_N}{m_M} \mathcal{O}_6 - 4i \frac{q^2}{m_M m_N} \mathcal{O}_4$
23	$\bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \bar{N} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{K^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{K^\mu}{m_M} \right) N$	$-2i \frac{m_N}{m_\chi} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} 1_\chi 1_N - 8 \frac{m_N}{m_M} \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot (\vec{q} \times \vec{v}^\perp) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^4}\right)$	$-2i \frac{m_N}{m_\chi} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_1 + 8i \frac{m_N^2}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_5$
24	$\bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \bar{N} \left(\gamma_\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right) N$	$16i \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_M} \right) \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \cdot \vec{v}^\perp \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^3}\right)$	$16 \frac{m_N}{m_M} \mathcal{O}_{14}$
25	$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \gamma^\nu - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \gamma^\mu \right) \chi \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} N$	$\frac{iq^2}{m_N m_M} 1_\chi 1_N + \frac{4}{m_M} \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \cdot (\vec{q} \times \vec{v}^\perp)$ $+ \frac{4i}{m_\chi m_M} \left[\vec{q}^2 \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \right) - \left(\vec{q} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \right) \left(\vec{q} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \right) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{m^4}\right)$	$i \frac{q^2}{m_N m_M} \mathcal{O}_1 - 4i \frac{m_N}{m_M} \mathcal{O}_3$ $+ 4i \frac{q^2}{m_\chi m_M} \mathcal{O}_4 - 4i \frac{m_N^2}{m_\chi m_M} \mathcal{O}_6$
26	$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \gamma^\nu - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \gamma^\mu \right) \chi \bar{N} \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right) N$	$-\frac{iq^2}{m_\chi m_M} 1_\chi 1_N - \frac{4}{m_M} \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot (\vec{q} \times \vec{v}^\perp)$ $+ \frac{4i}{m_N m_M} \left[\left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \cdot \vec{q} \right) \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \vec{q} \right) - q^2 \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \right) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{m^4}\right)$	$-i \frac{q^2}{m_\chi m_M} \mathcal{O}_1 + 4i \frac{m_N}{m_\chi} \mathcal{O}_5$ $+ 4i \frac{m_N}{m_M} \mathcal{O}_6 - 4i \frac{q^2}{m_N m_M} \mathcal{O}_4$
27	$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \gamma^\nu - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \gamma^\mu \right) \chi \bar{N} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{K^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{K^\mu}{m_M} \right) N$	$-4 \frac{m_N}{m_M} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} 1_\chi 1_N + O\left(\frac{1}{m^4}\right)$	$-4 \frac{m_N}{m_M} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_1$
28	$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{P^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{P^\mu}{m_M} \right) \chi \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} N$	$2i \frac{m_\chi}{m_N} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} 1_\chi 1_N + 8 \frac{m_\chi}{m_M^2} \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \cdot (\vec{q} \times \vec{v}^\perp) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^4}\right)$	$2i \frac{m_\chi}{m_N} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_1 - 8i \frac{m_\chi m_N}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_3$
29	$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{P^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{P^\mu}{m_M} \right) \chi \bar{N} \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right) N$	$-4 \frac{m_\chi}{m_M} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} 1_\chi 1_N + O\left(\frac{1}{m^4}\right)$	$-4 \frac{m_\chi}{m_M} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_1$
30	$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{P^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{P^\mu}{m_M} \right) \chi \bar{N} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{K^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{K^\mu}{m_M} \right) N$	$-8 \frac{m_\chi m_N}{m_M^2} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} 1_\chi 1_N + O\left(\frac{1}{m^4}\right)$	$-8 \frac{m_\chi m_N}{m_M^2} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_1$
31	$\bar{\chi} \left(\gamma^\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma^\mu \right) \chi \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} N$	$-16i \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_M} \right) \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \vec{v}^\perp \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^3}\right)$	$-16 \frac{m_N}{m_M} \mathcal{O}_{13}$
32	$\bar{\chi} \left(\gamma^\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma^\mu \right) \chi \bar{N} \left(\gamma_\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right) N$	$\frac{32}{m_M^2} \left[q^2 \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \right) - \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \cdot \vec{q} \right) \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \vec{q} \right) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{m^4}\right)$	$32 \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_4 - 32 \frac{m_N^2}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_6$
33	$\bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 N$	$-2 \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_\chi} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N} \right)$ $+ 8i \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \times \vec{v}^\perp \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^3}\right)$	$2i \frac{m_N}{m_\chi} \mathcal{O}_{10} - 2i \mathcal{O}_{11}$ $+ 8i \mathcal{O}_{12}$
34	$\bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \bar{N} \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right) \gamma_5 N$	$4i \frac{\vec{q}}{m_M} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^3}\right)$	$4 \frac{m_N}{m_M} \mathcal{O}_{11}$
35	$\bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \bar{N} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{K^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{K^\mu}{m_M} \right) \gamma_5 N$	$-8i \frac{m_N}{m_M} \left(\frac{\vec{q}}{m_M} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^3}\right)$	$-8 \frac{m_N^2}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_{11}$
36	$\bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \bar{N} \left(\gamma_\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right) \gamma_5 N$	$8 \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \times \frac{\vec{q}}{m_M} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^3}\right)$	$-8i \frac{m_N}{m_M} \mathcal{O}_9$
37	$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \gamma^\nu - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \gamma^\mu \right) \chi \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 N$	$4i \frac{\vec{q}}{m_M} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^3}\right)$	$4 \frac{m_N}{m_M} \mathcal{O}_{10}$
38	$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \gamma^\nu - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \gamma^\mu \right) \chi \bar{N} \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right) \gamma_5 N$	$4 \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \left[\left(\vec{v}^\perp \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \right) - i \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \times \frac{\vec{q}}{m_\chi} \right) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{m^5}\right)$	$4 \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \left(\mathcal{O}_7 - \frac{m_N}{m_\chi} \mathcal{O}_9 \right)$
39	$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \gamma^\nu - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \gamma^\mu \right) \chi \bar{N} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{K^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{K^\mu}{m_M} \right) \gamma_5 N$	$-8 \frac{m_N}{m_M} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \left(\vec{v}^\perp \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^5}\right)$	$-8 \frac{m_N}{m_M} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_8$
40	$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{P^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{P^\mu}{m_M} \right) \chi \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 N$	$8i \frac{m_\chi}{m_M} \left(\frac{\vec{q}}{m_M} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^3}\right)$	$8 \frac{m_\chi m_N}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_{10}$
41	$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{P^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{P^\mu}{m_M} \right) \chi \bar{N} \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right) \gamma_5 N$	$8 \frac{m_\chi}{m_M} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \left(\vec{v}^\perp \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^5}\right)$	$8 \frac{m_\chi}{m_M} \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \mathcal{O}_7$
42	$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{P^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{P^\mu}{m_M} \right) \chi \bar{N} \left(\frac{q^\mu}{m_M} \frac{K^\nu}{m_M} - \frac{q^\nu}{m_M} \frac{K^\mu}{m_M} \right) \gamma_5 N$	$-8 \frac{m_\chi}{m_M^4} q^2 \left(\vec{q} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^5}\right)$	$8i \frac{m_\chi m_N}{m_M^4} q^2 \mathcal{O}_{10}$
43	$\bar{\chi} \left(\gamma^\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma^\mu \right) \chi \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 N$	$-8 \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \times \frac{\vec{q}}{m_M} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^3}\right)$	$8i \frac{m_N}{m_M} \mathcal{O}_9$
44	$\bar{\chi} \left(\gamma^\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma^\mu \right) \chi \bar{N} \left(\gamma_\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right) \gamma_5 N$	$-16 \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} \left[\left(\vec{v}^\perp \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \right) - i \frac{\vec{\sigma}_\chi}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_N}{2} \times \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N} \right) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{m^5}\right)$	$-16 \frac{q^2}{m_M^2} (\mathcal{O}_8 - \mathcal{O}_9)$

