

Searches for Galactic Neutrinos with the IceCube Neutrino observatory

A. Sandrock on behalf of the IceCube collaboration^a

*Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Wuppertal,
Gaußstraße 20, 42119 Wuppertal, Germany*

The sources of galactic charged cosmic rays are so far unknown, because their arrival directions are randomized in the galactic magnetic field. Objects accelerating hadrons are expected to produce high-energy neutrinos. In addition, a diffuse galactic neutrino flux is predicted from interactions of galactic cosmic rays with matter during propagation through the galaxy. The IceCube neutrino observatory at the geographic South Pole instruments a cubic kilometer of ice with optical modules to detect the Cherenkov light of particles produced in neutrino interactions. Operating for more than a decade in its complete detector configuration, IceCube is in a unique position to search for neutrino sources. This contribution discusses the searches for a diffuse flux of neutrinos as well as for neutrinos from candidate point sources and extended sources in the galactic plane.

1 Introduction

Astrophysical sources can emit various stable messenger particles. While charged cosmic rays are deflected by magnetic fields, gamma rays and neutrinos as neutral particles are undeflected and point back to their sources. Gamma rays can be produced by either leptonic processes such as synchrotron radiation, Compton scattering or bremsstrahlung, or by hadronic processes resulting in the production of mesons. Neutrinos on the other hand are only produced in sources which are hadron accelerators.

Our own galaxy is a prominent source in photons. These photons can be produced either in discrete sources or diffusely by galactic charged cosmic rays via hadronuclear interactions producing mesons. Searching for neutrinos from our galaxy has the potential to identify hadronic accelerators and thus the sources of galactic cosmic rays.

The IceCube neutrino observatory¹ observes mostly two different event topologies, track-like events from muons produced in charged current interactions of muon neutrinos or from atmospheric muons produced in cosmic-ray induced extensive air showers, and cascade-like events from charged-current interactions of electron and tau neutrinos or from neutral-current interactions of all neutrino flavors.^b The direction of track-like events can be reconstructed with good accuracy of $\sim 1^\circ$ at TeV energies, while the direction of cascade-like events can only be reconstructed within $\sim 15^\circ$. On the other hand, the energy reconstruction of cascade-like events is essentially calorimetric and significantly more precise ($\sim 10\%$ on the energy) than the energy reconstruction of through-going track-like events based on the energy loss profile ($\sim 10\%$ on $\log(E/\text{GeV})$). Through-going track-like events have the advantage of a larger effective volume, since they can interact outside the detector and enter it, while cascade-like events have to

^a<http://icecube.wisc.edu>

^bAt very high energies, an additional double cascade event signature from charged current tau neutrino interactions is observed².

interact within the detector itself.

The background for neutrino searches in underground neutrinos telescopes such as IceCube consists of atmospheric muons and neutrinos. Being located at the South Pole, the earth can be used as a filter for searches for neutrinos coming from the northern sky, while at the southern sky neutrinos have to be distinguished from the atmospheric muon background by searching for events starting in the detector. The atmospheric neutrino background is mostly due to muon neutrinos, whereas the electron neutrino flux from the atmosphere is significantly smaller.

Neutrinos from astrophysical sources and from atmospheric background differ in their distributions in position on the sky and in their energy spectrum. The likelihood for a single source can be written as

$$L = \prod_i^N L_i(\theta, \mathbf{D}_i) = \prod_i^N \left(\frac{n_s}{N} S(\theta, \mathbf{D}_i) + \frac{N - n_s}{N} B(\mathbf{D}_i) \right), \quad (1)$$

where N is the total number of neutrinos, n_s the number of source neutrinos, θ the parameters of the source, and \mathbf{D}_i the position on the sky of the i -th neutrino event. When searching for a flux of similar sources, a stacking search is performed, which allows to search for the total flux of a population of searches too weak to be detected separately. In this case the likelihood is modified to

$$L = \prod_i^N \left[\frac{n_s}{N} \left(\sum_j^M w_j S(\theta_j, \mathbf{D}_i) + \frac{N - n_s}{N} B(\mathbf{D}_i) \right) \right], \quad (2)$$

where $j = 1, \dots, M$ indexes the sources and w_j denotes a weight for each source, based on e.g. an external criterion such as the gamma-ray flux.

