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#### Abstract

We study the normal matrix model, also known as the two-dimensional one-component plasma at a specific temperature, with merging singularity. As the number $n$ of particles tends to infinity we obtain the limiting local correlation kernel at the singularity, which is related to the parametrix of the Painlevé II equation. The two main tools are Riemann-Hilbert problems and the generalized Christoffel-Darboux identity. The correlation kernel exhibits a novel anisotropic scaling behavior, where the corresponding spacing scale of particles is $n^{-1 / 3}$ in the direction of merging and $n^{-1 / 2}$ in the perpendicular direction. We also describe the correlation kernel in the vicinity of the merging singularity, using the mesoscopic scales that interpolate between the singularity and the bulk regime.


## 1 Introduction and Main Results

The normal matrix model with external potential $Q: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an ensemble of $n$ particles, $\left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}$, distributed according to the probability density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{Z_{n}} \prod_{i<j}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-N \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q\left(z_{j}\right)}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{n}$ is the normalization constant and $N$ is a positive real parameter. The name, normal matrix model, reflects that (1.1) is the joint density of complex eigenvalues of random normal matrices (see e.g. $[18,19]$ ). For example, the choice $Q(z)=|z|^{2}$ yields the complex Ginibre ensemble, i.e., the eigenvalue distribution of an $n \times n$ random matrix with independent complex standard normal-distributed entries [35]. Another interpretation of (1.1) is as the Gibbs measure of the two-dimensional one-component plasma (OCP) at a specific temperature. The OCP is also called Coulomb gas or log-gas. It consists of $n$ particles in the potential $Q$ subject to a logarithmic pair-interaction and plays a role in the fractional quantum Hall effect [6, 38], the theory of superfluid-superconducting films [43] and two-dimensional turbulence $[34,42,44]$. For an extensive overview of the connection between eigenvalues of random matrices and log-gases we refer to [33].

One of the fundamental questions in the interacting particle system is to understand the correlations

[^0]among the particles. This correlation is characterized by the $k$-point correlation function
$$
R_{n, N}^{k}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right):=\frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-k}} \rho_{n}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \prod_{j=k+1}^{n} \mathrm{~d} A\left(z_{j}\right)
$$
where $\mathrm{d} A$ is the Lebesgue area measure of the complex plane. This function encodes the marginal probability for $k$ particles.
The $k$-point correlation function can be written as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n, N}^{k}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[\mathbf{K}_{n, N}\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{k} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

(see e.g. [25]), where the correlation kernel $\mathbf{K}_{n, N}(z, \zeta)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{K}_{n, N}(z, \zeta):=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{N}{2} Q(z)-\frac{N}{2} Q(\zeta)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{h_{k}} p_{k, N}(z) \overline{p_{k, N}(\zeta)}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of the monic orthogonal polynomial with degree $n$, subject to the orthogonality conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{C}} p_{n, N}(z) \overline{p_{m, N}(z)} \mathrm{e}^{-N Q(z)} \mathrm{d} A(z)=h_{n} \delta_{n m}, \quad n, m \geq 0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{n}$ is the positive norming constant and $\delta_{n m}$ is the Kronecker delta. These orthogonal polynomials satisfy

$$
p_{n, N}(z)=\mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{j}\right)
$$

where the expectation is taken over the probability distribution in (1.1).
Equation (1.2) implies that the correlation kernel $\mathbf{K}_{n, N}$ determines the statistical behavior of the particles. In the scaling limit of $n \rightarrow \infty$, while $n / N$ is fixed to a constant, the correlation kernel $\mathbf{K}_{n, N}$, with the proper scaling of coordinates, often converges to a universal function. It is expected that this universal function only depends on the symmetry class of the model and the local behaviour of the limiting density $\lim _{n, N \rightarrow \infty} R_{n, N}^{1}$, i.e. on whether the density vanishes and if so on its vanishing order. Below, we describe several known results about the asymptotic behaviors of the correlation kernels.

In contrast to the 2-dimensional setting decribed above, in unitary ensembles, all the eigenvalues are confined on the real axis, and the joint distribution of eigenvalues is proportional to

$$
\prod_{i<j}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-N \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q\left(x_{j}\right)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{~d} x_{k}
$$

with $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$. If $Q$ is real analytic and grows sufficiently fast at infinity, the eigenvalues are confined to a union of intervals [26]. It is known that the local scaling limits of the correlation kernel are universal in the sense that they only depend on the vanishing order of the limiting density $\sigma(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} R_{n, N}^{1}(x)$. By [28, 11, 45], in the bulk of the spectrum, the universal correlation kernel at the microscopic scale is the Sine kernel; at the edge of the spectrum, the limiting density of the eigenvalues typically vanishes
in a square root, and the universal correlation kernel at the microscopic scale is the Airy kernel [46]. In the critical case studied in $[12,23]$, where the spectral density vanishes quadratically in the interior of the asymptotic spectrum, the universal limiting kernel can be written in terms of the Hastings-McLeod solution of Painlevé II equation, a.k.a. the Painlevé II kernel.

In a matrix ensemble with an external source, different universal limiting kernels emerge at points of vanishing spectral density within the interior of its support. In [49, 14, 15, 30], when the spectral density vanishes like a cubic root, the universal limiting kernel can be written in terms of the Pearcey integrals, a.k.a. the Pearcey kernel [47].

In normal matrix models where eigenvalues are complex valued, as $N$ tends to infinity proportional to the number of particles $n$, the limiting density $\sigma(z):=\lim _{n, N \rightarrow \infty} R_{n, N}^{1}(z)$ is supported on a compact set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{C}$, which we call the droplet [36].
In the bulk of the droplet, i.e., at $z^{*} \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{S})$ where $\sigma\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$, the universal scaling limit of the correlation kernel is given [2] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n \Delta Q\left(z^{*}\right)} \mathbf{K}_{n, n}\left(z^{*}+\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n \Delta Q\left(z^{*}\right)}}, z^{*}+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{n \Delta Q\left(z^{*}\right)}}\right)=G(\nu, \eta):=\mathrm{e}^{\nu \bar{\eta}-\frac{|\nu|^{2}}{2}-\frac{|\eta|^{2}}{2}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $G(\nu, \eta)$ is also known as the Ginibre kernel [35]. Recently in [37] the universality has also been shown at the boundary $z^{*} \in \partial \mathcal{S}$, for some general class of potentials $Q$ where the boundary of the droplet $\mathcal{S}$ is a smooth Jordan curve.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n \Delta Q\left(z^{*}\right)} \mathbf{K}_{n, n}\left(z^{*}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \nu}{\sqrt{n \Delta Q\left(z^{*}\right)}}, z^{*}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \eta}{\sqrt{n \Delta Q\left(z^{*}\right)}}\right)=G(\nu, \eta) \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the angle $\theta$ is that of the outer normal direction to the boundary of the droplet at $z^{*}$, and $\operatorname{erfc}$ denotes the complementary error function. The kernel in the right hand side is also known as the Faddeeva kernel [31]. Similar edge universality results have also been established for matrices with i.i.d. non-Gaussian entries in [20].
For the two-dimensional OCP at inverse temperatures different from the one corresponding to the normal matrix model, universality results analogous to (1.5) and (1.6) are not known. However, central limit theorems for mesoscopic linear statistics have recently been established in [39] and [8].
In this paper we obtain the limiting correlation kernel around the point in the droplet boundary where the merging singularity occurs, see Figure 1. The universal behavior at such critical points have been open problems in normal matrix model while, in unitary ensembles, where the full hierarchy of critical behaviors have been investigated [22, 21, 24]. It was expected and conjectured by physicists that similar behavior will emerge in the normal matrix ensemble [48, 10, 40]. The current paper confirms this conjecture and the appearance of the Painlevé II kernel at the merging criticality in the microscopic scale of $\sim n^{-1 / 3}$. A remarkable feature of the particle spacing at the criticality is its anisotropic nature. While the particles are spaced at a distance $\sim n^{-1 / 3}$ in the direction of merging, they are concentrated on the much smaller scale $\sim n^{-1 / 2}$ in the perpendicular direction.
We also observe a rich scaling behaviour. This density vanishes quadratically in the direction of merging at the critical point $b_{c}$, giving rise to the Painlevé II universality. However, the characteristic scale


Figure 1: The droplet $\mathcal{S}$ (shaded region) and the merging point $b_{c}$ (black dot) when $t=t_{c}$ for $a=1$ and $c=1$. The center of the inner (white) disk is $a=1$.
on which the density varies from its value at $b_{c}$ to its value in the bulk is $n^{-1 / 4}$, i.e. larger than the scale, $n^{-1 / 3}$, of inter-particle distance at the critical point. This is in sharp contrast to the regular edge behaviour (1.6), where the particle density varies on the same scale on which the particles are spaced. The separation of characteristic lengths for the density and particle spacing causes the appearance of additional universal particle correlations in a mesoscopic distance from the merging singularity. Letting $\ell$ be the distance from the merging singularity, Sine kernel statistic emerges at $n^{-1 / 3} \ll \ell \ll n^{-1 / 4}$. For $\ell=n^{-1 / 4}$ the local universality class coincides with a Coulomb gas that is confined to a strip whose width is proportional to the particle spacing. Beyond this distance, i.e. for $\ell \gg n^{-1 / 4}$, the familiar bulk and edge behaviours from (1.5) and (1.6) are observed.

In this paper we consider the external potential,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z)=|z|^{2}+2 c \log \frac{1}{|z-a|}, \quad a>0, \quad c>0 . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This model was introduced in [7] to study the strong asymptotics of the orthogonal polynomials $p_{n, N}(z)$. For the case when $c$ is an integer, the corresponding orthogonal polynomials were also studied in [1]. Below we review some useful facts from [7].

Defining $t:=n / N$, the droplet $\mathcal{S}$ depends on the parameters $a, c$, and $t$. When $t=t_{c}$ where $t_{c}:=$ $a(a+2 \sqrt{c})$ the droplet undergoes a topological transition from genus 0 to genus 1 with the merging singularity at $z=b_{c}$ where $b_{c}:=a+\sqrt{c}$; see Figure 1 .
To state our main result, we recall the Painlevé II Riemann-Hilbert problem [23, 7] for $\widetilde{\Psi}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow$


Figure 2: The jump contours of $\widetilde{\Psi}(\xi ; s)$.
$\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\widetilde{\Psi}_{+}(\xi ; s)=\widetilde{\Psi}_{-}(\xi ; s)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), & \xi \in \gamma_{+},  \tag{1.8}\\
\widetilde{\Psi}_{+}(\xi ; s)=\widetilde{\Psi}_{-}(\xi ; s)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), & \xi \in \gamma_{-}, \\
\widetilde{\Psi}(\xi ; s)=\left(I+\frac{\Pi_{1}(s)}{2 i \xi}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\xi^{2}}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi^{3}+s \xi\right) \sigma_{3}}, & |\xi| \rightarrow \infty \\
\widetilde{\Psi}(\xi ; s) \text { is holomorphic, } & \text { otherwise },
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter, $\sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$ is the third Pauli matrix, $\gamma_{ \pm}$are the piecewise straight contours shown in Figure 2, and $\Pi_{1}(s)$ is given in terms of $q(s)$ by

$$
\Pi_{1}(s)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r(s) & q(s) \\
-q(s) & -r(s)
\end{array}\right), \quad r(s)=q^{\prime}(s)^{2}-s q(s)^{2}-q(s)^{4} .
$$

The unique solution to this Riemann-Hilbert problem provides $q(s)$, which is the Hastings-McLeod solution of the Painlevé II equation $q^{\prime \prime}=s q+2 q^{3}$; see [12, 23, 32] for more details.
Let us define an analytic continuation, $\Psi(\xi ; s)$, of the matrix function $\widetilde{\Psi}(\xi ; s)$ by

$$
\Psi(\xi ; s):= \begin{cases}\widetilde{\Psi}(\xi ; s), & \frac{\pi}{6}<\arg \xi<\frac{5 \pi}{6}  \tag{1.9}\\
\widetilde{\Psi}(\xi ; s)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), & \frac{7 \pi}{6}<\arg \xi<\frac{11 \pi}{6} \\
\widetilde{\Psi}(\xi ; s)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 1.1. Let $t_{c}:=a(a+2 \sqrt{c})$ and $b_{c}:=a+\sqrt{c}$. Furthermore, let $n, N \rightarrow \infty$ such that $t:=n / N$ satisfies $t=t_{c}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
s:=\frac{\gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}{2 b_{c}}\left(t-t_{c}\right), \quad \gamma_{c}:=\frac{2 b_{c}^{1 / 3} c^{1 / 6}}{a^{1 / 3}} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $0<\delta<1 / 6$, the correlation kernel in (1.3) exhibits the asymptotic behaviour

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{N}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{c}}{N^{5 / 6}} \mathbf{K}_{n, N}\left(b_{c}+\frac{x}{\sqrt{N}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \gamma_{c} y}{N^{1 / 3}}, b_{c}+\frac{x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{N}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \gamma_{c} y^{\prime}}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)=\mathbf{K}_{s}\left(x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 6-\delta}}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly over a region where $\left(N^{-\delta} x, y, N^{-\delta} x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$ is bounded.
Here $C_{N}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ is defined by

$$
C_{N}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right):=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\left(\varphi_{N}(y)-\varphi_{N}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)}, \quad \varphi_{N}(w):=a \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3} w+s w+4 w^{3} / 3
$$

The limiting kernel is given by

$$
\mathbf{K}_{s}\left(x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right):= \begin{cases}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\left(x^{2}+\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)}}{\sqrt{\pi / 2}} \frac{\Psi_{21}(y ; s) \Psi_{11}\left(y^{\prime} ; s\right)-\Psi_{11}(y ; s) \Psi_{21}\left(y^{\prime} ; s\right)}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)}, & y \neq y^{\prime} \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\left(x^{2}+\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)}}{\sqrt{\pi / 2}} \frac{\Psi_{21}^{\prime}(y ; s) \Psi_{11}(y ; s)-\Psi_{11}^{\prime}(y ; s) \Psi_{21}(y ; s)}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}}, & y=y^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

Here $\Psi_{11}$ and $\Psi_{21}$ are corresponding entries of $\Psi$ in (1.9). The symbol " " " in $\Psi_{21}^{\prime}$ and $\Psi_{11}^{\prime}$ stands for the derivative with respect to $y$.
We note that $C_{N}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ drops out when computing the $k$-point correlation function (1.2), hence it is irrelevant to the statistical behavior.

This is the first observation of the limiting kernel at criticality in the normal random matrix ensemble. The zooming scales are anisotropic: $N^{1 / 3}$ in the direction of the merging (represented by the coordinates $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ ) and $N^{1 / 2}$ in the direction perpendicular to the merging (represented by the coordinate $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ ). If we instead use the zooming scale of $N^{1 / 3}$ for both directions, the Gaussian factor $\mathrm{e}^{-x^{2}}$ in $x$ (and similarly for $x^{\prime}$ ) will create the $\delta$-function in the large $N$ limit, and the limiting process will be concentrated on a 1 -dimensional space represented by $y$ and $y^{\prime}$, producing the same limiting process as in the 1 -matrix model studied in [12, 23]. This supports the conjecture made by physicists [40] that the normal matrix model has the same universal critical behaviors as in the unitary matrix model.

The following theorem provides a detailed description of $\mathbf{K}_{n, N}$ at the merging singularity on mesoscopic scales, that interpolates between the singularity and the bulk regime.
Below we introduce the parameter $\tau$ to represent the scaled distance, $\sim N^{\tau}$, from the singularity.
Theorem 1.2. Let $t_{c}:=a(a+2 \sqrt{c})$ and $b_{c}:=a+\sqrt{c}$. Let $n, N \rightarrow \infty$ such that $t=n / N$ and $t=t_{c}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)$.
If $\frac{1}{6}<\tau<\frac{1}{4}$, the following limit is uniform over $(\nu, \eta)$ in a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and $(X, Y)$ in a compact


Figure 3: Various scaling behaviors appear depending on the distance from the merging point. See Remark 2.
subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{Y=0\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n, N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_{N, \tau}\left(X \sqrt{N} / N^{2 \tau}, Y, \nu, \eta\right)}{n} \mathbf{K}_{n, N}\left(b_{c}+\frac{X}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{N^{\tau}}+\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{N}}, b_{c}+\frac{X}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{N^{\tau}}+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \\
&= X+b_{c} Y^{2}<0 \text { or } X+\sqrt{c} Y^{2}>0  \tag{1.12}\\
& \frac{0,}{} \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{G(\nu, \eta)}{\pi t_{c}}, & X+\sqrt{c} Y^{2}<0<X+b_{c} Y^{2} \\
\frac{G(\nu, \eta)}{2 \pi t_{c}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right), & X+b_{c} Y^{2}=0 \text { or } X+\sqrt{c} Y^{2}=0
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G(\nu, \eta)$ is the Ginibre kernel defined in (1.5), $C_{N, \tau}(X, Y, \nu, \eta)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{N, \tau}(X, Y, \nu, \eta) & :=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{(\bar{\nu}-\nu+\eta-\bar{\eta})\left(X+\sqrt{2} \sqrt{N} b_{c}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\nu+\overline{+}-\eta-\bar{\eta}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right) \frac{1}{4}}{N^{2 \tau}} \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2}} \frac{(\sqrt{c}+u(\nu))^{\frac{N c}{2}}}{(\sqrt{c}+\overline{u(\nu)})^{\frac{N c}{2}}} \frac{(\sqrt{c}+\overline{v(\eta)})^{\frac{N c}{2}}}{(\sqrt{c}+v(\eta))^{\frac{N c}{2}}},  \tag{1.13}\\
u(\nu) & :=\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{N^{\tau}}+\frac{(X+\sqrt{2} \nu)}{\sqrt{2 N}}, \quad v(\eta):=\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{N^{\tau}}+\frac{(X+\sqrt{2} \eta)}{\sqrt{2 N}},
\end{align*}
$$

and erfc is the complementary error function given by

$$
\operatorname{erfc}(z):=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{z}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-t^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

If $\frac{1}{4}<\tau<\frac{3}{10}$, the following limit is uniform over $\left(Y, x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ in a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{5} \backslash\{Y=0\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n, N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widehat{C}_{N}\left(Y, x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{n \sqrt{N}} \mathbf{K}_{n, N}\left(b_{c}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{N^{\tau}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi y}{N^{1-2 \tau} a Y^{2}}+\frac{x}{\sqrt{N}}-\frac{t_{c} Y^{2}}{2 \sqrt{2} a N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{s}{\sqrt{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}},\right. \\
& \left.b_{c}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{N^{\tau}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi y^{\prime}}{N^{1-2 \tau} a Y^{2}}+\frac{x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{N}}-\frac{t_{c} Y^{2}}{2 a \sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{s}{\sqrt{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right) \\
= & \frac{a Y^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2}-\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}{\pi^{3 / 2} t_{c}} \frac{\sin \left(\pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)}, \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widehat{C}_{N}\left(Y, x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ is defined in terms of $C_{N, \tau}$ (1.13) by

$$
\widehat{C}_{N}\left(Y, x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right):=C_{N, \tau}\left(-\frac{b_{c}+\sqrt{c}}{2} \frac{Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{s}{\sqrt{2} \gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}}, Y, x+\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi y}{a Y^{2}} \frac{N^{2 \tau}}{\sqrt{N}}, x^{\prime}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi y^{\prime}}{a Y^{2}} \frac{N^{2 \tau}}{\sqrt{N}}\right) .
$$

For the remaining case of $\tau=\frac{1}{4}$, the following limit is uniform over $(\nu, \eta)$ in a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and $(X, Y)$ in a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{Y=0\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n, N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_{N, 1 / 4}(X, Y, \nu, \eta)}{n} \mathbf{K}_{n, N}\left(b_{c}+\frac{X}{\sqrt{2 N}}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{N^{\tau}}+\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{N}}, b_{c}+\frac{X}{\sqrt{2 N}}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{N^{\tau}}+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \\
= & \frac{G(\nu, \eta)}{2 \pi t_{c}}\left(\operatorname{erfc}\left(X+\sqrt{c} Y^{2}+\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)-\operatorname{erfc}\left(X+b_{c} Y^{2}+\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right), \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{N, 1 / 4}(X, Y, \nu, \eta)$ is defined in (1.13) and $G(\nu, \eta)$ is defined in (1.5).
Again, the prefactors $C_{N, \tau}$ drop out when determining the correlations functions and, thus, do not influence the particle statistics.

Remark 1. Missing gaps in $\tau$ range: Theorem 1.2 does not address certain ranges of $\tau$, for example, $0<\tau \leq 1 / 6$ and $3 / 10 \leq \tau<1 / 3$. Let us remark on these ranges.

In (1.12) the two parabolas, $X=-b_{c} Y^{2}$ and $X=-\sqrt{c} Y^{2}$, are used to define the cases. They are the quadratic approximations of the boundary of the droplet near the critical merging point. For the case of $0<\tau \leq \frac{1}{6}$, which corresponds to moving away from the merging point and going deeper into the bulk of the droplet, we expect the behaviour from (1.12) to remain valid if one uses the better approximation of the droplet boundaries and an accompanying modification of the prefactor $C_{N, \tau}$. It means that, instead using the parabolas to divide the cases in (1.12), we will need a better approximation of the droplet boundary in terms of the higher order terms as $X=-b_{c} Y^{2}+A_{4} Y^{4}+A_{6} Y^{6}+\ldots$ where $A_{4}, A_{6}, \ldots$ are some (scaling) coefficients.
For the other range of $3 / 10 \leq \tau<1 / 3$, we expect that the limiting behaviour for $1 / 4<\tau<3 / 10$ in (1.14) to remain valid. To prove this claim we need higher order corrections of orthogonal polynomial to approach deeper (i.e. larger $\tau$ ) into the critical region. The reason for our belief is based on the following heuristic check. If one assumes that the asymptotic behavior at $\tau=1 / 3$ in Theorem 1.1 holds for smaller values of $\tau$, one can in fact obtains the asymptotic behavior in (1.14) by using the known asymptotics of

Painlevé II transcendents. To be more concrete, one can substitute $x$ and $y$ in (1.11) respectively by

$$
x-\frac{b_{c}+\sqrt{c}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \frac{Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{s}{\sqrt{2} \gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y N^{1 / 3}}{\gamma_{c} N^{\tau}}+\frac{\sqrt{2} \pi y}{\gamma_{c} a Y^{2}} \frac{N^{2 \tau}}{N^{2 / 3}},
$$

and similarly for $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$, to eventually obtain the asymptotic behavior of (1.14). Note that this substitution is not legal because $x$ and $y$ in (1.11) are bounded in some way. However such matching suggests that the result that we obtained for $1 / 4<\tau<3 / 10$, being heuristically reproduced from the result at $\tau=1 / 3$, may hold for all the intermediate region $3 / 10 \leq \tau<1 / 3$.
Remark 2. Rich Scaling Behaviour Near the Merging Singularity: In contrast to the 1 dimensional Coulomb gas, the merging singularity here exhibits an additional characteristic mesoscopic scale $N^{-1 / 4}$, greater than the microscopic length scale $N^{-1 / 3}$ of particle spacing. On this mesoscopic length scale the particle density has a non-trivial profile and drops from its bulk value to zero at the singularity. Such rich scaling behaviour is absent at regular edge points of normal matrix models. For $\frac{1}{4}<\tau<\frac{3}{10}$, at the distance $\sim N^{-\tau}$ from the merging point $b_{c}$ the limiting distribution of the particles is described by the sine kernel (1.14) as in GUE. See Figure 3 for the regions where the sine kernel appears. More accurately, at the distance $\sim N^{-\tau}$ from the merging point, the inter-particle distance scales as $\sim N^{2 \tau-1}$. It can be understood as follows. At the distance $\sim N^{-\tau}$ the width of the neck scales as $\sim N^{-2 \tau}$. It means that the line density of the particle along the neck, assuming the area density of $\sim N$ from the bulk, is $\sim N^{1-2 \tau}$. It implies that the inter-particle distance scales as $\sim 1 / N^{1-2 \tau}$. One can also see why the transition from Sine-kernel to Ginibre regime occurs at $\tau=1 / 4$. At $\tau=1 / 4$ the width of the neck becomes of order $\sim N^{-1 / 2}$, matching the inter-particle distance of Ginibre ensemble, and hence the neck is barely thick enough to accommodate two-dimensional distribution of particles.