tensor-current decomposition [19]:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\mathcal{J}}_i^T &\equiv -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\mathcal{J}_{jk}, \\ \vec{\mathcal{J}}_i^{T'} &\equiv \sqrt{2}\mathcal{J}_{0i},\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

and applying the following identity for each combination of two tensor-terms coupling:

$$j_{\mu\nu}J^{\mu\nu} = -\left[\vec{j}^T \cdot \vec{J}^T + \vec{j}^{T'} \cdot \vec{J}^{T'}\right], \quad (5)$$

we achieve a nonrelativistic reduction in leading orders in $1/\text{mass}$ for each combination. These reductions, listed in table I, give rise to all the leading order nonrelativistic operators already existing in the scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, and axial-vector cases [9]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_1 &\equiv 1_\chi 1_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_3 &\equiv i\vec{S}_N \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{q}}{m_N} \times \vec{v}^\perp\right), \\ \mathcal{O}_4 &\equiv \vec{S}_\chi \cdot \vec{S}_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_5 &\equiv i\vec{S}_\chi \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{q}}{m_N} \times \vec{v}^\perp\right), \\ \mathcal{O}_6 &\equiv \left(\vec{S}_\chi \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}\right) \left(\vec{S}_N \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}\right), \\ \mathcal{O}_7 &\equiv \vec{S}_N \cdot \vec{v}^\perp, \\ \mathcal{O}_8 &\equiv \vec{S}_\chi \cdot \vec{v}^\perp, \\ \mathcal{O}_9 &\equiv i\vec{S}_\chi \cdot \left(\vec{S}_N \times \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}\right), \\ \mathcal{O}_{10} &\equiv i\vec{S}_N \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}, \\ \mathcal{O}_{11} &\equiv i\vec{S}_\chi \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}, \\ \mathcal{O}_{12} &\equiv \vec{S}_\chi \cdot \left(\vec{S}_N \times \vec{v}^\perp\right), \\ \mathcal{O}_{13} &\equiv i\left(\vec{S}_\chi \cdot \vec{v}^\perp\right) \left(\vec{S}_N \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}\right), \\ \mathcal{O}_{14} &\equiv i\left(\vec{S}_\chi \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}\right) \left(\vec{S}_N \cdot \vec{v}^\perp\right), \\ \mathcal{O}_{15} &\equiv -\left(\vec{S}_\chi \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}\right) \left[\left(\vec{S}_N \times \vec{v}^\perp\right) \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}\right], \\ \mathcal{O}_{16} &\equiv -\left[\left(\vec{S}_\chi \times \vec{v}^\perp\right) \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}\right] \left(\vec{S}_N \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}\right).\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

(Note the dependence $\mathcal{O}_{16} = \mathcal{O}_{15} + \frac{q^2}{m_N^2}\mathcal{O}_{12}$)

Upon the detection of DM scattering off nuclei, the subsequent step involves scrutinizing the specific nature of the measured interaction between DM and nucleons. It is essential to note that each set of terms sharing the same WIMP current part and γ_5 coupling (21-24, 25-27, 28-30, 31-32, 33-36, 37-39, 40-42, and 43-44 in Table I) should possess the same new-physics coupling constant, multiplied by the corresponding nucleon tensor form-factor g_T

or $\tilde{g}_T^{(i)}$, contingent on the nuclear current part as outlined in Eq. (3). Calculations for nucleon tensor form-factors are available, see [30] and references within for g_T , and, e.g., [31], for the other form factors. So despite the seemingly numerous terms and new coupling constants, they are dependent, and the tensor WIMP-nucleon interaction introduces merely four new-physics coefficients for a measurement to constrain.

