Quantum Cosmology for Tunneling Universes

Sang Pyo Kim*

Department of Physics, Kunsan National University, Kunsan 573-701, Korea

(Dated: October 26, 2018)

In a quantum cosmological model consisting of a Euclidean region and a Lorentzian region, Hartle-Hawking's no-bounary wave function, and Linde's wave function and Vilenkin's tunneling wave function are briefly described and compared with each other. We put a particular emphasis on semiclassical gravity from quantum cosmology and compare it with the conventional quantum field theory in curved spacetimes. Finally, we discuss the recent debate on catastrophic particle production in the tunneling universe between Rubakov and Vilenkin within the semiclassical gravity.

PACS numbers: 04.60.-m, 04.62.+v, 04.60.Ds, 04.60.Kz

I. INTRODUCTION

It is widely known that quantum gravity effects are important at the Planck scale of length, time and mass

$$l_{p} = \left(\frac{G\hbar}{c^{3}}\right)^{1/2} = 10^{-33} \text{ cm},$$

$$t_{p} = \left(\frac{G\hbar}{c^{5}}\right)^{1/2} = 10^{-43} \text{ sec},$$

$$m_{p} = \left(\frac{c\hbar}{G}\right)^{1/2} = 10^{19} \text{ Gev}/c^{2}.$$
 (1)

It is also well accepted that at such Planck scales quantum gravity theory should replace the role of classical gravity theory. Though at present any viable theory of quantum gravity is not known free from all conceptual and technical problems, quantum gravity may be denoted symbolically as

$$\hat{G}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi}{m_p^2} \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}.$$
 (2)

It is within quantum gravity with both gravity and matter quantized that the unitarity problem and renormalization problem, quantum black holes, and quantum cosmology should be properly addressed.

In a regime where gravity becomes classical but matter still maintains quantum nature, one has semiclassical gravity theory

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi}{m_p^2} \langle \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} \rangle. \tag{3}$$

Gravity may undergo, for instance, a decoherence mechanism to make a quantum-to-classical transition. Quantum field theory in curved spacetimes or the semiclassical theory derived from quantum gravity may be regarded as semiclassical gravity theory. This semiclassical gravity theory has been widely used to investigate important phenomena such as particle and/or entropy production, black hole information, and some of cosmological problems. Finally, when both gravity and matters become classical, they are governed by classical gravity theory

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi}{m_p^2} T_{\mu\nu}.$$
(4)

Even with a consistent theory of quantum gravity known, one should also understand the mechanism leading quantum gravity (2) to semiclassical gravity (3) and finally to classical gravity (4). The main aim of this talk is to follow this scheme, discuss and clarify some issues in this direction [1, 2]. As we do not know the consistent quantum gravity, we need to adopt some quantum gravity theory that incorporates quantum gravity effects. The Wheeler-DeWitt (WDW) equation, for instance, may be such a quantum gravity theory where both gravity variables from metric tensors and matters are quantized. Quantum cosmology, where cosmological models are quantized à la the WDW equation, can be a good arena to test the above scheme of a transition of quantum gravity down to classical gravity.

Quantum cosmology consists of a quantum dynamical law, boundary (initial) conditions and prediction (interpretation) of quantum states [3]. The WDW equation as a quantum dynamical law determines the wave functions of the universe. There should be some prescription to select a unique wave function of the universe. A boundary condition selects the unique or appropriate state of the universe. Then the selected wave function carries all information of the history of the universe. Three leading proposals to prescribe boundary conditions were advanced: Hartle-Hawking's no-boundary wave function [4], Linde's wave function [5], and Vilenkin's tunneling wave function [6]. We derive the semiclassical gravity from the WDW equation and discuss particle creation in a tunneling universe. Recently there has been a debate on catastrophic particle creation from a tunneling universe between Rubakov's group [7] and Vilenkin's group [8]. We show that an adiabatic vacuum state minimizes particle creation but its squeezed states necessarily lead to catastrophic particle creation.

^{*}Electronic address: sangkim@kunsan.ac.kr

II. WAVE FUNCTIONS OF THE UNIVERSE

As a simple model for quantum cosmology, we consider the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) universe with the action

$$I_g = \frac{m_p^2}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \Big[R - 2\Lambda \Big] + \frac{m_p^2}{8\pi} \int d^3x \sqrt{h} K, \quad (5)$$

where Λ is a cosmological constant. The surface term is introduced to yield the correct equation of motion for a closed universe. In the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) formulation with the metric

$$ds^{2} = -N^{2}(t)dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)d\Omega_{3}^{2}, \qquad (6)$$

the action leads to the Hamiltonian constraint

$$H_g = -\frac{1}{3\pi m_p^2 a} \pi_a^2 - \frac{3\pi m_p^2}{4} \left(a - \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^3\right) = 0, \qquad (7)$$

where the conjugate momentum is given by

$$\pi_a = -\frac{3\pi m_p^2 a}{2N} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t}.$$
(8)

The quantum theory of the universe is given by the Hamiltonian constraint à la the Dirac quantization, which is nothing but the WDW equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_p^2}\frac{d^2}{da^2} + V_g(a)\right]\Psi(a) = 0,$$
(9)

with an effective gravitational potential

$$V_g(a) = \frac{9\pi^2 m_p^2}{8} \left(a - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^4 \right).$$
(10)

