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#### Abstract

Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be an unbounded pure $k$-dimensional algebraic set. We define the tangent cones $C_{4, \infty}(X)$ and $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ of $X$ at infinity. We establish some of their properties and relations. We prove that $X$ must be an affine linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ provided that $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ has pure dimension $k$. Also, we study the relation between the tangent cones at infinity and representations of $X$ outside a compact set as a branched covering. Our results can be seen as versions at infinity of results of Whitney and Stutz.


## 1. Introduction

Let $(V, 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be an $n$-dimensional analytic set in some neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$. In $[9,10]$, Whitney considered six tangent cones $C_{i}(V, 0), i=1, \ldots, 6$, and, since then, their properties and relations have been studied. The precise definitions for the six cones can be seen in [1, p. 92], [9] and [10]. The cone $C_{3}(V, 0)$ is also known as the Zariski tangent cone of $V$.

The Whitney tangent cones $C_{3}(V, 0), C_{4}(V, 0)$ and $C_{5}(V, 0)$ play an important role in various results. For instance, it is well known that $C_{3}(V, 0)$ determines the set of all projections $\pi$ of $(V, 0)$ to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ that are a branched cover when restricted to a small neighborhood of $0 \in V$. In [8], Stutz showed that if $\operatorname{dim} C_{4}(V, 0)=n$, then $\pi$ can be chosen such that the critical locus of $\pi$ is exactly the singular set of $V$. Also in [8], Stutz proved that if $\operatorname{dim} C_{5}(V, 0)=n+1$, then a suitable choice of a projection of $(V, 0)$ to $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ gives a representation of $V$ in terms of an hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$; see also [1] and [6].

In this paper, we define the Whitney tangent cones at infinity $C_{4, \infty}(X)$ and $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ for an unbounded pure $k$-dimensional algebraic set $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ and we also consider the Whitney tangent cone at infinity $C_{3, \infty}(X)$ defined in [4] as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be an unbounded pure $k$-dimensional algebraic set.

- The Whitney tangent cone $C_{3, \infty}(X)$ of $X$ at infinity is defined as the set of the points $v \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$ for which there exist sequences $\left(p_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $X$ and $\left(t_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{j}\right\|=\infty$ and $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j} p_{j}=v$.
- The Whitney tangent cone $C_{4, \infty}(X)$ of $X$ at infinity is defined as the set of the points $v \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$ for which there exists a sequence $\left(p_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $X \backslash \operatorname{Sing} X$ and, for each $j$, there is a vector $v_{j} \in T_{p_{j}} X$ such that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{j}\right\|=\infty$ and $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} v_{j}=v$.
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- The Whitney tangent cone $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ of $X$ at infinity is defined as the set of points $v \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$ for which there exist sequences $\left(p_{j}\right)_{j}$ and $\left(q_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $X$ and $\left(t_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{j}\right\|=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|q_{j}\right\|=\infty$ and $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j}\left(p_{j}-q_{j}\right)=v$.

By Definition 1.1, for $i=3,4,5$, the sets $C_{i, \infty}(X) \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ are closed and they are complexly homogeneous in the sense that for any $v \in C_{i, \infty}(X)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the vectors $\lambda v$ also belong to $C_{i, \infty}(X)$.

In section $\S 2$, we prove basic properties of $C_{4, \infty}(X)$ and $C_{5, \infty}(X)$. We prove that $C_{4, \infty}(X)$ and $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ are algebraic sets and that $C_{3, \infty}(X) \subset C_{4, \infty}(X) \subset C_{5, \infty}(X)$. Moreover, we prove that $\operatorname{dim} C_{5, \infty}(X) \leq 2 k+1$. Then, since $\operatorname{dim} C_{3, \infty}(X)=k$ (see [2, Prop. 2.10]), it follows that $k \leq \operatorname{dim} C_{4, \infty}(X) \leq \operatorname{dim} C_{5, \infty}(X) \leq 2 k+1$; see Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3.

In Section 3, we prove that any pure $k$-dimensional algebraic set $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ for which $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ has pure dimension $k$ must be an affine linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$; see Theorem 3.5.