While no novel operators emerged in the tensor symmetry beyond those existing in the non-tensor symmetries, this list and table hold significance. Identifying the operators associated with each symmetry case is pivotal for discerning the nature of the WIMP-nucleon interaction and determining its dominant symmetries. Once an operator aligns with measured data, knowing all the symmetries it is involved in becomes imperative. Therefore, this list, while not introducing new operators, plays a crucial role in establishing whether tensor involvement exists in the interaction.

However, as emphasized in [22, 23], this scenario is not the case for $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion, where certain tensor terms encompass operators absent in non-tensor cases. Following the nuclear-level effective theory for $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion that has been constructed in [22, 23], we employ lepton (nucleon) identity operators 1_L (1_N), along with five dimensionless Hermitian three-vectors: $i\hat{q}$, where $\hat{q}$ represents the unit vector along the three-momentum transfer to the leptons, $\vec{v}_N \equiv \frac{\vec{p}_i + \vec{p}_f}{2m_N}$ denotes the nuclear velocity, symmetrically combining the initial and final nucleon velocities $\frac{\vec{p}_i}{m_N}$ and $\frac{\vec{p}_f}{m_N}$, $\vec{v}_\mu$ stands for the muon velocity relative to the center-of-mass of the nucleons, and $\vec{\sigma}_L$ and $\vec{\sigma}_N$ represent the spins of the leptons and the nucleons, respectively.

Conducting a parallel reduction to that performed for WIMPs scattering, we commence from the same four possible tensor Lorentz-invariant terms within the single-nucleon matrix element between nuclear states of the tensor part of the nuclear current presented in Eq. (3). These are all the tensor possible contact terms (refer to [28] for further discussion on non-contact terms), since in the Standard Model Effective Field Theory (SMEFT) Lagrangian, a singular tensor term exists [32, 33]:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{SMEFT}} \supset \frac{c^{\alpha\beta mn}}{\Lambda_{\text{BSM}}^2} \bar{l}_L^\alpha \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_R^\beta \epsilon_{JK} \bar{q}_L^K \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R^n. \quad (7)$$

Here, Λ_{BSM} denotes the new-physics scale, c represents the coefficient of this tensor term, and the indexes $\alpha, \beta, m, n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ represent the generations of the particles. Further details and conventions can be found in [25]. Applying the left lepton doublet $l_L^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L^\alpha \\ e_L^\alpha \end{pmatrix}$,

the left quark doublet $q_L^m = \begin{pmatrix} u_L^m \\ d_L^m \end{pmatrix}$, and the antisymmetric two-dimensional matrix $\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ yields two

terms:

$$\bar{\nu}_L^\alpha \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_R^\beta \bar{d}_L^m \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R^n - \bar{e}_L^\alpha \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_R^\beta \bar{u}_L^m \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R^n. \quad (8)$$

The first illustrates quark isospin exchange processes (e.g., β -decays), while the second preserves quark isospin and can lead to $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion when taking $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 2$, i.e.,

$$-\bar{e}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \mu_R \bar{u}_L^m \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R^n. \quad (9)$$

Notably, this implies that $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion can only occur with up, charm, or top quarks (for $m = n = 1, 2$, or 3, respectively).

In contrast to the WIMPs case, elastic $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion occurs at a fixed momentum $q \sim m_\mu$. In addition, the electron is assumed to be fully relativistic. Employing the operators described in [22, 23], with the appropriate order count and hierarchy scale $\frac{q}{m_L} > \frac{q}{m_N} \sim v_N > v_\mu$, we obtain the nucleon-level nonrelativistic reduction for elastic $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion presented in Table II, consisting with the $\mu \rightarrow e$ nonrelativistic operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_1 &\equiv 1_L 1_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_3 &\equiv 1_L i\hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N), \\ \mathcal{O}_4 &\equiv \vec{\sigma}_L \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_5 &\equiv \vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (i\hat{q} \times \vec{v}_N), \\ \mathcal{O}_6 &\equiv (i\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L) (i\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N), \\ \mathcal{O}_7 &\equiv 1_L \vec{v}_N \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_8 &\equiv \vec{\sigma}_L \cdot \vec{v}_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_9 &\equiv \vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (i\hat{q} \times \vec{\sigma}_N), \\ \mathcal{O}_{10} &\equiv 1_L i\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_{11} &\equiv i\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L 1_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_{12} &\equiv \vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N), \\ \mathcal{O}_{13}' &\equiv \vec{\sigma}_L \cdot [i\hat{q} \times (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N)], \\ \mathcal{O}_{14} &\equiv (i\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L) (\vec{v}_N \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N), \\ \mathcal{O}_{15} &\equiv (i\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L) [i\hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N)], \\ \mathcal{O}_{16}' &\equiv (i\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L) (i\hat{q} \cdot \vec{v}_N). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, accounting for the muon velocity $\vec{v}_\mu$ generates nuclear form factor corrections, suppressed by the ratio of average values of the lower and upper components of the muon $\frac{\langle f \rangle}{\langle g \rangle}$ (where f and g are the Coulomb Dirac solutions, for details see [22]), leading to all the additional, smaller operators denoted by the superscript