The WDW equation for pure gravity of the FRW universe now has the form of one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with the potential (10) and zero energy. No exact solutions are known for this apparently simple equation. More significantly, the boundary conditions for quantum cosmology may differ from those for quantum mechanics. However, the characteristic behaviors of the wave functions of leading proposals can be well exhibited by the asymptotic solutions [9]. For that purpose, we introduce the Liouville-Green transformation [10]

$$\eta^{3/2} = \frac{3}{2} \int_{a_t}^a da \left(-\frac{2m_p^2}{\hbar^2} V_g(a) \right)^{1/2}, \tag{11}$$

and write the gravitational wave function in the form

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} + \eta + \Delta(\eta)\right]\Psi(\eta) = 0, \qquad (12)$$

where

$$\Delta(\eta) = -\frac{3}{4} \frac{\left(\frac{d^2\eta}{da^2}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{d\eta}{da}\right)^4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{d^3\eta}{da^3}\right)}{\left(\frac{d\eta}{da}\right)^3}.$$
 (13)

Here a_t is the turning point, $a < a_t = (\Lambda/3)^{1/2}$, from the side of a de Sitter region. The Liouville-Green transformation has an advantage that it can readily be extended to classically forbidden regions without singular behavior at turning points. The integral (11) can be done exactly to yield

$$\eta = \left(\frac{9\pi m_p^2}{4\hbar\Lambda}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 - 1\right), \Delta(\eta) = -\frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{\left[\eta + \left(\frac{9\pi m_p^2}{4\hbar\Lambda}\right)^{2/3}\right]^2}.$$
 (14)

We can find asymptotic solutions to Eq. (9) at least in two asymptotic regions, a de Sitter region and a classically forbidden region. In the first case of the de Sitter region, $(\eta \gg 1, \Delta = -/16\eta^2 \rightarrow 0)$, Eq. (12) has the asymptotic solutions

$$\Psi(a) = \begin{cases} Ai(-\eta - \delta(\eta)) \\ Bi(-\eta - \delta(\eta)) \end{cases} , \qquad (15)$$

where Ai and Bi are Airy functions and δ is a small correction due to Δ . In the second case of the classically forbidden region of tunneling universe, we analytically continue Eq. (11) to introduce another variable

$$\zeta^{3/2} = \frac{3}{2} \int_{a}^{a_t} da \left(\frac{2m_p^2}{\hbar^2} V_g(a)\right)^{1/2},\tag{16}$$

and write the gravitational field equation as

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} - \zeta + \Delta(\zeta)\right]\Psi(\zeta) = 0, \qquad (17)$$

where

$$\Delta(\zeta) = -\frac{3}{4} \frac{\left(\frac{d^2 \zeta}{da^2}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{d\zeta}{da}\right)^4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{d^3 \zeta}{da^3}\right)}{\left(\frac{d\zeta}{da}\right)^3}.$$
 (18)

Now Eq. (16) yields

$$\zeta = \left(\frac{9\pi m_p^2}{4\hbar\Lambda}\right)^{2/3} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2\right),$$

$$\Delta(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{\left[\zeta + \left(\frac{9\pi m_p^2}{4\hbar\Lambda}\right)^{2/3}\right]^2}.$$
 (19)

The asymptotic solutions to Eq. (17) in the tunneling region are

$$\Psi(a,\phi) = \begin{cases} Ai(\zeta - \delta(\zeta)) \\ Bi(\zeta - \delta(\zeta)) \end{cases}$$
 (20)

Using the asymptotic solutions (15) and (20), we can prescribe the three leading proposals for the wave functions of the universe. First, Hartle-Hawking's noboundary wave function in the classically forbidden region is given by

$$\Psi_{HH}(a) = CAi(\zeta - \delta(\zeta)), \qquad (21)$$

and has the asymptotic form

$$\Psi_{HH}(a) = C \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2}\right)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}S_g(a)}.$$
 (22)

Here S_q is the gravitational instanton

$$S_g(a) = \int_a^{a_t} \left(2m_p^2 V_g(a)\right)^{1/2} = \frac{2\hbar}{3}\zeta^{3/2}.$$
 (23)

In the de Sitter region we analytically continue ζ to η to obtain

$$\Psi_{HH}(a) = CAi(-\eta - \delta(\eta)).$$
(24)

In fact, the wave function (24) is an analytical continuation of (21) through the turning point without having any singularity. The Hartle-Hawking wave function has the asymptotic form

$$\Psi_{HH}(a) = \left(\frac{1}{\frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 - 1}\right)^{1/4} \sin\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}S_g(a) + \frac{\pi}{4}\right), \quad (25)$$

where

$$S_g(a) = \int_{a_t}^a \left(-2m_p^2 V_g(a)\right)^{1/2} = \frac{2\hbar}{3}\eta^{3/2}.$$
 (26)

Second, Linde's wave function is prescribed by

$$\Psi_L(a) = CBi(\zeta - \delta(\zeta)), \qquad (27)$$

and has the asymptotic form

$$\Psi_L(a) = C \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2}\right)^{1/4} e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}S_g(a)},$$
(28)

where S_g is the gravitational instanton (23). The wave function can be continued to the de Sitter region

$$\Psi_L(a) = CBi(-\eta - \delta(\eta)), \qquad (29)$$

with the asymptotic form

$$\Psi_L(a) = \left(\frac{1}{\frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 - 1}\right)^{1/4} \cos\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}S_g(a) + \frac{\pi}{4}\right).$$
(30)

Finally, Vilenkin's tunneling wave function is given by

$$\Psi_V(a) = C[Ai(\zeta - \delta(\zeta)) - iBi(\zeta - \delta(\zeta))], \qquad (31)$$

with the asymptotic form

$$\Psi_V(a) = C \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2}\right)^{1/4} \left[\frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}S_g(a)} + e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}S_g(a)}\right].$$
 (32)

In the de Sitter region it becomes a purely outgoing wave function

$$\Psi_V(a) = C\eta^{1/2} H_{1/3}^{(1)} \left(\frac{2}{3}\eta^{3/2}\right). \tag{33}$$