For $\tau=1 / 4$, one can obtain the limiting density by setting $\nu=\eta=0$ in (1.15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n, N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} R_{n, N}^{1}\left(b_{c}+\frac{X}{\sqrt{2 N}}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{N^{1 / 4}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi t_{c}}\left(\operatorname{erfc}\left(X+\sqrt{c} Y^{2}\right)-\operatorname{erfc}\left(X+b_{c} Y^{2}\right)\right) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

See Figure 4 for the limiting asymptotic behavior of $\frac{1}{n} R_{n, N}^{1}$ in (1.16).
Remark 3. The limiting kernel for $\tau=\frac{1}{4}$ (1.15) in Theorem 1.2 with $X=-\frac{\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right) Y^{2}}{2}$ also appears in a class of normal matrix ensembles with certain singularities and almost-Hermitian random matrices, which was studied by using Ward's equation. We refer to [4, 5] and the reference therein for details.

Structure of the rest of the paper: In Section 2, we obtain the asymptotics of the orthogonal polynomials and the norming constants up to the first sub-leading term by using Riemann-Hilbert problems. For the proofs, the main tools are the generalized version of the Christoffel-Darboux identity (the C-D identity) and the Riemann-Hilbert problems. In sections 3 and 4, we prove Theorem 1.1, and in Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.2. In Appendix A, we obtain some relations in the Painlevé II Riemann-Hilbert problem. In Appendix B, we state the proof of the Theorem 2.1. In Appendix C, we state the Proof of Theorem 4.1.


Figure 4: The plot of the leading term in the right hand side of (1.16) for $a=c=1$. Here $-20 \leq X \leq 20$, $-5 \leq Y \leq 5$.

## 2 The C-D Identity, Orthogonal Polynomials and Norming Constants

In this section, we state the generalized version of the Christoffel-Darboux identity (C-D identity), and the fine asymptotics of the orthogonal polynomials and the norming constants by using Riemann-Hilbert problems. In Subsection 2.1 we introduce the C-D identity from [16], list the necessary facts from [7] and define the (complex) logarithmic potential, $g$-function; In Subsection 2.2 we determine the asymptotics of the orthogonal polynomials $p_{n}$ up to the first sub-leading term. The leading order has been computed through the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problem and its analysis in [7]. Here we make use of the "partial Schlesinger transform" developed in [9] to refine this analysis and derive the asymptotic behavior of $p_{n}$ in the subleaing orders, which is necessary to compute the local correlation kernel in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2; In Subsection 2.3 we derive several recurrence relations through which we obtain the norming constant $h_{n}$ and the relations among $p_{n}, p_{n-1}$ and $p_{n+1}$.

### 2.1 The C-D identity and the $g$-function

We recall that the monic orthogonal polynomial $p_{n}(z)=p_{n, N}(z)$ of degree $n$ is defined by (1.4). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(z):=(z-a)^{N c} p_{n}(z), \quad n=0,1, \ldots, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta):=\mathrm{e}^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{h_{k}} \psi_{k}(z) \overline{\psi_{k}(\zeta)}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)$, sometimes called the pre-kernel, is purely analytic in $z$ and anti-analytic in $\zeta$.
Using the definition of the correlation kernel in (1.3) and the explicit expression of $Q$ in (1.7), the correlation kernel can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\mathbf{K}_{n, N}(z, \zeta)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{N z \bar{\zeta}}}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2}}|z|^{2}+\frac{N}{2}|\zeta|^{2}} \frac{|z-a|^{N c}|\zeta-a|^{N c}}{(z-a)^{N c}(\bar{\zeta}-a)^{N c}} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $a \neq 0$. Then we have the following form of the Christoffel-Darboux identity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & e^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \frac{1}{\frac{n+N c}{N} h_{n-1}-h_{n}} \bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \overline{\psi_{n}(\zeta)}\left(\psi_{n}(z)-z \psi_{n-1}(z)\right) \\
& -e^{-N z \bar{\zeta} \overline{p_{n+1}(a)}} \frac{N h_{n} / h_{n-1}}{p_{n}(a)} \frac{n+N c+1}{N} h_{n}-h_{n+1} \\
\psi_{n-1}(\zeta) & \left.\psi_{n+1}(z)-z \psi_{n}(z)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $h_{n}$ is the norming constant defined by (1.4). Theorem 2.1 has been proved in [16] and also used in [17]. For the convenience of the readers, we put the proof in Appendix B. For the radially symmetric case when $a=0$, we have $p_{j}(z)=z^{j}$ and $h_{j}=\frac{\Gamma(j+N c+1)}{N^{j+N c+1}}$. As the correlation kernel can be computed directly, we exclude such case.

From Theorem 2.1, to obtain asymptotic behavior of the correlation kernel, we need the asymptotic behavior of $p_{n}$ in the scaling limit

$$
n, N \rightarrow \infty, \quad \frac{n}{N}=t=t_{c}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right) .
$$

In particular, we will need the asymptotic behavior of $p_{n}$ up to the first sub-leading term in large $N$ expansion.

The critical parameter value $t_{c}$ corresponds to the droplet with the merging singularity, as shown in Figure 1. We will need the evolution of the droplet before $\left(t<t_{c}\right)$ and after $\left(t>t_{c}\right)$ the merging singularity or, more accurately, the (complex) logarithmic potential $g(z)$ generated by the limiting measure of the Coulomb particles.

Let us introduce some notations and results from [7]. We define the function $V(z)$ by

$$
V(z):=a z-c \log (z-a)+(c+t) \log z,
$$

and the function $\phi(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z):=a z-(t+c) \log (z)+c \log (z-a)+t \ell, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $\ell$ will be defined below. Let $b$ and $\beta$ be two critical points of $\phi(z)$ such that

$$
b:=\frac{a^{2}+t+\sqrt{\left(t-a^{2}\right)^{2}-4 a^{2} c}}{2 a}, \quad \beta:=\frac{a^{2}+t-\sqrt{\left(t-a^{2}\right)^{2}-4 a^{2} c}}{2 a},
$$

where we take the principal branch of the roots. We set the constant $\ell$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell:=\frac{1}{t}((t+c) \log \beta-c \log (\beta-a)-a \beta) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5: The positions of $\beta, b$ and $b_{c}^{*}$ in $z$-coordinate for $t<t_{c}$ (left) and $t>t_{c}$ (right). The shaded parts represent the regions of the droplet.
such that $\phi(\beta)=0$. Note that $\ell$ is real for $t \geq t_{c}$ and $\ell$ is complex for $t<t_{c}$. We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=b_{c}+\frac{c^{1 / 4}}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{t-t_{c}}+\mathcal{O}\left(t-t_{c}\right), \quad \beta=b_{c}-\frac{c^{1 / 4}}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{t-t_{c}}+\mathcal{O}\left(t-t_{c}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These $b$ and $\beta$ are complex for $t<t_{c}$ and we choose them such that $\operatorname{Im}(\beta)>0>\operatorname{Im}(b)$ in this case. See Figure 5 for the illustration of $b$ and $\beta$.

We define the curve $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the following conditions: $\mathcal{B}$ is a simple closed curve enclosing 0 and $a$ with $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ and, on the curve $\mathcal{B}$ the following equation is satisfied,

$$
a^{2} \frac{(z-b)^{2}(z-\beta)^{2}}{z^{2}(z-a)^{2}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} z<0
$$

where $\mathrm{d} z$ is the tangential differential to $\mathcal{B}$. The uniqueness and the existence of such curve is shown in [7, Section 2.3]. See Figure 6 for the plot of $\mathcal{B}$.

We define the $g$-function by

$$
g(z):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2 t}(V(z)-\phi(z)+t \ell)=\log z+\frac{c}{t} \log \left(\frac{z}{z-a}\right), &  \tag{2.7}\\ z \in \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{B}), \\ \frac{1}{2 t}(V(z)+\phi(z)+t \ell)=\frac{a z}{t}+\ell, & \\ z \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B})\end{cases}
$$

where $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B})$ stands for the exterior (including $\infty$ ) and the interior of the Jordan curve $\mathcal{B}$.

Remark 4. For readers with some knowledge of [7], we note that our definition of $g(z)$ matches the definition for $t \geq t_{c}$, the post-critical regime in the reference, while for $t<t_{c}$, our definition does not match the definition of the pre-critical regime in the reference. Since we are only interested in the vicinity of the criticality, i.e. $t-t_{c}=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)$, such deviation does not affect our analysis.


Figure 6: When $t=t_{c}$, the figure describes the green curve $\mathcal{B}$, the orange line $\Gamma_{+}$, the blue line $\Gamma_{-}$, and the black dots $b_{c}$ and the origin. All the curves are assigned a direction as shown. All the figures are numerically plotted for $a=c=1$.

### 2.2 Fine asymptotics of $p_{n}$

Let $\Gamma$ be a simple closed curve enclosing 0 and $a$, we define the matrix function

$$
Y(z):=Y_{n}(z)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p_{n}(z) & \frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{p_{n}(w) \omega_{n, N}(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w  \tag{2.8}\\
q_{n}(z) & \frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{q_{n}(w) \omega_{n, N}(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n, N}(z):=\mathrm{e}^{-N V(z)}=\frac{(z-a)^{N c} \mathrm{e}^{-N a z}}{z^{N c+n}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q_{n}(z):=q_{n, N}(z)$ is the unique polynomial of degree $n-1$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{q_{n}(w) \omega_{n, N}(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w=\frac{1}{z^{n}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right) .
$$

Then $Y$ satisfies the following Riemann-Hilbert problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
Y_{+}(z)=Y_{-}(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \omega_{n, N}(z) \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), & z \in \Gamma  \tag{2.10}\\
Y(z)=\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right)\right) z^{n \sigma_{3}}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\
Y(z) \text { is holomorphic, } & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

From now on, let $\Gamma$ exactly match $\mathcal{B}$. We choose $\Gamma_{+}$to be the steepest descent path from $\beta$ inside $\operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z))<0$ on $\Gamma_{+}$, and $\Gamma_{-}$to be the steepest descent path from $\beta$ inside $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z))<0$ on $\Gamma_{-}$. The domains $\Omega_{ \pm}$are defined by the open sets enclosed by $\mathcal{B}$ and $\Gamma_{ \pm}$respectively. See Figure 6.

Let us define the matrix $A(z)$ by

$$
A(z):= \begin{cases}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}} Y(z) \mathrm{e}^{-t N\left(g(z)-\frac{\ell}{2}\right) \sigma_{3}}, & z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega_{+} \cup \Omega_{-},  \tag{2.11}\\
\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}} Y(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-1 / \omega_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-t N\left(g(z)-\frac{\ell}{2}\right) \sigma_{3}}, & z \in \Omega_{+}, \\
\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}} Y(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / \omega_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-t N\left(g(z)-\frac{\ell}{2}\right) \sigma_{3}}, & z \in \Omega_{-}\end{cases}
$$

Then $A$ satisfies the Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$
\begin{cases}A_{+}(z)=A_{-}(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{e}^{N \phi(z)} & 1
\end{array}\right), & z \in \Gamma_{ \pm}  \tag{2.12}\\
A_{+}(z)=A_{-}(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), & z \in \mathcal{B} \\
A(z)=I+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right), & z \rightarrow \infty \\
A(z) \text { is holomorphic, } & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z))<0$ along $\Gamma_{ \pm}$, the jump of $A$ converges, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, to the jump of $\Phi$ that we define by the Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\Phi_{+}(z)=\Phi_{-}(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), & z \in \mathcal{B} \\
\Phi(z)=I+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right), & z \rightarrow \infty \\
\Phi(z) \text { is holomorphic, } & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

A solution to the above Riemann-Hilbert problem is given by

$$
\Phi(z):= \begin{cases}I, & z \in \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{B})  \tag{2.13}\\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), & z \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B})\end{cases}
$$

When $z$ is close to the points $b$ and $\beta$, the convergence of the jump matrix of $A$ to the jump matrix of $\Phi$ is getting worse. Therefore we need the local parametrices around $b$ and $\beta$ that satisfies the exact jump conditions of $A$ in (2.12).
There exists a fixed disk, $D_{c}$, centered at $b_{c}$ such that there exists the univalent map $\xi: D_{c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(\beta)=-\xi(b) \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{8 \mathrm{i}}{3}(\xi(z)-\xi(\beta))^{2}(\xi(z)+2 \xi(\beta))=N \phi(z), \quad z \in D_{c} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7: The positions of $\xi(\beta), \xi(b)$ and $\xi\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)=0$ for $t<t_{c}$ (left) and $t>t_{c}$ (right). The shaded parts represent the image of the droplet under $\xi$.

Here, the branch of the solution to the cubic equation is taken such that $\xi(\beta)>0$ for $t<t_{c}$ and $-\mathrm{i} \xi(\beta)>0$ for $t>t_{c}$, see Figure 7. The existence of such $\xi$ is shown in [7, Section 6]. Note that $\xi$ maps $\Gamma_{ \pm}$into $\gamma_{ \pm}$and $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathbb{R}$. See Figure 2 for the contours $\gamma_{ \pm}$.

Let us define $\hat{s}$ such that (2.14) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi(z)^{3}+\hat{s} \xi(z)+\frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}\right)=N \phi(z), \quad z \in D_{c} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameter $\hat{s}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{s}:=-4 \xi(\beta)^{2}=s\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(t-t_{c}\right)\right), \quad \text { where } \quad s:=\frac{\gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}{2 b_{c}}\left(t-t_{c}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameter $\gamma_{c}$ is at (1.10). Note that $\hat{s}=s\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)\right)$ for bounded $s$.
Let $b_{c}^{*}$ be the preimage of the origin under $\xi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{c}^{*}:=\xi^{-1}(0) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $b_{c}^{*}$ above and (2.14) we have

$$
-i \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}=N \phi\left(b_{c}^{*}\right), \quad \phi(b)=2 \phi\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
a^{2}+t-2 a b_{c}^{*}-(t+c)\left(\log (t+c)-2 \log b_{c}^{*}\right)+c\left(\log c-2 \log \left(b_{c}^{*}-a\right)\right)=0
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{c}^{*}=b_{c}+\frac{s}{2 \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{4 / 3}}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

See Figure 5 for the illustration of $b_{c}^{*}$.
Using (2.16), we have

$$
\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}= \begin{cases}\frac{2 \hat{s}^{3 / 2}}{3}, & \hat{s}>0  \tag{2.19}\\ \frac{2(-\hat{s})^{3 / 2}}{3} \mathrm{i}, & \hat{s} \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

Let us define

$$
r_{1}:=\frac{\xi^{\prime}\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)}{\mathrm{i} N^{1 / 3}}, \quad r_{2}:=\frac{\xi^{\prime \prime}\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)}{\mathrm{i} N^{1 / 3}}, \quad r_{3}:=\frac{\xi^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)}{\mathrm{i} N^{1 / 3}},
$$

so that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\xi(z)}{\mathrm{i} N^{1 / 3}}=r_{1}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)+\frac{r_{2}}{2}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}+\frac{r_{3}}{6}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{4}, \quad \text { as } z \rightarrow b_{c}^{*} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $\xi$ in (2.14) and the facts that

$$
\xi^{\prime}\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)=\frac{N}{-2 \mathrm{i} \hat{s}} \phi\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)^{\prime}, \quad \xi^{\prime \prime}\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)=\frac{N}{-2 \mathrm{i} \hat{s}} \phi\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)^{\prime \prime}, \quad \xi^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)=\frac{N}{-2 \mathrm{i} \hat{s}} \phi\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{8}{\hat{s}}\left(\xi^{\prime}\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)\right)^{3},
$$

we have, using (2.4), (above, $\phi(z)$ must be taken from $z \in \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{B})$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=-\frac{1}{\gamma_{c}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right), \quad r_{2}=\frac{t_{c}}{2 a b_{c} \sqrt{c} \gamma_{c}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right), \quad r_{3}=-\frac{\left(9 a^{2}+12 a \sqrt{c}+16 c\right)}{8 b_{c}^{2} c \gamma_{c}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right) . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define the following Riemann-Hilbert problem for the matrix function $\Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})$,

$$
\begin{cases}\Pi_{+}(\xi ; \hat{s})=\Pi_{-}(\xi ; \hat{s}), & \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\Pi_{+}(\xi ; \hat{s})=\Pi_{-}(\xi ; \hat{s})\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi^{3}+\hat{s} \xi\right)} & 1
\end{array}\right), & \xi \in \gamma_{+}, \\
\Pi_{+}(\xi ; \hat{s})=\Pi_{-}(\xi ; \hat{s})\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi^{3}+\hat{s} \xi\right)} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), & \xi \in \gamma_{-}, \\
\Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})=I+\mathcal{O}\left(\xi^{-1}\right), & \xi \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

The solution of the above Riemann-Hilbert problem can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})=\widetilde{\Psi}(\xi ; \hat{s}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi^{3}+\hat{s} \xi\right) \sigma_{3}}, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\Psi}(\xi ; \hat{s})$ is the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem in (1.8).
Let us define $\mathcal{P}(z)$ by

$$
\mathcal{P}(z)= \begin{cases}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} H(z) \Pi(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}}, & z \in D_{c} \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B}),  \tag{2.23}\\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} H(z) \Pi(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), & z \in D_{c} \cap \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{B}) .\end{cases}
$$

Here $H(z)$ is a holomorphic matrix function that will be determined in Proposition 2.2. One can check that $\Phi(z) \mathcal{P}(z)$ satisfies the jump conditions (2.12) of $A$ in $D_{c}$.
In order to obtain the asymptotics of $p_{n}$ up to the first sub-leading term, we need the first two sub-leading terms of $\Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})$. Let $\Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})$ be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})=I+\frac{\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})}{2 \mathrm{i} \xi}+\frac{\Pi_{2}(\hat{s})}{\xi^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\right), \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r(\hat{s}) & q(\hat{s}) \\
-q(\hat{s}) & -r(\hat{s})
\end{array}\right), \quad \Pi_{2}(\hat{s})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{11}(\hat{s}) & p_{12}(\hat{s}) \\
p_{21}(\hat{s}) & p_{22}(\hat{s})
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The series above is in integer powers of $\xi$ because the monodromy of $\Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})$ converges to the identity exponentially fast as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Here we have

$$
\begin{align*}
r(\hat{s}) & =q^{\prime}(\hat{s})^{2}-\hat{s} q(\hat{s})^{2}-q(\hat{s})^{4}, \\
p_{12}(\hat{s}) & =p_{21}(\hat{s})=\frac{q(\hat{s}) r(\hat{s})+q^{\prime}(\hat{s})}{4},  \tag{2.25}\\
p_{11}(\hat{s}) & =p_{22}(\hat{s})=\frac{q(\hat{s})^{2}-r(\hat{s})^{2}}{8} .
\end{align*}
$$

The relations in (2.25) are derived in Appendix A.
The following proposition derives a rational matrix function $S(z)$ with the only pole at $b_{c}^{*}$ and a holomorphic matrix function $H(z)$ such that the modified global parametrix $\Phi(z) S(z)$ matches with the local parametrix $\Phi(z) \mathcal{P}(z)$ along $\partial D_{c}$. This procedure of improving the local parametrix is known as the "partial Schlesinger transform" [9]. The construction of $H_{1}(z)$ and $S(z)$ with a simple pole and the constant matrix $S_{11}(\hat{s})$ was also described in [7]. Here we construct $H_{1}(z), H_{2}(z)$ and $S(z)$ with a simple pole and double poles such that the modified global parametrix better matches with the local parametrix along $\partial D_{c}$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})$ and $\Pi_{2}(\hat{s})$ be given in (2.24). We assume $t-t_{c}=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)$ and, therefore, $\hat{s}=\mathcal{O}(1)$ as $N$ grows to infinity. Let $S(z)$ be a rational matrix function with the only pole at $b_{c}^{*}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(z):=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})+S_{21}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{S_{22}(\hat{s})}{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}}, \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{11}(\hat{s})=-\frac{\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}}  \tag{2.27}\\
& S_{21}(\hat{s})=\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}^{3} N^{2 / 3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & p_{12}(\hat{s}) \\
p_{21}(\hat{s}) & 0
\end{array}\right),  \tag{2.28}\\
& S_{22}(\hat{s})=-\frac{\Pi_{2}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}} \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $H(z)$ be matrix function given by

$$
H(z):=H_{2}(z) H_{1}(z),
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1}(z):=I-\frac{\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})}{2 \mathrm{i} \xi(z)}+\frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}  \tag{2.30}\\
& H_{2}(z):=I+\frac{S_{21}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{S_{22}(\hat{s})}{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}-\left(\frac{\Pi_{2}(\hat{s})}{\xi(z)^{2}}+\left(H_{1}(z)-I\right) \frac{\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})}{2 \mathrm{i} \xi(z)}\right), \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

such that $H(z)$ is holomorphic at $b_{c}^{*}$. Then there exists a fixed disk $D_{c}$ centered at $b_{c}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} H(z) \Pi(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}}=S(z)\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right), \quad \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $\partial D_{c}$. Let us further denote $H(z)$ by

$$
H(z)=I+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h_{11}(z) & h_{12}(z)  \tag{2.33}\\
h_{21}(z) & h_{22}(z)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We have, uniformly over $z \in D_{c}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{11}(z)= & -\frac{r_{2} r(\hat{s})}{4 r_{1}^{2} N^{1 / 3}}+\frac{\left(3 r_{2}^{2}-2 r_{1} r_{3}\right) r(\hat{s})\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}{24 r_{1}^{3} N^{1 / 3}} \\
& +\frac{2\left(9 r_{2}^{2}-4 r_{1} r_{3}\right) p_{11}(\hat{s})-\left(3 r_{2}^{2}-r_{1} r_{3}\right)\left(q(\hat{s})^{2}-r(\hat{s})^{2}\right)}{24 r_{1}^{4} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}, \frac{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}{N^{1 / 3}}\right), \\
h_{12}(z)= & -\frac{r_{2} q(\hat{s})}{4 r_{1}^{2} N^{1 / 3}}+\frac{\left(3 r_{2}^{2}-2 r_{1} r_{3}\right) q(\hat{s})\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}{24 r_{1}^{3} N^{1 / 3}}+\frac{\left(9 r_{2}^{2}-4 r_{1} r_{3}\right) p_{12}(\hat{s})}{12 r_{1}^{4} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}, \frac{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}{N^{1 / 3}}\right),  \tag{2.34}\\
h_{21}(z)= & \frac{r_{2} q(\hat{s})}{4 r_{1}^{2} N^{1 / 3}}-\frac{\left(3 r_{2}^{2}-2 r_{1} r_{3}\right) q(\hat{s})\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}{24 r_{1}^{3} N^{1 / 3}}+\frac{\left(9 r_{2}^{2}-4 r_{1} r_{3}\right) p_{21}(\hat{s})}{12 r_{1}^{4} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}, \frac{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}{N^{1 / 3}}\right), \\
h_{22}(z)= & \frac{r_{2} r(\hat{s})}{4 r_{1}^{2} N^{1 / 3}}-\frac{\left(3 r_{2}^{2}-2 r_{1} r_{3}\right) r(\hat{s})\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}{24 r_{1}^{3} N^{1 / 3}} \\
& +\frac{2\left(9 r_{2}^{2}-4 r_{1} r_{3}\right) p_{22}(\hat{s})-\left(3 r_{2}^{2}-r_{1} r_{3}\right)\left(q(\hat{s})^{2}-r(\hat{s})^{2}\right)}{24 r_{1}^{4} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}, \frac{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}{N^{1 / 3}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where the big $\mathcal{O}$ notation with multiple arguments is defined by $\mathcal{O}(A, B)=\mathcal{O}(\max (|A|,|B|))$.
Proof. In the proof below, we will use the following bounds on $\partial D_{c}$ whenever necessary:

$$
S_{11}(\hat{s})=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1 / 3}\right), \quad S_{21}(\hat{s})=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right), \quad S_{22}(\hat{s})=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right), \quad \Pi_{j}(\hat{s})=\mathcal{O}(1), \quad \xi=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1 / 3}\right)
$$

All the bounds in the proof should be understood in the limit of large $N$. When $z \in \partial D_{c}$ and therefore $1 / \xi=O\left(N^{-1 / 3}\right)$. We sometimes use the notation $\mathcal{O}\left(1 / \xi^{3}\right)$ to indicate the origin of the bound.