2 Search for Neutrinos from LHAASO UHE γ -Ray Sources

The Large High Altitude Air Shower Observatory (LHAASO) has observed 12 sources with γ -ray emission above 100 TeV³. Except the Crab nebula, none of these has a firm association to known astrophysical objects, although associations to pulsar wind nebulae and supernova remnants have been suggested. At these energies, leptonic emission processes are unlikely due to the Klein-Nishina cutoff, making these sources good candidates for PeVatron searches. Using 11 years of IceCube track-like neutrino events from June 2008 to August 2020, both a catalog search for the single sources as well as stacking searches for an emission from the whole population of sources were carried out.⁴ The sensitivity and discovery potential of this analysis are shown in Figure 1, together with the corresponding values for an ANTARES search.⁵

In the catalog search, no significant emission was observed; the two sources with the lowest p -value are LHAASO J1908+0621 and LHAASO J2018+3651. The first one is probably the UHE counterpart of MGRO J1908+06; it was the galactic source with the lowest p -value in the latest all-sky IceCube point source analysis, using 10 years of data⁶. The second one is a probable counterpart of MGRO J2019+37; in addition, the H II region Sh 2-104 to the west of this source hosts several young massive star clusters and has been observed as a source of diffuse X-ray emission.

In the stacking search, six analyses were carried out for three selections of sources with two weighting schemes. The three groups of sources were all LHAASO observed sources, only the sources possibly associated with supernova remnants, or only the sources possibly associated with pulsar wind nebulae. The sources were either weighted according to the observed γ -ray flux at 100 TeV or giving each source in the sample an equal weight. None of the performed analyses resulted in a significant detection, therefore 90% C.L. upper limits on the flux were determined.

The 90% C.L. upper limits from the catalog and stacking searches were compared to the neutrino flux predicted from the assumption that all γ -rays are produced by hadronic processes⁷. This allowed us to constrain the fraction of hadronically produced gamma-rays for the supernova




Figure 1 – Sensitivity and discovery potential of the search for neutrino emission from LHAASO detected UHE γ -ray sources for spectral indices of $\Gamma = 2$ (left) and $\Gamma = 3$ (right). The flux limits determined at 90% C.L. are shown together with the predicted hadronic flux. For comparison, the sensitivity and discovery potential for an ANTARES search are shown in the left panel. Figure taken from ⁴.

remnant sample for both weighting schemes and for the pulsar wind nebula sample for the flux-weighted sample. For the catalog search, the fraction of hadronically produced photons could be constrained to $< 47\%$ for the supernova remnant G106.3+02.7 and $< 59\%$ for the Crab nebula, assuming an unbroken powerlaw flux. Assuming a log-parabola spectral shape, the constraint on the Crab nebula weakens to $< 84\%$ and we can no longer constrain the fraction for G106.3+02.7.

3 Observation of high-energy neutrinos from the Galactic plane

Searching for a diffuse neutrino flux from the Galactic plane is difficult using track-like events due to the large background of air-shower induced muon events. Therefore, a search using cascade-like events was carried out ⁸, since the background is significantly smaller. The worse angular resolution of cascade events has been improved significantly by recently developed deep-learning based reconstruction methods⁹. The search for a diffuse neutrino flux was implemented as a template search based on pre-existing models of the galactic neutrino emission, thus leaving one degree of freedom, namely the normalization of the template flux, leaving its spatial and spectral characteristics constant.

While previous event selections were based on high-level observables, for this search a new event selection based on convolutional neural networks was developed. This selection uses convolutional neural networks, and its very fast inference speed allows to carry out more complex filtering at early analysis stages. It keeps significantly more neutrinos at lower energies, leading to an increase in the effective area equivalent to about 75 years of the previous cascade event selection (cf. Figure 2). This technology is also applicable to other IceCube analyses, e. g. in searches for exotic particles or special event signatures in cosmic ray analyses.

The templates for the diffuse galactic neutrino flux are based on *Fermi*-LAT observations. The π^0 model ¹⁰ was developed based on GALPROP ¹¹ simulations to explain the observed γ -rays and extrapolates the hadronic component to the energy range of IceCube. The KRA γ model makes different assumptions about the diffusion coefficient and uses different software for the calculation ¹², resulting in a template with neutrino emission more concentrated on the galactic center. The KRA γ model is tested with two different cutoffs of the proton spectrum at 5 PeV and 50 PeV, respectively.

Besides the model-dependent diffuse galactic neutrino search, a catalog stacking analysis was carried out on a selection of sources from the TeVCat, for the 12 sources with the highest predicted neutrino flux from the following categories: pulsar wind nebulae, supernova remnants,




Figure 2 – Effective area (left) and astrophysical neutrino rates (left) of the new cascade event selection compared to the previous cascade event selection and southern sky track event selection. Figure taken from ⁸.