The aim of Section 4 is to give versions at infinity of the above results of Stutz for complex algebraic set in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ as follows. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be an unbounded pure $k$-dimensional algebraic set. Let $W \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be a fixed $m-k$ dimensional vector space such that $W \cap$ $C_{3, \infty}(X)=\{0\}$ (this always exists by Lemma 4.2). We may use the coordinates $(x, y) \in$ $V \times W=\mathbb{C}^{m}$, where $V$ and $W$ are orthogonal. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow V$ be the restriction to $X$ of the canonical projection $(x, y) \in V \times W \rightarrow x \in V$. We denote by $B_{R}$ the ball in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ of radius $R$ centered at the origin. We have:

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $C_{4, \infty}(X) \cap W=\{0\}$. Then, there exists a sufficiently large $R$ such that $\operatorname{Sing} X \backslash \bar{B}_{R}=\operatorname{Sing} \pi \backslash \bar{B}_{R}$.

By a similar argument from the proof of Lemma 4.2, it follows that $\operatorname{dim} C_{4, \infty}(X)=k$ forces $C_{4, \infty}(X) \cap W=\{0\}$.

We denote $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m-k}\right)$ and $W^{i}:=\left\{(0, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{m} \mid y_{i}=0\right\}$, for $i=1, \ldots, m-k$. We denote $\pi_{i}: \mathbb{C}^{m}=V \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k} \times \mathbb{C}=\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ the projection defined by $\pi_{i}(x, y)=\left(x, y_{i}\right)$. It follows that $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{i}=W^{i}$. We have:

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $C_{5, \infty}(X) \cap W^{i}=\{0\}$. Then $\pi_{i}(X)$ is an algebraic hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ and, for sufficiently large $R$, the restriction $\pi_{i}: X \backslash \bar{B}_{R} \rightarrow \pi_{i}\left(X \backslash \bar{B}_{R}\right)$ is a homeomorphism.

By a similar argument from the proof of Lemma 4.2, it follows that for the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3 to be satisfied, it is necessary that $\operatorname{dim} C_{5, \infty}(X) \leq k+1$.

## 2. Properties of the tangent cones at infinity

Proposition 2.1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be an unbounded pure $k$-dimensional algebraic set. Then, $C_{3, \infty}(X) \subset C_{4, \infty}(X) \subset C_{5, \infty}(X)$.

Proof. Firstly, we prove that $C_{3, \infty}(X) \subset C_{4, \infty}(X)$.
Let $v \in C_{3, \infty}(X)$. By Definition 1.1, $0 \in C_{3, \infty}(X) \cap C_{4, \infty}(X)$. So it is sufficient to consider $v \neq 0$.

By Definition 1.1, there exist sequences $\left(p_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $X$ and $\left(t_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{j}\right\|=$ $\infty$ and $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j} p_{j}=v$. It is obvious that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j}=0$.

Since $X \backslash \operatorname{Sing} X$ is dense on $X$, there exists a sequence $\left(q_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $X \backslash \operatorname{Sing} X$ such that $\left\|p_{j}-q_{j}\right\|<\frac{1}{j}$. Then, by triangle inequality, we have $\left\|p_{j}\right\| \leq\left\|p_{j}-q_{j}\right\|+\left\|q_{j}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{j}+\left\|q_{j}\right\|$. Since $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{j}\right\|=\infty$, also $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|q_{j}\right\|=\infty$.

Moreover, by triangle inequality, we have:

$$
\left\|t_{j} q_{j}-v\right\| \leq\left\|t_{j} q_{j}-t_{j} p_{j}\right\|+\left\|t_{j} p_{j}-v\right\| \leq\left|t_{j}\right|\left\|q_{j}-p_{j}\right\|+\left\|t_{j} p_{j}-v\right\|
$$

Since both members of the right side converge to 0 , we have $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j} q_{j}=v$.
Since $q_{j} \in X \backslash \operatorname{Sing} X$, it follows by the Curve Selection Lemma that there exist analytic curves $\psi:(0, \epsilon) \rightarrow(X \backslash \operatorname{Sing} X)$ and $t:(0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0}\|\psi(s)\|=\infty$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} t(s) \psi(s)=v$.