Firstly, by the definition of $H_{1}(z)$ in (2.30) with $S_{11}(\hat{s})$ in (2.27), one can see that $H_{1}(z)$ is holomorphic at $b_{c}^{*}$. Moreover, using $\Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})$ with $\xi=\xi(z)$ in (2.24) and $H_{1}(z)$ in (2.30), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}(z) \Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})=I+\frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\left(\frac{\Pi_{2}(\hat{s})}{\xi^{2}}+\left(H_{1}(z)-I\right) \frac{\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})}{2 \mathrm{i} \xi}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})}{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right) \xi^{2}}, \frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\right) . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Pi_{2}(\hat{s})}{\xi^{2}}+\left(H_{1}(z)-I\right) \frac{\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})}{2 \mathrm{i} \xi} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right) \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \in \partial D_{c}$, we have

$$
H_{1}(z) \Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})=I+\frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right)
$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \in \partial D_{c}$.
Secondly, by the definition of $H_{2}(z)$ in (2.31) with $\Pi_{1}(\hat{s}), \Pi_{2}(\hat{s})$ in (2.24), $S_{21}(\hat{s})$ in (2.28), and $S_{22}(\hat{s})$ in (2.29), one can see that $H_{2}(z)$ is holomorphic at $b_{c}^{*}$. It follows that $H(z)$ is holomorphic at $b_{c}^{*}$. Moreover, using $\Pi(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})$ in (2.24), $H_{1}(z)$ in (2.30), and $H_{2}(z)$ in (2.31), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{2}(z) H_{1}(z) \Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})= & H_{2}(z)\left(I+\frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{\Pi_{2}(\hat{s})}{\xi^{2}}+\left(H_{1}(z)-I\right) \frac{\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})}{2 \mathrm{i} \xi}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\right)\right) \\
= & I+\frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})+S_{21}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{S_{22}(\hat{s})}{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\left(\frac{S_{21}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{S_{22}(\hat{s})}{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}\right) \frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}  \tag{2.37}\\
& -\left(\frac{\Pi_{2}(\hat{s})}{\xi^{2}}+\left(H_{1}(z)-I\right) \frac{\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})}{2 \mathrm{i} \xi}\right) \frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where the 1st equation is obtained by (2.35), the 2 nd equation is obtained by the definition of $H_{2}(z)$ in (2.31). As $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \in \partial D_{c}$, using (2.36), (2.37) and the definitions of $S_{11}(\hat{s}), S_{21}(\hat{s})$ and $S_{22}(\hat{s})$ in (2.27), (2.28), (2.29), respectively, we have

$$
\left(\frac{S_{21}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{S_{22}(\hat{s})}{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}\right) \frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}-\left(\frac{\Pi_{2}(\hat{s})}{\xi^{2}}+\left(H_{1}(z)-I\right) \frac{\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})}{2 \mathrm{i} \xi}\right) \frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{2}(z) H_{1}(z) \Pi(\xi ; \hat{s}) & =I+\frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})+S_{21}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{S_{22}(\hat{s})}{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \\
& =\left(I+\frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})+S_{21}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{S_{22}(\hat{s})}{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (2.32) holds. Moreover, when $z \in D_{c}$, using the definitions of $H_{1}(z)$ in (2.30) and $H_{2}(z)$ in (2.31), (2.34) holds.

Let us define $A^{\infty}(z)$ by

$$
A^{\infty}(z):= \begin{cases}\Phi(z) S(z), & z \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B}) \backslash D_{c}  \tag{2.38}\\
\Phi(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) S(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), & z \in \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{B}) \backslash D_{c} \\
\Phi(z) \mathcal{P}(z), & z \in D_{c}\end{cases}
$$

This will be the strong asymptotics of $A$ and we define the error matrix by

$$
\mathcal{E}(z)=A^{\infty}(z) A^{-1}(z)
$$

When $z \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \partial D_{c}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{+}(z)\left(\mathcal{E}_{-}(z)\right)^{-1} & =A_{+}^{\infty}(z)\left(A_{-}^{\infty}(z)\right)^{-1}=\Phi(z) \mathcal{P}(z) S(z)^{-1} \Phi(z)^{-1} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} H(z) \Pi(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} S(z)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) S(z)\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) S(z)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the 2 nd equality is obtained by the definition of $A^{\infty}(z)$ in (2.38), the 3 rd equality is obtained by (2.23) and the 4 th equality is obtained by (2.32).

By a similar computation, the same error bound holds for $z \in \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \partial D_{c}$. One can check that the error is exponentially small in $N$ away from $\partial D_{c}$. By the small norm theorem [27, 25], we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(z)=\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) A^{\infty}(z) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.3. Let $\xi$ and $\ell$ be given in (2.14) and (2.5). Let $H(z)$ be defined in (2.33). When $z \in D_{c}$, we have the following asymptotics,

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{n}(z)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{N t g(z)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)\right) \\
& q_{n}(z)=-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{N t(g(z)-\ell)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)\right) \tag{2.40}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[H \Psi]_{21}^{z}:=[H(z) \Psi(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})]_{21}=\left(1+h_{22}(z)\right) \Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})+h_{21}(z) \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})} \\
& {[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}:=[H(z) \Psi(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})]_{11}=\left(1+h_{11}(z)\right) \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})+h_{12}(z) \Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $[H \Psi]_{j k}^{z}$ is the corresponding entry of $H \Psi$ and the error bounds are uniform over $D_{c}$.
Proof. When $z \in D_{c} \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B}) \backslash \Omega_{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y(z) & =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}} A(z) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) A^{\infty}(z) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) \Phi(z) \mathcal{P}(z) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} H(z) \Pi(\xi ; \hat{s}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the 1 st equality is obtained by (2.11), the 2 nd equality is obtained by (2.39), the 3rd equality is obtained by (2.38), the 4th equality is obtained by the definition of $\Phi(z)$ in (2.13) and the definition of $\mathcal{P}$ in (2.23). It follows that

$$
p_{n}(z)=[Y(z)]_{11}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Ntg}(z)}\left([H(z) \Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})]_{21}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

where $[H \Pi]_{21}$ is the $(2,1)$-entry of $H \Pi$. By using the fact in (2.22), the above identity can be further written as

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n}(z) & =\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{N t g(z)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi(z)^{3}+\hat{s} \xi(z)\right)}[H(z) \widetilde{\Psi}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})]_{21}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{N t g(z)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi(z)^{3}+\hat{s} \xi(z)\right)}[H(z) \Psi(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})]_{21}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)  \tag{2.41}\\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{N t g(z)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here the 2 nd equality is obtained by the definition of $\Psi$ in (1.9), the 3rd equality is obtained by the definition of $\xi$ in (2.15) and (2.14). By the definition of $H$ in (2.33),

$$
[H \Psi]_{21}^{z}=\left(1+h_{22}(z)\right) \Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})+h_{21}(z) \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) .
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{n}(z) & =[Y(z)]_{21}=-\mathrm{e}^{N t(g(z)-\ell)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi(z)^{3}+\hat{\delta} \xi(z)\right)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)  \tag{2.42}\\
& =-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{N t(g(z)-\ell)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}=\left(1+h_{11}(z)\right) \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})+h_{12}(z) \Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) .
$$

Following the same arguments as above when $z \in D_{c} \cap \Omega_{+}$and using (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y(z)=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}} A(z) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / w_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) A^{\infty}(z) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / w_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) \Phi(z) \mathcal{P}(z) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / w_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \zeta_{\beta}^{3} \sigma_{3}} H(z) \Pi(\xi(z), \hat{s}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \zeta_{\beta}^{3} \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / w_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \zeta_{\beta}^{3} \sigma_{3}} H(z) \widetilde{\Psi}(\xi, \hat{s}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi^{3}+\hat{s} \xi\right) \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / w_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \zeta_{\beta}^{3} \sigma_{3}} H(z) \widetilde{\Psi}(\xi, \hat{s})\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi^{3}+\hat{s} \xi\right) \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \zeta_{\beta}^{3} \sigma_{3}} H(z) \Psi(\xi, \hat{s}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi^{3}+\hat{s} \xi\right) \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where the 1 st equality is obtained by (2.11), the 2 nd equality is obtained by (2.39), the 3 rd equality is obtained by (2.38), the 4th equality is obtained by the definition of $\Phi(z)$ in (2.13) and the definition of $\mathcal{P}$ in (2.23), the 5 th equality is obtained by the fact in (2.22), the 6th equality is obtained by the definition of $\omega_{n, N}(z)$ in (2.9), the definition of $g$-function in (2.7), and the fact that

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi^{3}+\hat{s} \xi\right) \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / w_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi^{3}+\hat{s} \xi\right) \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}},
$$

and the 7 th equality is obtained by the definition of $\Psi$ in (1.9).
Similar to the computations in (2.41) and (2.42), we see that (2.40) holds for $z \in D_{c} \cap \Omega_{+}$.
The analogous calculation can be done for $z \in D_{c} \cap \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{B})$.
Proposition 2.4. When $z$ is away from $D_{c}$ and $z \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B})$, we have the asymptotics,

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n}(z) & =\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{N a z+t N \ell}\left(\frac{q(\hat{s})}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}+\frac{r_{2} p_{21}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{3} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{p_{21}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right), \\
q_{n}(z) & =-\mathrm{e}^{N a z}\left(1-\frac{r(\hat{s})}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{p_{11}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right),  \tag{2.43}\\
{[Y(z)]_{12} } & =\mathrm{e}^{-N a z}\left(1+\frac{r(\hat{s})}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{p_{22}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here the error bounds are uniform over a compact subset of $\operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B}) \backslash D_{c}$.
When $z$ is away from $D_{c}$ and $z \in \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{B})$, we have the asymptotics,

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n}(z) & =z^{n}\left(\frac{z}{z-a}\right)^{N c}\left(1+\frac{r(\hat{s})}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{p_{22}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right), \\
q_{n}(z) & =-\frac{z^{n}}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}}\left(\frac{z}{z-a}\right)^{N c}\left(-\frac{q(\hat{s})}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}+\frac{r_{2} p_{12}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{3} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{p_{12}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right),} \\
{[Y(z)]_{12} } & =-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{6}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}}{z^{n}}\left(\frac{z-a}{z}\right)^{N c}\left(\frac{q(\hat{s})}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}+\frac{\gamma_{2} p_{21}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{3} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{p_{21}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) . \tag{2.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the error bounds are uniform over a compact subset of $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{B}) \backslash D_{c}$.
Let $D_{\tau}:=\left\{z:\left|z-b_{c}^{*}\right| \leq \frac{1}{N^{\tau}}\right\}$ with $0 \leq \tau<\frac{1}{3}$. When $z \in D_{c} \backslash D_{\tau}$, we have the asymptotics,

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n}(z)= & \mathrm{e}^{N a z+t N \ell} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}\left(\frac{q(\hat{s})}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}+\frac{r_{2} p_{21}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{3} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{p_{21}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1-3 \tau}}\right)\right) \\
& +\mathrm{e}^{N a z+t N \ell} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)}\left(1+\frac{r(\hat{s})}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{p_{22}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1-3 \tau}}\right)\right), \\
q_{n}(z)= & -\mathrm{e}^{N a z}\left(1-\frac{r(\hat{s})}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{p_{11}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1-3 \tau}}\right)\right) \\
& +\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{N a z} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)}\left(\frac{q(\hat{s})}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{r_{2} p_{12}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{3} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}+\frac{p_{12}(\hat{s})}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1-3 \tau}}\right)\right) . \tag{2.45}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the error bounds are uniform over $D_{c} \backslash D_{\tau}$.
Proof. When $z \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B}) \backslash D_{c}$, using (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y(z)=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) A^{\infty}(z) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) \Phi(z) S(z) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N t \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})+S_{21}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{S_{22}(\hat{s})}{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N t(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where the 1 st equality is obtained by (2.39), the 2 nd equality is obtained by (2.38), the 3rd equality is obtained by the definition of $\Phi(z)$ in (2.13) and the definition of $S$ in (2.26).
Using the definitions of $S_{11}$ in (2.27), $S_{21}$ in (2.28), and $S_{22}$ in (2.29), we have (2.43).
When $z$ is away from $D_{c}$, similar computations can be done for $z$ in $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{B}) \backslash \Omega_{-}$and $\Omega_{ \pm}$.
When $z \in D_{c} \backslash D_{\tau}$, using $\xi$ in (2.20), we have $\xi=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1 / 3-\tau}\right)$. For $z \in \Omega_{+}$we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y(z)=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}} A(z) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / w_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) A^{\infty}(z) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / w_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) \Phi(z) \mathcal{P}(z) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / w_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{P}(z) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / w_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} H(z) \Pi(\xi ; x) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / w_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N \ell}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}}\left(I+\frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})+S_{21}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{S_{22}(\hat{s})}{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1-3 \tau}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \sigma_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t N(2 g(z)-\ell)}{2} \sigma_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 / w_{n, N}(z) & 1
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality is obtained by $H(z) \Pi(\xi ; x)$ in (2.37) and the following fact

$$
\left(\frac{S_{21}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{S_{22}(\hat{s})}{\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}\right) \frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}-\left(\frac{\Pi_{2}(\hat{s})}{\xi^{2}}+\left(H_{1}(z)-I\right) \frac{\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})}{2 \mathrm{i} \xi}\right) \frac{S_{11}(\hat{s})}{z-b_{c}^{*}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1-3 \tau}}\right) .
$$

Using the definitions of $S_{11}$ in (2.27), $S_{21}$ in (2.28), and $S_{22}$ in (2.29), and the definition of $g$-function in (2.7), we have (2.45).

A similar computation can be done for $z$ in other regions of $D_{c} \backslash D_{\tau}$.
In particular, when $z \in D_{c} \backslash D_{\tau}$ and $z \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B}), \mathrm{e}^{N \phi(z)}$ is exponentially small in $N$, (2.45) matches the corresponding expressions in (2.43) by simply setting $\tau=0$ in the error bound $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{3 \tau-1}\right)$.

### 2.3 Recurrence relations

By Theorem 2.1, we will also need the asymptotic behavior of $p_{n-1}$ and $p_{n+1}$ to obtain asymptotic behavior of the correlation kernel. In order to obtain $p_{n-1}$ and $p_{n+1}$ we need the following recurrence relations. Similar recurrence relations have been obtained in [41, Appendix B] for generating numerical plots of the zeros of planar orthogonal polynomials with the external potential $Q(z)=|z|^{2}+\frac{2 c}{N} \log \frac{1}{|z-a|}$.
Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Y}(z):=\widetilde{Y}_{n}(z)=Y(z)\left[\left(\frac{z-a}{z}\right)^{N c} \frac{1}{\frac{\mathrm{e}}{}_{N a z}} \quad 0 .\right. \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y$ satisfies the Riemann-Hilbert problem in (2.10). The Riemann-Hilbert problem for $\widetilde{Y}(z)$ is given by

$$
\begin{cases}\widetilde{Y}_{+}(z)=\widetilde{Y}_{-}(z)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], & z \in \Gamma \\
\widetilde{Y}_{+}(z)=\widetilde{Y}_{-}(z)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{e}^{2 N c \pi \mathrm{i}} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], & z \in(0, a) \\
\widetilde{Y}(z)=\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\frac{z-a}{z}\right)^{N c} \frac{z^{n}}{\mathrm{e}^{N a z}} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], & z \rightarrow \infty \\
\widetilde{Y}(z) \text { is holomorphic, } & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Since $\operatorname{det} Y(z) \equiv 1$, the inverse of $\widetilde{Y}(z)$ exists in $\mathbb{C} \backslash(\Gamma \cup(0, a))$, and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(z):=\frac{\mathrm{d} \widetilde{Y}_{n}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z} \widetilde{Y}_{n}(z)^{-1} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\widetilde{Y}_{n}(z)$ and $\frac{\mathrm{d} \widetilde{Y}_{n}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z}$ have the same jump matrices, the matrix function $A_{n}(z)$ is meromorphic and can be determined by identifying the singularities at $\infty, 0$ and $a$. For $z \rightarrow \infty$, writing

$$
\widetilde{Y}_{n}(z)=\left(I+\frac{1}{z}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a_{n} & b_{n}  \tag{2.48}\\
c_{n} & d_{n}
\end{array}\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right)\right)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\frac{z-a}{z}\right)^{N c} \frac{z^{n}}{\mathrm{e}^{N a z}} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right],
$$

we have

$$
A_{n}(z)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-N a & 0  \tag{2.49}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]+\frac{1}{z}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
n & N a b_{n} \\
-N a c_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right) .
$$

Similarly, for $z \rightarrow 0$, writing

$$
\widetilde{Y}_{n}(z)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{n} & \beta_{n}  \tag{2.50}\\
\gamma_{n} & \eta_{n}
\end{array}\right](I+\mathcal{O}(z))\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\frac{z-a}{z}\right)^{N c} \frac{1}{e^{N N a z}} & 0 \\
0 & z^{n}
\end{array}\right],
$$

we have

$$
A_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-N c-(N c+n) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n} & (N c+n) \alpha_{n} \beta_{n} \\
-(N c+n) \gamma_{n} \eta_{n} & n+(N c+n) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}
\end{array}\right]+\mathcal{O}(1) .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n}(z)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-N a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] & +\frac{1}{z}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-N c-(N c+n) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n} & (N c+n) \alpha_{n} \beta_{n} \\
-(N c+n) \gamma_{n} \eta_{n} & n+(N c+n) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}
\end{array}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{z-a}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(N c+n)\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right) & N a b_{n}-(N c+n) \alpha_{n} \beta_{n} \\
-N a c_{n}+(N c+n) \gamma_{n} \eta_{n} & -n-(N c+n) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}
\end{array}\right] . \tag{2.51}
\end{align*}
$$

As $z$ goes to $\infty$, one can see that the coefficient matrix of $1 / z$ in $A_{n}(z)$ at (2.51) exactly matches the one of $A_{n}(z)$ at (2.49). Note that the notations $c_{n}$ and $\gamma_{n}$ are similar but unrelated to the charge $c$ in the external potential $Q$ in (1.7) and $\gamma_{c}$ in (1.10), respectively.
Defining $M_{n}(z):=\widetilde{Y}_{n+1}(z) \widetilde{Y}_{n}(z)^{-1}$, knowing $\widetilde{Y}_{n+1}(z)$ and $\widetilde{Y}_{n}(z)$ have the same jump matrices, by a similar procedure as above, we obtain that

$$
M_{n}(z)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
z+a_{n+1}-a_{n} & -b_{n}  \tag{2.52}\\
c_{n+1} & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Since $\operatorname{det} M_{n}(z)=z$, we have

$$
M_{n}(z)^{-1}=\frac{1}{z}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & b_{n}  \tag{2.53}\\
-c_{n+1} & z+a_{n+1}-a_{n}
\end{array}\right], \quad a_{n+1}-a_{n}+b_{n} c_{n+1}=0
$$

The compatibility of the Lax pair,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \widetilde{Y}_{n}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z}=A_{n}(z) \widetilde{Y}_{n}(z), \quad \widetilde{Y}_{n+1}(z)=M_{n}(z) \widetilde{Y}_{n}(z) \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives

$$
A_{n+1}(z) M_{n}(z)=\frac{\mathrm{d} M_{n}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z}+M_{n}(z) A_{n}(z)
$$

This yields the following recurrence relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n+1}=a_{n}+\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}, \quad \alpha_{n+1}=\frac{b_{n}}{\beta_{n}}, \quad \gamma_{n+1}=-\frac{1}{\beta_{n}}, \\
& b_{n+1}=\frac{\left(1+n+a^{2} N\right) b_{n}}{a N}+\frac{(N c+n) \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}{N}+\frac{b_{n}^{2}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}},  \tag{2.55}\\
& b_{n-1}=\alpha_{n} \beta_{n-1}=-\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\gamma_{n}}, \\
& \beta_{n+1}=\frac{\tilde{c}}{(1+N c+n)\left((N c+n) \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}-a N b_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}^{2} \beta_{n}},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{c} & =a^{2} N-N c-a(1+2(N c+n)) \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}+\left(a^{2} N-N c-a(N c+n) \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}\right) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n} \\
& +(N c+n)(N c+n+1) \alpha_{n}^{3} \beta_{n}^{3}+a N^{2} b_{n}^{3}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.5. The following identities hold,

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n-1}(z) & =\frac{1}{z}\left(p_{n}(z)-\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\gamma_{n}} q_{n}(z)\right)  \tag{2.56}\\
p_{n+1}(z) & =\left(z+\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}\right) p_{n}(z)-b_{n} q_{n}(z)  \tag{2.57}\\
\frac{p_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{N} & =\left(\frac{t+(c+t) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z-a}-\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z}\right) p_{n}(z)+\left(\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z}+\frac{a b_{n}-(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z-a}\right) q_{n}(z),  \tag{2.58}\\
h_{n} & =\frac{\Gamma(N c+n+1)}{N^{N c+n+1}} \pi \beta_{n} \tag{2.59}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Using $\widetilde{Y}_{n+1}(z)=M_{n}(z) \widetilde{Y}_{n}(z)$ in (2.54), the definition of $\widetilde{Y}_{n}(z)$ in (2.46) with (2.52) and (2.53), we have

$$
Y_{n}(z)=M_{n}(z)^{-1} Y_{n+1}(z)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & z
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{n+1}(z)=M_{n}(z) Y_{n}(z)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & z^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}\left(p_{n+1}(z)+b_{n} q_{n+1}(z)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad p_{n+1}(z)=\left(z+a_{n+1}-a_{n}\right) p_{n}(z)-b_{n} q_{n}(z) \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.55) and (2.60), we can see that (2.56) and (2.57) hold.
By (2.47) and the definition of $\tilde{Y}_{n}(z)$ in (2.46) we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} Y_{n}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z}=A_{n}(z) Y_{n}(z)-Y_{n}(z) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} z}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\frac{z-a}{z}\right)^{N c} \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{N a z}} & 0 \\
0 & z^{n}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\frac{z-a}{z}\right)^{N c} \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{N a z}} & 0 \\
0 & z^{n}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}
$$

Using the above equation and the explicit expression of $A_{n}(z)$ in (2.51) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n}^{\prime}(z)= & \left(-N a+\frac{-N c-(N c+n) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z}+\frac{N c+n+(N c+n) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z-a}\right) p_{n}(z) \\
& +\left(\frac{(N c+n) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z}+\frac{N a b_{n}-(N c+n) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z-a}\right) q_{n}(z)-\left(\frac{N c}{z-a}-\frac{N c}{z}-N a\right) p_{n}(z) \\
= & \left(-\frac{(N c+N t) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z}+\frac{N t+(N c+N t) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z-a}\right) p_{n}(z) \\
& +\left(\frac{(N c+N t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z}+\frac{N a b_{n}-(N c+N t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z-a}\right) q_{n}(z) \\
= & N\left(-\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z}+\frac{t+(c+t) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z-a}\right) p_{n}(z)+N\left(\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z}+\frac{a b_{n}-(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z-a}\right) q_{n}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us define the norming constant $\widetilde{h}_{n}$ of $p_{n}(z)$ with respect to the weight $\omega_{n, N}(z) \mathrm{d} z$ by

$$
\widetilde{h}_{n}:=\int_{\Gamma} p_{n}^{2}(z) \omega_{n, N}(z) \mathrm{d} z
$$

Using Proposition 7.1 in [7] we have,

$$
h_{n}=-\frac{\Gamma(N c+n+1)}{2 \mathrm{i} N^{N c+n+1}} \frac{\widetilde{h}_{n}}{p_{n+1}(0)}=\frac{\Gamma(N c+n+1)}{N^{N c+n+1}} \frac{\pi b_{n}}{\alpha_{n+1}}=\frac{\Gamma(N c+n+1)}{N^{N c+n+1}} \pi \beta_{n},
$$

where the 2 nd equality is obtained by the fact that

$$
\widetilde{h}_{n}=-\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} 2 \pi \mathrm{i} z^{n+1}\left[Y_{n}(z)\right]_{12}=-2 \pi \mathrm{i} b_{n},
$$

and the last equality is obtained by (2.55). This ends the proof.