f :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_2^{f'} &\equiv i\hat{q} \cdot \frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} 1_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_3^f &\equiv i\hat{q} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \times \vec{\sigma}_L \right) 1_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_5^f &\equiv \left(i\hat{q} \times \frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \right) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_7^f &\equiv \frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L 1_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_8^f &\equiv \frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_{12}^f &\equiv \left(\frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \times \vec{\sigma}_L \right) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_{13}^{f'} &\equiv \left[i\hat{q} \times \left(\frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \times \vec{\sigma}_L \right) \right] \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N, \\ \mathcal{O}_{14}^f &\equiv \left(\frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L \right) (i\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N), \\ \mathcal{O}_{15}^f &\equiv \left[i\hat{q} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \times \vec{\sigma}_L \right) \right] (i\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N), \\ \mathcal{O}_{16}^{f'} &\equiv \left(i\hat{q} \cdot \frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \right) (i\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In the leading order (dimension-six) of SMEFT, only the first four terms ($j \in \{21, 22, 23, 24\}$) listed in Table II, and their γ_5 couplings, appear. These four terms, and separately, their γ_5 couplings, should share the same leptonic coupling constant, to be multiplied by the appropriate tensor form factor, g_T or $\tilde{g}_T^{(i)}$, depending on the specific nuclear Lorentz-invariant term from Eq. (3). All other j -terms require higher dimensions of SMEFT.

As highlighted in [22, 23], the tensor coupling introduces new operators absent in the non-tensor cases. Specifically, $\mathcal{O}_3$ and $\mathcal{O}_{13}'$ manifest in the tensor-mediated interaction $\bar{\chi}_e \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi_\mu \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} N$ (equivalent, up to its sign, to the interaction $\bar{\chi}_e i \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^5 \chi_\mu \bar{N} i \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma^5 N$ explored in [22, 23]). This term possess the potential to induce coherent effects, as discussed in the aforementioned references. In addition, in the calculations here we find that $\mathcal{O}_{12}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{15}$, which were not generated before, manifest in the tensor-mediated interaction $\bar{\chi}_e (\hat{q}^\mu \gamma^\nu - \hat{q}^\nu \gamma^\mu) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 N$. If one of these operators aligns with observed data, potentially enhanced by coherent effects, it would indicate that CLFV manifests a tensor nature, given that these operators are not linked to any other symmetry.

Moreover, the NRET, as emphasized in [22], offers a systematic framework for meticulous data analysis upon detecting CLFV or DM scattering, enabling the determination of the underlying nature of the new physics. Addressing the crucial gap in NRET, this study leverages an innovative technique to decompose antisymmetric tensor-type interactions, expanding NRET with vital tensor symmetries and creating a new avenue within the existing framework.

Table II. The second column showcases the tensor Lagrangian densities $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^j$ corresponding to elastic $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion, with the index j enumerated in the first column. The terms encompass scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, and axial-vector contributions outlined in [22] ($j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 20\}$), augmented here by the inclusion of previously unaccounted tensor terms. In the third column, the operators resulting from a nonrelativistic reduction between Pauli spinors are presented, while the fourth column illustrates the associated effective interactions expressed in terms of the NRET operators defined in Eqs. (10) and (11). Further details can be found in [22].