Figure 3 – Galactic plane (A) in optical emission, partially obscured by gas and dust; (B) in GeV γ -ray photons as observed by *Fermi*-LAT in 12 years of observation, (C) in neutrinos as predicted by the π^0 model, (D) analysis expectation based on the π^0 model and the detector sensitivity and angular reconstruction uncertainty, (E) observed neutrino emission from the Galactic plane. Figure taken from ⁸.

and unidentified sources. In addition, a template analysis was carried out for neutrino emission from the Fermi bubbles, and a catalog search for 109 sources from the 4FGL catalog with the highest γ -ray flux weighted by sensitivity at the source declination, most of which are extragalactic.

The galactic plane in optical and γ -ray photons^{13,14}, together with the model of the neutrino prediction from the π^0 model, the analysis expectation obtained by convolving the template with detector sensitivity and angular resolution, as well as the significance map of the experimental results are shown in Figure 3.

The results of these analyses are given in Table 1. The best-fit fluxes for the $KRA\gamma$ models are lower than predicted from the γ -ray emission. This could possibly be an indication that the cutoff of the proton spectrum is inconsistent with the values assumed in¹². The best-fit neutrino flux for the π^0 model, on the other hand, is about a factor 5 larger than the extrapolation of the flux based on the observed γ -ray emission. This might be an indication of spectral differences or propagation effects in the Galactic center. It is also possible that a contribution of unresolved point sources is responsible for this difference.

Table 1: Results of the galactic plane analysis using cascade-like neutrino events. The result for the π^0 model is given as $E^2 dN/dE$ at 100 TeV in units of $10^{-12} \text{ TeV cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, for the KRA_γ models as multiples of the model flux. Significances denoted with asterisk are consistent with the template search result and therefore do not denote independent statistical evidence. Table taken from⁸.

	Flux sensitivity Φ	P value	Best-fitting flux Φ
<i>Diffuse Galactic plane analysis</i>			
π^0	5.98	1.26×10^{-6} (4.71 σ)	$21.8_{-4.9}^{+5.3}$
KRA_γ^5	$0.16 \times \text{MF}$	6.13×10^{-6} (4.37 σ)	$0.55_{-0.15}^{+0.18} \times \text{MF}$
KRA_γ^{50}	$0.11 \times \text{MF}$	3.72×10^{-5} (3.96 σ)	$0.37_{-0.11}^{+0.13} \times \text{MF}$
<i>Catalog stacking analysis</i>			
SNR		5.90×10^{-4} (3.24 σ)*	
PWN		5.93×10^{-4} (3.24 σ)*	
UNID		3.39×10^{-4} (3.40 σ)*	
<i>Other analyses</i>			
Fermi bubbles		0.06 (1.52 σ)	
Source list		0.22 (0.77 σ)	
Hotspot (north)		0.28 (0.58 σ)	
Hotspot (south)		0.46 (0.10 σ)	

4 Search for Extended Sources of Neutrino Emission in the Galactic Plane with IceCube

IceCube performed a model-independent search for extended sources of galactic neutrino¹⁵s, using 9 years of track-like neutrino events. Existing surveys of the galactic plane in high-energy γ -rays by e.g. H.E.S.S.¹⁶ and HAWC¹⁷ indicate extended sources with spatial extent up to $\sim 2^\circ$.

Firstly, a scan is performed for extended sources of predefined angular size in the galactic plane. The galactic plane is defined as the region with galactic latitude $-5^\circ \leq b \leq 5^\circ$. The source sizes tested vary between 0.5° and 2° . No significant emission was detected in this analysis. The hottest spot is found at the location of the HAWC source 3HWC J1915+266 (cf. Figure 4). No significant emission from extended sources has been detected. The global significance to reject the null hypothesis is 2.6σ .

Secondly, a catalog search is performed for known TeV γ -ray emitters which have been observed to have an extended morphology. The sources have been selected from the TeVCat¹⁸. They are labelled in Fig. 4. No source was detected as a significant neutrino emitter in this analysis. The catalog search resulted in upper limits on the differential neutrino flux at 50 TeV, which could be used to constrain the hadronic fraction of several sources. The most restrictive constraint was obtained for the Cygnus cocoon region, for which the ratio between the upper limit on the neutrino flux and the expected neutrino flux, if all observed γ -ray emission is produced hadronically, is ~ 0.5 . For another notable PeVatron candidate, the Boomerang supernova remnant G106.3+02.7 associated with LHAASO J2226+6057, the upper limit is a factor of ~ 2.7 higher than the neutrino flux expected in the scenario where all γ -rays are produced hadronically, indicating the need for future analyses with improved sensitivity to detect neutrinos from this source. For a full list of all obtained constraints, see¹⁵.