## 3 The C-D identity: Proof of Lemma 3.1

In this section, we prove Lemma 3.1 by combining the C-D identity in Theorem 2.1 with the asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials in Propositions 2.3 and 2.4, and the norming constants and the recurrence relations in Section 2.3.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)$ be the pre-kernel defined in (2.2). Defining the local scaling coordinates $u$ and $v$ such that

$$
z=b_{c}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \gamma_{c}}{N^{1 / 3}} u \quad \text { and } \quad \zeta=b_{c}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \gamma_{c}}{N^{1 / 3}} v,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N^{5 / 6}} \bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & \exp \left(\frac{N^{1 / 3} \gamma_{c}^{2}(u-\bar{v})^{2}}{2}\right) \exp \left(\mathrm{i} s(u-\bar{v})-\frac{4 \mathrm{i}\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right)}{3 a}\left(u^{3}-\bar{v}^{3}\right)\right) \\
& \times \frac{N^{2 / 3}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2}}\left(\Psi_{11}(u ; s) \overline{\Psi_{11}(v ; s)}-\Psi_{21}(u ; s) \overline{\Psi_{21}(v ; s)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the error bound is uniform over $u$ and $v$ in a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$.
Proof. By Theorem 2.1, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\mathrm{I}_{n}-\mathrm{II}_{n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{n}:=\mathrm{I}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\mathrm{e}^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \frac{1}{\frac{n+N c}{N} h_{n-1}-h_{n}} \overline{\psi_{n}^{\prime}(\zeta)}\left(\psi_{n}(z)-z \psi_{n-1}(z)\right), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{II}_{n}:=\mathrm{II}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\mathrm{e}^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \frac{p_{n+1}(a)}{p_{n}(a)} \frac{N h_{n} / h_{n-1}}{\frac{n+N c+1}{N} h_{n}-h_{n+1}} \overline{\psi_{n-1}(\zeta)}\left(\psi_{n+1}(z)-z \psi_{n}(z)\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to compute (3.2), let us prepare several useful identities.
First of all, by (2.56), (2.57), and the definition of $\psi_{n}$ in (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{n}(z)-z \psi_{n-1}(z)=\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\gamma_{n}}(z-a)^{N c} q_{n}(z)  \tag{3.5}\\
& \psi_{n+1}(z)-z \psi_{n}(z)=\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}} \psi_{n}(z)-b_{n}(z-a)^{N c} q_{n}(z) . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.57), we have the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{n+1}(a)}{p_{n}(a)}=a+\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}-b_{n} \frac{q_{n}(a)}{p_{n}(a)} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, using (2.58) and the definition of $\psi_{n}$ in (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{n}^{\prime}(z)= & \frac{N c}{z-a} \psi_{n}(z)+(z-a)^{N c} p_{n}^{\prime}(z) \\
= & \frac{N c}{z-a} \psi_{n}(z)+N\left(\frac{t+(c+t) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z-a}-\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z}\right) \psi_{n}(z) \\
& +N\left(\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z}+\frac{a b_{n}-(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z-a}\right)(z-a)^{N c} q_{n}(z) \\
= & N(c+t)\left(\frac{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z-a}-\frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z}\right) \psi_{n}(z)+N\left(\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z}+\frac{a b_{n}-(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z-a}\right)(z-a)^{N c} q_{n}(z) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Lastly, using (2.59) in Proposition 2.5 and (2.55), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\frac{n+N c}{N} h_{n-1}-h_{n}} & =\frac{N^{N c+n+1}}{\Gamma(N c+n+1)} \frac{1}{\pi\left(\beta_{n-1}-\beta_{n}\right)}=-\frac{N^{N c+n+1}}{\Gamma(N c+n+1)} \frac{1}{\pi\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{n}}+\beta_{n}\right)},  \tag{3.9}\\
\frac{1}{\frac{n+N c+1}{N} h_{n}-h_{n+1}} & =\frac{N^{N c+n+2}}{\Gamma(N c+n+2)} \frac{1}{\pi\left(\beta_{n}-\beta_{n+1}\right)},  \tag{3.10}\\
\frac{N h_{n}}{h_{n-1}} & =(N c+n) \frac{\beta_{n}}{\beta_{n-1}}=-(N c+n) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n} . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.9), (3.8) and (3.5), we rewrite $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ from (3.3) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{I}_{n} & =-\mathrm{e}^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \frac{N^{N c+n+1}}{\pi \Gamma(N c+n+1)} \frac{N \alpha_{n}}{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}(z-a)^{N c} q_{n}(z) \\
& \times\left((c+t)\left(\frac{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\zeta-a}-\frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\zeta}\right) \psi_{n}(\zeta)+\left(\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{\zeta}+\frac{a b_{n}-(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{\zeta-a}\right)(\zeta-a)^{N c} q_{n}(\zeta)\right)^{*} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_{n}= & \mathrm{e}^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \frac{N^{N c+n+1}}{\pi \Gamma(N c+n+1)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{i}}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}(z-a)^{N c} \mathrm{e}^{N t g(z)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}(\zeta-a)^{N c} \mathrm{e}^{N t g(\zeta)}\right)^{*} \\
& \times \frac{N \alpha_{n}}{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}}\left((c+t)\left(\frac{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\zeta-a}-\frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\zeta}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{\zeta}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{\zeta}+\frac{a b_{n}-(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{\zeta-a}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{\zeta}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}}\right)^{*} \\
= & Q_{n} \frac{N \alpha_{n}}{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}}\left((c+t)\left(\frac{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\zeta-a}-\frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\zeta}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{\zeta}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{\zeta}+\frac{a b_{n}-(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{\zeta-a}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{\zeta}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}}\right)^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we defined the common factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}=e^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \frac{N^{N c+n+1}}{\pi \Gamma(N c+n+1)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}(z-a)^{N c} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N(2 \operatorname{tg}(z)+\phi(z))}{2}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}(\zeta-a)^{N c} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N(2 \operatorname{tg}(\zeta)+\phi(\zeta))}{2}}\right)^{*}, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

that will also appear in the expression for $\mathrm{II}_{n}$ in (3.15) below. Here and below the superscript $*$ means the complex conjugation but $b_{c}^{*}$ does not represent the complex conjugation of $b_{c}$, which is defined in (2.17). The 1st equality is obtained by (2.40). The error bound is uniform over $D_{c}$.

Similarly, using (3.7), (3.10), (3.11), and (3.6), we rewrite $\mathrm{II}_{n}$ from (3.4) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{II}_{n}= & \mathrm{e}^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \frac{p_{n+1}(a)}{p_{n}(a)} \frac{N h_{n} / h_{n-1}}{\frac{n+N c+1}{N} h_{n}-h_{n+1}} \overline{\psi_{n-1}(\zeta)}\left(\psi_{n+1}(z)-z \psi_{n}(z)\right) \\
= & \mathrm{e}^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \frac{N^{N c+n+2}}{\Gamma(N c+n+2)}\left(a+\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}-b_{n} \frac{q_{n}(a)}{p_{n}(a)}\right) \frac{(N c+n) \beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\pi\left(\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}\right)}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \times\left(\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}} \psi_{n}(z)-b_{n}(z-a)^{N c} q_{n}(z)\right)\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}\left(\psi_{n}(\zeta)-\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\gamma_{n}}(\zeta-a)^{N c} q_{n}(\zeta)\right)\right)^{*} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{II}_{n}= & \mathrm{e}^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \frac{N^{N c+n+1}}{\pi \Gamma(N c+n+1)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}(z-a)^{N c} \mathrm{e}^{N t g(z)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}(\zeta-a)^{N c} \mathrm{e}^{N t g(\zeta)}\right)^{*} \\
& \times \frac{N(N c+n)}{N c+n+1}\left(a+\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}-b_{n} \frac{q_{n}(a)}{p_{n}(a)}\right) \frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}} b_{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)^{-1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{\zeta}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\zeta}+\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\gamma_{n}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{\zeta}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\zeta \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}}\right)^{*}(3 .  \tag{3.15}\\
= & Q_{n} \frac{N(N c+n)}{N c+n+1}\left(a+\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}-b_{n} \frac{q_{n}(a)}{p_{n}(a)}\right) \frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}} b_{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)} \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)^{-1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{\zeta}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\zeta \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}}+\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\gamma_{n}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{\zeta}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\zeta \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}}\right)^{*} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here the 1st equality is obtained by (2.40). The error bound is again uniform over $D_{c}$.
We recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=N t, \quad t=t_{c}+\frac{2 b_{c} s}{\gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the local scaling coordinates $u$ and $v$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=b_{c}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \gamma_{c} u}{N^{1 / 3}}, \quad \zeta=b_{c}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \gamma_{c} v}{N^{1 / 3}} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now compute $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{II}_{n}$. Using the expression of $\beta$ in (2.6) with (3.16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=b_{c}-\frac{\gamma_{c} \sqrt{s}}{2 N^{1 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, using $\ell$ in (2.5) with (3.18) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \ell=-a b_{c}+b_{c}^{2} \log \left(b_{c}\right)-\frac{c \log (c)}{2}+\frac{2 b_{c} s \log \left(b_{c}\right)}{\gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}-\frac{2 s^{3 / 2}}{3 N}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{4 / 3}}\right) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the expressions for $z$ and $\zeta$ in (3.17), the expansion for $\ell$ in (3.19), and (3.16) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{e}^{-N z \bar{\zeta}}= & \exp \left(-N b_{c}^{2}-\mathrm{i} N^{2 / 3} b_{c} \gamma_{c}(u-\bar{v})-N^{1 / 3} \gamma_{c}^{2} u \bar{v}\right), \\
\frac{N^{N c+n+1}}{\pi \Gamma(N c+n+1)}= & \exp \left(N b_{c}^{2}\right) b_{c}^{-2 N b_{c}^{2}-N^{1 / 3} \frac{4 b c s}{\gamma_{c}}} \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2} b_{c}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right), \\
\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N a z+t N \ell}{2}}= & \exp \left[\frac{N^{2 / 3}}{2} a \gamma_{c} \mathrm{i} u-\frac{s^{3 / 2}}{3}\right] b_{c}^{\frac{N}{2} b_{c}^{2}+N^{1 / 3} \frac{b_{c s}}{\gamma_{c}}} c^{-\frac{N c}{4}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right),  \tag{3.20}\\
z^{\frac{n+N c}{2}}(z-a)^{\frac{N c}{2}}= & \exp \left[\frac{N^{2 / 3}}{2}(a+2 \sqrt{c}) \gamma_{c} i u+\frac{N^{1 / 3}}{2} \gamma_{c}^{2} u^{2}+\mathrm{i} s u-\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right) \gamma_{c}^{3} u^{3}}{6 \sqrt{c} b_{c}}\right] \\
& \times b_{c}^{\frac{N}{2} b_{c}^{2}+N^{1 / 3} \frac{b_{c} s}{\gamma_{c}}} c^{\frac{N c}{4}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here the 2nd identity is obtained by the Stirling approximation formula

$$
\Gamma(z)=\frac{z^{z}}{\mathrm{e}^{z}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{z}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right) .
$$

Moreover, for $z \in D_{c} \cap \operatorname{Ext} \mathcal{B}$, using the definition of $\xi(z)$ in (2.15), the expansion of $\xi(z)$ in (2.20) with (2.21) and (2.18), $\hat{s}$ in (2.16), and $s$ in (1.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\exp \left(\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)\right) & =\exp \left[\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} \xi(z)^{3}+\hat{s} \xi(z)+\frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}\right)\right] \\
& =\exp \left[\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{4}{3} u^{3}+s u\right)\right] \exp \left(\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}\right)\left(1-\frac{\left(4 u^{2}+s\right)\left(2 a \sqrt{c} b_{c} s-u^{2} t_{c} \gamma_{c}^{3}\right)}{4 a \sqrt{c} b_{c} \gamma_{c}^{2} N^{1 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right)\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the definitions of $g(z)$ in (2.7) and $\phi(z)$ in (2.4), we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z-a)^{N c} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N(2 t g(z)+\phi(z))}{2}}=z^{\frac{n+N c}{2}}(z-a)^{\frac{N c}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N a z+t N \ell}{2}} . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The common factor of $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{II}_{n}$ from (3.13) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{n}= & e^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \frac{N^{N c+n+1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}}{\pi \Gamma(N c+n+1)} z^{\frac{n+N c}{2}}(z-a)^{\frac{N c}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N a z+t N \ell}{2}}\left(\zeta^{\frac{n+N c}{2}}(\zeta-a)^{\frac{N c}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{8}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N a \zeta+t N \ell}{2}}\right)^{*} \\
= & \exp \left(\frac{N^{1 / 3} \gamma_{c}^{2}(u-\bar{v})^{2}}{2}\right) \exp \left(\mathrm{i} s(u-\bar{v})-\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right)}{6 \sqrt{c} b_{c}} \gamma_{c}^{3}\left(u^{3}-\bar{v}^{3}\right)\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(\frac{16}{3} \operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{i} \xi(\beta)^{3}\right)-\frac{2 \operatorname{Re}\left(s^{3 / 2}\right)}{3}\right) \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2} b_{c}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right) \\
= & \exp \left(\frac{N^{1 / 3} \gamma_{c}^{2}(u-\bar{v})^{2}}{2}\right) \exp \left(\mathrm{i} s(u-\bar{v})-\frac{4 \mathrm{i}\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right)}{3 a}\left(u^{3}-\bar{v}^{3}\right)\right) \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2} b_{c}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right), \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where the 1st identity is obtained by (3.22), the 2nd equality is obtained by (3.20), the last equality is obtained by the expansion for $\xi(\beta)$ in (2.19) with $\hat{s}=s\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)\right)$ and the definition of $\gamma_{c}$ in (1.10).

Now we compute the remaining part $\left(\mathrm{I}_{n}-\mathrm{II}_{n}\right) / Q_{n}$ of the difference between $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{II}_{n}$, without the common factor $Q_{n}$.
By (2.8), the relation between $Y_{n}$ and $\widetilde{Y}_{n}$ in (2.46), (2.50) and (2.43) in Proposition 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{n}=p_{n}(0)=-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}\left(\frac{q}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3} b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{r_{2} p_{21}}{r_{1}^{3} N^{2 / 3} b_{c}^{*}}+\frac{p_{21}}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right), \\
& \beta_{n}=\left[Y_{n}(0)\right]_{12}=1-\frac{r}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3} b_{c}^{*}}-\frac{p_{22}}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\frac{C_{\beta}}{N}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{4 / 3}}\right),  \tag{3.24}\\
& \gamma_{n}=q_{n}(0)=-\left(1+\frac{r}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3} b_{c}^{*}}-\frac{p_{11}}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\frac{C_{\gamma}}{N}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{4 / 3}}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here we will not need the explicit values of the coefficients $C_{\beta}$ and $C_{\gamma}$ in $\beta_{n}$ and $\gamma_{n}$ respectively. The numbers $C_{\beta}$ and $C_{\gamma}$ are defined by being the expansion coefficients in the $1 / N$-expansion, which will be dropped out of the computation of the correlation kernel at a later stage. Moreover, in this and in the following we note the implicit dependence on $\hat{s}$, i.e., $q=q(\hat{s}), r=r(\hat{s}), p_{11}=p_{11}(\hat{s})$ and $q^{\prime}=q^{\prime}(\hat{s})$.
By (2.48), the relation between $Y_{n}$ and $\widetilde{Y}_{n}$ in (2.46), and (2.44) in Proposition 2.4, we have

$$
b_{n}=\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} z^{n+1}\left[Y_{n}(z)\right]_{12}=-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}\left(\frac{q}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}}+\frac{r_{2} p_{21}}{r_{1}^{3} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) .
$$

Using this, the expression of $b_{c}^{*}$ in (2.18), the expressions of $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}$ and $\gamma_{n}$ in (3.24), the relations of $p_{11}$,
$p_{21}$ and $p_{22}$ in (2.25) and the expansions of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ in (2.21) we have the following identities,

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{n} & =\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}\left(\frac{q \gamma_{c}}{2 b_{c} N^{1 / 3}}+\frac{a \gamma_{c}^{2}\left(r q+q^{\prime}\right)}{8 b_{c}^{2} \sqrt{c} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right), \\
b_{n} & =\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}\left(\frac{q \gamma_{c}}{2 N^{1 / 3}}+\frac{\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right) \gamma_{c}^{2}\left(r q+q^{\prime}\right)}{8 b_{c} \sqrt{c} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right),  \tag{3.25}\\
\beta_{n} \gamma_{n} & =-1+\frac{q^{2} \gamma_{c}^{2}}{4 b_{c}^{2} N^{2 / 3}}-\frac{C_{\beta}+C_{\gamma}}{N}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{4 / 3}}\right), \\
\alpha_{n} \beta_{n} & =\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}\left(\frac{q \gamma_{c}}{2 b_{c} N^{1 / 3}}+\frac{\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right) \gamma_{c}^{2} r q+a \gamma_{c}^{2} q^{\prime}}{8 b_{c}^{2} \sqrt{c} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N \alpha_{n}}{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3}} \xi(\beta)^{3}}=\frac{2 b_{c} N^{4 / 3}}{q \gamma_{c}}+\frac{a\left(r q+q^{\prime}\right) N}{2 \sqrt{c} q^{2}}+\frac{16 b_{c}^{3}\left(C_{\beta}+C_{\gamma}\right) N}{2 \gamma_{c}^{3} q^{3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 / 3}\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by (2.43) in Proposition 2.4, the expression of $b_{c}^{*}$ in (2.18), the relations of $p_{11}$ and $p_{21}$ in (2.25) and the expansions of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ in (2.21) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q_{n}(a)}{p_{n}(a)}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}}}\left(-\frac{2 \sqrt{c} N^{1 / 3}}{q \gamma_{c}}+\frac{\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right) \gamma_{c}^{2} r q-a \gamma_{c}^{2} q^{\prime}}{2 b_{c} q^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right) . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.34) and (2.21), and the boundedness of $\exp \left(\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)\right)$ from (3.21), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}}=\Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})-\frac{\left(\sqrt{c}+b_{c}\right) \gamma_{c}}{8 \sqrt{c} b_{c} N^{1 / 3}}\left(r \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})+q \Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right) . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}}=\Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})+\frac{\left(\sqrt{c}+b_{c}\right) \gamma_{c}}{8 \sqrt{c} b_{c} N^{1 / 3}}\left(r \Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})+q \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right) . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}, \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ in (3.25), (3.28) and (3.29) with the expression for $z$ in (3.17) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (c+t)\left(\frac{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z-a}-\frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}} \\
& -\left(\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z}+\frac{a b_{n}-(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z-a}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3}\left((\beta)^{3}\right.} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}}  \tag{3.30}\\
= & b_{c} \Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})+\frac{\left(\sqrt{c}+b_{c}\right)\left(q \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})+r \Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})\right)-8 \mathrm{i} \sqrt{c} u \Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})}{8 \sqrt{c} \gamma_{c}^{-1} N^{1 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Combing (3.26), (3.28) and (3.30) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{I}_{n}}{Q_{n}} & =\frac{N \alpha_{n}}{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}}\left((c+t)\left(\frac{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\zeta-a}-\frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\zeta}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{\zeta}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}}\right. \\
& -\left(\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{\zeta}+\frac{a b_{n}-(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{\zeta-a}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{\zeta}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\left.\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}}\right)^{*}} \\
= & \frac{2 b_{c}^{2} \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \overline{\Psi_{21}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})} N^{4 / 3}}{q \gamma_{c}}+\frac{b_{c} \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \overline{\Psi_{21}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})}\left(a \gamma_{c}\left(q r+q^{\prime}\right)+4 \mathrm{i} \sqrt{c} \gamma_{c} q \bar{v}\right) N}{2 \sqrt{c} q^{2} \gamma_{c}}  \tag{3.31}\\
& -\frac{8 b_{c}^{4}\left(C_{\beta}+C_{\gamma}\right) \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \overline{\Psi_{21}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})} N}{q^{3} \gamma_{c}^{3}} \\
& +\frac{b_{c}\left(\sqrt{c}+b_{c}\right)\left(\Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \overline{\Psi_{11}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})}-\Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \overline{\Psi_{21}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})}\right) N}{4 \sqrt{c}}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 / 3}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}, \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ in (3.25), $\beta_{n+1}$ in (2.55), and the ratio of $q_{n}(a)$ and $p_{n}(a)$ in (3.27) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{N(N c+n)}{N c+n+1}\left(a+\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}-b_{n} \frac{q_{n}(a)}{p_{n}(a)}\right) \frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}}  \tag{3.32}\\
= & \frac{4 b_{c}^{3} N^{5 / 3}}{q^{2} \gamma_{c}^{2}}-2 b_{c}^{2} \frac{q r+q^{\prime}}{q^{3} \gamma_{c}} N^{4 / 3}+16 b_{c}^{5} \frac{C_{\beta}+C_{\gamma}}{q^{4} \gamma_{c}^{4}} N^{4 / 3}+\mathcal{O}(N) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using $b_{n}, \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}$ in (3.25), (3.28) and (3.29) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)} \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)^{-1}}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\left.\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{1}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}}\right)}\right.  \tag{3.33}\\
= & \frac{q \gamma_{c} \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})}{2 N^{1 / 3}}+\frac{\left(\sqrt{c}+b_{c}\right) \gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q r+2 q^{\prime}\right) \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})-\left(b_{c}-3 \sqrt{c}\right) q^{2} \gamma_{c}^{2} \Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})}{16 \sqrt{c} b_{c} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\beta_{n} \alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}$ in (3.25), (3.28) and (3.29) with the expression for $z$ in (3.17) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{z \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}}+\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\gamma_{n}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{z \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}}}  \tag{3.34}\\
= & \frac{\Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})}{b_{c}}+\frac{\left(b_{c}-3 \sqrt{c}\right) q \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})-\left(8 \mathrm{i} \sqrt{c} u-\left(\sqrt{c}+b_{c}\right) r\right) \Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})}{8 \sqrt{c} b_{c}^{2} \gamma_{c}^{-1} N^{1 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Combing (3.32), (3.33) and (3.34) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{I}_{n}}{Q_{n}} & =\frac{N(N c+n)}{N c+n+1}\left(a+\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}-b_{n} \frac{q_{n}(a)}{p_{n}(a)}\right) \frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}} b_{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)} \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)^{-1}}\right. \\
+ & \left.+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(z)}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}[H \Psi]_{21}^{\zeta}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\zeta \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}}+\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\gamma_{n}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}[H \Psi]_{11}^{\zeta}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1}\right)}{\zeta \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell+\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} \phi(\zeta)}}\right)^{*} \\
= & \frac{2 b_{c}^{2} \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \overline{\Psi_{21}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})} N^{4 / 3}}{q \gamma_{c}}+\frac{b_{c} \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \overline{\Psi_{21}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})}\left(a \gamma_{c}\left(q r+q^{\prime}\right)+4 \mathrm{i} \sqrt{c} \gamma_{c} q \bar{v}\right) N}{2 \sqrt{c} q^{2} \gamma_{c}}  \tag{3.35}\\
& -\frac{8 b_{c}^{4}\left(C_{\beta}+C_{\gamma}\right) \Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \overline{\Psi_{21}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})} N}{q^{3} \gamma_{c}^{3}} \\
& +\frac{b_{c}\left(b_{c}-3 \sqrt{c}\right)\left(\Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \overline{\Psi_{11}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})}-\Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \overline{\left.\Psi_{21}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})\right) N}\right.}{4 \sqrt{c}}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 / 3}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, using the definition $\xi(z)$ in (2.20) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(z)=u+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{N^{1 / 3}}\left(\frac{s}{2 \gamma_{c}^{2}}-\frac{t_{c} \gamma_{c}}{4 a \sqrt{c} b_{c}} u^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right) . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j, k=1,2$, using the expression of $\xi$ in (3.36), and the definitions of $\hat{s}$ and $s$ in (2.16) and (1.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{j k}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s})=\Psi_{j k}(u ; s)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1 / 3}\right)\right), \quad \Psi_{j k}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})=\Psi_{j k}(v ; s)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-1 / 3}\right)\right) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (3.31) and (3.35), one can see that the difference comes from the 4th term. Hence, the remaining part of $\mathrm{I}_{n}-\mathrm{II}_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{I}_{n}}{Q_{n}}-\frac{\mathrm{II}_{n}}{Q_{n}} & =b_{c} N\left(\Psi_{11}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \overline{\Psi_{11}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})}-\Psi_{21}(\xi(z) ; \hat{s}) \overline{\Psi_{21}(\xi(\zeta) ; \hat{s})}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right)  \tag{3.38}\\
& =b_{c} N\left(\Psi_{11}(u ; s) \overline{\Psi_{11}(v ; s)}-\Psi_{21}(u ; s) \overline{\Psi_{21}(v ; s)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here the last equality is obtained by the facts in (3.37).
Using the common factor of $\mathrm{I}_{n}-\mathrm{II}_{n}$ in (3.23) and the remaining part of $\mathrm{I}_{n}-\mathrm{II}_{n}$ in (3.38), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N^{5 / 6}} \bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{n}-\mathrm{I}_{n}}{N^{5 / 6}}= & \exp \left(\frac{N^{1 / 3} \gamma_{c}^{2}(u-\bar{v})^{2}}{2}\right) \exp \left(\mathrm{i} s(u-\bar{v})-\frac{4 \mathrm{i}\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right)}{3 a}\left(u^{3}-\bar{v}^{3}\right)\right) \\
& \times \frac{N^{2 / 3}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2}}\left(\Psi_{11}(u ; s) \overline{\Psi_{11}(v ; s)}-\Psi_{21}(u ; s) \overline{\Psi_{21}(v ; s)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This ends the proof.