Now we can use the same arguments from the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [4] and we get an analytic curve $\varphi$ which is a reparametrization of $\psi$ that satisfies:
a) $\varphi(s) \in X \backslash \operatorname{Sing} X$, for any $s$.
b) $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0}\|\varphi(s)\|=\infty$.
c) $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(s)}{\|\varphi(s)\|}=-\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(s)}{\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(s)\right\|}=\frac{v}{\|v\|}$.

It follows by a), b) and c) that $-\frac{v}{\|v\|} \in C_{4, \infty}(X)$. Since $C_{4, \infty}(X)$ is a cone, it follows that $v \in C_{4, \infty}(X)$.

Now, we prove that $C_{4, \infty}(X) \subset C_{5, \infty}(X)$. Let $v \in C_{4, \infty}(X)$. By the definition of $C_{4, \infty}(X)$, there exists a sequence $\left(p_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $X \backslash \operatorname{Sing} X$ and, for each $j$, a vector $v_{j} \in T_{p_{j}} X$, such that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{j}\right\|=\infty$ and $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} v_{j}=v$.

It follows from the definition of $T_{p_{j}} X$ that, for any $j$, there exists $q_{j}$ in $X \backslash \operatorname{Sing} X$ and $t_{j}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\left\|p_{j}-q_{j}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{j}, t_{j} \leq \frac{1}{j}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{p_{j}-q_{j}}{t_{j}}-v_{j}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows by triangle inequality that $\left\|p_{j}\right\| \leq\left\|p_{j}-q_{j}\right\|+\left\|q_{j}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{j}+\left\|q_{j}\right\|$. Since $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{j}\right\|=\infty$, we have $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|q_{j}\right\|=\infty$. It follows by (1) and $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} v_{j}=v$ that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_{j}}\left(p_{j}-q_{j}\right)=v
$$

which shows that $v \in C_{5, \infty}(X)$.
The next two propositions are motivated by the following facts: by Corollary 3.1 of [4], $C_{3, \infty}(X)$ is an algebraic set; by Proposition 2.10 of [2], $\operatorname{dim} C_{3, \infty}(X)=\operatorname{dim} X$.

We denote the complex projective space of dimension $m$ by $\mathbb{P}^{m}$. We identify $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ with the open set $\left\{\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: \ldots: x_{m}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{m} \mid x_{0} \neq 0\right\}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ through the map $\tau: \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m}$, $\tau\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\left[1: x_{1}: \ldots: x_{m}\right]$. The hyperplane at infinity is defined by $H_{\infty}:=\left\{\left[x_{0}:\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.x_{1}: \ldots: x_{m}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{m} \mid x_{0}=0\right\}$.
Proposition 2.2. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be an unbounded pure $k$-dimensional algebraic set. Then, $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ is an algebraic set of dimension less than or equal to $2 k+1$.

Proof. Let $A=\left\{(x, y, v) \in X \times X \times \mathbb{C}^{m} \mid(x-y) \wedge v=0\right\}$. We have that $A$ is an algebraic set of $\mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$. We consider $\sigma: A \rightarrow X \times X$, defined by $\sigma(x, y, v)=(x, y)$.

We denote by $\Delta \subset X \times X$ the subset of $X \times X$ such that $(x, y) \in \Delta$ if and only if $x=y$. For any $(x, y) \notin \Delta$, the fiber $\sigma^{-1}(x, y)$ has dimension equal to 1 (in fact, $\sigma^{-1}(x, y)$ is the set of the vectors that are complex proportional to $x-y$ ). Therefore, $\operatorname{dim} A=2 q+1$.

We consider $A$ and $\sigma^{-1}(\Delta)$ as subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m} \subset \mathbb{P}^{m} \times \mathbb{P}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$. Let $\mathcal{A}:=\overline{A \backslash \sigma^{-1}(\Delta)}$ be the closure of $A \backslash \sigma^{-1}(\Delta)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{m} \times \mathbb{P}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$, which is an algebraic set. We have $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}=2 k+1$.

Let $Z:=\mathcal{A} \cap\left(H_{\infty} \times H_{\infty} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}\right)$ and $\tau: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ defined by $\tau(x, y, v)=v$. It follows that $Z$ is an algebraic set and by definition of $C_{5, \infty}(X)$, we have $\tau(Z)=C_{5, \infty}(X)$. This shows that $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ is a constructible set. The proof that $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ is closed in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ is straightforward (diagonal argument). Therefore, $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ is an algebraic set.