j	$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^j$	Pauli operator reduction	$\Sigma_i c_i \mathcal{O}_i$
21	$\bar{\chi}_e \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi_\mu \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} N$	$-\frac{q}{m_N} 1_L 1_N - 2i 1_L \hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N) + 2\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N + 2\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot [\hat{q} \times (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N)]$ $+ i(\hat{q} \times \vec{v}_\mu) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N - (\vec{v}_\mu \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L)(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) - [\hat{q} \times (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L)] \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N + O\left(\frac{q^2}{m_N^2}\right)$	$-\frac{q}{m_N} \mathcal{O}_1 - 2\mathcal{O}_3 + 2\mathcal{O}_4 - 2i\mathcal{O}_{13}'$ $+ \mathcal{O}_5^f + 2i\mathcal{O}_{14}^f + 2i\mathcal{O}_{13}^{f'}$
22	$\bar{\chi}_e \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi_\mu \bar{N} \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_N} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_N} \gamma_\mu \right) N$	$\frac{q}{m_N} \left[-2i 1_L 1_N + i \frac{q}{m_N} (\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) + i \frac{q}{m_N} (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) (\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot \hat{q}) \right.$ $\left. - 2\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\hat{q} \times \vec{v}_N) + i(\vec{v}_\mu \cdot \hat{q}) 1_N - \hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) 1_N \right] + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$i \frac{q}{m_N} \left(-2\mathcal{O}_1 + \frac{q}{m_N} \mathcal{O}_4 - \frac{q}{m_N} \mathcal{O}_6 \right.$ $\left. + 2\mathcal{O}_5 + 2\mathcal{O}_2^{f'} + 2\mathcal{O}_3^f \right)$
23	$\bar{\chi}_e \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi_\mu \bar{N}' \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_N} v_{N\nu} - \frac{q_\nu}{m_N} v_{N\mu} \right) N$	$\frac{q}{m_N} [-2i 1_L \cdot 1_N + 2\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\hat{q} \times \vec{v}_N) + i(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{v}_\mu) 1_N - \hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) 1_N] + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$2 \frac{q}{m_N} \left(-i\mathcal{O}_1 - i\mathcal{O}_5 + \mathcal{O}_2^{f'} + i\mathcal{O}_3^f \right)$
24	$\bar{\chi}_e \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi_\mu \bar{N} \left(\gamma_\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_N} \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_N} \gamma_\mu \right) N$	$-4i \frac{q}{m_N} \left\{ (\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) + 4i \frac{q}{m_N} (\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot \hat{q}) (\vec{\sigma}_N \cdot \hat{q}) + i(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L) (\vec{v}_N \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) \right.$ $\left. + i\left(\hat{q} \times \frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2}\right) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N - \left[\hat{q} \times \left(\frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \times \vec{\sigma}_L\right)\right] \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N \right\} + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$-4i \frac{q}{m_N} (\mathcal{O}_4 + \mathcal{O}_6 - i\mathcal{O}_{14})$ $\mathcal{O}_5^f + i\mathcal{O}_{13}^{f'}$
25	$\bar{\chi}_e (\hat{q}^\mu \gamma^\nu - \hat{q}^\nu \gamma^\mu) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} N$	$2i(\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) - 2i(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L)(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) + \frac{i}{2} \frac{q}{m_N} 1_L 1_N - 1_L \hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N)$ $+ (\hat{q} \times \vec{v}_\mu) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N + i[\hat{q} \times (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L)] \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N + O\left(\frac{q^2}{m_N^2}\right)$	$i \left(2\mathcal{O}_4 + 2\mathcal{O}_6 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{q}{m_N} \mathcal{O}_1 + \mathcal{O}_3 \right.$ $\left. - 2i\mathcal{O}_5^f + 2\mathcal{O}_{13}^{f'} \right)$
26	$\bar{\chi}_e (\hat{q}^\mu \gamma^\nu - \hat{q}^\nu \gamma^\mu) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_N} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_N} \gamma_\mu \right) N$	$\frac{q}{m_N} \left[-1_L 1_N + \frac{q}{m_N} (\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) - \frac{q}{m_N} (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L)(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) \right.$ $\left. + 2i\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\hat{q} \times \vec{v}_N) - (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{v}_\mu) 1_N - i\hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) 1_N \right] + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$\frac{q}{m_N} \left(-\mathcal{O}_1 + \frac{q}{m_N} \mathcal{O}_4 + \frac{q}{m_N} \mathcal{O}_6 \right.$ $\left. + 2\mathcal{O}_5 + 2i\mathcal{O}_2^{f'} - 2\mathcal{O}_3^f \right)$
27	$\bar{\chi}_e (\hat{q}^\mu \gamma^\nu - \hat{q}^\nu \gamma^\mu) \chi_\mu \bar{N}' \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_N} v_{N\nu} - \frac{q_\nu}{m_N} v_{N\mu} \right) N$	$\frac{q}{m_N} [-1_L 1_N + 2i\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\hat{q} \times \vec{v}_N)$ $- (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{v}_\mu) 1_N - i\hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) 1_N] + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$\frac{q}{m_N} (-\mathcal{O}_1 + 2\mathcal{O}_5$ $+ 2i\mathcal{O}_2^{f'} - 2\mathcal{O}_3^f)$
28	$\bar{\chi}_e (\hat{q}^\alpha v_\mu^\nu - \hat{q}^\nu v_\mu^\alpha) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \sigma_{\alpha\nu} N$	$2 \left[\frac{i}{2} \frac{q}{m_N} 1_L 1_N - 1_L \hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N) + (\hat{q} \times \vec{v}_\mu) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N \right] + O\left(\frac{q^2}{m_N^2}\right)$	$i \left(\frac{q}{m_N} \mathcal{O}_1 + 2\mathcal{O}_3 - 4\mathcal{O}_5^f \right)$
29	$\bar{\chi}_e (\hat{q}^\alpha v_\mu^\nu - \hat{q}^\nu v_\mu^\alpha) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \left(\frac{q_\alpha}{m_N} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_N} \gamma_\alpha \right) N$	$2 \frac{q}{m_N} \left[-1_L 1_N + \left(\hat{q} \cdot \frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \right) 1_N + i\hat{q} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \times \vec{\sigma}_L \right) 1_N \right] + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$2 \frac{q}{m_N} \left(-\mathcal{O}_1 - i\mathcal{O}_2^{f'} + \mathcal{O}_3^f \right)$
30	$\bar{\chi}_e (\hat{q}^\alpha v_\mu^\nu - \hat{q}^\nu v_\mu^\alpha) \chi_\mu \bar{N}' \left(\frac{q_\alpha}{m_N} v_{N\nu} - \frac{q_\nu}{m_N} v_{N\alpha} \right) N$	$2 \frac{q}{m_N} \left[-1_L 1_N + \left(\hat{q} \cdot \frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \right) 1_N + i\hat{q} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \times \vec{\sigma}_L \right) 1_N \right] + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$2 \frac{q}{m_N} \left(-\mathcal{O}_1 - i\mathcal{O}_2^{f'} + \mathcal{O}_3^f \right)$
31	$\bar{\chi}_e (\gamma^\mu \not{q} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \not{q} \gamma^\mu) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} N$	$-4i \left\{ (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L)(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) - \vec{\sigma}_L \cdot [\hat{q} \times (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N)] + \left(\frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L \right) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) \right\} + O\left(\frac{q^2}{m_N^2}\right)$	$4 \left(i\mathcal{O}_6 + \mathcal{O}_{13}' - \mathcal{O}_{14}^f \right)$
32	$\bar{\chi}_e (\gamma^\mu \not{q} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \not{q} \gamma^\mu) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \left(\gamma_\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_N} \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_N} \gamma_\mu \right) N$	$8 \frac{q}{m_N} \left\{ (\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) - (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L)(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) - (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L)(\vec{v}_N \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) \right.$ $\left. - i\left(\hat{q} \times \frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2}\right) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N + \left[\hat{q} \times \left(\frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \times \vec{\sigma}_L\right)\right] \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N \right\} + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$8 \frac{q}{m_N} (\mathcal{O}_4 + \mathcal{O}_6 + i\mathcal{O}_{14})$ $-\mathcal{O}_5^f - i\mathcal{O}_{13}^{f'}$