A few comments are in order. First, in the tunneling region, Hartle-Hawking's, Linde's, and Vilenkin's wave functions have the asymptotic forms, $\Psi_{HH} \approx$ $e^{-S_g(a)/\hbar}, \Psi_L \approx e^{+S_g/\hbar}, \text{ and } \Psi_V \approx e^{+S_g/\hbar} + e^{-S_g/\hbar}/2, \text{ re-}$ spectively. As $S_a(a)$ is a decreasing function of a, Hartle-Hawking's wave function increases from a minimum value whereas Linde's and Vilenkin's wave functions decrease from a maximum values at a = 0. When S_a is large, Linde's wave function and Vilenkin's wave function are dominated approximately by the same asymptotic form $e^{S_g/\hbar}$. These forms are important in deriving semiclassical gravity in the next sections. Second, in the de Sitter region Hartle-Hawking's wave function and Linde's wave functions have equal amplitudes (probabilities) for outgoing (expanding) and incoming (collapsing) components whereas Vilenkin's wave function has a purely outgoing component.

III. SEMICLASSICAL GRAVITY

The idea of deriving semiclassical gravity from quantum gravity is rooted on the correspondence in certain circumstances between quantum theory and classical theory. In fact, the Schrödinger equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V(x)\right]\psi(x) = E\psi(x), \qquad (34)$$

with a WKB solution of the form

$$\psi(x) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S(x)},\tag{35}$$

may lead, in the asymptotic limit $(1/\hbar \to \infty)$, to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\frac{1}{2m} (\nabla S)^2 + V(x) = E.$$
 (36)

With the identification $p = \nabla S$ and H = E, Eq. (36) becomes nothing but the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}p^2 + V(x).$$
 (37)

The same idea may be applied to quantum cosmology. The WDW equation for gravity h_a coupled with a matter field ϕ takes in general the form

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_p^2}\nabla^2 + m_p^2 V(h_a) + \hat{H}_m(\pi_{\phi}, \phi, h_a)\right] \Psi(h_a, \phi) = 0.$$
(38)

Here $m_p^2 = 1/G$, and $\nabla^2 = G_{ab}\delta^2/\delta h_a \delta h_b$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the superspace h_a of three geometries modulo diffeomorphisms, and $V(h_a)$ is a potential from the three-curvature. Contrary to ordinary quantum theory, there are two asymptotic parameters, $m_p \to \infty$ and $1/\hbar \to \infty$. A simple analogy is to write the wave functional as [1]

$$\Psi(h_a,\phi) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_0(h_a)}\chi(\phi,h_a).$$
(39)

In the limit of $1/\hbar \to \infty$, one obtains the Einstein-Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\frac{1}{2m_p^2} (\nabla S_0)^2 + m_p^2 V(h_a) = 0, \qquad (40)$$

and the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\delta}{\delta t}\chi = \hat{H}_m(\pi_\phi, \phi, h_a)\chi. \tag{41}$$

Here a cosmological time is introduced along each classical trajectory determined by the Einstein-Hamilton-Jacobi equation as

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta t} = \frac{1}{m_p^2} G_{ab} \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta h_{(a}} \frac{\delta}{\delta h_{b)}}.$$
(42)

However, this simple idea has a limitation that Eq. (40) is a pure gravity (vacuum solution) without the backreaction of matter.

To overcome this shortcoming, we may employ the Born-Oppenheimer idea of separating gravity from matter, and the de Broglie-Bohm interpretation of emergence of classical system with a quantum potential [2]. That is, the wave function is written as

$$\Psi(h_a, \phi) = \psi(h_a)\Phi(\phi, h_a), \tag{43}$$

where $\psi(h_a)$ is a function of the slow variable h_a with mass scale m_p and $\Phi(\phi, h_a)$ is a function of the fast variable ϕ with mass scale m. Then one may obtain from the WDW equation the gravity part equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_p^2}D^2 + m_p^2 V + \langle \hat{H}_m \rangle - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_p^2} \langle \bar{D}^2 \rangle\right] \psi = 0, \quad (44)$$

and the matter part equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{m_p^2}\frac{1}{\psi}(D\psi)\cdot(\bar{D}\Phi) + (\hat{H}_m - \langle\hat{H}_m\rangle)\Phi \qquad (45)$$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_p^2}(\bar{D}^2 - \langle \bar{D}^2 \rangle)\Phi = 0.$$
 (46)

Here D and \overline{D} are covariant derivatives

$$D_a = \frac{\delta}{\delta h_a} + iA_a, \quad \bar{D}_a = \frac{\delta}{\delta h_a} - iA_a, \quad (47)$$

with an effective gauge potential

$$A_a(h_a) = -i \frac{\langle \Phi | \frac{\delta}{\delta h_a} | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle},\tag{48}$$

and $\langle \hat{H}_m \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{D}^2 \rangle$ are back reactions

$$\langle \hat{H}_m \rangle = \frac{\langle \Phi | \hat{H}_m | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle}, \quad \langle \bar{D}^2 \rangle = \frac{\langle \Phi | \bar{D}^2 | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle}.$$
 (49)

After removing the geometric phases

$$\psi = e^{-i\int A}\tilde{\psi}, \quad \Phi = e^{i\int A}\tilde{\Phi}, \tag{50}$$

and dropping tildes, and adopting the de Broglie-Bohm interpretation

$$\psi(h_a) = F(h_a)e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S(h_a)},\tag{51}$$

one finds the Einstein-Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\frac{1}{2m_p^2} (\nabla S)^2 + m_p^2 V + \langle \hat{H}_m \rangle = 0,$$
 (52)

and the quantum field equation for the matter field

$$i\hbar\frac{\delta}{\delta t}\Phi = [\hat{H}_m - \langle \hat{H}_m \rangle]\Phi = 0.$$
 (53)