Since $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}=2 k+1$, it follows that $\operatorname{dim} Z \leq 2 k+1$. Therefore, the dimension of $\tau(Z)=C_{5, \infty}(X)$ is less than or equal to $2 k+1$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be an unbounded pure $k$-dimensional algebraic set. Then, $C_{4, \infty}(X)$ is an algebraic set and $k \leq \operatorname{dim} C_{4, \infty}(X) \leq 2 k+1$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}(X)$ be the vanishing ideal of $X$ and let $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l}\right\}$ be a set of generators of $\mathcal{I}(X)$. We consider $F=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l}\right): \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{l}$. For any $p \in X \backslash \operatorname{Sing} X$, we have $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} d_{p} F=k$ and $\operatorname{ker} d_{p} F=T_{p} X$, where $d_{p} F$ denotes the differential of $F$ at $p$; see section 2 of [5].

Let $A=\left\{(p, v) \in X \times \mathbb{C}^{m} \mid v \in \operatorname{ker} d_{p} F\right\}$. Then $A$ is an algebraic set of $\mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$. Since $\operatorname{Sing} X$ is an algebraic set, it follows that $\operatorname{Sing} X \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$ is an algebraic set of $\mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$. We consider $A$ and $\operatorname{Sing} X \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$ as subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m} \subset \mathbb{P}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$. Let $\left.\mathcal{B}:=\overline{A \backslash\left(\operatorname{Sing} X \times \mathbb{C}^{m}\right.}\right)$ be the closure of $A \backslash\left(\operatorname{Sing} X \times \mathbb{C}^{m}\right)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$, which is an algebraic set.

Let $Z:=\mathcal{B} \cap\left(H_{\infty} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}\right)$ and let $\tau: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be the restriction to $Z$ of the projection $(x, v) \in \mathbb{P}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow v \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$. Then $Z$ is an algebraic set and, by the definition of $C_{4, \infty}(X)$, we have $\tau(Z)=C_{4, \infty}(X)$. This shows that $C_{4, \infty}(X)$ is a constructible set. The proof that $C_{4, \infty}(X)$ is closed in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ is straightforward (diagonal argument). Therefore, $C_{4, \infty}(X)$ is an algebraic set.

By Proposition 2.10 of [2], $\operatorname{dim} C_{3, \infty}(X)=\operatorname{dim} X$. By Proposition 2.2, $\operatorname{dim} C_{5, \infty}(X) \leq$ $2 k+1$. It follows by Proposition 2.1 that $k \leq \operatorname{dim} C_{4, \infty}(X) \leq 2 k+1$, which completes the proof.

The above results can be seen as versions at infinity of results of Whitney on tangent cones at a point of an analytic variety; see [1], [9] and [10].

## 3. On the dimension of $\operatorname{dim} C_{5, \infty}(X)$

The main result of this section is Theorem 3.5. We begin by recalling the definition of an algebraic region.

Definition 3.1 (See p. 672 of [7]). Let $m \geq 2$. A set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ is called an algebraic region of type $(k, m)$ if there exist vector subspaces $V_{1}, V_{2}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ and positive real numbers $A, B$
such that the following conditions hold: $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=k, \operatorname{dim} V_{2}=m-k, \mathbb{C}^{m}=V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$ and $\Omega$ consists of those $z \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$ for which:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leq A\left(1+\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|\right)^{B} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=z^{\prime}+z^{\prime \prime}$, with $z^{\prime} \in V_{1}$ and $z^{\prime \prime} \in V_{2}$.
We have the following geometric property for algebraic sets:
Theorem 3.2 (See Theorem 2 of [7]). A closed analytic subset $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ of pure dimension $k$ is algebraic if and only if $V$ lies in some algebraic region $\Omega$ of type $(k, m)$.

The next result is motivated by Theorem 2.9 of [2] and it is useful for the proof of Theorem 3.5. We remember that by Proposition 2.2 the cone $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ is an algebraic set.