j	$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^j$	Pauli operator reduction	$\Sigma_i c_i \mathcal{O}_i$
33	$\bar{\chi}_e \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi_\mu \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 N$	$-2(1_L \hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) - 2i \vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\hat{q} \times \vec{\sigma}_N) + \frac{q}{m_N} (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L) 1_N$ $+ 2i \vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N) + \vec{v}_\mu \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N + i(\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N + O\left(\frac{q^2}{m_N^2}\right)$	$-2\mathcal{O}_9 + 2i\mathcal{O}_{10} - i\frac{q}{m_N}\mathcal{O}_{11}$ $+ 2i\mathcal{O}_{12} + 2\mathcal{O}_8^f + 2i\mathcal{O}_{12}^f$
34	$\bar{\chi}_e \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi_\mu \bar{N} \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_N} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_N} \gamma_\mu \right) \gamma_5 N$	$\frac{q}{m_N} [2\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\hat{q} \times \vec{\sigma}_N) - 2i 1_L (\vec{v}_N \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N)]$ $+ i(\vec{v}_\mu \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) - (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N$ $+ \hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) - i(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{v}_\mu) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N)] + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$-2i\frac{q}{m_N} (\mathcal{O}_9 + \mathcal{O}_7)$ $+ 2\frac{q}{m_N} (i\mathcal{O}_8^f - \mathcal{O}_{12}^f)$ $- \mathcal{O}_{15}^f + i\mathcal{O}_{16}^{f'}$
35	$\bar{\chi}_e \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi_\mu \bar{N} \left(\gamma_\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_N} \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_N} \gamma_\mu \right) \gamma_5 N$	$2\frac{q}{m_N} [-2i\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L 1_N - 2i\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot \vec{v}_N + 2i(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{v}_N)]$ $+ \frac{q}{m_N} \vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\hat{q} \times \vec{\sigma}_N) + i\vec{v}_\mu \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L 1_N] + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$-4\frac{q}{m_N} (\mathcal{O}_{11} + i\mathcal{O}_8 + i\mathcal{O}_{16}')$ $+ i\frac{q}{2m_N} \mathcal{O}_9 - i\mathcal{O}_7^f$
36	$\bar{\chi}_e (\hat{q}^\mu \gamma^\nu - \hat{q}^\nu \gamma^\mu) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 N$	$i 1_L (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) - 2\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N) + 2(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L) \hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N)$ $- \hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) + i(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{v}_\mu) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) + O\left(\frac{q^2}{m_N^2}\right)$	$2\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_{10} - \mathcal{O}_{12} - \mathcal{O}_{15}\right)$ $+ \mathcal{O}_{15}^f - i\mathcal{O}_{16}^{f'}$
37	$\bar{\chi}_e (\hat{q}^\mu \gamma^\nu - \hat{q}^\nu \gamma^\mu) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \left(\frac{q_\mu}{m_N} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_N} \gamma_\mu \right) \gamma_5 N$	$\frac{q}{m_N} [2i\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\hat{q} \times \vec{\sigma}_N) - 1_L (\vec{v}_N \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N)]$ $+ (\vec{v}_\mu \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) + i(\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N$ $- i\hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) - (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{v}_\mu)] + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$2\frac{q}{m_N} (\mathcal{O}_9 - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_7)$ $+ \mathcal{O}_8^f + i\mathcal{O}_{12}^f$ $+ i\mathcal{O}_{15}^f + \mathcal{O}_{16}^{f'}$
38	$\bar{\chi}_e (\hat{q}^\alpha v_\mu^\nu - \hat{q}^\nu v_\mu^\alpha) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \sigma_{\alpha\nu} \gamma_5 N$	$2i 1_L \hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N + \hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N)$ $- i(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{v}_\mu) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) + O\left(\frac{q^2}{m_N^2}\right)$	$2\left(\mathcal{O}_{10} - \mathcal{O}_{15}^f\right)$ $+ i\mathcal{O}_{16}^{f'}$
39	$\bar{\chi}_e (\hat{q}^\alpha v_\mu^\nu - \hat{q}^\nu v_\mu^\alpha) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \left(\frac{q_\alpha}{m_N} \gamma_\nu - \frac{q_\nu}{m_N} \gamma_\alpha \right) \gamma_5 N$	$2\frac{q}{m_N} [-1_L (\vec{v}_N \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) + (\vec{v}_\mu \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N)]$ $- (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{v}_\mu) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N)] + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$2\frac{q}{m_N} (-\mathcal{O}_7 + 2\mathcal{O}_8^f)$ $+ 2\mathcal{O}_{16}^{f'}$
40	$\bar{\chi}_e (\hat{q}^\alpha v_\mu^\nu - \hat{q}^\nu v_\mu^\alpha) \chi_\mu \bar{N}' \left(\frac{q_\alpha}{m_N} v_{N\nu} - \frac{q_\nu}{m_N} v_{N\alpha} \right) \gamma_5 N$	$\frac{q^2}{m_N^2} \left[-1_L (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) + i\hat{q} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \times \vec{\sigma}_L \right) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) \right]$ $+ \left(\hat{q} \cdot \frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \right) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N)] + O\left(\frac{q^4}{m_N^4}\right)$	$\frac{q^2}{m_N^2} (i\mathcal{O}_{10} - i\mathcal{O}_{15}^f)$ $- \mathcal{O}_{16}^{f'}$
41	$\bar{\chi}_e (\gamma^\mu \not{\hat{q}} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \not{\hat{q}} \gamma^\mu) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 N$	$-2 \left[-2\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\hat{q} \times \vec{\sigma}_N) + i\frac{q}{m_N} (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L) 1_N \right]$ $- 2(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L) \hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_N \times \vec{\sigma}_N) + i\vec{v}_\mu \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N - (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N$ $+ \hat{q} \cdot (\vec{v}_\mu \times \vec{\sigma}_L) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N) - i(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{v}_\mu) (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N)] + O\left(\frac{q^2}{m_N^2}\right)$	$-4 \left(i\mathcal{O}_9 + \frac{q}{2m_N} \mathcal{O}_{11} \right)$ $+ \mathcal{O}_{15} + i\mathcal{O}_8^f - \mathcal{O}_{12}^f$ $- \mathcal{O}_{15}^f + i\mathcal{O}_{16}^{f'}$
42	$\bar{\chi}_e (\gamma^\mu \not{\hat{q}} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \not{\hat{q}} \gamma^\mu) \chi_\mu \bar{N} \left(\gamma_\mu \frac{\not{q}}{m_N} \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \frac{\not{q}}{m_N} \gamma_\mu \right) \gamma_5 N$	$8\frac{q}{m_N} \left[-(\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L) 1_N + (\vec{\sigma}_L \cdot \vec{v}_N) + i\frac{q}{2m_N} \vec{\sigma}_L \cdot (\hat{q} \times \vec{\sigma}_N) \right]$ $- (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L) \cdot (\hat{q} \cdot \vec{v}_N) - \left(\frac{\vec{v}_\mu}{2} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_L \right) 1_N] + O\left(\frac{q^3}{m_N^3}\right)$	$8\frac{q}{m_N} (i\mathcal{O}_{11} + \mathcal{O}_8 + \frac{q}{2m_N} \mathcal{O}_9)$ $+ \mathcal{O}_{16}' - \mathcal{O}_7^f$