## 4 Integrating the C-D identity: Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 by using Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.1.
Let us write the real and imaginary components of the coordinates explicitly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u:=u_{R}-\frac{\mathrm{i} u_{I}}{\gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}}, \quad v:=v_{R}-\frac{\mathrm{i} v_{I}}{\gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the imaginary component is scaled differently in $N$.
Using (3.17) and (4.1) we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{i} \gamma_{c}}{N^{1 / 3}} \partial_{\zeta}=\partial_{v}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{v_{R}}+\mathrm{i} \gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6} \partial_{v_{I}}\right), \quad-\frac{\mathrm{i} \gamma_{c}}{N^{1 / 3}} \bar{\partial}_{\zeta}=\bar{\partial}_{v}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{v_{R}}-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6} \partial_{v_{I}}\right) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{v_{I}}=\frac{1}{N^{1 / 2}}\left(\partial_{\zeta}+\bar{\partial}_{\zeta}\right) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (3.1) in Lemma 3.1 can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N^{5 / 6}} \bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & \exp \left(\frac{N^{1 / 3} \gamma_{c}^{2}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)^{2}}{2}-\mathrm{i}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)\left(u_{I}+v_{I}\right) \gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(\mathrm{i} s\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)-\frac{4 \mathrm{i}\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right)}{3 a}\left(u_{R}^{3}-v_{R}^{3}\right)-\frac{\left(u_{I}+v_{I}\right)^{2}}{2}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\delta-1 / 6}\right)\right) \\
& \times \frac{N^{2 / 3}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2}}\left(\Psi_{11}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \overline{\Psi_{11}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)}-\Psi_{21}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \overline{\Psi_{21}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)}\right.  \tag{4.3}\\
& -\frac{\mathrm{i} u_{I}\left(\Psi_{11}^{\prime}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \overline{\Psi_{11}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)}-\Psi_{21}^{\prime}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \overline{\Psi_{21}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)}\right)}{\gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}} \\
& \left.+\frac{\mathrm{i} v_{I}\left(\Psi_{11}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \overline{\Psi_{11}^{\prime}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)}-\Psi_{21}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \overline{\Psi_{21}^{\prime}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)}\right)}{\gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 \delta-1 / 3}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here and below, for an arbitrarily given $0<\delta<1 / 6$, all the error bounds will be uniform over $\left(u_{R}, N^{-\delta} u_{I}, v_{R}, N^{-\delta} v_{I}\right)$ in a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, which means that we allow $u_{I}$ and $v_{I}$ to grow as $\sim N^{\delta}$.
Since $\sigma_{1} \widetilde{\Psi}(-\xi ; s) \sigma_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{\Psi}(-\bar{\xi} ; s)}$ satisfy the same Riemann-Hilbert problem as $\widetilde{\Psi}$ in (1.8), it follows that

$$
\widetilde{\Psi}(\xi ; s)=\sigma_{1} \widetilde{\Psi}(-\xi ; s) \sigma_{1}, \quad \widetilde{\Psi}(\xi ; s)=\widetilde{\widetilde{\Psi}(-\bar{\xi} ; s)}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ is the first Pauli matrix. Then we have

$$
\overline{\widetilde{\Psi}_{11}(\bar{\xi} ; s)}=\widetilde{\Psi}_{11}(-\xi ; s)=\widetilde{\Psi}_{22}(\xi ; s), \quad \overline{\widetilde{\Psi}_{21}(\bar{\xi} ; s)}=\widetilde{\Psi}_{21}(-\xi ; s)=\widetilde{\Psi}_{12}(\xi ; s)
$$

Combining above relations with the definition of $\Psi$ in (1.9) we have the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\Psi_{11}\left(u_{R} ; s\right)}=\Psi_{21}\left(u_{R} ; s\right), \quad \overline{\Psi_{21}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)}=\Psi_{11}\left(v_{R} ; s\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume $u_{R} \neq v_{R}$; we skip the case of $u_{R}=v_{R}$ which is analogous. Using the relations in (4.4), we rewrite the equation (4.3) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N^{5 / 6}} \bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & \frac{N^{2 / 3}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2}} \exp \left(\frac{N^{1 / 3} \gamma_{c}^{2}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)^{2}}{2}-\mathrm{i}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)\left(u_{I}+v_{I}\right) \gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(\mathrm{i} s\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)-\frac{4 \mathrm{i}\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right)}{3 a}\left(u_{R}^{3}-v_{R}^{3}\right)-\frac{\left(u_{I}+v_{I}\right)^{2}}{2}\right) \\
& \times\left(\Psi_{11}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \Psi_{21}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)-\Psi_{21}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \Psi_{11}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\delta-1 / 6}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To integrate the above equation let us define $F_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N^{5 / 6}} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & \exp \left(\frac{N^{1 / 3} \gamma_{c}^{2}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)^{2}}{2}+\mathrm{i} s\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)-\frac{4 \mathrm{i}\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right)}{3 a}\left(u_{R}^{3}-v_{R}^{3}\right)\right) \\
& \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2} \gamma_{c}}\left(\exp \left(-\mathrm{i}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)\left(u_{I}+v_{I}\right) \gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}-\frac{\left(u_{I}+v_{I}\right)^{2}}{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \frac{\Psi_{21}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \Psi_{11}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)-\Psi_{11}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \Psi_{21}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)}{\mathrm{i}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)}+F_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the derivative of the both side by $v_{I}$ using the following identities from (4.2):

$$
\frac{1}{N^{5 / 6}} \partial_{v_{I}} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\frac{1}{N^{5 / 6} N^{1 / 2}}\left(\partial_{\zeta}+\bar{\partial}_{\zeta}\right) \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\frac{1}{N^{5 / 6} N^{1 / 2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)
$$

we get that

$$
\partial_{v_{I}} F_{n}=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\delta-1 / 6}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\left(u_{I}+v_{I}\right)^{2}}{2}\right)
$$

Integrating over $v_{I}$ while allowing $v_{I}$ and $u_{I}$ to grow as much as $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\delta}\right)$, we get that

$$
F_{n}=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\delta-1 / 6}\right)+\left.F_{n}\right|_{v_{I}=-N^{\delta}}
$$

where the last term serves as the integration constant. Similarly, exchanging the role of $u$ and $v$, we have

$$
F_{n}=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\delta-1 / 6}\right)+\left.F_{n}\right|_{u_{I}=-N^{\delta}} .
$$

Combining the two equations we have

$$
F_{n}=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\delta-1 / 6}\right)+\left.F_{n}\right|_{v_{I}=u_{I}=-N^{\delta}} .
$$

We remind that the error bound is uniform over ( $u_{R}, N^{-\delta} u_{I}, v_{R}, N^{-\delta} v_{I}$ ) in a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

Using the relation between the correlation kernel $\mathbf{K}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{n}$ in (2.3) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{e}^{N z \bar{\zeta}}}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2}|z|^{2}+\frac{N}{2}|\zeta|^{2}}} \frac{|z-a|^{N c}|\zeta-a|^{N c}}{(z-a)^{N c}(\bar{\zeta}-a)^{N c}} \\
& =\exp \left(\mathrm{i} a \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3} \frac{u+\bar{u}-v-\bar{v}}{2}+N^{1 / 3} \gamma_{c}^{2} \frac{(u-\bar{u})^{2}+(v-\bar{v})^{2}}{4}-\frac{N^{1 / 3} \gamma_{c}^{2}}{2}(u-\bar{v})^{2}\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \gamma_{c}^{3}\left(u^{3}+\bar{u}^{3}-v^{3}-\bar{v}^{3}\right)}{6 \sqrt{c}}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\mathrm{i} a \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)-\frac{N^{1 / 3} \gamma_{c}^{2}}{2}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{i}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)\left(u_{I}+v_{I}\right) \gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(-\frac{\left(u_{I}-v_{I}\right)^{2}}{2}+\frac{2 \mathrm{i} \gamma_{c}^{3}\left(u_{R}^{3}-v_{R}^{3}\right)}{6 \sqrt{c}}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 \delta-1 / 3}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N^{5 / 6}} \mathbf{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{N z \bar{\zeta}}}{\mathrm{e}^{\left.\frac{N}{2}\left|z z^{2}+\frac{N}{2}\right| \zeta\right|^{2}}} \frac{|z-a|^{N c}|\zeta-a|^{N c}}{(z-a)^{N c}(\bar{\zeta}-a)^{N c}} \frac{1}{N^{5 / 6}} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta) \\
= & \exp \left(\mathrm{i} a \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)+\mathrm{i} s\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)+\frac{4 \mathrm{i}\left(u_{R}^{3}-v_{R}^{3}\right)}{3}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 \delta-1 / 3}\right)\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2} \gamma_{c}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-u_{I}^{2}-v_{I}^{2}} \frac{\Psi_{21}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \Psi_{11}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)-\Psi_{11}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \Psi_{21}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)}{\mathrm{i}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+F_{n} \exp \left(\mathrm{i}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)\left(u_{I}+v_{I}\right) \gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\left(u_{I}-v_{I}\right)^{2}}{2}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging in $u_{I}=v_{I}=-N^{\delta}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|\frac{1}{N^{5 / 6}} \mathbf{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)\right|_{u_{I}=v_{I}=-N^{\delta}} \right\rvert\,=\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 \delta-1 / 3}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(\exp \left(-2 N^{2 \delta}\right) \frac{\Psi_{21}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \Psi_{11}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)-\Psi_{11}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \Psi_{21}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)}{\mathrm{i}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)}+\left.F_{n}\right|_{v_{I}=u_{I}=-N^{\delta}}\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The following theorem is from Proposition 3.6 in [3, Section 3.5]. For the convenience of the readers, we put the proof of the following theorem in Appendix C.
Theorem 4.1. Let $Q(z)$ be given in (1.7), and $n=N t$. There exists a constant $C$ that is independent of $z$ and $N$ such that

$$
\mathbf{K}_{n}(z, z) \leq C N \mathrm{e}^{-N \mathcal{L}_{2 D}(z)}, \quad z \in \partial \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}^{c}
$$

Here $\mathcal{U}_{2 D}$ is the effective potential of the 2D equilibrium measure defined by

$$
\mathcal{U}_{2 D}(z):=Q(z)-\frac{2 t}{\operatorname{Area}(\mathcal{S})} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \log |z-w| \mathrm{d} A(w)+\ell_{2 D}
$$

where $\ell_{2 D}$ is chosen such that $\mathcal{U}_{2 D}=0$ on $\mathcal{S} \cup \partial \mathcal{S}$.

The explicit representation of $\mathcal{U}_{2 \mathrm{D}}$ is not important but we will remind the known properties of $\mathcal{U}_{2 \mathrm{D}}$ in our specific case: $\mathcal{U}_{2 \mathrm{D}}=0$ on $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{2 \mathrm{D}}>0$ on $\mathcal{S}^{c} ; \mathcal{U}_{2 \mathrm{D}}$ is continuously differentiable. According to Lemma 2.2 in [7], for any $z_{0} \in \partial \mathcal{S}$ and $\epsilon>0$ is small enough, we have that $\mathcal{U}_{2 \mathrm{D}}\left(z_{0}+\epsilon\left(n_{x}+\mathrm{i} n_{y}\right)\right)=2 \epsilon^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$, where $\left(n_{x}, n_{y}\right)$ is the unit vector that is outer normal to $\partial \mathcal{S}$ at $z_{0}$.
Using Theorem 4.1, the above facts, and $z=b_{c}+\frac{u_{I}}{N^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \gamma_{c} u_{R}}{N^{1 / 3}}$ we have $\mathbf{K}_{n}(z, z)=\mathcal{O}\left(N \exp \left[-\kappa u_{I}^{2}\right]\right)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for any constant $0<\kappa<2$. This bound holds as long as $u_{I}=o(\sqrt{N})$ or as long as $z-z_{0}=o(1)$ for any $z_{0} \in \partial \mathcal{S}$. Using (4.6) and the fact that $\left|\mathbf{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)\right| \leq \sqrt{\mathbf{K}_{n}(z, z) \mathbf{K}_{n}(\zeta, \zeta)}$ we have that

$$
\left.F_{n}\right|_{v_{I}=u_{I}=-N^{\delta}}=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1 / 6} \exp \left(-\kappa N^{2 \delta}\right)\right) .
$$

Consequently, for finite $u_{I}$ and $v_{I}$, using (4.5) we have

$$
\frac{1}{N^{5 / 6}} \mathbf{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\frac{1}{C_{N}\left(u_{R}, v_{R}\right) \gamma_{c}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u_{I}^{2}-v_{I}^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi / 2}} \frac{\Psi_{21}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \Psi_{11}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)-\Psi_{11}\left(u_{R} ; s\right) \Psi_{21}\left(v_{R} ; s\right)}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left(u_{R}-v_{R}\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 6-\delta}}\right),
$$

where

$$
C_{N}\left(u_{R}, v_{R}\right):=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\left(\varphi_{N}\left(u_{R}\right)-\varphi_{N}\left(v_{R}\right)\right)}, \quad \varphi_{N}(w):=a \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3} w+s w+4 w^{3} / 3
$$

Changing the notations from $\left(u_{I}, u_{R}, v_{I}, v_{R}\right)$ to $\left(x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ respectively, we have (1.11). This ends the proof.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 using a similar strategy as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

### 5.1 The C-D identity: Proof of Lemma 5.1

Lemma 5.1. Let $\mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)$ be the pre-kernel defined in (2.2), and $D_{\tau}:=\left\{z:\left|z-b_{c}^{*}\right| \leq \frac{1}{N^{\tau}}\right\}$ with $0 \leq \tau<\frac{1}{3}$. Defining the local coordinates $u$ and $v$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z:=b_{c}+u \quad \text { and } \quad \zeta:=b_{c}+v \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $z \in D_{c} \backslash D_{\tau}$ and $\zeta \in D_{c} \backslash D_{\tau}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & \frac{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-N u \bar{v}-\frac{N}{2}\left(u^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}\right)}\left[\exp \left(N\left(\frac{u^{3}+\bar{v}^{3}}{3 \sqrt{c}}-\frac{u^{4}+\bar{v}^{4}}{4 c}+\frac{u^{5}+\bar{v}^{5}}{5 c^{3 / 2}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \times\left(1+\frac{\gamma_{c} r}{N^{1 / 3}} \frac{u+\bar{v}}{2 u \bar{v}}-\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q^{2}-r^{2}\right)}{N^{2 / 3}} \frac{(u+\bar{v})^{2}}{8 u^{2} \bar{v}^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(N u^{6}, N \bar{v}^{6}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right) \\
+ & \exp \left(N\left(\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\bar{v}^{3}}{b_{c}}+\frac{u^{3}}{\sqrt{c}}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\bar{v}^{4}}{b_{c}^{2}}+\frac{u^{4}}{c^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{\bar{v}^{5}}{b_{c}^{3}}+\frac{u^{5}}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)\right)+\frac{2 s N^{1 / 3} \bar{v}}{\gamma_{c}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{\gamma_{c} q}{N^{1 / 3}} \frac{(\bar{v}+u)}{2 u \bar{v}}+\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q r+q^{\prime}\right)}{4 N^{2 / 3}}\left(\frac{1}{u^{2}}-\frac{1}{\bar{v}^{2}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N u^{6}, N^{1 / 3} \bar{v}^{2}\right)\right) \\
+ & \exp \left(N\left(\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{u^{3}}{b_{c}}+\frac{\bar{v}^{3}}{\sqrt{c}}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{u^{4}}{b_{c}^{2}}+\frac{\bar{v}^{4}}{c}\right)+\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{u^{5}}{b_{c}^{3}}+\frac{\bar{v}^{5}}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)\right)+\frac{2 s N^{1 / 3} u}{\gamma_{c}}\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
& \times\left(\frac{\gamma_{c} q}{N^{1 / 3}} \frac{(\bar{v}+u)}{2 u \bar{v}}-\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q r+q^{\prime}\right)}{4 N^{2 / 3}}\left(\frac{1}{u^{2}}-\frac{1}{\bar{v}^{2}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1 / 3} u^{2}, N \bar{v}^{6}\right)\right) \\
- & \exp \left(N\left(\frac{u^{3}+\bar{v}^{3}}{3 b_{c}}-\frac{u^{4}+\bar{v}^{4}}{4 b_{c}^{2}}+\frac{u^{5}+\bar{v}^{5}}{5 b_{c}^{3}}\right)+\frac{2 s N^{1 / 3}}{\gamma_{c}}(u+\bar{v})\right) \\
& \times\left(1-\frac{\gamma_{c} r}{N^{1 / 3}} \frac{u+\bar{v}}{2 u \bar{v}}-\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q^{2}-r^{2}\right)}{N^{2 / 3}} \frac{(u+\bar{v})^{2}}{8 u^{2} \bar{v}^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1 / 3} u^{2}, N^{1 / 3} \bar{v}^{2}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. As $z \in D_{c} \backslash D_{\tau}, \zeta \in D_{c} \backslash D_{\tau}$ and

$$
b_{c}^{*}=b_{c}+\frac{s}{2 \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{4 / 3}}\right),
$$

we will use Proposition 2.4 to compute the derivative of the pre-kernel $\mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)$ in Theorem 2.1. Since $z \notin D_{\tau}$ we have $1 / u=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\tau}\right)$. Recall that

$$
\bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\mathrm{I}_{n}-\mathrm{II}_{n} .
$$