The cosmological time is defined with respect to the gravitational action with the backreaction from the matter field

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta t} = \frac{1}{m_p^2} \nabla S \cdot \nabla. \tag{54}$$

In conclusion, the semiclassical gravity from quantum gravity takes the form

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi}{m_p^2} \Big[\langle \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} \rangle + T^Q_{\mu\nu} \Big],$$
$$i\hbar \frac{\delta}{\delta t} |\Phi\rangle = \Big[\hat{H}_m + \hat{H}^Q_m \Big] |\Phi\rangle = 0, \tag{55}$$

where $T^Q_{\mu\nu}$ and \hat{H}^Q_m are quantum corrections. For instance, the semiclassical gravity for the FRW cosmology is given by [2]

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{K}{a^2} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right) = \frac{8\pi}{3m_p^2 a^3} \left[H_{nn} + \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{3m_p^2 a} \left(U_{nn}^2 + \frac{\dot{U}_{nn}}{\dot{a}}\right)\right],\tag{56}$$

where

$$H_{nn} = \langle \Phi_n | \hat{H}_m | \Phi_n \rangle, \quad U_{nn} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d(a\dot{a})/d\tau}{a\dot{a}^3}.$$
 (57)

IV. SEMICLASSICAL GRAVITY FOR TUNNELING UNIVERSE

From now on we shall confine our attention to the FRW universe with a minimal scalar field. The action for a minimal massive scalar field

$$I_m = \int d^4 x (-g)^{1/2} \frac{1}{2} \Big[-g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - m^2 \phi^2 \Big], \quad (58)$$

after the Fourier-decomposition, leads to the canonical Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_m = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\pi_{\alpha}^2}{2a^3} + \frac{a^3}{2} \omega_{\alpha}^2 \phi_{\alpha}^2, \quad \omega_{\alpha} = \left(m^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{a^2}\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (59)

Here α denotes the collective notation for the Fourier or spherical harmonic modes

$$\alpha^{2} = \begin{cases} k^{2}, & \text{(for a spatially flat FRW)}, \\ j(j+2), & \text{(for a closed FRW)}, \end{cases}$$
(60)

and $\pi_{\alpha} = a^3 \dot{\phi}_{\alpha}$ is the canonical momentum. Thus the Hamiltonian is equivalent to an infinite sum of timedependent oscillators. The Hamiltonian constraint for gravity plus matter system now becomes

$$H_g + H_m = 0, (61)$$

and leads to the WDW equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_p^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a^2} + V_g(a) - \frac{3a}{2}\hat{H}_m(\pi_\alpha, \phi_\alpha, a)\right]\Psi(a, \phi_\alpha) = 0.$$
(62)

Without complication of a backreaction of ϕ and loss of generality, we assume that only a few modes be present in Eq. (62). We then define the cosmological time (42) along the classical trajectory of an expanding universe with the gravity part of wave function

$$\psi_{\exp}(a) = F(a)e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_g(a)} \tag{63}$$

as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{2}{3\pi m_p^2 a} \frac{\partial S_g}{\partial a} \frac{\partial}{\partial a}.$$
(64)

Then the field equation becomes

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi(\phi_{\alpha}, a(t)) = \hat{H}_m(\phi_{\alpha}, a(t))\Phi(\phi_{\alpha}, a(t)).$$
(65)

This expanding wave function can be analytically continued along a semicircle in the upper half of a complex plane to the gravity wave function in a tunneling region

$$\psi_{HH} = F(a)e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}S_g(a)}.$$
 (66)

Then the cosmological time in the tunneling universe becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} = \frac{2}{3\pi m_p^2 a} \frac{\partial S_g}{\partial a},\tag{67}$$

implying an imaginary time $t = i\tau$. The field equation now takes the form

$$\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Phi(\phi_{\alpha}, a(\tau)) = \hat{H}_m(\phi_{\alpha}, a(\tau)) \Phi(\phi_{\alpha}, a(\tau)).$$
(68)

Note that the quantization rule in the tunneling universe (Euclidean geometry) changes to

$$[\hat{\phi}_{\alpha}, \hat{\pi}_{\beta}] = i\hbar\delta_{\alpha\beta} \Longrightarrow [\hat{\phi}_{\alpha}, \hat{\pi}_{\beta}] = -\hbar\delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$
(69)

In the coordinate representation, $\hat{\pi}_{\alpha} = \hbar \partial / \partial \phi_{\alpha}$, the field equation in the tunneling universe becomes

$$\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Phi(\phi_{\alpha}, a(\tau)) = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2a^3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi_{\alpha}^2} + \frac{a^3}{2} \omega_{\alpha}^2 \phi_{\alpha}^2 \right] \Phi(\phi_{\alpha}, a(\tau)).$$
(70)

In passing, we note that the time-reversal operation of field equations can be naturally understood in quantum cosmology. The wave function for the collapsing universe

$$\psi_{\rm col}(a) = F(a)e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}S_g(a)},\tag{71}$$

with respect to the cosmological time (64), leads to another field equation

$$-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{\Phi}(\phi_{\alpha}, a(t)) = \hat{H}_{m}(\phi_{\alpha}, a(t))\tilde{\Phi}(\phi_{\alpha}, a(t)).$$
(72)

In fact, Eq. (72) is the time-reversal, $\tilde{\Phi}(t) = \Phi^*(-t)$, of the field equation (65) from the expanding universe. Similarly, in the tunneling universe the gravity wave function

$$\psi = F(a)e^{+\frac{1}{\hbar}S_g(a)},\tag{73}$$

leads to another field equation

$$-\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \tilde{\Phi}(\phi_{\alpha}, a(\tau)) = \hat{H}_m(\phi_{\alpha}, a(\tau)) \tilde{\Phi}(\phi_{\alpha}, a(\tau)).$$
(74)

Note that the field Equation (74), being real, is also the time-reversal of Eq. (68). However, this equation is necessary to equip the quantum field theory with an inner product

$$\langle \langle \Phi_2(\phi_\alpha, \tau) | \hat{O} | \Phi_1(\phi_\alpha, \tau) \rangle = \int d\phi_\alpha \tilde{\Phi}_2(\phi_\alpha, \tau) \hat{O} \Phi_1(\phi_\alpha, \tau).$$
(75)

It should be remarked that, with the time convention (67), Hartle-Hawking's wave function leads to Eq. (68) whereas Linde's wave function leads to Eq. (74). These two equations complement each other with respect to the inner product (75).