Proposition 3.3. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be a pure $k$-dimensional algebraic set. Suppose $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ has a pure dimension $k$. Then there exists an algebraic region $\Omega$ of type $(k, m)$ such that $X, C_{3, \infty}(X)$ and $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ lie in $\Omega$.
Proof. We follow the proof of Theorem 2.9 of [2].
It follows by Theorem 3.2 that there exist an algebraic region $\tilde{\Omega}$ of type ( $k, m$ ) and vector spaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$, with $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=k, \operatorname{dim} V_{2}=m-k, \mathbb{C}^{m}=V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$, and positive real numbers $\tilde{A}, B$ such that for any $z \in \tilde{\Omega}$ (hence, in particular, for $z \in C_{5, \infty}(X)$ ) we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z^{\prime \prime}\right\|<\tilde{A}\left(1+\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|\right)^{B} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=z^{\prime}+z^{\prime \prime}$, with $z^{\prime} \in V_{1}$ and $z^{\prime \prime} \in V_{2}$.
We assume in (3) that $B \geq 1$ since $(1+t)^{s} \leq(1+t)$, for any $t \geq 0$ and $0 \leq s \leq 1$.
We claim that there exists a positive real number $R$ such that, for any $w \in X$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w^{\prime \prime}\right\|<R\left(1+\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|\right)^{B} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=w^{\prime}+w^{\prime \prime}$, with $w^{\prime} \in V_{1}$ and $w^{\prime \prime} \in V_{2}$.
If the claim is not true, then there exists a sequence $\left(w_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $X$ such that $\left\|w_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right\|>$ $j\left(1+\left\|w_{j}^{\prime}\right\|\right)^{B}$ and, up to a subsequence, we may suppose that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w_{j}^{\prime \prime}}{\left\|w_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right\|}=y_{0} \in V_{2}$. We have $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right\|=\infty$. Since $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ is the direct sum of $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}, \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{j}\right\|=\infty$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{j}>\frac{\left(1+\left\|w_{j}^{\prime}\right\|\right)^{B}}{\left\|w_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right\|} \geq \frac{\left(1+\left\|w_{j}^{\prime}\right\|\right)}{\left\|w_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right\|} \geq \frac{\left\|w_{j}^{\prime}\right\|}{\left\|w_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right\|}
$$

Let $t_{j}:=(\tilde{A})^{-1}\left\|w_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right\|$. We have $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j}=\infty$ and

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_{j}} w_{j}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_{j}}\left(w_{j}^{\prime}+w_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tilde{A} y_{0}
$$

It follows that $\tilde{A} y_{0} \in C_{3, \infty}(X)$ by Definition 1.1. Now, since $V_{2}$ is a linear space and $y_{0} \in$ $V_{2}$, we have $\tilde{A} y_{0} \in V_{2} \cap C_{3, \infty}(X)$. By Proposition 2.1, it follows that $\tilde{A} y_{0} \in V_{2} \cap C_{5, \infty}(X)$. This is a contradiction, because $\tilde{A} y_{0}$ does not verify (3).

Therefore, there exists a positive real number $R$ such that, for any $w \in X$,

$$
\left\|w^{\prime \prime}\right\|<R\left(1+\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|\right)^{B}
$$

where $w=w^{\prime}+w^{\prime \prime}$, with $w^{\prime} \in V_{1}$ and $w^{\prime \prime} \in V_{2}$. Let $A:=\max \{R, \tilde{A}\}$, then $X$ and $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ lie in an algebraic region $\Omega$ of type $(k, m)$, with vector spaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$, with $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=k$, $\operatorname{dim} V_{2}=m-k$ and positive real numbers $A$ and $B$. Since $C_{3, \infty}(X) \subset C_{5, \infty}(X)$, it follows that $C_{3, \infty}(X)$ lies in $\Omega$. This finishes the proof.

In the proof of Theorem 3.5, we use the definition of the degree of an algebraic set. We follow section 11.3 of [1]. As before, the complex projective space is denoted by $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ is identified with the open set $\left\{\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: \ldots: x_{m}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{m} \mid x_{0} \neq 0\right\}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ through the $\operatorname{map} \tau: \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m}, \tau\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\left[1: x_{1}: \ldots: x_{m}\right]$.
Definition 3.4. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be an algebraic set. The degree of $X$, denoted by $\operatorname{deg} X$, is the degree of its closure in $\mathbb{P}^{m}$.

