

SYMMETRY DEFECT OF n - DIMENSIONAL COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS IN \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}

L.R.G. DIAS & Z. JELONEK

ABSTRACT. Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ be n -dimensional strong complete intersections in a general position. In this note, we consider the set of midpoints of chords connecting a point $x \in X$ to a point $y \in Y$. This set is defined as the image of the map $\Phi(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}$. Under geometric conditions on X and Y , we prove that the symmetry defect of X and Y , which is the bifurcation set $B(X, Y)$ of the mapping Φ , is an algebraic variety, characterized by a topological invariant. We introduce a hypersurface that approximates the set $B(X, Y)$ and we present an estimate for its degree. Moreover, for any two n -dimensional strong complete intersections $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ (including the case $X = Y$) we introduce a generic symmetry defect set $\tilde{B}(X, Y)$ of X and Y , which is defined up to homeomorphism.

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last two decades numerous methods have been developed to study affine geometry of surfaces and curves especially their affinely invariant symmetry characteristics. The symmetry sets [2, 3, 4] and the center symmetry sets were investigated extensively in [6, 7, 8]. Several constructions of the set equivalent to the point of central symmetry for perturbed centrally symmetric ovals were presented in the literature and resulted in the kind of symmetry defect called center symmetry set. The center symmetry set directly appears in the construction of the so-called Wigner caustic. This caustic is obtained by the stationary phase method applied to the semiclassical Wigner function which completely describes a quantum state in the symplectic phase space [1]. It is built of points where the central symmetry, i.e. the number of intervals ending in the surface and passing centrally through that point, changes. We call this set a symmetry defect or bifurcation set. In [9] this construction was generalized for algebraic varieties $Z^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$.

Let us recall that a pair of points x, y of a plane curve Z is called a *parallel pair* if their tangent lines are parallel. Given $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the affine λ -equidistant is the set of points $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ such that x, y is a parallel pair of Z . In the special case $\lambda = 1/2$, the affine 1/2-equidistant is mentioned above the Wigner Caustic.

Related to the affine 1/2-equidistant, it was proved in [9] the following general result: let $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be a n -dimensional algebraic variety in a general position. For $a \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, let $\mu(a)$ be the number of chords of Z whose midpoint is a . Then there exists an algebraic set $B(Z) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, called *symmetric defect* of Z , such that $\deg B(Z) < (\deg Z)^2(1 + 2n(\deg Z - 1))$ and $\mu(a)$ is constant on $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \setminus B(Z)$.

Date: April 30, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. MSC Classification: 58 K 30, 14 D 06.

Key words and phrases. symmetric defect, global theory of singularities, Wigner caustic.

This note is motivated by the recent results of [5] and [9]. We address the problem of how to introduce a *symmetric defect set* associated to n -dimensional strong complete intersections $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$. We also investigate algebraic and topological properties of this set.

In order to state our theorems properly, we introduce the following definitions:

Definition 1.1. *We say that an n -dimensional affine variety $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a strong complete intersection, if the following conditions are satisfied:*

1) $Z = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid h(z) = 0 \text{ and } \text{rank } dh(z) = m - n\}$, for some polynomial mapping $h = (h_1, \dots, h_{m-n}) : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m-n}$.

2) $\dim\{(h_1)_* = 0, \dots, (h_{m-n})_* = 0\} = m - n$, where h_{i*} denotes the highest homogeneous component of h_i .

If $\deg h_i = a_i$, then we say that Z has multi-degree (a_1, \dots, a_{m-n}) .

Now, let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ be n -dimensional strong complete intersections, we say:

$$X = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \mid f(z) = 0 \text{ and } \text{rank } df(z) = n - 1\},$$

$$Y = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \mid g(z) = 0 \text{ and } \text{rank } dg(z) = n - 1\},$$

with $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}) : \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ and $g = (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) : \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ polynomial mapping.

Definition 1.2. *We say that X and Y are in general positions if the following holds*

$$\dim \left(\left(\bigcap_1^{n-1} \{(f_i)_* = 0\} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_1^{n-1} \{(g_i)_* = 0\} \right) \right) = 1.$$

We consider

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \text{ given by } \Phi(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x + y),$$

and we denote by $K_0(\Phi)$ the critical values set of Φ . If $p \in \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \setminus K_0(\Phi)$, we set

$$\mu_{X,Y}(p) := \chi(\Phi^{-1}(p)),$$

where $\chi(\Phi^{-1}(p))$ denotes the Euler Characteristic of $\Phi^{-1}(p)$. The bifurcation set of Φ is denoted by $B(X, Y)$, see Definition 2.3. We also define the asymptotic part $B_\infty(X, Y) = B(X, Y) \setminus \overline{K_0(\Phi)}$, which contains only bifurcation points of Φ which comes from infinity. Note that it is not a standard definition.