V. QUANTUM FIELD THEORY: LORENTZIAN VS. TUNNELING UNIVERSES

In this second part we study and compare the semiclassical gravity in a tunneling universe and a pure Lorentzian universe. We first introduce a scheme in the Lorentzian universe to find the Fock space of the minimal massive scalar field in Sec. III, and then extend the scheme to the tunneling universe to obtain the corresponding Fock space.

A. Fock Space in Lorentzian Geometry

The Hamiltonian (59) of the minimal scalar field consists of time-dependent oscillators that depend on time through a. The invariant method [11] turns out a powerful tool in finding various quantum states for such a time-dependent quadratic Hamiltonian. Following Ref. [12], we introduce the time-dependent annihilation operator

$$\hat{A}_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar}} [\varphi_{\alpha}^{*}(t)\hat{\pi}_{\alpha} - a^{3}(t)\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha}^{*}(t)\hat{\phi}_{\alpha}], \quad (76)$$

and the creation operator $\hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\alpha}(t)$, the Hermitian conjugate of $\hat{A}_{\alpha}(t)$, and require them to satisfy the quantum Liouville-von Neumann equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{A}_{\alpha}(t) + [\hat{A}_{\alpha}(t), \hat{H}_{\alpha}(t)] = 0.$$
(77)

Here an overdot denoting a derivative with t. Then the auxiliary field φ_{α} satisfies the classical equation for each mode,

$$\ddot{\varphi}_{\alpha} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha} + \omega_{\alpha}^{2}\varphi_{\alpha} = 0.$$
(78)

In fact, these operators satisfy the equal-time commutator

$$[\hat{A}_{\alpha}(t), \hat{A}_{\beta}^{\dagger}(t)] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (79)$$

when φ_{α} is restricted by the Wronskian condition

$$a^{3}(\varphi_{\alpha}\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha}^{*} - \varphi_{\alpha}^{*}\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha}) = i.$$
(80)

The number state of the number operator, $\hat{N}_{\alpha}(t) = \hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\alpha}(t)\hat{A}_{\alpha}(t)$,

$$\hat{N}_{\alpha}(t)|n_{\alpha},t\rangle = n_{\alpha}|n_{\alpha},t\rangle, \qquad (81)$$

is an exact solution to Eq. (65). Once we select a solution $\varphi_{\alpha 0}$ satisfying both Eqs. (78) and (80), we find the gaussian and its excited states as [12]

$$\Phi_{n_{\alpha0}}(\phi_{\alpha}, t) = \left(\frac{1}{2^{n_{\alpha}}(n_{\alpha})!\sqrt{2\pi\hbar\rho_{\alpha0}}}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\Theta_{\alpha0}(n_{\alpha0}+1/2)} \\ \times H_{n_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{\phi_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\hbar\rho_{\alpha0}}}\right) \exp\left[\frac{ia^{3}\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha0}^{*}}{2\hbar\varphi_{\alpha0}^{*}}\phi_{\alpha}^{2}\right], \quad (82)$$

where $\varphi_{\alpha 0} = \rho_{\alpha 0} e^{-i\Theta_{\alpha 0}}$. The most general gaussian state and its excited states can be obtained from a more general complex solution of the form

$$\varphi_{\alpha\nu}(t) = \mu_{\alpha}\varphi_{\alpha0}(t) + \nu_{\alpha}\varphi^*_{\alpha0}(t), \qquad (83)$$

where μ_{α} and ν_{α} are time-independent parameters defined as

$$\mu_{\alpha} = \cosh r_{\alpha}, \quad \nu_{\alpha} = e^{-i\theta_{\alpha}} \sinh r_{\alpha}.$$
 (84)

In fact, the time-dependent annihilation and creation operators in Eq. (76) defined in terms of $\varphi_{\alpha 0}$ and $\varphi_{\alpha \nu}$ are related through the Bogoliubov transformation

$$\hat{A}_{\alpha\nu}(t) = (\cosh r_{\alpha})\hat{A}_{\alpha0}(t) - (e^{i\theta_{\alpha}}\sinh r_{\alpha})\hat{A}_{\alpha0}^{\dagger}(t),$$

$$\hat{A}_{\alpha\nu}^{\dagger}(t) = (\cosh r_{\alpha})\hat{A}_{\alpha0}^{\dagger}(t) - (e^{-i\theta_{\alpha}}\sinh r_{\alpha})\hat{A}_{\alpha0}(t).$$
(85)

The ν -dependent number state that is obtained by replacing $\varphi_{\alpha 0}$ with $\varphi_{\alpha \nu}$ in Eq. (82) is a squeezed state of the number state (82) [13].