5 Summary and Outlook

A diffuse neutrino flux from the galactic plane has been observed with a significance of 4.5σ in a model-dependent template search with a new sample of cascade-like neutrino events. The data currently do not allow to discriminate between different models of diffuse emission and discrete sources.

Searches for discrete galactic neutrino sources have so far not resulted in significant detec-




Figure 4 – Pre-trial significance map of the scan for extended neutrino sources in the galactic plane for a source extension of 2.0° . Figure taken from ¹⁵.

tion. Several searches using samples of track-like neutrino events have made it possible to put constraints on the hadronic contribution to the observed γ -ray emission for several sources.

The results of the different analyses using cascade and track-like events are consistent with each other. Current analyses work on joint fits of both cascade and track data.¹⁹

Near-future planned detectors will allow for more detailed searches for PeVatrons, both kilometer-scale detectors currently constructed in the northern hemisphere such as KM3Net²⁰ and Baikal-GVD²¹ as well as the planned extension of the IceCube neutrino observatory, IceCube-Gen2, which should increase the effective area by a factor of about 5. Furthermore, multi-messenger analyses using both neutrino and photon data, together with source-specific leptohadronic modelling are bound to bring new insights into emission processes in our galaxy.

References

1. M. G. Aartsen et al. The IceCube neutrino observatory: instrumentation and online systems. *J. Instrum.*, 12:P03012, 2017.
2. R. Abbasi et al. Observation of seven astrophysical tau neutrino candidates with IceCube. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 132:151001, 2024.
3. Z. Cao et al. Ultrahigh-energy photons up to 1.4 petaelectronvolts from 12 γ -ray galactic sources. *Nature*, 594(7861):33–36, 2021.
4. R. Abbasi et al. Searches for neutrinos from LHAASO UHE γ -ray sources. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 945:L8, 2023.
5. Giulia Illuminati. Searches for point-like sources of cosmic neutrinos with 13 years of ANTARES data. In *Proceedings of 37th International Cosmic Ray Conference — PoS(ICRC2021)*, volume 395, page 1161, 2021.
6. M. G. Aartsen et al. Time-integrated neutrino source searches with 10 years of IceCube data. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 124:051103, 2020.
7. M. Ahlers and K. Murase. Probing the galactic origin of the IceCube excess with gamma rays. *Phys. Rev. D*, 90:023010, 2014.
8. R. Abbasi et al. Observation of high-energy neutrinos from the galactic plane. *Science*, 380:1338–1343, 2023.
9. R. Abbasi et al. A convolutional neural network based cascade reconstruction for the IceCube neutrino observatory. *J. Instrum.*, 16:P07041, 2021.
10. M. Ackermann et al. Fermi-LAT observations of the diffuse γ -ray emission: Implications for cosmic rays and the interstellar medium. *Astrophys. J.*, 750:3, 2012.
11. A. W. Strong and I. V. Moskalenko. Propagation of cosmic-ray nucleons in the galaxy. *Astrophys. J.*, 509:212, 1998.
12. D. Gaggero et al. The gamma-ray and neutrino sky: A consistent picture of Fermi-LAT, Milagro, and IceCube results. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 815:L25, 2015.
13. A. Mellinger. A color all-sky panorama image of the milky way. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.*, 121:1180, 2009.
14. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. Fermi’s 12-year view of the gamma-ray sky. <https://svs.gsfc.nasa.gov/14090>, 2022.
15. R. Abbasi et al. Search for extended sources of neutrino emission in the galactic plane with IceCube. *Astrophys. J.*, 956:20, 2023.
16. H. Abdalla et al. The H.E.S.S. galactic plane survey. *Astron. & Astrophys.*, 612:A1, 2018.
17. H. Abdalla et al. TeV emission of galactic plane sources with HAWC and H.E.S.S. *Astrophys. J.*, 917:6, 2021.
18. S. P. Wakely and D. Horan. TeVCat: An online catalog for very high energy gamma-ray astronomy. In *Proceedings of the 30th International Cosmic Ray Conference*, volume 3, page 1341, 2008.

19. P. Fürst. Galactic and Extragalactic Analysis of the Astrophysical Muon Neutrino Flux with 12.3 years of IceCube Track Data. In *Proceedings of 38th International Cosmic Ray Conference — PoS(ICRC2023)*, volume 444, page 1046, 2023.
20. S Adrián-Martínez et al. Letter of intent for KM3NeT 2.0. *J. Phys. G Nucl. Part. Phys.*, 43(8):084001, August 2016.
21. A V Avrorin et al. Deep-underwater Cherenkov detector in lake Baikal. *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.*, 134(4):399–416, April 2022.