The inclusion of the missing tensor terms significantly impacts ongoing experiments, specifically in DM direct detection and $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion. A comprehensive understanding of NRET operators, including their involvement in the tensor symmetry, as delineated in Eq. (6) for DM, and Eqs. (10) and (11) for $\mu \rightarrow e$, is imperative for discerning tensor involvement in CLFV or DM scattering—a critical aspect overlooked in prior works.

The author hopes that the provided comprehensive tables, featuring the previously missing tensor-mediator terms, and generating the last missing operators of the $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion, will benefit the broad community exploring BSM physics. These findings have the potential to contribute essential insights for ongoing and future experiments, deepening the understanding of tensor contributions in these new-physics processes.

The author would like to express gratitude towards the discussions held in the INT program “New physics searches at the precision frontier” (23-1b). The author also thanks Wick Haxton and Evan Rule for their invaluable discussions and advice, and Jerry Miller, Vincenzo Cirigliano, and Wouter Dekens for their kind advice. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under award Number DE-FG02-97ER-41014, the DOE Topical Collaboration “Nuclear Theory for New Physics (NTNP)”, award Number DE-SC0023663, and the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, through the Post-Doctoral Fellowship in Nuclear Physics.

* E-mail: glickm@edu.uw

- [1] R. J. Gaitskell. Direct detection of dark matter. *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, 54:315–359, 2004. doi:10.1146/annurev.nucl.54.070103.181244.
- [2] G. Bertone, D. Hooper, and J. Silk. Particle dark matter: evidence, candidates and constraints. *Phys. Rep.*, 405(5):279 – 390, 2005. ISSN 0370-1573. doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2004.08.031.
- [3] P. Klos, J. Meneéndez, D. Gazit, and A. Schwenk. Large-scale nuclear structure calculations for spin-dependent WIMP scattering with chiral effective field theory currents. *Phys. Rev. D*, 88(8):083516, 2013. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.029901, 10.1103/PhysRevD.88.083516. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D*, 89(2):029901(2014)].
- [4] J. L. Feng. Dark matter candidates from particle physics and methods of detection. *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.*, 48(1):495–545, 2010. doi:10.1146/annurev-astro-082708-101659.
- [5] Baudis. Direct dark matter detection: The next decade. *Phys. of the Dark Universe*, 1(1):94 – 108, 2012. ISSN 2212-6864. doi:10.1016/j.dark.2012.10.006. Next Decade in Dark Matter and Dark Energy.
- [6] J. Menendez, D. Gazit, and A. Schwenk. Spin-dependent WIMP scattering off nuclei. *Phys. Rev. D*, 86:103511, 2012. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.103511.
- [7] M. Hoferichter, P. Klos, and A. Schwenk. Chiral power counting of one- and two-body currents in direct detection of dark matter. *Phys. Lett. B*, 746:410–416, 2015. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.05.041.
- [8] A. L. Fitzpatrick, W. Haxton, E. Katz, N. Lubbers, and Y. Xu. The effective field theory of dark matter direct detection. *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, 2013(02):004, 2013. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2013/02/004.
- [9] N. Anand, A. L. Fitzpatrick, and W. C. Haxton. Weakly interacting massive particle-nucleus elastic scattering response. *Phys. Rev. C*, 89:065501, Jun 2014. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.89.065501.
- [10] D. Gazda, R. Catena, and C. Forssén. Ab initio nuclear response functions for dark matter searches. *Phys. Rev. D*, 95:103011, May 2017. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.103011.
- [11] V. Cirigliano, M. L. Graesser, and G. Ovanessian. Wimp-nucleus scattering in chiral effective theory. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2012(10):25, Oct 2012. ISSN 1029-8479. doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2012)025.
- [12] M. Hoferichter, P. Klos, J. Menéndez, and A. Schwenk. Analysis strategies for general spin-independent WIMP-nucleus scattering. *Phys. Rev. D*, 94:063505, Sep 2016. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.063505.
- [13] M. Hoferichter, P. Klos, J. Menéndez, and A. Schwenk. Nuclear structure factors for general spin-independent wimp-nucleus scattering. *Phys. Rev. D*, 99:055031, Mar 2019. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.055031.
- [14] B. J. Kavanagh and T. Edwards. bradkav/WIMpy_NREFT: Fixed minor typos to produce a working version of v.1.1.0, September 2021.
- [15] R. A. Khaleq, C. Simenel, and A. E. Stuchbery. Impact of nuclear structure from shell model calculations on nuclear responses to WIMP elastic scattering for ^{19}F and ^{nat}Xe targets. *SciPost Phys. Proc.*, page 062, 2023. doi:10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.12.062.
- [16] T. D. P. Edwards, B. J. Kavanagh, C. Weniger, S. Baum, A. K. Drukier, K. Freese, M. Górski, and P. Stengel. Digging for dark matter: Spectral analysis and discovery potential of paleo-detectors. *Phys. Rev. D*, 99:043541, Feb 2019. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.043541.
- [17] E. Adams, B. Ali, I. J. Arnquist, D. Baxter, E. Behnke, M. Bressler, B. Broerman, C. J. Chen, K. Clark, J. I. Collar, P. S. Cooper, C. Cripe, M. Crisler, C. E. Dahl, M. Das, S. Fallows, J. Farine, R. Filgas, A. García-Viltres, G. Giroux, O. Harris, T. Hillier, E. W. Hoppe, C. M. Jackson, M. Jin, C. B. Krauss, V. Kumar, M. Laurin, I. Lawson, A. Leblanc, H. Leng, I. Levine, C. Licciardi, W. H. Lippincott, P. Mitra, V. Monette, C. Moore, R. Neilson, A. J. Noble, H. Nozard, S. Pal, M.-C. Piro, A. Plante, S. Priya, C. Rethmeier, A. E. Robinson, J. Savoie, A. Sonnenschein, N. Starinski, I. Štekl, D. Tiwari, E. Vázquez-Jáuregui, U. Wichoski, V. Zacek, and J. Zhang. Search for inelastic dark matter-nucleus scattering with the pico-60 cf_3I and c_3f_8 bubble chambers. *Phys. Rev. D*, 108:062003, Sep 2023. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.062003.
- [18] C. V. Cappiello, N. P. Avis Kozar, and A. C. Vincent. Dark matter from monogem. *Phys. Rev. D*, 107:035003, Feb 2023. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.035003.
- [19] A. Glick-Magid and D. Gazit. Multipole decomposition of tensor interactions of fermionic probes with composite particles and bsm signatures in nuclear reactions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 107(7):075031, 2023. doi:

- 10.1103/PhysRevD.107.075031.
- [20] M. Hoferichter, J. Menéndez, and A. Schwenk. Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering: EFT analysis and nuclear responses. *Phys. Rev. D*, 102(7):074018, oct 2020. doi:10.1103/physrevd.102.074018.
- [21] M. Hoferichter, J. Menéndez, and F. Noël. Improved limits on lepton-flavor-violating decays of light pseudoscalars via spin-dependent $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion in nuclei. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 130:131902, Mar 2023. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.131902.
- [22] W. C. Haxton, E. Rule, K. McElvain, and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf. Nuclear-level effective theory of $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion: Formalism and applications. *Phys. Rev. C*, 107:035504, Mar 2023. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.107.035504.
- [23] E. Rule, W. C. Haxton, and K. McElvain. Nuclear-level effective theory of $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 130:131901, Mar 2023. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.131901.
- [24] S. Weinberg. Charge symmetry of weak interactions. *Phys. Rev.*, 112:1375–1379, Nov 1958. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.112.1375.
- [25] V. Cirigliano, S. Gardner, and B. Holstein. Beta decays and non-standard interactions in the LHC era. *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.*, 71:93–118, 2013. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2013.03.005.
- [26] Note1. We note that the last term, $\tilde{g}_T^{(3)} \left(\gamma_\mu \frac{\not{d}}{m_M} \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \frac{\not{d}}{m_M} \gamma_\mu \right)$, is absent in some of the literature (see, e.g., [31, 34]) due to its classification as a second-class current, which vanishes in the isospin limit [25, 34]. However, in our analysis, we aim to consider all potential terms in the WIMP-nucleon contact interaction, including this one, due to the lack of specific knowledge regarding the interaction.
- This comprehensive approach ensures that we do not overlook any possible contributions, thus providing a more thorough investigation of the phenomenon.
- [27] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber. *Quantum Field Theory*. International Series in Pure and Applied Physics. McGraw-Hill International Book Co., New York, 1980. ISBN 0-07-032071-3. Reprinted by Dover, 2006.
- [28] W. Dekens, E. E. Jenkins, A. V. Manohar, and P. Stoffer. Non-perturbative effects in $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2019(1):1–21, 2019. doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2019)088.
- [29] T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang. Question of parity conservation in weak interactions. *Phys. Rev.*, 104:254–258, Oct 1956. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.104.254.
- [30] M. González-Alonso, O. Naviliat-Cuncic, and N. Severijns. New physics searches in nuclear and neutron β decay. *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.*, 104:165–223, 2019. ISSN 0146-6410. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2018.08.002.
- [31] M. Hoferichter, B. Kubis, J. Ruiz de Elvira, and P. Stoffer. Nucleon matrix elements of the antisymmetric quark tensor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 122:122001, Mar 2019. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.122001.
- [32] W. Buchmüller and D. Wyler. Effective lagrangian analysis of new interactions and flavour conservation. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 268(3-4):621–653, 1986. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(86)90262-2.
- [33] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzyński, M. Misiak, and J. Rosiek. Dimension-six terms in the standard model lagrangian. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2010(10):1–18, 2010. doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2010)085.
- [34] Stephen L. Adler, E. W. Colglazier, J. B. Healy, Inga Karliner, Judy Lieberman, Yee Jack Ng, and Hung Sheng Tsao. Renormalization constants for scalar, pseudoscalar, and tensor currents. *Phys. Rev. D*, 11:3309–3318, Jun 1975. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.11.3309.