B. Fock Space in Euclidean Geometry

In the Euclidean geometry of the tunneling universe, the non-unitary evolution equation (68) has also a pair of the invariant operators of the form [14]

$$\hat{A}_{\alpha+}(\tau) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}} [\varphi_{\alpha+}(\tau)\hat{\pi}_{\alpha} - a^3(\tau)\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha+}(\tau)\hat{\phi}_{\alpha}],$$
$$\hat{A}_{\alpha-}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}} [\varphi_{\alpha-}(\tau)\hat{\pi}_{\alpha} - a^3(\tau)\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha-}(\tau)\hat{\phi}_{\alpha}], \quad (86)$$

where an overdot denotes now a derivative with respect to τ and $\varphi_{\alpha\pm}$ are two independent solutions of the classical field equation

$$\ddot{\varphi}_{\alpha\pm} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha\pm} - \omega_{\alpha}^2\varphi_{\alpha\pm} = 0.$$
(87)

These operators satisfy the quantum Liouville-von Neumann equation in the Euclidean geometry

$$\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{A}_{\alpha \pm}(\tau) + [\hat{A}_{\alpha \pm}(\tau), \hat{H}_{\alpha}(\tau)] = 0.$$
 (88)

With the Wronskian condition

$$a^{3}(\varphi_{\alpha-}\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha+} - \varphi_{\alpha+}\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha-}) = 1$$
(89)

imposed, the equal time commutation relations

$$[\hat{A}_{\alpha-}(\tau), \hat{A}_{\beta+}(\tau)] = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \tag{90}$$

are satisfied. Note then that $\hat{A}_{\alpha-}(\tau)$ is an analytical continuation of the annihilation operator $\hat{A}_{\alpha}(t)$ and $\hat{A}_{\alpha+}(\tau)$ is another continuation of the creation operator $\hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\alpha}(t)$ of the Lorentzian geometry.

The zero eigenvalue ket-state of $\hat{A}_{\alpha-}(\tau)$,

$$\hat{A}_{\alpha-}(\tau)|0_{\alpha},\tau\rangle = 0, \qquad (91)$$

leads to a gaussian wave function

$$\Phi_{\alpha 0}(\phi_{\alpha},\tau) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar\varphi_{\alpha-}}}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left[\frac{a^3\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha-}}{2\hbar\varphi_{\alpha-}}\phi_{\alpha}^2\right].$$
(92)

As $\hat{A}_{\alpha\pm}(\tau)$ map one exact solution into another, we obtain an excited ket-state given by

$$|n_{\alpha},\tau\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\alpha}!}} (\hat{A}_{\alpha+}(\tau))^{n_{\alpha}} |0_{\alpha},\tau\rangle, \qquad (93)$$

whose wave function is [15]

$$\Phi_{n_{\alpha}}(\phi_{\alpha},\tau) = \left(\frac{1}{2^{n_{\alpha}}(n_{\alpha})!\sqrt{2\pi\hbar\varphi_{\alpha+}\varphi_{\alpha-}}}\right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\frac{\varphi_{\alpha+}}{\varphi_{\alpha-}}\right)^{(n_{\alpha}+1/2)/2} H_{n_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{\phi_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\hbar\varphi_{\alpha+}\varphi_{\alpha-}}}\right) \exp\left[\frac{a^{3}\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha-}}{2\hbar\varphi_{\alpha-}}\phi_{\alpha}^{2}\right].$$

$$(94)$$

One can also show through direct calculation that the wave functions (94) indeed satisfy Eq. (68).

The bra-state, which is needed for the inner product of quantum states in Eq. (75), may be defined by the equation

$$\langle \langle 0_{\alpha}, \tau | \hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\alpha+}(\tau) = 0, \qquad (95)$$

and

$$\langle\langle n_{\alpha},\tau| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\alpha}!}} \langle 0_{\alpha},\tau| \left(\hat{A}_{\alpha-}^{\dagger}(\tau)\right)^{n_{\alpha}}.$$
 (96)

Here the Hermitian conjugates of $\hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\alpha\pm}(\tau)$ are obtained by substituting $-\hat{\pi}_{\alpha}$ for $\hat{\pi}_{\alpha}$ in Eq. (86). In fact, $\hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\alpha\pm}(\tau)$ satisfy the Liouville-von Neumann equation for the field equation (74)

$$-\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\alpha \pm}(\tau) + [\hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\alpha \pm}(\tau), \hat{H}_{\alpha}(\tau)] = 0.$$
(97)

The wave function for the number bra-state now takes the form [15]

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Phi}_{n_{\alpha}}(\phi_{\alpha},\tau) &= \left(\frac{1}{2^{n_{\alpha}}(n_{\alpha})!\sqrt{2\pi\hbar\varphi_{\alpha+}\varphi_{\alpha-}}}\right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\frac{\varphi_{\alpha-}}{\varphi_{\alpha+}}\right)^{(n_{\alpha}+1/2)/2} H_{n_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{\phi_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\hbar\varphi_{\alpha+}\varphi_{\alpha-}}}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{a^{3}\dot{\varphi}_{\alpha+}}{2\hbar\varphi_{\alpha+}}\phi_{\alpha}^{2}\right] \end{split}$$

The inner product satisfies the orthonormality

$$\langle \langle m_{\alpha}, \tau | n_{\alpha}, \tau \rangle = \int d\phi_{\alpha} \tilde{\Phi}_{m_{\alpha}}(\phi_{\alpha}, \tau) \Phi_{n_{\alpha}}(\phi_{\alpha}, \tau) = \delta_{m_{\alpha}n_{\alpha}}.$$
(99)