The next one is the main result of this section:
Theorem 3.5. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be a pure $k$-dimensional algebraic set. Suppose $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ has a pure dimension $k$. Then $X$ is an affine space.
Proof. By Proposition 3.3, there exists an algebraic region $\Omega$ of type $(k, m)$ such that $X$, $C_{3, \infty}(X)$ and $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ lie in $\Omega$. Then, there exist vector spaces $V_{1}, V_{2} \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ and constants $A, B$ as in Definition 3.1. We may choose linear coordinates $(x, y)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ such that $x \in V_{1}$ and $y \in V_{2}$. In this coordinate system, we denote by $\pi_{\Omega}$ the canonical projection from $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ to $V_{1}$, i.e., $\pi_{\Omega}(x, y)=x$. Moreover, we may assume that $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are orthogonal to each other and that $\pi_{\Omega}$ is an orthogonal projection; see Theorem 3 of page 78 of [1].

We have the following direct fact concerning the projection $\pi_{\Omega}$ :
Lemma 3.6. The restrictions $\pi_{\Omega}: X \rightarrow V_{1}$ and $\pi_{\Omega}: C_{5, \infty}(X) \rightarrow V_{1}$ are proper maps.

Then, it follows that the closures of $X$ and $V_{2}$ (respectively, the closures of $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ and $V_{2}$ ) in $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ do not have points at infinity in common (here, the phrase "at infinity" means the set $\left.\mathbb{P}^{m} \backslash \mathbb{C}^{m}\right)$. Then, it follows by Corollary 1 of $[1, \mathrm{p} .126]$ the following fact:

Lemma 3.7. The restriction $\pi_{\Omega}: X \rightarrow V_{1}$ (respectively, $\left.\pi_{\Omega}: C_{5, \infty}(X) \rightarrow V_{1}\right)$ is a ramified covering with number of sheets equal to $\operatorname{deg} X$ (respectively, $\operatorname{deg} C_{5, \infty}(X)$ ).

Since $C_{5, \infty}(X)$ is a cone, it follows by Lemma 3.6 that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} \pi_{\Omega} \cap C_{5, \infty}(X)=\{0\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that $\operatorname{deg} X=1$. Let $\Sigma \subset V_{1}$ be the critical values of $\pi_{\Omega}: X \rightarrow V_{1}$ and let $v \notin C_{3, \infty}(\Sigma)$. It follows that $t v \notin \Sigma$, for $t>0$ big enough.

If $\operatorname{deg} X>1$, then there are two different liftings $\lambda_{1}(t), \lambda_{2}(t)$ of the path $t v$ by $\pi_{\Omega}$ : $X \rightarrow V_{1}$, with $t>0$ big enough. So $\pi_{\Omega}\left(\lambda_{1}(t)\right)=\pi_{\Omega}\left(\lambda_{2}(t)\right)=t v$.

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{1}(t)-\lambda_{2}(t)\right) \in \operatorname{ker} \pi_{\Omega} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may assume that there is a sequence $\left(t_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j}=\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w:=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)-\lambda_{2}\left(t_{j}\right)}{\left\|\lambda_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)-\lambda_{2}\left(t_{j}\right)\right\|} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $w \in \operatorname{ker} \pi_{\Omega}$. We have $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\lambda_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right\|=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\lambda_{2}\left(t_{j}\right)\right\|=\infty$ since $\pi_{\Omega}$ is an orthogonal projection. Hence

$$
w \in \operatorname{ker} \pi_{\Omega} \cap C_{5, \infty}(X)
$$

But this is a contradiction with (5) since $w \neq 0$.
Thus, $\operatorname{deg} X=1$. By Proposition 3.3 of [3], we have that $X$ is an affine space.

## 4. Tangent cones and projections

Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be an unbounded pure $k$-dimensional algebraic set fixed. We begin with the following definition:

Definition 4.1. We say that an $m-k$ dimensional vector space $W \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ is transverse to $X$ provided that $W \cap C_{3, \infty}(X)=\{0\}$.