As in [9], the set $B(X, Y)$ is also called a *symmetric defect* of X and Y . The following result is obvious:

Proposition 1.3. *Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ be n -dimensional strong complete intersections. Then, for a generic linear mapping $H : \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$, the sets X and $H(Y)$ are in a general position.*

In this note, we prove the following results related to the *symmetric defect*:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $X^n, Y^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ be strong complete intersections in general positions. There exists a number $\mu_{X,Y}$ such that, for any $p \notin \overline{K_0(\Phi)}$, we have $p \notin B_\infty(X, Y)$ if and only if $\mu_{X,Y} = \mu_{X,Y}(p)$. Moreover, the set $B(X, Y)$ is an algebraic set.*

Theorem 1.5. *Let $X^n, Y^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ be strong complete intersections in general position of multi-degrees (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) and (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) , respectively. Then $B_\infty(X, Y)$ is contained in a hypersurface $L_\infty(\Phi)$ such that*

$$\deg L_\infty(\Phi) \leq D + d - \mu_{X,Y},$$

where $D := \deg \overline{\text{Sing}(\Phi, L) \setminus \text{Sing}(\Phi)}$, $d := \mu(\Phi, L)$, $\mu(\Phi, L)$ is the geometric degree of (Φ, L) and $L : \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denotes a generic linear mapping. In particular,

$$\deg L_\infty(\Phi) \leq D - 1 \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i + b_i - 2 \right) - 1.$$

Also, we have the following generic case:

Theorem 1.6. *Let $X^n, Y^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ be strong complete intersections. For a generic linear mapping $H : \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$, the sets X^n and $H(Y^n)$ are in general positions and the number $\mu_{X, H(Y)}$ does not depend of H . Moreover, for generic H all sets $B(X, H(Y))$ are ambient homeomorphic, i.e., pairs $(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, B(X, H(Y)))$ and $(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, B(X, H'(Y)))$ are homeomorphic for generic H, H' .*

This allows us to define the set $\tilde{B}(X, Y) := B(X, H(Y))$ (where H is generic) for every two strong complete intersections $X^n, Y^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ (including the case $X = Y$) at least up to homeomorphism. It may happen that $\tilde{B}(X, Y) \not\cong B(X, Y)$.

Theorem 1.4 and 1.5 are proved in §2.2 and Theorem 1.6 in §2.3.

We point out that a version of Theorem 1.4 was presented in [16] for a class of polynomial functions from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^{n-1} and in [13] for morphisms from X to Y , with X, Y affine varieties and $\dim X = \dim Y + 1$. However, the algebraicity of the bifurcation set was not discussed in those papers.

2. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS

2.1. Non-properness set. We recall some results on the non-properness set of a polynomial mapping. We follow [9, 10, 11]. Let Z_1, Z_2 be affine varieties over \mathbb{C} .

Definition 2.1. *Let $f : Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$ be a generically finite (i.e. a generic fiber is finite) and dominant polynomial mapping (i.e. $\overline{f(Z_1)} = Z_2$). We say that f is proper at $y \in Z_2$, if there exists an open neighborhood U of y such that the mapping $f|_{f^{-1}(U)} : f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a proper mapping.*

We denote by S_f the set of points at which the mapping f is not proper. The set S_f is called the *non-properness set* of f .

Let $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be an affine variety of codimension k . The degree of Z is the number of common points of Z and sufficiently general linear subspace $V \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ of dimension k . The degree of Z is denoted by $\deg Z$. We have $\deg \mathbb{C}^m = 1$, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following result is useful (see for instance [9, 10, 11]):

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a generically finite dominant polynomial mapping, where $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is an affine n -dimensional variety with $\deg Z =$*

D. Then S_f is either empty or a hypersurface with

$$\deg S_f \leq \frac{D(\prod_{i=1}^n \deg f_i) - \mu(f)}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \deg f_i},$$

where $\mu(f) = (\mathbb{C}(X) : \mathbb{C}(f_1, \dots, f_n))$ is the geometric degree of f .

Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6 are related with the following definition:

Definition 2.3. Let $f : Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$ be a polynomial mapping. The bifurcation set of f , denoted by $B(f)$, is the smallest subset of Z_2 such that the restriction $f|_1 : Z_1 \setminus f^{-1}(B(f)) \rightarrow Z_2 \setminus B(f)$ is a C^∞ locally trivial fibration.

Let Z_1, Z_2 be smooth n -dimensional varieties over \mathbb{C} and $f : Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$ a dominant polynomial mapping. We denote by $\mu(f)$ the geometric degree of f .

The following useful result follows from the proof of Theorem 2.4 of [9]:

Theorem 2.4 ([9, Theorem 2.4]). Let Z be a smooth affine complex variety of dimension n . Let $f : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a dominant polynomial mapping. Then,

$$B(f) = K_0(f) \cup S_f,$$

and

$$B(f) = \{y \in \mathbb{C}^n : \#f^{-1}(y) \neq \mu(f)\}.$$

Moreover, $B(f)$ is either empty (and so f is an isomorphism) or a closed hypersurface.