C. Mean Number of Created Particles in Tunneling Universe

As $\hat{A}_{\alpha\pm}(\tau)$ depend on τ through $a(\tau)$, there is a dynamical squeezing of an initial state. It is the pair $\hat{A}_{\alpha-}(\tau)$ and $\hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\alpha+}(\tau)$ that satisfy the Bogoliubov transformation between operators at two different times:

$$\hat{A}_{\alpha-}(\tau) = \mu_{\alpha}(\tau,\tau_{0})\hat{A}_{\alpha-}(\tau_{0}) + \nu_{\alpha}(\tau,\tau_{0})\hat{A}_{\alpha+}^{\dagger}(\tau_{0}), \\ \hat{A}_{\alpha+}^{\dagger}(\tau) = \tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}(\tau,\tau_{0})\hat{A}_{\alpha+}^{\dagger}(\tau_{0}) + \tilde{\nu}_{\alpha}(\tau,\tau_{0})\hat{A}_{\alpha-}(\tau_{0}).$$
(100)

Though $[\hat{A}_{\alpha-}(\tau), \hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\alpha+}(\tau)] \neq 1$, we can show by direct calculation that the Bogoliubov relation holds

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}\mu_{\alpha} - \tilde{\nu}_{\alpha}\nu_{\alpha} = 1. \tag{101}$$

The initial vacuum state at τ_0 contains particles at τ of the amount

$$\langle \langle 0_{\alpha}, \tau_0 | \hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\alpha+}(\tau) \hat{A}_{\alpha-}(\tau) | 0_{\alpha}, \tau_0 \rangle = \tilde{\nu}_{\alpha}(\tau, \tau_0) \nu_{\alpha}(\tau, \tau_0).$$
(102)

The vacuum state at τ can be expressed as a superposition of the number states at τ_0 . The probability is now given by projecting into number states at τ_0 as

$$P_{n_{\alpha}} = \langle \langle 0_{\alpha}, \tau | n_{\alpha}, \tau_0 \rangle \langle \langle n_{\alpha}, \tau_0 | 0_{\alpha}, \tau \rangle.$$
 (103)

It should be remarked that $\langle \langle 0_{\alpha}, \tau | n_{\alpha}, \tau_0 \rangle$ is not a mere complex conjugate of $\langle \langle n_{\alpha}, \tau_0 | 0_{\alpha}, \tau \rangle$, which is true in the Lorentzian case. The nonvanishing values are given by

$$\langle \langle 2n_{\alpha}, \tau_{0} | 0_{\alpha}, \tau \rangle = \frac{1}{\mu_{\alpha}^{1/2}} \Big(\frac{(2n_{\alpha})!}{(n_{\alpha}!)^{2}} \Big)^{1/2} \Big(-\frac{\nu_{\alpha}}{2\mu_{\alpha}} \Big)^{n_{\alpha}} (104)$$
$$\langle \langle 0_{\alpha}, \tau | 2n_{\alpha}, \tau_{0} \rangle = \frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}^{1/2}} \Big(\frac{(2n_{\alpha})!}{(n_{\alpha}!)^{2}} \Big)^{1/2} \Big(-\frac{\tilde{\nu}_{\alpha}}{2\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}} \Big)^{n_{\alpha}} (105)$$

Using [16], we find the mean number of created particles

$$\bar{n}_{\alpha} = \sum_{n_{\alpha}} 2n_{\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{(\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}\mu_{\alpha})^{1/2}} \frac{(2n_{\alpha})!}{(n_{\alpha}!)^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{\nu}_{\alpha}\nu_{\alpha}}{4\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}\mu_{\alpha}} \right)^{n_{\alpha}} \right] = \tilde{\nu}_{\alpha}\nu_{\alpha}.$$
(106)

These two results are consistent with each other. The amplitude squared of Eq. (104) gives a result different from (102) and (106). Thus a complete quantum theory in the Euclidean geometry requires both the ket- and brastates, which are not complex conjugates of each other.

(98) The wave function should be continuous across the boundary between the Lorentzian and Euclidean geometries, $\Phi_{\alpha}(t_0) = \Phi_{\alpha}(\tau_0)$. The continuity of the auxiliary fields, $\varphi_{\alpha}(t_0) = \varphi_{\alpha}(\tau_0)$, implies the continuity of wave function. First, we select the adiabatic solution to Eq. (87) of the form

$$\varphi_{\alpha\pm}(\tau) \approx \frac{D_{\alpha\pm}(\tau_0)}{\sqrt{2a^3(\tau)\omega_{\alpha}(\tau)}} e^{\pm\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau}\omega_{\alpha}}, \qquad (107)$$

where

$$D_{\alpha\pm}(\tau_0) = \sqrt{2a^3(\tau_0)\omega_\alpha(\tau_0)}\varphi_{\alpha\pm}(\tau_0).$$
(108)

Then the wave function for the adiabatic vacuum state is

$$\Phi_{\alpha 0}(\phi_{\alpha},\tau) \approx \left(\frac{a^{3}\omega_{\alpha}}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{1/4} \exp\left[-\frac{a^{3}\omega_{\alpha}}{2\hbar}\phi_{\alpha}^{2}\right].$$
(109)

Note that Eq. (109) can be obtained through an analytical continuation of the adiabatic vacuum state in the Lorentzian geometry [17]. Now, the mean number of created particles can be calculated by expanding the wave function with respect to the number states at the moment of entering the tunneling universe

$$\Phi_{\alpha 0}(\phi_{\alpha},\tau) = \sum_{n_{\alpha}} c_{n_{\alpha}} \Phi_{n_{\alpha}}(\phi_{\alpha},\tau_0) = \sum_{n_{\alpha}} c_{n_{\alpha}} \Phi_{n_{\alpha}}(\phi_{\alpha},t_0).$$
(110)

We thus see that the adiabatic vacuum state minimizes particle creation agreeing with the result by Vilenkin etal [6].