For convenience, we write $p_{n}$ and $q_{n}$ in (2.45) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n}(z) & =\mathrm{e}^{N a z+t N \ell} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}\left(P_{21}^{z}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{N a z+t N \ell} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)}\left(P_{22}^{z}\right), \\
q_{n}(z) & =\mathrm{e}^{N a z} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}}\left(P_{12}^{z}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{N a z}\left(P_{11}^{z}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

using the short-hand notations below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{11}^{z} & :=1-\frac{r}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{p_{11}}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right), \\
P_{12}^{z} & :=\frac{q}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{r_{2} p_{12}}{r_{1}^{3} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}+\frac{p_{12}}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right), \\
P_{21}^{z} & :=\frac{q}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}+\frac{r_{2} p_{21}}{r_{1}^{3} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{p_{21}}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right), \\
P_{22}^{z} & :=1+\frac{r}{2 r_{1} N^{1 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)}-\frac{p_{22}}{r_{1}^{2} N^{2 / 3}\left(z-b_{c}^{*}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As before, $q, r, p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}$ and $p_{22}$ depend on $\hat{s}$, i.e., $q=q(\hat{s})$ etc.
When $z \in D_{c} \backslash D_{\tau}$, using (2.45) in Proposition 2.4, $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ from (3.12) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{I}_{n}= & \widehat{Q}_{n} \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}} \frac{N \alpha_{n}}{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}\left(P_{11}^{z}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)} P_{12}^{z}\right) \\
& \times\left((c+t)\left(\frac{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\zeta-a}-\frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\zeta}\right)\left(P_{21}^{\zeta}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(\zeta)} P_{22}^{\zeta}\right)\right.  \tag{5.3}\\
& \left.-\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}}\left(\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{\zeta}+\frac{a b_{n}-(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{\zeta-a}\right)\left(P_{11}^{\zeta}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(\zeta)} P_{12}^{\zeta}\right)\right)^{*},
\end{align*}
$$

where we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{Q}_{n}=\mathrm{e}^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \frac{N^{N c+n+1}}{\pi \Gamma(N c+n+1)}(z-a)^{N c} \mathrm{e}^{N a z} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{16}{3} \mathrm{i} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}\left((\zeta-a)^{N c} \mathrm{e}^{N a \zeta} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{16}{3} \mathrm{i} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}\right)^{*} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $\mathrm{II}_{n}$ in (3.14) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{II}_{n}= & \widehat{Q}_{n} \frac{N(N c+n)}{N c+n+1}\left(a+\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}-b_{n} \frac{q_{n}(a)}{p_{n}(a)}\right) \frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\left(\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}\right)} \\
& \times\left(\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}\left(P_{21}^{z}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)} P_{22}^{z}\right)+\frac{b_{n}}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}}\left(P_{11}^{z}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)} P_{12}^{z}\right)\right) \\
& \times \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}}\left(\left(P_{21}^{\zeta}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(\zeta)} P_{22}^{\zeta}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\gamma_{n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}}\left(P_{11}^{\zeta}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(\zeta)} P_{12}^{\zeta}\right)\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we now compute $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{II}_{n}$.
Using the expanssion of $\ell$ in (3.19) and the definition of $\phi(z)$ in (2.4) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{e}^{t N \ell} & =\exp \left(-N a b_{c}-\frac{2 s^{3 / 2}}{3}\right) b_{c}^{N b_{c}^{2}+N^{1 / 3} \frac{2 b_{c} s}{\gamma_{c}}} c^{-N c / 2}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right)  \tag{5.6}\\
\mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} & =\exp (-N a u)\left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{c}+u}\right)^{N c}\left(1+\frac{u}{b_{c}}\right)^{N b_{c}^{2}+N^{1 / 3} \frac{2 b_{c} s}{\gamma_{c}}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Using the definition of $z$ in (5.1), (5.6) and the 2 nd identity in (3.20), $\widehat{Q}_{n}$ from (5.4) is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{Q}_{n}= & \exp (-N \sqrt{c}(\bar{v}+u)-N u \bar{v})\left(1+\frac{u}{\sqrt{c}}\right)^{N c}\left(1+\frac{\bar{v}}{\sqrt{c}}\right)^{N c} \\
& \times \exp \left(\frac{32}{3} \operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{i} \xi(\beta)^{3}\right)-\frac{4 \operatorname{Re}\left(s^{3 / 2}\right)}{3}\right) \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2} b_{c}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right)  \tag{5.7}\\
= & \exp (-N \sqrt{c}(\bar{v}+u)-N u \bar{v})\left(1+\frac{u}{\sqrt{c}}\right)^{N c}\left(1+\frac{\bar{v}}{\sqrt{c}}\right)^{N c} \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2} b_{c}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the 2nd equality is obtained by the expression for $\xi(\beta)$ in (2.19) with $\hat{s}=s\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)\right)$ which comes from (2.16) and $t-t_{c}=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)$.
We now compute $\left(\mathrm{I}_{n}-\mathrm{II}_{n}\right) / \widehat{Q}_{n}$. Recall that $u$ and $v$ are chosen such that $z \notin D_{\tau}$ and $\zeta \notin D_{\tau}$. Using $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ in (5.3) and $\mathrm{II}_{n}$ in (5.5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{I}_{n}}{\widehat{Q}_{n}}-\frac{\mathrm{II}}{\widehat{Q}_{n}} & =\mathcal{C}_{1}\left(P_{11}^{z}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)} P_{12}^{z}\right)\left(P_{21}^{\zeta}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(\zeta)} P_{22}^{\zeta}\right)^{*} \\
& -\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(P_{11}^{z}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)} P_{12}^{z}\right)\left(P_{11}^{\zeta}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(\zeta)} P_{12}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}  \tag{5.8}\\
& -\mathcal{C}_{3}\left(P_{21}^{z}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)} P_{22}^{z}\right)\left(P_{21}^{\zeta}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(\zeta)} P_{22}^{\zeta}\right)^{*} \\
& -\mathcal{C}_{4}\left(P_{21}^{z}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)} P_{22}^{z}\right)\left(P_{11}^{\zeta}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(\zeta)} P_{12}^{\zeta}\right)^{*},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{1}:= & \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}} \frac{N \alpha_{n}}{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}\left((c+t)\left(\frac{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\zeta-a}-\frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\zeta}\right)\right)^{*} \\
& -\frac{N(N c+n)}{N c+n+1}\left(a+\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}-b_{n} \frac{q_{n}(a)}{p_{n}(a)}\right) \frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\left(\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}\right)} \frac{b_{n}}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}} \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}}, \\
\mathcal{C}_{2}:= & \frac{N(N c+n)}{N c+n+1}\left(a+\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}-b_{n} \frac{q_{n}(a)}{p_{n}(a)}\right) \frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}} \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}} \frac{b_{n}}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{1 \frac{6}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\gamma_{n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}}\right)^{*}} \\
& +\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}} \frac{N \alpha_{n}}{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}}\left(\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{\zeta}+\frac{a b_{n}-(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{\zeta-a}\right)\right)^{*}, \\
\mathcal{C}_{3}:= & \frac{N(N c+n)}{N c+n+1}\left(a+\frac{b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}-b_{n} \frac{q_{n}(a)}{p_{n}(a)}\right) \frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}} \frac{1 b_{n}\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}, \\
\mathcal{C}_{4}:= & \mathcal{C}_{3}\left(\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\gamma_{n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}}\right)^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We further write (5.8) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{I}_{n}}{\widehat{Q}_{n}}-\frac{\mathrm{II}_{n}}{\widehat{Q}_{n}}= & \mathcal{C}_{1} P_{11}^{z}\left(P_{21}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}-\mathcal{C}_{2} P_{11}^{z}\left(P_{11}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}-\mathcal{C}_{3} P_{21}^{z}\left(P_{21}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}-\mathcal{C}_{4} P_{21}^{z}\left(P_{11}^{\zeta}\right)^{*} \\
& +\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(\zeta)}\right)^{*}\left(\mathcal{C}_{1} P_{11}^{z}\left(P_{22}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}+\mathcal{C}_{2} P_{11}^{z}\left(P_{12}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}-\mathcal{C}_{3} P_{21}^{z}\left(P_{22}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}+\mathcal{C}_{4} P_{21}^{z}\left(P_{12}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)}\left(-\mathcal{C}_{1} P_{12}^{z}\left(P_{21}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}+\mathcal{C}_{2} P_{12}^{z}\left(P_{11}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}-\mathcal{C}_{3} P_{22}^{z}\left(P_{21}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}-\mathcal{C}_{4} P_{22}^{z}\left(P_{11}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(z)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-N \phi(\zeta)}\right)^{*}\left(-\mathcal{C}_{1} P_{12}^{z}\left(P_{22}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}-\mathcal{C}_{2} P_{12}^{z}\left(P_{12}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}-\mathcal{C}_{3} P_{22}^{z}\left(P_{22}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}+\mathcal{C}_{4} P_{22}^{z}\left(P_{12}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}\right) . \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (5.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{z-b_{c}^{*}}=\frac{1}{u}\left(1+\frac{b_{c}-b_{c}^{*}}{u}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{u}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \tau}}{N^{2 / 3}}\right) . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the relations of $r, p_{11}$ and $p_{22}$ in (2.25), the expansion of $r_{1}$ in (2.21), and (5.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{11}^{z}=1+\frac{\gamma_{c} r}{2 u N^{1 / 3}}-\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q^{2}-r^{2}\right)}{8 u^{2} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right),  \tag{5.11}\\
& P_{22}^{z}=1-\frac{\gamma_{c} r}{2 u N^{1 / 3}}-\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q^{2}-r^{2}\right)}{8 u^{2} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, using the relations of $r, p_{12}$ and $p_{21}$ in (2.25), the expansions of $r_{1}, r_{2}$ in (2.21), and (5.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{12}^{z}=-\frac{\gamma_{c} q}{2 u N^{1 / 3}}+\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q r+q^{\prime}\right)}{4 u^{2} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right), \quad P_{21}^{z}=-\frac{\gamma_{c} q}{2 u N^{1 / 3}}-\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q r+q^{\prime}\right)}{4 u^{2} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, using the expansions of $\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}, \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ in (3.25) and (5.1), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\gamma_{n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}}=-\frac{q \gamma_{c}}{2 b_{c} N^{1 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2 / 3}}\right),}  \tag{5.13}\\
\frac{1}{z} \frac{b_{n}}{\left(1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\right)}  \tag{5.14}\\
\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}
\end{gather*} \frac{q^{2} \gamma_{c}^{2}}{4 b_{c}\left(b_{c}+u\right) N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), \quad \begin{aligned}
& (c+t)\left(\frac{1+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z-a}-\frac{\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}}{z}\right)=\frac{b_{c}^{2}}{b_{c}+u}+\frac{8 b_{c} s(\sqrt{c}+u)+a q^{2} \gamma_{c}^{3}}{4(\sqrt{c}+u)\left(b_{c}+u\right) \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \tag{5.15}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{16}{3} \xi(\beta)^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{t N \ell}}\left(\frac{(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z}\right. & \left.+\frac{a b_{n}-(c+t) \beta_{n} \alpha_{n}}{z-a}\right)=\frac{a u \gamma_{c} q}{2(\sqrt{c}+u)\left(b_{c}+u\right) N^{1 / 3}} \\
& -\frac{a\left(b_{c}+\sqrt{c}\right) u \gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q r+q^{\prime}\right)}{8 b_{c} \sqrt{c}(\sqrt{c}+u)\left(b_{c}+u\right) N^{2 / 3}}-\frac{a \gamma_{c}^{2} q^{\prime}}{4(\sqrt{c}+u)\left(b_{c}+u\right) N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) . \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.26), (5.15), (3.32), and $b_{n}$ in (3.25), we have

$$
\mathcal{C}_{1}=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 / 3}\right)
$$

Similarly, combining (3.32), $b_{n}$ in (3.25), (5.13), (3.26) and (5.16), we have

$$
\mathcal{C}_{2}=-\frac{N b_{c} \sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{c}+\bar{v}}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 / 3}\right)
$$

and combining (3.32), (5.14), and (5.13), we have

$$
\mathcal{C}_{3}=\frac{N b_{c}^{2}}{b_{c}+\bar{v}}+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 / 3}\right), \quad \mathcal{C}_{4}=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 / 3}\right)
$$

Substituting $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{j}\right\}$ from above expansions, (5.11), and (5.12) into (5.9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left(\mathrm{I}_{n}-\mathrm{II}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{\widehat{Q}_{n}}= & \frac{N b_{c} \sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{c}+\bar{v}}\left(1+\frac{\gamma_{c} r}{N^{1 / 3}} \frac{u+\bar{v}}{2 u \bar{v}}+\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2} r^{2}}{4 u \bar{v} N^{2 / 3}}-\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q^{2}-r^{2}\right)}{N^{2 / 3}} \frac{\left(u^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}\right)}{8 u^{2} \bar{v}^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{N b_{c}^{2}}{b_{c}+\bar{v}}\left(\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2} q^{2}}{4 u \bar{v} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1 / 3+\epsilon}\right) \\
+ & \mathrm{e}^{-N a \bar{v}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{c}+\bar{v}}\right)^{N c}\left(1+\frac{\bar{v}}{b_{c}}\right)^{N b_{c}^{2}+\frac{2 b_{c s} N_{c}^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\gamma_{c}}}\left(-\frac{N b_{c} \sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{c}+\bar{v}}\left(\frac{-\gamma_{c} q}{2 \bar{v} N^{1 / 3}}+\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q r+q^{\prime}\right)}{4 \bar{v}^{2} N^{2 / 3}}-\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2} q r}{4 u \bar{v} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{N b_{c}^{2}}{b_{c}+\bar{v}}\left(\frac{-\gamma_{c} q}{2 u N^{1 / 3}}-\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q r+q^{\prime}\right)}{4 u^{2} N^{2 / 3}}+\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2} q r}{4 u \bar{v} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)\right) \\
+ & \mathrm{e}^{-N a u}\left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{c}+u}\right)^{N c}\left(1+\frac{u}{b_{c}}\right)^{N b_{c}^{2}+\frac{2 b_{c} s N^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\gamma_{c}}}\left(-\frac{N b_{c} \sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{c}+\bar{v}}\left(\frac{-\gamma_{c} q}{2 u N^{1 / 3}}+\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q r+q^{\prime}\right)}{4 u^{2} N^{2 / 3}}-\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2} q r}{4 u \bar{v} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{N b_{c}^{2}}{b_{c}+\bar{v}}\left(\frac{-\gamma_{c} q}{2 \bar{v} N^{1 / 3}}-\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q r+q^{\prime}\right)}{4 \bar{v}^{2} N^{2 / 3}}+\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2} q r}{4 u \bar{v} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 / 3}\right)\right) \\
+ & \mathrm{e}^{-N a(u+\bar{v})}\left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{c}+u} \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{c}+\bar{v}}\right)^{N c}\left(\frac{b_{c}+u}{b_{c}} \frac{b_{c}+\bar{v}}{b_{c}}\right)^{N b_{c}^{2}+N^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{2 b_{c s}}{\gamma_{c}}}\left(\frac{N b_{c} \sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{c}+\bar{v}}\left(\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2} q^{2}}{4 u \bar{v} N^{2 / 3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{N b_{c}^{2}}{b_{c}+\bar{v}}\left(1-\frac{\gamma_{c} r}{N^{1 / 3}} \frac{u+\bar{v}}{2 u \bar{v}}+\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2} r^{2}}{4 u \bar{v} N^{2 / 3}}-\frac{\gamma_{c}^{2}\left(q^{2}-r^{2}\right)}{N^{2 / 3}} \frac{\left(u^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}\right)}{8 u^{2} \bar{v}^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1 / 3+\epsilon}\right)\right) . \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the first error bound $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1 / 3+\epsilon}\right)$ comes from the terms $\mathcal{C}_{1} P_{11}^{z}\left(P_{21}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{4} P_{21}^{z}\left(P_{11}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}$ in (5.9), and the second error bound $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1 / 3+\epsilon}\right)$ comes from the terms $\mathcal{C}_{1} P_{12}^{z}\left(P_{22}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{4} P_{22}^{z}\left(P_{12}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}$ in (5.9), the first error bound $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 / 3}\right)$ comes from the term $\mathcal{C}_{1} P_{11}^{z}\left(P_{22}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}$ in (5.9), and the second error bound $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 / 3}\right)$ comes from the term $\mathcal{C}_{4} P_{22}^{z}\left(P_{11}^{\zeta}\right)^{*}$ in (5.9). And the error bound $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{3 \tau-1}\right)$ stands for $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N u^{3}}, \frac{1}{N \bar{v}}{ }^{3}, \frac{1}{N u^{2} \bar{v}}, \frac{1}{N u \bar{v}^{2}}\right)$.
Using the fact $1 / u=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\tau}\right)$, we have, for any non-zero constant $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{u}{k}\right)^{N k^{2}}=\exp \left(N k^{2} \log \left(1+\frac{u}{k}\right)\right)=\exp \left(N k^{2}\left(\frac{u}{k}-\frac{u^{2}}{2 k^{2}}+\frac{u^{3}}{3 k^{3}}-\frac{u^{4}}{4 k^{4}}+\frac{u^{5}}{5 k^{5}}\right)\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N u^{6}\right)\right) \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left(1+\frac{u}{b_{c}}\right)^{N^{\frac{1}{3} \frac{2 b_{c s}}{\gamma_{c}}}}=\exp \left(\frac{2 s u N^{1 / 3}}{\gamma_{c}}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1 / 3} u^{2}\right)\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{e}^{-N a u}\left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{c}+u}\right)^{N c}\left(1+\frac{u}{b_{c}}\right)^{N b_{c}^{2}}=\exp \left(N\left(\frac{u^{3}}{3}\left(\frac{1}{b_{c}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}\right)-\frac{u^{4}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{b_{c}^{2}}-\frac{1}{c}\right)+\frac{u^{5}}{5}\left(\frac{1}{b_{c}^{3}}-\frac{1}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)\right)\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N u^{6}\right)\right) \\
& \mathrm{e}^{-N k(u+\bar{v})}\left(1+\frac{u}{k}\right)^{N k^{2}}\left(1+\frac{\bar{v}}{k}\right)^{N k^{2}}=\exp \left(-N\left(\frac{u^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}}{2}-\frac{u^{3}+\bar{v}^{3}}{3 k}+\frac{u^{4}+\bar{v}^{4}}{4 k^{2}}-\frac{u^{5}+\bar{v}^{5}}{5 k^{3}}\right)\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(N u^{6}, N \bar{v}^{2}\right)\right) \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

and

Combining (5.7) and (5.17) with (5.19), (5.20) and (5.21), we have (5.2). This ends the proof.

### 5.2 Integrating the C-D identity: Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us define

$$
\begin{align*}
& z:=b_{c}+u, \quad u:=\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{N^{\tau}}+\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{N}} \\
& \zeta:=b_{c}+v, \quad v:=\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{N^{\tau}}+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(\nu, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}$.
The following lemma holds for all positive $\tau$.
Lemma 5.2. Let $u$ and $v$ be given above. Assuming $\nu$ and $\eta$ are all $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\epsilon}\right)$ with $\epsilon<1 / 2-\tau$ and $Y$ is bounded, we have the following identities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left(-N u \bar{v}-\frac{N}{2}\left(u^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}\right)\right) \exp \left(N\left(\frac{u^{3}+\bar{v}^{3}}{3 k}-\frac{u^{4}+\bar{v}^{4}}{4 k^{2}}+\frac{u^{5}+\bar{v}^{5}}{5 k^{3}}\right)\right) \\
=\exp \left(-\left(\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\sqrt{c} b_{c} Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{k N^{2 \tau}}\right)^{2}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}\right)\right),  \tag{5.23}\\
\exp \left(-N u \bar{v}-\frac{N}{2}\left(u^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}\right)\right) \exp \left(N\left(\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{u^{3}}{b_{c}}+\frac{\bar{v}^{3}}{\sqrt{c}}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{u^{4}}{b_{c}^{2}}+\frac{\bar{v}^{4}}{c}\right)+\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{u^{5}}{b_{c}^{3}}+\frac{\bar{v}^{5}}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)\right)+\frac{2 s N^{\frac{1}{3}} u}{\gamma_{c}}\right) \\
=\exp \left(\frac{\mathrm{i} N\left(2 c b_{c}^{2} \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{2} a Y^{3}\right.}{3 N^{3 \tau}}-\frac{\mathrm{i} N}{5} \frac{\left(2 c b_{c}^{2} \frac{5}{4} Y^{5}\right.}{N^{5 \tau}}\left(\frac{1}{b_{c}^{3}}-\frac{1}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{i} 2 s\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{\gamma_{c} N^{\tau-1 / 3}}\right) \\
\times \exp \left(-\frac{(\nu+\bar{\eta})^{2}}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{2} Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\left(b_{c} \bar{\eta}+\sqrt{c} \nu\right)-\frac{Y^{4} N}{N^{4 \tau}}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2}+b_{c} \sqrt{c}\right)\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}, \frac{N^{\epsilon}}{N^{1 / 6}}\right)\right), \tag{5.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left(-N u \bar{v}-\frac{N}{2}\left(u^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}\right)\right) \exp \left(N\left(\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\bar{v}^{3}}{b_{c}}+\frac{u^{3}}{\sqrt{c}}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\bar{v}^{4}}{b_{c}^{2}}+\frac{u^{4}}{c}\right)+\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{\bar{v}^{5}}{b_{c}^{3}}+\frac{u^{5}}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)\right)+\frac{2 s N^{1 / 3} \bar{v}}{\gamma_{c}}\right) \\
= & \exp \left(-\frac{\mathrm{i} N\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{2} a Y^{3}}{3 N^{3 \tau}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} N}{5} \frac{\left(2 c b_{c}^{2} \frac{5}{4}^{\frac{5}{4}} Y^{5}\right.}{N^{5 \tau}}\left(\frac{1}{b_{c}^{3}}-\frac{1}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{i} 2 s\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{\gamma_{c} N^{\tau-1 / 3}}\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(-\frac{(\nu+\bar{\eta})^{2}}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{2} Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\left(\sqrt{c} \bar{\eta}+b_{c} \nu\right)-\frac{Y^{4} N}{N^{4 \tau}}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2}+b_{c} \sqrt{c}\right)\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}, \frac{N^{\epsilon}}{N^{1 / 6}}\right)\right) . \tag{5.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The assumption that $\nu$ and $\eta$ are all $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\epsilon}\right)$ with $\epsilon+\tau<1 / 2$ simply means that, in the expressions of $u$ and $v$ (5.22), the term containing $Y$ scales bigger than the term containing $\nu$ or $\eta$ in the limit of large $N$. The former scales $N^{-\tau}$ while the latter scales $N^{\epsilon-1 / 2}$.

The proof is done by a straightforward computation, but let us explain the error bounds. The error comes from ignoring the terms containing $Y \nu^{2}, Y^{3} \nu$ and $Y^{4} \nu$. The other homogeneous terms are smaller than one of these; for example, the term containing $Y^{2} \nu^{2}$ is smaller than $Y^{3} \nu$ because one of $\nu$ is replaced by $Y$ to go from the former to the latter. Furthermore, since it is also clear that the term containing $Y^{3} \nu$ dominates over the term containing $Y^{4} \nu$, hence the final error bounds in (5.23) come from the two terms $Y \nu^{2}$ and $Y^{3} \nu$, which give $N \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\tau} N^{2(\epsilon-1 / 2)}\right)$ and $N \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-3 \tau} N^{\epsilon-1 / 2}\right)$ respectively.

### 5.2.1 Case: $1 / 6<\tau \leq 1 / 4$

If $1 / 6<\tau \leq 1 / 4$, let us redefine $\nu$ and $\eta$ such that

$$
\nu_{\mathrm{old}}=\nu-\frac{A Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}, \quad \eta_{\mathrm{old}}=\eta-\frac{A Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}
$$

where $\nu_{\text {old }}$ and $\mu_{\text {old }}$ refer to the variables, $\nu$ and $\mu$, showing up in (5.22). Since $\nu_{\text {old }}$ and $\mu_{\text {old }}$ are $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\epsilon}\right)$ in Lemma 5.2 this definition requires that the redefined $\nu$ and $\eta$ are also $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\epsilon}\right)$ and $\epsilon \geq 1 / 2-2 \tau$. Here we assume $Y$ is bounded and finitely away from 0 .
Using Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.2, and the facts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\left(\frac{1}{u}+\frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right) \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{4 \tau}}{N^{4 / 3}}\right), \quad \frac{1}{N^{1 / 3}}\left(\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right) \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{\tau}}{N^{1 / 3}}\right), \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\frac{N^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2}}\left[\exp \left(-\left(\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\left(b_{c}-A\right) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\right)^{2}\right)-\exp \left(-\left(\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{(\sqrt{c}-A) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\right)^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{N^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2}}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{(\nu+\bar{\eta})^{2}}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{2} Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\left(\left(b_{c}-A\right) \bar{\eta}-(A-\sqrt{c}) \nu\right)-\frac{Y^{4} N}{N^{4 \tau}}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2}-\left(b_{c}-A\right)(A-\sqrt{c})\right)\right)\right. \\
& \quad \times \exp \left(\frac{\mathrm{i} N\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{2} a Y^{3}}{3 N^{3 \tau}}-\frac{\mathrm{i} N}{5} \frac{\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{4}} Y^{5}}{N^{5 \tau}}\left(\frac{1}{b_{c}^{3}}-\frac{1}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{i} 2 s\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{\gamma_{c} N^{\tau-1 / 3}}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) \\
& \quad+\exp \left(-\left(\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\left(b_{c}-A\right) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\right)^{2}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}, \frac{N}{N^{6 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) \\
& \quad+\exp \left(-\frac{(\nu+\bar{\eta})^{2}}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{2} Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\left((\sqrt{c}-A) \bar{\eta}-\left(A-b_{c}\right) \nu\right)-\frac{Y^{4} N}{N^{4 \tau}}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2}-\left(b_{c}-A\right)(A-\sqrt{c})\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times \exp \left(-\frac{\mathrm{i} N\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{2} a Y^{3}}}{3 N^{3 \tau}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} N}{5} \frac{\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{4}} Y^{5}}{N^{5 \tau}}\left(\frac{1}{b_{c}^{3}}-\frac{1}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{i} 2 s\left(2 c b_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{\gamma_{c} N^{\tau-1 / 3}}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) \\
& \left.\quad+\exp \left(-\left(\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{(\sqrt{c}-A) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\right)^{2}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}, \frac{N^{\frac{1}{3}}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}, \frac{N^{\epsilon}}{N^{1 / 6}}\right)\right] . \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us define $F_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi t_{c}}\left[\operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{(\sqrt{c}-A) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)-\operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\left(b_{c}-A\right) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)+F_{n}(z, \zeta)\right] \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the derivative with respect to $\bar{\eta}$ on both sides, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \bar{\partial}_{\eta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} t_{c} \pi^{3 / 2}}\left[\exp \left(-\left(\frac{\left(b_{c}-A\right) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}\right)-\exp \left(-\left(\frac{(\sqrt{c}-A) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{\partial}_{\eta} F_{n}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, using (5.27) and the following relation,

$$
\frac{1}{n} \bar{\partial}_{\eta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{n} \bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial}_{\eta} F_{n}= & \exp \left(-\frac{(\nu+\bar{\eta})^{2}}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{2} Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\left(\left(b_{c}-A\right) \bar{\eta}-(A-\sqrt{c}) \nu\right)-\frac{Y^{4} N}{N^{4 \tau}}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2}-\left(b_{c}-A\right)(A-\sqrt{c})\right)\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(\frac{\mathrm{i} N\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{2} a Y^{3}}{3 N^{3 \tau}}-\frac{\mathrm{i} N}{5} \frac{\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{4}} Y^{5}}{N^{5 \tau}}\left(\frac{1}{b_{c}^{3}}-\frac{1}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{i} 2 s\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{\gamma_{c} N^{\tau-1 / 3}}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) \\
+ & \exp \left(-\left(\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\left(b_{c}-A\right) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\right)^{2}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}, \frac{N}{N^{6 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) \\
+ & \exp \left(-\frac{(\nu+\bar{\eta})^{2}}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{2} Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\left((\sqrt{c}-A) \bar{\eta}-\left(A-b_{c}\right) \nu\right)-\frac{Y^{4} N}{N^{4 \tau}}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2}-\left(b_{c}-A\right)(A-\sqrt{c})\right)\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(-\frac{\mathrm{i} N\left(2 c b_{c}^{2} c^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{2} a Y^{3}\right.}{3 N^{3 \tau}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} N}{5} \frac{\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{4}} Y^{5}}{N^{5 \tau}}\left(\frac{1}{b_{c}^{3}}-\frac{1}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{i} 2 s\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{\gamma_{c} N^{\tau-1 / 3}}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) \\
+ & \exp \left(-\left(\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{(\sqrt{c}-A) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\right)^{2}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}, \frac{N^{1 / 3}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define $\zeta_{0}=b_{c}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c c_{0}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y}{N^{\tau}}-\frac{A Y^{2}}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{\eta_{0}+i \operatorname{Im}(\eta)}{\sqrt{N}}$ for some positive $\eta_{0}$, such that $\operatorname{Im} \zeta=\operatorname{Im} \zeta_{0}$ and $\zeta_{0}$ sits outside the droplet. Note that the droplet boundary can be at most $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-2 \tau}, N^{-2 / 3}\right)$ from $b_{c}$ in the horizontal direction. The first error comes from the second order approximation of the droplet boundary and the second error comes from moving droplet boundary by $\mathcal{O}\left(t-t_{c}\right)$. For $\zeta_{0}$ to be outside the droplet, $\left|\eta_{0} / \sqrt{N}\right|$ needs to scale greater than $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-2 \tau}\right)$ for $\tau \leq 1 / 4$. This can be satisfied if $\eta_{0} \sim N^{\delta}$ with

$$
\delta \geq 1 / 2-2 \tau
$$

Such $\delta$ exists within the range of our consideration because $1 / 2-2 \tau$, the right hand side, is smaller than $1 / 2-\tau$, the upper bound of $\epsilon$ in Lemma 5.2. As a result $F_{n}\left(z, \zeta_{0}\right)$ is exponentially suppressed for large $N$ by (5.28) and Theorem 4.1.