The existence of such transverse vector spaces is shown in the next result.
Lemma 4.2. There exists an $m-k$ dimensional vector space transverse to $X$.
Proof. By Theorem 2.9 of [2], there exists an algebraic region $\Omega$ of type $(k, m)$ such that $X$ and $C_{3, \infty}(X)$ lie in $\Omega$. Then, there exist vector spaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$, with $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=k$, $\operatorname{dim} V_{2}=m-k, \mathbb{C}^{m}=V_{1} \times V_{2}$, and positive real numbers $A, B$ such that for any $z \in \Omega$ (hence, in particular, for $z \in X \cup C_{3, \infty}(X)$ ) we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z^{\prime \prime}\right\|<A\left(1+\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|\right)^{B} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=z^{\prime}+z^{\prime \prime}$, with $z^{\prime} \in V_{1}$ and $z^{\prime \prime} \in V_{2}$.
Since $C_{3, \infty}(X)$ is complexly homogeneous in the sense that for any $v \in C_{3, \infty}(X)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the vector $\lambda v$ also belong to $C_{3, \infty}(X)$, it follows that $V_{2} \cap C_{3, \infty}(X)=\{0\}$. So, $V_{2}$ is transverse to $X$.

Let $W \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be a fixed $m-k$ dimensional vector space transverse to $X$. This always exists by Lemma 4.2. We may use the coordinates $(x, y) \in V \times W=\mathbb{C}^{m}$, where $V$ and $W$ are orthogonal.

Let $\pi: V \times W \rightarrow V$ be the canonical projection, $\pi(x, y)=x$. Then:
Lemma 4.3. The restriction $\pi: X \rightarrow V$ is a proper map.
Proof. We claim that there exist positive constants $C$ and $R$ such that

$$
X \subset\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{m}=V \times W \mid\|y\|<C\|x\|\right\} \cup B_{R}
$$

Indeed, if the claim is not true, there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)\right\}_{j}$ in $X$ such that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)\right\|=\infty$ and $\left\|y_{j}\right\| \geq j\left\|x_{j}\right\|$, for any $j$. So $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{j}}{\left\|y_{j}\right\|}=0$. Up to a subsequence, we may assume that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_{j}}{\left\|y_{j}\right\|}=y_{0}$. Then, $y_{0} \in W$ and

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left\|y_{j}\right\|}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)=\left(0, y_{0}\right)
$$

By Definition 1.1, it follows that $\left(0, y_{0}\right) \in C_{3, \infty}(X)$. Hence $\left(0, y_{0}\right) \in C_{3, \infty}(X) \cap W$. This is a contradiction, because $W$ is transverse to $X$. Therefore, the claim holds.

Now, it is a direct consequence of the claim that $\pi$ restricted to $X$ is a proper map.
It follows by Lemmma 4.3 that the closures of $X$ and $W$ in $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ do not have points at infinity in common (here, the phrase "at infinity" means the set $\mathbb{P}^{m} \backslash \mathbb{C}^{m}$ ). Then, it follows by Corollary 1 of [1, p. 126] the following fact:

Lemma 4.4. The restriction $\pi: X \rightarrow V$ is a ramified covering with number of sheets equal to $\operatorname{deg} X$.

Now we are ready to give the
Proof of Theorem 1.2. The inclusion $\operatorname{Sing} X \subset \operatorname{Sing} \pi$ is always true.
Now, assume that there is no a positive constant $R$ such that $\operatorname{Sing} \pi \backslash \bar{B}_{R} \subset \operatorname{Sing} X \backslash \bar{B}_{R}$. Then, there exists a sequence $\left(p_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $\operatorname{Sing} \pi \backslash \operatorname{Sing} X$ such that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{j}\right\|=\infty$.

Then, we can take $w_{j} \in T_{p_{j}} X,\left\|w_{j}\right\|=1$, such that $d_{p_{j}} \pi\left(w_{j}\right)=0$. Since $\pi$ is a linear map, we have $d_{p_{j}} \pi\left(w_{j}\right)=\pi\left(w_{j}\right)$. Therefore, $w_{j} \in W=\operatorname{ker} \pi$, for any $j$.