Second, there is one complex-parameter squeezed gaussian state which can be obtained from a superposition of adiabatic solutions

$$\varphi_{\alpha\nu\pm}(\tau) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2a^3\omega_\alpha}} \Big(\cosh r_\alpha e^{\pm \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \omega_\alpha} D_{\alpha\pm}(\tau_0) \\ + e^{\mp i\theta_\alpha} \sinh r_\alpha e^{\mp \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \omega_\alpha} D_{\alpha\mp}(\tau_0) \Big).$$
(111)

This leads to the squeezed gaussian wave function

$$\Phi_{\alpha 0}(\phi_{\alpha},\tau) = \mathcal{N}_{\alpha +} \exp\left[\frac{a^{3}\omega_{\alpha}}{2\hbar}B_{\alpha\nu}\phi_{\alpha}^{2}\right], \qquad (112)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha+}$ is a normalization factor and

$$B_{\alpha\nu} = \frac{e^{-i\theta_{\alpha}} \sinh r_{\alpha} D_{\alpha-} - \cosh r_{\alpha} e^{-2\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \omega_{\alpha}} D_{\alpha+}}{e^{-i\theta_{\alpha}} \sinh r_{\alpha} D_{\alpha-} + \cosh r_{\alpha} e^{-2\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \omega_{\alpha}} D_{\alpha+}}.$$
(113)

Note that

$$\operatorname{Re}(B_{\alpha\nu}) > 0 \iff \left|\frac{D_{\alpha-}}{D_{\alpha+}}\right| \tanh r_{\alpha} > e^{-2\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \omega_{\alpha}}.$$
 (114)

Thus for a sufficiently long duration of tunneling, even a small squeezing parameter r_{α} eventually leads to an exponentially growing state at the end of tunneling. Therefore, the squeezed state satisfying the inequality (114) forms a one-parameter family of gaussian wave function that leads to catastrophic particle production.

VI. CONCLUSION

Using a quantum cosmological model for the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe with a cosmological constant, we have prescribed three leading proposals of Hartle-Hawking's no-boundary wave function, Linde's wave function, and Vilenkin's tunneling wave function. We also derived in a general context the semiclassical gravity from quantum gravity based on the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. Further, the difference of semiclassical gravity was discussed for the three leading wave functions.

Particle creation has been studied for a minimal massive scalar field in a tunneling universe from the semiclassical gravity point of view. Using the invariant method we found the Fock space of the scalar field in the Lorentzian and Euclidean geometries of the tunneling universe. It was found that the adiabatic vacuum state minimizes particle creation in the tunneling universe whereas its one-parameter squeezed gaussian states lead to catastrophic particle creation for a sufficiently long duration of tunneling regime. Thus the debate of catastrophic particle creation reduces to the selection of gaussian states.

It would be interesting to compare the result of this paper with another cosmological model where a tunneling region is sandwiched between two asymptotically static Lorentzian regions. In this new model the initial vacuum state evolves through the tunneling region into a squeezed gaussian state always with catastrophic particle creation whereas the evolution without the tunneling region suppresses particle creation [15].

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Don N. Page for many useful discussions and, in particular, for the collaboration on particle creation in tunneling universes. The work was supported by the Korea Astronomy Observatory.

- [1] V. Lapchinsky and V. A. Rubakov, Acta Phys. Pol. B 10, 1041 (1979); T. Banks, Nucl. Phys. B **249**, 332 (1985); C. Kiefer, Class. Quantum Grav. 4, 1369 (1987).
- [2] S. P. Kim, Phys. Rev. D 52, 3382 (1995); Phys. Lett. A 205, 359 (1995); Class. Quantum Grav. 13, 1377 (1996); Phys. Rev. D 55, 7511 (1997).
- [3] D. N. Page, "Quantum Cosmology", a talk at Stephen Hawking's 60th Birthday Conference, Cambridge University (2002).
- [4] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 28, 2960 (1983); S. W. Hawking, Nucl. Phys. B 239, 257 (1984).
- [5] A. D. Linde, Lett. Nuov Cimento **39**, 401 (1984).
- [6] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 30, 509 (1984); *ibid.* 33, 3560 (1986).
- [7] V.A. Rubakov, Phys. Lett. 148B, 280 (1984); D. Levkov, C. Rebbi, and V.A. Rubakov, Phys. Rev. D 66, 083516 (2002).
- [8] J. Hong, A. Vilenkin, and S. Winitzki, Phys. Rev. D 68, 023520 (2003); ibid. 68, 023251 (2003).

- [9] S. P. Kim, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 38, 427 (2001); *ibid.* 39, 729 (2001).
- [10] S. P. Kim and D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. D 45, R3296 (1992); S. P. Kim, *ibid.* 46, 3403 (1992).
- [11] H. R. Lewis, Jr. and W. B. Riesenfeld, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1458 (1969).
- [12] S.P. Kim and D.N. Page, Phys. Rev. A 64, 012104 (2001); J.K. Kim and S.P. Kim, J. Phys. A 32 2711 (1999); S.P. Kim and C.H. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 62, 125020 (2000).
- [13] S. P. Kim, J. Phys. A 36, 12089 (2003); J. Korean Phys. Soc. 44, 446 (2004).
- [14] S. P. Kim, Class. Quantum Grav. 16, 3987 (1999).
- [15] S. P. Kim and D. N. Page, "Particle Creation in a Tunneling Universe".
- [16] Use the series expansion $(1 4x)^{-1/2} = \sum_{n=0} \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2} x^n$, and differentiate both sides with respect to x and multi-ply by x to get $4x(1 4x)^{-3/2} = \sum_{n=0} \frac{(2n)(2n)!}{(n!)^2} x^n$. [17] L. Parker, Phys. Rev. **183**, 1057 (1969); L. Parker and
- S. A. Fulling, Phys. Rev. D 9, 341 (1974).