Moreover, since $\frac{a^{2}}{2}-\left(b_{c}-A\right)(A-\sqrt{c}) \geq a^{2} / 4$, we obtain that

$$
-\frac{(\nu+\bar{\eta})^{2}}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{2} Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\left(\left(b_{c}-A\right) \bar{\eta}-(A-\sqrt{c}) \nu\right)-\frac{Y^{4} N}{N^{4 \tau}}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2}-\left(b_{c}-A\right)(A-\sqrt{c})\right)<-\frac{a^{2}}{8} \frac{Y^{4} N}{N^{4 \tau}}
$$

and

$$
-\frac{(\nu+\bar{\eta})^{2}}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{2} Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\left((\sqrt{c}-A) \bar{\eta}-\left(A-b_{c}\right) \nu\right)-\frac{Y^{4} N}{N^{4 \tau}}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2}-\left(b_{c}-A\right)(A-\sqrt{c})\right)<-\frac{a^{2}}{8} \frac{Y^{4} N}{N^{4 \tau}}
$$

for a sufficiently large $N$ with $\tau<1 / 4$.
Assuming $\eta=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\delta}\right)$, we have
$\left|F_{n}(z, \zeta)\right|=\left|\int_{\eta_{0}}^{\bar{\eta}} \bar{\partial}_{\eta} F+F_{n}\left(z, \zeta_{0}\right)\right|<\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}, \frac{N^{1 / 3}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)+\left|F_{n}\left(z, \zeta_{0}\right)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}, \frac{N^{1 / 3}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)$.
Here the error bound is uniform for $0<\epsilon<\min \{\tau / 2,3 \tau-1 / 2\}$.
Similarly, we exchanging the role of $\eta$ and $\nu$. Taking $\nu_{0}>0$ such that $z_{0}=b_{c}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2} \frac{1}{4} Y\right.}{N^{\tau}}-\frac{A Y^{2}}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{\nu_{0}}{\sqrt{N}}$ remains outside the droplet, we have

$$
\left|F_{n}(z, \zeta)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}, \frac{N^{1 / 3}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)+\left|F_{n}\left(z_{0}, \zeta\right)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}, \frac{N^{1 / 3}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & \frac{1}{2 \pi t_{c}}\left[\operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{(\sqrt{c}-A) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)-\operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\left(b_{c}-A\right) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right] \\
& +\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}, \frac{N^{1 / 3}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by using (5.18) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{e}^{N z \bar{\zeta}}}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2}|z|^{2}+\frac{N}{2}|\zeta|^{2}}} \frac{|z-a|^{N c}|\zeta-a|^{N c}}{(z-a)^{N c}(\bar{\zeta}-a)^{N c}} & =\frac{\exp (N u \bar{v})}{\exp \left(\frac{N}{2}|u|^{2}+\frac{N}{2}|v|^{2}\right)} \frac{\exp \left(\frac{N b_{c}}{2}(u-\bar{u})\right)}{\exp \left(\frac{N b_{c}}{2}(v-\bar{v})\right)} \frac{(\sqrt{c}+\bar{u})^{\frac{N c}{2}}}{(\sqrt{c}+u)^{\frac{N c}{2}}} \frac{(\sqrt{c}+v)^{\frac{N c}{2}}}{(\sqrt{c}+\bar{v})^{\frac{N c}{2}}} \\
& =\frac{G(\nu, \eta)}{C_{N, \tau}(X, Y, \nu, \eta)}, \tag{5.29}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G(\nu, \eta):=\mathrm{e}^{\nu \bar{\eta}-\frac{|\nu|^{2}}{2}-\frac{|\eta|^{2}}{2}}$ is the Ginibre kernel, and

$$
C_{N, \tau}(X, Y, \nu, \eta):=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{(\bar{\nu}-\nu+\eta-\bar{\eta})\left(X+\sqrt{2} \sqrt{N} b_{c}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\nu+\bar{\nu}-\eta-\bar{\eta}}{2} \frac{\bar{i}\left(2 c b_{c}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}} \frac{(\sqrt{c}+u(\nu))^{\frac{N c}{2}}}{(\sqrt{c}+\overline{u(\nu)})^{\frac{N c}{2}}} \frac{(\sqrt{c}+\overline{v(\eta)})^{\frac{N c}{2}}}{(\sqrt{c}+v(\eta))^{\frac{N c}{2}}} .
$$

Using the relation between the correlation kernel $\mathbf{K}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{n}$ in (2.3) and (5.29), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{N z \bar{\zeta}}}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2}|z|^{2}+\frac{N}{2}|\zeta|^{2}}} \frac{|z-a|^{N c}|\zeta-a|^{N c}}{(z-a)^{N c}(\bar{\zeta}-a)^{N c}} \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta) \\
= & \frac{G(\nu, \eta)}{C_{N, \tau}\left(-A Y^{2} \sqrt{N} / N^{2 \tau}, Y, \nu, \eta\right)} \frac{1}{2 \pi t_{c}}\left[\operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{(\sqrt{c}-A) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right.  \tag{5.30}\\
& \left.-\operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\left(b_{c}-A\right) Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}, \frac{N^{1 / 3}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{2 \tau}}{N^{2 / 3}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here the error is uniform for any $1 / 6<\tau \leq 1 / 4$ and for any $0<\epsilon<\min \{\tau / 2,3 \tau-1 / 2\}$. Taking $A=-X / Y^{2}$ and $n, N \rightarrow \infty$, we have (1.12).
In particular, when $\tau=1 / 4$ and $A=-X / Y^{2}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\frac{G(\nu, \eta)}{C_{N, 1 / 4}(X, Y, \nu, \eta)} \frac{1}{2 \pi t_{c}}\left[\operatorname{erfc}\left(X+\sqrt{c} Y^{2}+\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)-\operatorname{erfc}\left(X+b_{c} Y^{2}+\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{1 / 4}}, \frac{1}{N^{1 / 6}}\right)
$$

Here the error is uniform over bounded regions $\left\{(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(N^{-\epsilon} \nu, N^{-\epsilon} \eta\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}\right\}$ that is finitely away from $Y=0$ with $0<\epsilon<1 / 8$.
For the case of $0<\tau \leq \frac{1}{6}$, one can see from (5.30) that $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1 / 3-2 \tau}\right)$-term also contributes. It follows that the leading term and the error bar in (5.30) need to be further modified with additional corrections. We will not pursue such case in the current paper. See Remark 1.

### 5.2.2 Case: $1 / 4<\tau<3 / 10$

If $1 / 4<\tau<3 / 10$, we denote $\nu$ and $\eta$ in (5.22) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu:=x+\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi y}{a Y^{2}} \frac{N^{2 \tau}}{\sqrt{N}}-\frac{b_{c}+\sqrt{c}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \frac{Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{s}{\sqrt{2} \gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}}, \\
& \eta:=x^{\prime}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi y^{\prime}}{a Y^{2}} \frac{N^{2 \tau}}{\sqrt{N}}-\frac{b_{c}+\sqrt{c}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \frac{Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{s}{\sqrt{2} \gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\nu$ and $\mu$ are $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\epsilon}\right)$ in Lemma 5.2 this definition implies that $\epsilon=2 \tau-1 / 2, x \sim \mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 \tau-1 / 2}\right)$ and $x^{\prime} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 \tau-1 / 2}\right)$.

Here we assume that $\left(Y, y, y^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ in a bounded region and away from $Y=0$.

Using (5.23) in Lemma 5.2 with $k=\sqrt{c}$ and $b_{c}$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left(-N u \bar{v}-\frac{N}{2}\left(u^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}\right)\right) \exp \left(N\left(\frac{u^{3}+\bar{v}^{3}}{3 \sqrt{c}}-\frac{u^{4}+\bar{v}^{4}}{4 c}+\frac{u^{5}+\bar{v}^{5}}{5 c^{3 / 2}}\right)\right) \\
= & \exp \left(-\left(\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{b_{c} Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\right)^{2}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}\right)\right) \\
= & \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}\left(1-\frac{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}, \frac{1}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{N}{N^{4 \tau}}\right)\right) \\
= & \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}\left(1-\frac{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}, \frac{N}{N^{4 \tau}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left(-N u \bar{v}-\frac{N}{2}\left(u^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}\right)\right) \exp \left(N\left(\frac{u^{3}+\bar{v}^{3}}{3 b_{c}}-\frac{u^{4}+\bar{v}^{4}}{4 b_{c}^{2}}+\frac{u^{5}+\bar{v}^{5}}{5 b_{c}^{3}}\right)+\frac{2 s N^{1 / 3}(u+\bar{v})}{\gamma_{c}}\right) \\
= & \exp \left(-\left(\frac{\nu+\bar{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\sqrt{c} Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 s(\nu+\bar{\eta})}{\gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{2 \epsilon}}{N^{\tau}}, \frac{\sqrt{N} N^{\epsilon}}{N^{3 \tau}}\right)\right) \\
= & \left.\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{i}\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}}{}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right.}\right)\left(1+\frac{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}, \frac{N}{N^{4 \tau}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the error $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{3 \tau-1}, N^{-\tau}\right)$ comes from the error $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2 \epsilon-\tau}, N^{\epsilon+1 / 2-3 \tau}\right)$ with $\epsilon=2 \tau-1 / 2$, the error $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1-4 \tau}\right)$ comes from the term containing $Y^{4}$. The final error bound is obtain by the fact that $1 / 4<$ $\tau<3 / 10$.
Similarly, using (5.24) in Lemma 5.2 we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \exp \left(-N u \bar{v}-\frac{N}{2}\left(u^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}\right)\right) \exp \left(N\left(\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{u^{3}}{b_{c}}+\frac{\bar{v}^{3}}{\sqrt{c}}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{u^{4}}{b_{c}^{2}}+\frac{\bar{v}^{4}}{c}\right)+\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{u^{5}}{b_{c}^{3}}+\frac{\bar{v}^{5}}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)\right)+\frac{2 s N^{1 / 3} u}{\gamma_{c}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} Y\left(2 c c c_{c}^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}} N^{\tau-1 / 3}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} a Y^{2}}{3 N^{2 \tau-2 / 3}+\frac{2 s}{\gamma_{c}}}\right)
\end{array} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y+y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right.}\left(1+\frac{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N}{N^{4 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right)\right)
$$

and using (5.25) in Lemma 5.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left(-N u \bar{v}-\frac{N}{2}\left(u^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}\right)\right) \exp \left(N\left(\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\bar{v}^{3}}{b_{c}}+\frac{u^{3}}{\sqrt{c}}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\bar{v}^{4}}{b_{c}^{2}}+\frac{u^{4}}{c}\right)+\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{\bar{v}^{5}}{b_{c}^{3}}+\frac{u^{5}}{c^{3 / 2}}\right)\right)+\frac{2 s N^{1 / 3} \bar{v}}{\gamma_{c}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i} Y\left(2 c b_{c}^{2} c^{1 / 4}\right.}{N^{\tau-1 / 3}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} Y^{2}}{3 N^{2 \tau-2 / 3}+\frac{2 s}{\gamma_{c}}}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(y\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}\right.}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y+y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}\left(1-\frac{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N}{N^{4 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 5.1, the facts in (5.26) and above equations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & \frac{N^{3 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}\left(1-\frac{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}, \frac{N}{N^{4 \tau}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}\left(1+\frac{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}, \frac{N}{N^{4 \tau}}\right)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, using the following relation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \partial_{x^{\prime}} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & \frac{1}{n} \bar{\partial}_{\eta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta) \\
= & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\left.\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2 \tau} \tau}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{2} t \pi_{c}^{3 / 2}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right.}\right)\left(1-\frac{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}, \frac{N}{N^{4 \tau}}\right)\right) \\
& \left.-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}\left(1+\frac{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{2} N^{2 \tau}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}, \frac{N}{N^{4 \tau}}\right)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us define $F_{n}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{n} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{2 \pi t_{c}} \frac{\mathrm{i} a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{\pi^{3 / 2} N^{2 \tau}}\left(\frac{\left.\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2} \tau}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right.}\right)}{y-y^{\prime}}+F_{n}(z, \zeta)\right)
$$

Taking the derivative with respect to $x^{\prime}$ on both sides, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \partial_{x^{\prime}} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)= & \left.\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}\right.}{\sqrt{2} t_{c} \pi^{3 / 2}}\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \pi} \frac{\mathrm{i} a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}\right)\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)}{\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}\right) \\
& +\partial_{x^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{2 \pi t_{c}} \frac{\mathrm{i} a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{\pi^{3 / 2} N^{2 \tau}} F_{n}(z, \zeta)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{x^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{2 \pi t_{c}} \frac{\mathrm{i} a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{\pi^{3 / 2} N^{2 \tau}} F_{n}(z, \zeta)\right) \\
= & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{2} t_{c} \pi^{3 / 2}}\left[\frac { a Y ^ { 2 } \sqrt { N } ( x + x ^ { \prime } ) } { \sqrt { 2 } N ^ { 2 \tau } } \left(\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}\right)}{\pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2} \tau}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}, \frac{N}{N^{4 \tau}}\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{2} t_{c} \pi^{3 / 2}} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $\delta>0$, one can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x^{\prime}}^{N^{\delta}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi\left(x+t^{\prime}\right)\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}-\frac{\left(x+t^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2}} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime} \\
= & \int_{x+x^{\prime}}^{N^{\delta}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi t\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
= & \max \left(\frac{\sqrt{N}\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) \int_{x+x^{\prime}}^{N^{\delta}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi t\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{N^{2} \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} \mathcal{O}(1) \mathrm{d} t \\
= & \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi\left(y-\frac{\left.y^{\prime}\right)\left(x+x^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right.}{}-\frac{\left.\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2}} \mathcal{O}\left(\max \left(\frac{\sqrt{N}\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{3 \tau}}{N}\right) \min \left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|}, 1\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{O}(1)$ part in the 3rd line above is analytic function of $t$ in a disk of radius $\sim N^{\epsilon}=N^{2 \tau-1 / 2}$. The last equality comes from a standard steepest descent method by the deformation of the integration contour that we show below. Let us denote $\widehat{X}:=\frac{\sqrt{2} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}$ and $\tilde{t}:=t-\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)$ to express the integral in the 3rd line above. We assume that $\widehat{X}>0$ and $A(t) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$; the other case can be dealt with similarly.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{x+x^{\prime}}^{N^{\delta}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X} t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} A(t) \mathrm{d} t & =\int_{x+x^{\prime}}^{x+x^{\prime}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{X}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X} t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} A(t) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{x+x^{\prime}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\widehat{X}}}}^{N^{\delta}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{x}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X} t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} A(t) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{N^{\delta}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\widehat{X}}}}^{N^{\delta}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X} t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} A(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\widehat{X}}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X} \tilde{t}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\left(x+x^{\prime}+\tilde{)^{2}}\right.}{2}+\frac{\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2}} A\left(\tilde{t}+x+x^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} \tilde{t}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{\widehat{X}}}, \mathrm{e}^{-N^{2 \delta}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\widehat{X}}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X} \tilde{t}} A\left(\tilde{t}+x+x^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} \tilde{t}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{\widehat{X}}}, \mathrm{e}^{-N^{2 \delta}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(x+x^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}-\frac{\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2}} \mathcal{O}\left(\min \left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|}, 1\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the 2nd equality is obtained by the fact that both

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X} t-t^{2} / 2\right]=\operatorname{Re}\left[-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X}\left(\mathrm{~s}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\hat{X}}}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\hat{X}}}\right)^{2}\right]=-\sqrt{\hat{X}}+\frac{1}{2 \widehat{X}}-\frac{\mathrm{s}^{2}}{2}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X} t-t^{2} / 2\right]=\operatorname{Re}\left[-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X}\left(N^{\delta}-\mathrm{is}\right)-\left(N^{\delta}-\mathrm{is}\right)^{2} / 2\right]=-\sqrt{\widehat{X}} \mathbf{s}-N^{2 \delta}+\mathrm{s}^{2} / 2
$$

go to $-\infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and the last equality is obtained by the following fact

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\widehat{X}}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X} \tilde{t}} A\left(\tilde{t}+x+x^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} \tilde{t}\right|<\int_{0}^{-\mathrm{i} \infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \widehat{X} \tilde{t}}\left|A\left(\tilde{t}+x+x^{\prime}\right)\right| \frac{\mathrm{d} \tilde{t}}{-\mathrm{i}} .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
F_{n}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|} \max \left(\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|, \frac{N^{5 \tau}}{N^{3 / 2}}\right) \min \left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}},\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|\right)\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{5 \tau}}{N^{3 / 2}}\right)
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\frac{1}{n} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\left.\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{2 \pi t_{c}} \frac{\mathrm{i} a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{\pi^{3 / 2} N^{2 \tau}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}}{y-y^{\prime}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{5 \tau}}{N^{3 / 2}}\right)\right) .
$$

Using the relation between the correlation kernel $\mathbf{K}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{n}$ in (2.3) and (5.29), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{K}_{n}(z, \zeta) & =\frac{\mathrm{e}^{N z \bar{\zeta}}}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2}|z|^{2}+\frac{N}{2}|\zeta|^{2}} \frac{|z-a|^{N c}|\zeta-a|^{N c}}{(z-a)^{N c}(\bar{\zeta}-a)^{N c}} \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{K}_{n, N}(z, \zeta)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\widehat{C}_{N}\left(Y, x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}-\frac{\pi^{2}\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)^{2} N^{4 \tau}}{a^{2} Y^{4} N}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{2 \pi t_{c}} \frac{\mathrm{i} a Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{\pi^{3 / 2} N^{2 \tau}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)}}{y-y^{\prime}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{5 \tau}}{N^{3 / 2}}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\widehat{C}_{N}\left(Y, x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)} \frac{a Y^{2} \sqrt{N} \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2}-\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}{\pi^{3 / 2} t_{c} N^{2 \tau}}\left(\frac{\sin \left(\pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)\right)}{\pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{5 \tau}}{N^{3 / 2}}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\widehat{C}_{N}\left(Y, x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right):=C_{N, \tau}\left(-\frac{b_{c}+\sqrt{c}}{2} \frac{Y^{2} \sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}+\frac{s}{\sqrt{2} \gamma_{c} N^{1 / 6}}, Y, x+\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi y}{a Y^{2}} \frac{N^{2 \tau}}{\sqrt{N}}, x^{\prime}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} \pi y^{\prime}}{a Y^{2}} \frac{N^{2 \tau}}{\sqrt{N}}\right)
$$

and the last error bar comes from the fact that $1 / 4<\tau<3 / 10$. It follows that

$$
\frac{\widehat{C}_{N}\left(Y, x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) N^{2 \tau}}{n \sqrt{N}} \mathbf{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\frac{a Y^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2}-\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}{\pi^{3 / 2} t_{c}}\left(\frac{\sin \left(\pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2} s N^{2 \tau}}{a Y^{2} \gamma_{c} N^{2 / 3}}\right)\right)}{\pi\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{N^{2 \tau}}, \frac{N^{5 \tau}}{N^{3 / 2}}\right)\right) .
$$

The error is uniform over a bounded region $\{Y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ that is finitely away from $Y=0$ for any $1 / 4<\tau<$ $3 / 10$.

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.2.
For $3 / 10 \leq \tau<1 / 3$, one can see that $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{5 \tau-3 / 2}\right)$-term in the error becomes of order one. To handle such term we need additional corrections. However we still believe that the limiting kernel in (1.14) holds. See Remark 1.