Up to a subsequence, we may assume that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} w_{j}=w_{0}$. Then $w_{0} \in W \cap C_{4, \infty}(X)$ which is a contradiction.

We have fixed an $m-k$ plane $W$ transverse to $X$ and coordinates $(x, y) \in V \times W=\mathbb{C}^{m}$, where $V$ and $W$ are orthogonal. We denote $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m-k}\right)$ and we set $W^{i}:=\{(0, y) \in$ $\left.\mathbb{C}^{m} \mid y_{i}=0\right\}$, for $i=1, \ldots, m-k$. We denote $\pi_{i}: \mathbb{C}^{m}=V \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k} \times \mathbb{C}=\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ the projection defined by $\pi_{i}(x, y)=\left(x, y_{i}\right)$. So we have ker $\pi_{i}=W^{i}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since $C_{5, \infty}(X) \cap W^{i}=\{0\}$, it follows by Proposition 2.1 that $C_{3, \infty}(X) \cap W^{i}=C_{4, \infty}(X) \cap W^{i}=\{0\}$.

The restriction of $\pi_{i}$ to $X$ is a proper map since $C_{3, \infty}(X) \cap W^{i}=\{0\}$. In particular, $\pi_{i}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ is a closed map. It follows that $\pi_{i}(X) \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ is a closed constructible set and, therefore, $\pi_{i}(X)$ is an algebraic set of dimension $k$. It follows that $\pi_{i}(X)$ is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$.

Claim 1: for sufficiently large $R$, the restriction $\pi_{i}: X \backslash \bar{B}_{R} \rightarrow \pi\left(X \backslash \bar{B}_{R}\right)$ is an injective map.

Indeed, if the claim does not hold, then there exist sequences $\left(p_{j}\right)_{j}$ and $\left(q_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $X$ such that $p_{j} \neq q_{j}, \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{j}\right\|=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|q_{j}\right\|=\infty$ and $\pi_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)=\pi_{i}\left(q_{j}\right)$. In particular, $p_{j}-q_{j} \in W^{i}$. Up to a subsequence, we may assume that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{j}-q_{j}}{\left\|p_{j}-q_{j}\right\|}=w_{0}$.

It follows that $w_{0} \neq 0$. By Definition 1.1, $w_{0} \in C_{5, \infty}(X)$. Moreover, $w_{0} \in W^{i}$ since $p_{j}-q_{j} \in W^{i}$ for any $j$.

Then, $w_{0} \in W^{i} \cap C_{5, \infty}(X)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore Claim 1 holds.
Claim 2: for sufficiently large $R$, the restriction $\pi_{i}: X \backslash \bar{B}_{R} \rightarrow \pi\left(X \backslash \bar{B}_{R}\right)$ is a proper map.

Suppose that for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\pi_{i}: X \backslash \bar{B}_{n} \rightarrow \pi\left(X \backslash \bar{B}_{n}\right)$ is not proper. Then, since $\pi_{i}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ is proper, there exists a sequence $\left(p_{j n}\right)_{j}$ in $X \backslash \bar{B}_{n}$ such that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{i}\left(p_{j n}\right)=y_{n} \in \pi\left(X \backslash \bar{B}_{n}\right)$ and $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} p_{j n}=p_{n}$, where $p_{n} \in X$ and $\left\|p_{n}\right\|=n$. Thus, there exists $x_{n} \in X \backslash \bar{B}_{n}$ such that $\pi_{i}\left(x_{n}\right)=y_{n}$. In particular, $x_{n} \neq p_{n}$.

Then, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n}-x_{n}}{\left\|p_{n}-x_{n}\right\|}=w$. It follows that $w \neq 0$. Since $p_{n}-x_{n} \in W^{i}$ for any $n$, we have $w \in W^{i}$.

By construction, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\infty$. Hence, $w \in C_{5, \infty}(X)$.
Then $w \in W^{i} \cap C_{5, \infty}(X)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore Claim 2 holds.
It follows directly from Claim 1 and Claim 2 that $\pi_{i}: X \backslash \bar{B}_{R} \rightarrow \pi\left(X \backslash \bar{B}_{R}\right)$ is a homeomorphism for sufficiently large $R$.
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