## A Relations in the Painlevé II Riemann-Hilbert problem

Let $\widetilde{\Psi}:=\widetilde{\Psi}(\xi ; \hat{s})$. Since the Riemann-Hilbert problem (1.8) has constant jump conditions, we write the following lax pair,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \xi} \widetilde{\Psi}=A \widetilde{\Psi}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} \widehat{s}} \widetilde{\Psi}=B \widetilde{\Psi} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=A(\xi, \hat{s}):=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-4 \mathrm{i} \xi^{2}-\mathrm{i}\left(\hat{s}+2 q^{2}\right) & 4 \xi q+2 \mathrm{i} q^{\prime} \\
4 \xi q-2 \mathrm{i} q^{\prime} & 4 \mathrm{i} \xi^{2}+\mathrm{i}\left(\hat{s}+2 q^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
B=B(\xi, \hat{s}):=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\mathrm{i} \xi & q \\
q & \mathrm{i} \xi
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The compatibility condition of the linear system (A.1) gives

$$
A_{\hat{s}}-B_{\xi}+[A, B]=0
$$

It follows that $q(\hat{s})$ is the Hastings-McLeod solution of the Painlevé II equation $q^{\prime \prime}=\hat{s} q+2 q^{3}$.
Let us denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(\xi ; \hat{s}):=I+\frac{\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})}{2 \mathrm{i} \xi}+\frac{\Pi_{2}(\hat{s})}{\xi^{2}}+\frac{\Pi_{3}(\hat{s})}{(\mathrm{i} \xi)^{3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\xi^{4}}\right), \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Pi_{1}(\hat{s})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r(\hat{s}) & q(\hat{s})  \tag{A.3}\\
-q(\hat{s}) & -r(\hat{s})
\end{array}\right), \quad \Pi_{2}(\hat{s})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{11}(\hat{s}) & p_{12}(\hat{s}) \\
p_{21}(\hat{s}) & p_{22}(\hat{s})
\end{array}\right), \quad \Pi_{3}(\hat{s})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q_{11}(\hat{s}) & q_{12}(\hat{s}) \\
q_{21}(\hat{s}) & q_{22}(\hat{s})
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since the Painlevé II Riemann-Hilbert problem for $\Psi$ in (1.8) only has constant jumps, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \Psi}{\mathrm{~d} \xi}=A(\xi ; \hat{s}) \Psi \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix function $A(\xi ; \hat{s})$ is meromorphic and can be determined by identifying the singularities. Using $\Pi(\xi ; \hat{s})$ in (A.2) with (A.3), and (A.4), we have

$$
A(\xi ; \hat{s})=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-4 \mathrm{i} \xi^{2}-\mathrm{i}\left(\hat{s}+2 q^{2}\right) & 4 \xi q-2 \mathrm{i} q r+8 \mathrm{i} p_{12} \\
4 \xi q+2 \mathrm{i} q r-8 \mathrm{i} p_{21} & 4 \mathrm{i} \xi^{2}+\mathrm{i}\left(\hat{s}+2 q^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]+\frac{A_{1}(\hat{s})}{\xi}+\frac{A_{2}(\hat{s})}{\xi^{2}}+\frac{A_{3}(\hat{s})}{\xi^{3}},
$$

where

$$
A_{1}(\hat{s})=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
4 q\left(p_{12}-p_{21}\right) & q^{3}-8 q_{12}+4 p_{12} r+q\left(\hat{s}-4 p_{22}-r^{2}\right) \\
q^{3}+8 q_{21}+4 p_{21} r+q\left(\hat{s}-4 p_{11}-r^{2}\right) & -4 q\left(p_{12}-p_{21}\right)
\end{array}\right],
$$

and the corresponding entries of $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[A_{2}(\hat{s})\right]_{11}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(-16 p_{21}^{2}+q^{4}+r+8 p_{21} q r+q^{2}\left(\hat{s}-r^{2}\right)\right),} \\
{\left[A_{2}(\hat{s})\right]_{22}=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(-16 p_{21}^{2}+q^{4}+r+8 p_{21} q r+q^{2}\left(\hat{s}-r^{2}\right)\right),} \\
{\left[A_{2}(\hat{s})\right]_{12}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 q}\left(q^{4} r-16 p_{21}\left(p_{21} r-2 q_{12}\right)+8 q r\left(p_{21} r-q_{12}\right)+q^{2}\left(1-8 q_{22}+\hat{s} r-r^{3}\right)\right),} \\
{\left[A_{2}(\hat{s})\right]_{21}=\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{2 q}\left(q^{4} r-16 p_{21}\left(p_{21} r+2 q_{21}\right)+8 q r\left(p_{21} r+q_{21}\right)+q^{2}\left(1+8 q_{11}+\hat{s} r-r^{3}\right)\right) .} \\
{\left[A_{3}(\hat{s})\right]_{11}=\hat{s} p_{12} q-4 p_{12} p_{22} q+\frac{q^{2}}{4}+2 p_{12} q^{3}-2 q^{2} \hat{q}_{11}+8 p_{12} q_{21}-2 q^{2} q_{22}+p_{22} q^{2} r} \\
\quad-\frac{\left(1+4 p_{12} q\right) r^{2}}{4}-p_{11}\left(2+q\left(4 p_{12}-4 p_{21}+q r\right)\right)+p_{21}\left(-2 q^{3}+8 q_{12}+q\left(-\hat{s}+4 p_{22}+r^{2}\right)\right), \\
{\left[A_{3}(\hat{s})\right]_{22}=-\left(\hat{s}-4 p_{11}\right)\left(p_{12}-p_{21}\right) q+2\left(p_{21}-p_{12}\right) q^{3}-8 p_{21} q_{12}-8 p_{12} q_{21}-\frac{r^{2}}{4}} \\
\quad+\left(p_{12}-p_{21}\right) q r^{2}+q^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}+2 q_{11}+2 q_{22}+p_{11} r\right)+p_{22}\left(-2-q\left(-4 p_{12}+4 p_{21}+q r\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By the fact that $A_{1}(\hat{s})=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{12}=p_{21} \\
& p_{11}=\frac{q^{3}+8 q_{21}+4 p_{21} r+q\left(\hat{s}-r^{2}\right)}{4 q} \\
& p_{22}=\frac{q^{3}-8 q_{12}+4 p_{21} r+q\left(\hat{s}-r^{2}\right)}{4 q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, by the fact that $A_{2}(\hat{s})=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
r & =-\hat{s} q^{2}-q^{4}+\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
\left(q_{12}+q_{21}\right) q^{\prime} & =q^{2}\left(q_{11}+q_{22}\right) \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Defining

$$
B(\xi ; \hat{s}):=\frac{\mathrm{d} \Psi}{\mathrm{~d} \hat{s}} \cdot \Psi^{-1}
$$

by the similar procedure as above, we have

$$
B(\xi ; \hat{s})=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\mathrm{i} \xi & q \\
q & \mathrm{i} \xi
\end{array}\right]+\frac{B_{1}(\hat{s})}{\xi}
$$

where

$$
B_{1}(\hat{s})=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(q^{2}+r^{\prime}\right)}{2} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\left(4 p_{12}-q r-q^{\prime}\right)}{2} \\
\frac{\mathrm{i}\left(4 p_{21}-q r-q^{\prime}\right)}{2} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\left(q^{2}+r^{\prime}\right)}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

By the fact that $B_{1}(\hat{s})=0$, we have

$$
p_{12}=\frac{q r+q^{\prime}}{4}, \quad r^{\prime}=-q^{2}
$$

Using the fact that $A_{3}(\hat{s})=0$ and the relation $\left(q_{12}+q_{21}\right) q^{\prime}=q^{2}\left(q_{11}+q_{22}\right)$ from (A.5), we have

$$
q_{12}=-q_{21}=\frac{1}{16}\left(2 \hat{s} q+q^{3}+\hat{s}^{2} q^{5}+2 \hat{s} q^{7}+q^{9}-2 q^{2}\left(\hat{s}+q^{2}\right) q^{\prime}-2 q^{3}\left(\hat{s}+q^{2}\right)\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{3}+q\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{4}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{12}(\hat{s})=p_{21}(\hat{s}) & =\frac{q(\hat{s}) r(\hat{s})+q^{\prime}(\hat{s})}{4} \\
p_{11}(\hat{s})=p_{22}(\hat{s}) & =\frac{2 q(\hat{s}) q^{\prime}(\hat{s})\left(\hat{s}+q(\hat{s})^{2}\right)+q(\hat{s})^{2}-r(\hat{s})^{2}}{8}+\frac{2 q^{\prime}(\hat{s})\left(r(\hat{s})-q^{\prime}(\hat{s})^{2}\right)}{8 q(\hat{s})} \\
& =\frac{q(\hat{s})^{2}-r(\hat{s})^{2}}{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let $p_{j}$ be the monic orthogonal polynomials satisfy the following orthogonality conditions

$$
\int_{\mathbb{C}} p_{j}(z) \overline{p_{k}(z)}|z-a|^{2 N c} \mathrm{e}^{-N|z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} A(z)=h_{j} \delta_{j k}
$$

where $h_{j}$ is the norming constant. Let us denote

$$
\psi_{j}(z):=(z-a)^{N c} p_{j}(z), \quad \phi_{j}(z):=(z-a)^{N c} \frac{p_{j}(z)}{h_{j}}
$$

We define the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle U \mid V\rangle:=\int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{U(z)} V(z) \mathrm{e}^{-N|z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} A(z)=\overline{\langle V \mid U\rangle} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote $\Psi:=\left[\psi_{0}, \psi_{1}, \cdots\right]^{\mathrm{T}}, \Phi:=\left[\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \cdots\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$, where T is the transpose of a matrix. Let

$$
\Pi_{n}:=\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{n}, 0, \cdots)
$$

be the projection operator, we write

$$
\mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=\mathrm{e}^{-N z \bar{\zeta}} \Phi^{*}(\zeta) \Pi_{n} \Psi(z)
$$

where the superscript $*$ means the complex conjugation. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}_{n}(z, \zeta)=-N \mathrm{e}^{-N z \bar{\zeta}}\left(z-\frac{1}{N} \bar{\partial}_{\zeta}\right) \Phi^{*}(\zeta) \Pi_{n} \Psi(z) \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote

$$
L_{j, j-1}=-\frac{\left\langle z \psi_{j} \mid \phi_{0}\right\rangle}{\left\langle z \psi_{j-1} \mid \phi_{0}\right\rangle} \quad \text { for each } j
$$

Notice that the denominator does not vanish due to the fact that $p_{n+1}(0) \neq 0$ and $p_{n}(z) \neq z p_{n-1}(z)$ for all $n$, which is obtained by the following relation

$$
h_{n}=-\frac{\Gamma(N c+n+1)}{2 \mathrm{i} N^{N c+n+1}} \frac{\widetilde{h}_{n}}{p_{n+1}(0)}
$$

with $\widetilde{h}_{n} \neq 0$ and $h_{n} \neq 0$ for all $n$ from [7, Proposition 3.3, Proposition 7.1]. Note also that

$$
z\left(\psi_{j}(z)+L_{j, j-1} \psi_{j-1}(z)\right) \perp \phi_{0}
$$

with respect to the inner product (B.1). The numbers $L_{j, j-1}$ are building blocks to define the lower diagonal matrix

$$
L:=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
L_{2,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & L_{3,2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & L_{4,3} & 0 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots
\end{array}\right]
$$

We write

$$
\widetilde{\psi}_{j}:=\psi_{j}+L_{j, j-1} \psi_{j-1}
$$

Then if

$$
\phi(z)=(\text { polynomials of deg } \leq j-2) \cdot(z-a) \cdot(z-a)^{N c},
$$

we have

$$
\left\langle\phi \mid z \widetilde{\psi}_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\partial \phi \mid \widetilde{\psi}_{j}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

It follows that

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{j-1}\right\} \perp z \widetilde{\psi}_{j},
$$

which leads to

$$
z \widetilde{\psi}_{j}(z)=\psi_{j+1}(z)+B_{j, j} \psi_{j}(z)
$$

for some $B_{j, j}$. Thus we obtain

$$
z(I+L) \Psi=B \Psi, \quad B:=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
B_{1,1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots  \tag{B.3}\\
0 & B_{2,2} & 1 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & B_{3,3} & 1 & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & B_{4,4} & \ddots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Let us also write

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{j, j+1}=-\frac{h_{j+1}}{h_{j}} \frac{p_{j}(a)}{p_{j+1}(a)}, \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is well-defined due to the fact that $h_{j} \neq 0$ for all $j$ from [7, Proposition 3.3, Proposition 7.1], and define the upper diagonal matrix

$$
U:=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & U_{1,2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & U_{2,3} & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & U_{3,4} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then the function

$$
\widehat{\phi}_{j}(z):=\phi_{j}(z)+U_{j, j+1} \phi_{j+1}(z)=(\text { polynomials of } \operatorname{deg} \leq j) \cdot(z-a) \cdot(z-a)^{N c}
$$

satisfies

$$
\left\langle\partial \widehat{\phi}_{j} \mid \psi_{k}\right\rangle=\left\langle\widehat{\phi}_{j} \mid z \psi_{k}\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { if } k \leq j-2 .
$$

Thus we have

$$
\partial \widehat{\phi}_{j}=A_{j, j} \phi_{j}+A_{j, j-1} \phi_{j-1}
$$

for some $A_{j, k}$, equivalently,

$$
\partial(I+U) \Phi=A \Phi, \quad A:=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots  \tag{B.5}\\
A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & A_{3,2} & A_{3,3} & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & A_{4,3} & A_{4,4} & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We now determine $A_{j, j-1}$ and $A_{j, j}$. Note that integration by parts gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{B}(I+U)^{t} & =\bar{B}\left\langle\Psi \mid \Phi^{t}\right\rangle(I+U)^{t}=\left\langle B \Psi \mid \Phi^{t}(I+U)^{t}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle z(I+L) \Psi \mid \Phi^{t}(I+U)^{t}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{N}\left\langle(I+L) \Psi \mid \partial \Phi^{t}(I+U)^{t}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{N}\left\langle(I+L) \Psi \mid \Phi^{t} A^{t}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{N}(I+\bar{L}) A^{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} A\left(I+L^{*}\right)=(I+U) B^{*}, \quad B=\frac{1}{N}(I+L) A^{*}\left(I+U^{*}\right)^{-1} . \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the terms involving $A_{j, j-1}$, one can observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j, j-1}=N \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine $A_{j, j}$, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \widehat{\phi}_{j}(z) & =\partial\left(\phi_{j}+U_{j, j+1} \phi_{j+1}\right)=\frac{1}{h_{j}} \partial\left((z-a)^{N c} p_{j}\right)+\frac{U_{j, j+1}}{h_{j+1}} \partial\left((z-a)^{N c} p_{j+1}\right) \\
& =(z-a)^{N c-1} \frac{1}{h_{j}}\left(\left(N c p_{j}+(z-a) p_{j}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{p_{j}(a)}{p_{j+1}(a)}\left(N c p_{j+1}+(z-a) p_{j+1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =(z-a)^{N c} \frac{1}{h_{j}}\left(\frac{N c}{z-a}\left(p_{j}-\frac{p_{j}(a)}{p_{j+1}(a)} p_{j+1}\right)+p_{j}^{\prime}-\frac{p_{j}(a)}{p_{j+1}(a)} p_{j+1}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
A_{j, j} p_{j}+N \frac{h_{j}}{h_{j-1}} p_{j-1}=\frac{N c}{z-a}\left(p_{j}-\frac{p_{j}(a)}{p_{j+1}(a)} p_{j+1}\right)+p_{j}^{\prime}-\frac{p_{j}(a)}{p_{j+1}(a)} p_{j+1}^{\prime}
$$

Comparing the coefficient of $z^{j}$ term of this identity, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j, j}=-\frac{p_{j}(a)}{p_{j+1}(a)}(N c+j+1) \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice in particular that $A_{j, k}$ 's are real.
Now let us consider the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=N T_{-}+A_{0} \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
T_{-}:=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots
\end{array}\right], \quad A_{0}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{1,1}, A_{2,2}, \ldots\right)
$$

are the translation and the diagonal part respectively. Write

$$
A^{*}=T_{+}+A_{0}^{*}, \quad T_{+}:=T_{-}^{*} .
$$

Note also that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T_{+}-\zeta\right) \Psi=\left(T_{+}-(I+L)^{-1} B\right) \Psi=\left(T_{+}-(I+L)^{-1} \frac{1}{N}(I+L) A^{*}\left(I+U^{*}\right)^{-1}\right) \Psi \\
= & \left(T_{+}-\frac{1}{N} A^{*}\left(I+U^{*}\right)^{-1}\right) \Psi=\left(T_{+}\left(I+U^{*}\right)-\frac{1}{N} A^{*}\right)\left(I+U^{*}\right)^{-1} \Psi=\left(T_{+} U^{*}-\frac{1}{N} A_{0}^{*}\right)\left(I+U^{*}\right)^{-1} \Psi,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second and the fourth identity follow from (B.6) and (B.9) respectively.
Let us claim that $\left(T_{+} U^{*}-\frac{1}{N} A_{0}^{*}\right)$ is invertible. Suppose that this is not the case. Then there exists some $k$ such that $U_{k-1, k}^{*}-\frac{1}{N} A_{k-1, k-1}=0$. Consequently, we have $\psi_{k}(z)=z \psi_{k-1}(z)$. This contradicts the assumption that $a \neq 0$. Therefore, $\left(T_{+} U^{*}-\frac{1}{N} A_{0}^{*}\right)$ is invertible, which is also equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j+1} \neq \frac{N c+j+1}{N} h_{j} \tag{B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (B.4) and (B.8).
By letting

$$
\widehat{\Psi}:=\left(T_{+} U^{*}-\frac{1}{N} A_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(T_{+}-z\right) \Psi,
$$

we have

$$
\left(I+U^{*}\right) \widehat{\Psi}=\Psi
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\Psi} & :=\left[\widehat{\psi}_{0}, \widehat{\psi}_{1}, \cdots\right]^{t}=\left(T_{+} U^{*}-\frac{1}{N} A_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(T_{+}-z\right)\left[\psi_{0}, \psi_{1}, \cdots\right]^{t} \\
& =\operatorname{diag}\left(U_{1,2}^{*}-\frac{1}{N} A_{1,1}, U_{2,3}^{*}-\frac{1}{N} A_{2,2}, \cdots\right)^{-1}\left[\psi_{1}-z \psi_{0}, \psi_{2}-z \psi_{1}, \cdots\right]^{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\psi}_{j}=\frac{\psi_{j+1}-z \psi_{j}}{U_{j, j+1}^{*}-\frac{1}{N} A_{j, j}} \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the denominator again does not vanish due to (B.4), (B.8) and (B.10). Then by (B.3) and (B.6), we have

$$
(I+L) z\left(I+U^{*}\right) \widehat{\Psi}=(I+L) z \Psi=B \Psi=B\left(I+U^{*}\right) \widehat{\Psi}=\frac{1}{N}(I+L) A^{*} \widehat{\Psi}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(I+U^{*}\right) \widehat{\Psi}=\frac{1}{N} A^{*} \widehat{\Psi} . \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (B.12), (B.5) and (B.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(z-\frac{1}{N} \partial_{\zeta}\right) \Phi^{*}(\zeta) \Pi_{n} \Psi(z) & =\left(z-\frac{1}{N} \partial_{\zeta}\right) \Phi^{*}(\zeta) \Pi_{n}\left(I+U^{*}\right) \widehat{\Psi}(z) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \Phi^{*}(\zeta)\left[\Pi_{n}, A^{*}\right] \widehat{\Psi}(z)-\frac{1}{N} \partial_{\zeta} \Phi^{*}(\zeta)\left[\Pi_{n}, I+U^{*}\right] \widehat{\Psi}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover by (B.7) and (B.11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{*}(\zeta)\left[\Pi_{n}, A^{*}\right] \widehat{\Psi}(z) & =\overline{\phi_{n-1}(\zeta)} A_{n, n-1} \widehat{\psi}_{n}(z)-\overline{\phi_{n}(\zeta)} A_{n-1, n} \widehat{\psi}_{n-1}(z) \\
& =\frac{N}{U_{n, n+1}^{*}-\frac{1}{N} A_{n, n}} \overline{\phi_{n-1}(\zeta)}\left(\psi_{n+1}(z)-z \psi_{n}(z)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\zeta} \Phi^{*}(\zeta)\left[\Pi_{n}, I+U^{*}\right] \widehat{\Psi}(z) & =\partial_{\zeta} \overline{\phi_{n-1}(\zeta)} U_{n, n-1}^{*} \widehat{\psi}_{n}(z)-\partial_{\zeta} \overline{\phi_{n}(\zeta)} U_{n-1, n}^{*} \widehat{\psi}_{n-1}(z) \\
& =-\frac{U_{n-1, n}^{*}}{U_{n-1, n}^{*}-\frac{1}{N} A_{n-1, n-1}} \partial_{\zeta} \overline{\phi_{n}(\zeta)}\left(\psi_{n}(z)-z \psi_{n-1}(z)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining all of the above identities with (B.2), the proof is complete.

## C Proof of Theorem 4.1

Since $\Delta Q(z)=\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}\right) Q(z)=4$ away from the singularity, the function, $2 \log |u(z)|+4 N\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{2}-N Q(z)$, is a subharmonic function (and harmonic away from the singularities) for any polynomial $u(z)$. Exponentiating the subharmonic function we get that $|u(z)|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{4 N\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{2}-N Q(z)}$ is also a subharmonic function, using Jensen's inequality. Integrating around a small disk of radius $1 / \sqrt{N}$ centered at $z_{0}$, that we denote by $D\left(z_{0} ; 1 / \sqrt{N}\right)$ below, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-N Q\left(z_{0}\right)} & \leq \frac{N}{\pi} \int_{D\left(z_{0} ; 1 / \sqrt{N}\right)}|u(z)|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{4 N\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{2}-N Q(z)} \mathrm{d} A(z) \\
& <\frac{N \mathrm{e}^{4}}{\pi} \int_{D\left(z_{0} ; 1 / \sqrt{N}\right)}|u(z)|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-N Q(z)} \mathrm{d} A(z) \\
& <\frac{N \mathrm{e}^{4}}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}}|u(z)|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-N Q(z)} \mathrm{d} A(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above inequality holds for arbitrary $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$. Taking the $\log$ of the above inequality, we get

$$
2 \log |u(z)|-N Q(z) \leq \log \frac{N \mathrm{e}^{4}}{\pi}\|u\|_{L^{2}(N Q)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

where we define

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}(N Q)}=\int_{\mathbb{C}}|u(z)|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-N Q(z)} \mathrm{d} A(z) .
$$

Let us define $\widehat{Q}$, adopting the notation of [3], by

$$
\widehat{Q}(z)=\frac{2 t}{\operatorname{Area}(\mathcal{S})} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \log |z-w| \mathrm{d} A(w)-\ell_{2 \mathrm{D}}
$$

where $\ell_{2 \mathrm{D}}$ is chosen such that $Q=\widehat{Q}$ on the boundary of $\mathcal{S}$. Since $\widehat{Q}$ is harmonic in $\mathcal{S}^{c}$, and $\widehat{Q}$ satisfies the growth condition $\widehat{Q}(z) \sim 2 t \log |z|$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
2 \log |u(z)|-N \widehat{Q}(z) \leq \log \frac{N \mathrm{e}^{4}}{\pi}\|u\|_{L^{2}(N Q)}
$$

on the boundary of $\mathcal{S}$, and the left hand side goes to $-\infty$ if $u(z)$ is a polynomial of degree less than $t N$. Since the left hand side is harmonic on $\mathcal{S}^{c}$ the above inequality holds for all $z \in \mathcal{S}^{c} \cup \partial \mathcal{S}$.
Let us take $n=t N$ and $u(z)=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2} Q(z)} \mathbf{K}_{n}(z, w) / \sqrt{\mathbf{K}_{n}(w, w)}$ which is a polynomial of degree $n-1$. We have $\|u\|_{L^{2}(N Q)}=1$ and, by exponentiating the above inequality, we get

$$
\mathrm{e}^{N(Q(z)-\widehat{Q}(z))} \frac{\left|\mathbf{K}_{n}(z, w)\right|^{2}}{\mathbf{K}_{n}(w, w)} \leq \frac{N \mathrm{e}^{4}}{\pi}, \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathcal{S}^{c} \cup \partial \mathcal{S} \quad \text { and } \quad w \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

Taking $w=z$ we have

$$
\mathbf{K}_{n}(z, z) \leq \frac{N \mathrm{e}^{4}}{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-N(Q(z)-\widehat{Q}(z))}, \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathcal{S}^{c} \cup \partial \mathcal{S}
$$

Setting $\mathcal{U}_{2 \mathrm{D}}(z)=Q(z)-\widehat{Q}(z)$, we finish the proof Theorem 4.1.
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