The next results are used in the proofs of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5:

Theorem 2.5. Let X, Y be complex irreducible algebraic varieties and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a polynomial mapping. Let $W_1, \dots, W_r \subset X$ be closed subvarieties of X . Then, there exists a nonempty Zariski open subset $U \subset Y$ such that, for every $y_1, y_2 \in U$, the $r+1$ -tuples $(f^{-1}(y_1), W_i \cap f^{-1}(y_1); i = 1, \dots, r)$ and $(f^{-1}(y_2), W_i \cap f^{-1}(y_2), i = 1, \dots, r)$ are homeomorphic.

Proof. We may assume that Y is smooth and irreducible. Let X_1 be an algebraic completion of X . Take $X_2 := \overline{\text{graph}(f)} \subset X_1 \times Y$.

We can assume that $X \subset X_2$. We have an induced mapping $\bar{f} : X_2 \rightarrow Y$ such that $\bar{f}|_X = f$. Let $Z = X_2 \setminus X$. Denote by $\overline{W_i}$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$, the closures of W_i in X_2 . Let $\mathcal{R} = \{Z, \overline{W_i} \cap Z, \overline{W_i}, i = 1, \dots, r\}$ be a collection of algebraic subvarieties of X_2 . There exists a Whitney stratification \mathcal{S} of X_2 which is compatible with \mathcal{R} .

For any smooth strata $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$, let B_i be the set of critical values of the mapping $\bar{f}|_{S_i}$ and put $B = \bigcup \overline{B_i}$. Take $X_3 = X_2 \setminus \bar{f}^{-1}(B)$. The restriction of the stratification \mathcal{S} to X_3 provides a Whitney stratification which is compatible with the family $\mathcal{R}' := \mathcal{R} \cap X_3$. We have a proper mapping $f' := \bar{f}|_{X_3} : X_3 \rightarrow Y \setminus B$ which is a submersion on each stratum. By Thom first isotopy theorem, there is a trivialization of f' which preserves the strata. It is an easy observation that this trivialization gives a trivialization of the mapping $f : X \setminus f^{-1}(B) \rightarrow Y \setminus B := U$. In particular the fibers $f^{-1}(y_1)$ and $f^{-1}(y_2)$ are homeomorphic via a stratum preserving homeomorphism. This means that the tuples $(f^{-1}(y_1), W_i \cap f^{-1}(y_1); i = 1, \dots, r)$ and $(f^{-1}(y_2), W_i \cap f^{-1}(y_2), i = 1, \dots, r)$ are homeomorphic. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let X, Y be complex irreducible algebraic varieties and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a polynomial mapping. Let $Z \subset X$ be a constructible subset of X . Then, there exists a nonempty Zariski open subset $U \subset Y$ such that, for every $y_1, y_2 \in U$, the pairs $(f^{-1}(y_1), Z \cap f^{-1}(y_1))$ and $(f^{-1}(y_2), Z \cap f^{-1}(y_2))$ are homeomorphic.*

Proof. Since Z is constructible we have $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^s P_i \setminus Q_i$, where P_i, Q_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$ are Zariski closed. Now we can apply Theorem 2.5, where the family $\{W_i\}$ coincide with $\{P_i, Q_i; i = 1, \dots, s\}$. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let X, Y be affine complex varieties and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a polynomial mapping. Then, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $A_k := \{y \in Y : \chi(f^{-1}(y)) = k\}$ is a constructible set.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $d = \dim Y$. If $d = 0$, the result is obvious. Assume $d > 0$. Let Y_1, \dots, Y_s be all d -dimensional components of Y and let $Z_i = Y_i \setminus (\overline{Y \setminus Y_i})$. By Theorem 2.5 there exists a nonempty open subset $U_i \subset Z_i$ such that $\chi(f^{-1}(y))$ is constant for $y \in U_i$. Take $Y' := Y \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^s U_i$ and $X' := f^{-1}(Y')$. By the induction hypothesis, the set $A'_k := \{y \in Y' : \chi(f^{-1}(y)) = k\}$ is constructible. Let U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_r} be all subset among U_1, \dots, U_s such that the fiber of f over U_i has Euler characteristic k . Then $A_k = A'_k \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^r U_{i_k}$ is a constructible subset of Y . \square

2.2. Proof of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5. The next technical lemma is motivated by [16, Theorem 2.6]. See Definition 1.2 for the notion of general position.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $X^n, Y^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ be strong complete intersections in general position. Then, there exists a linear mapping $L : \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$(\Phi, L) : X \times Y \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C}), (\Phi, L)(x, y) = (\Phi(x, y), L(x))$$

is a proper mapping.

Proof. By assumption, $\mathcal{Z}_{X \cap Y}^\infty := (\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} \{(f_i)_* = 0\}) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} \{(g_i)_* = 0\}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ is a union of finitely many complex lines. Let $L : \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a linear mapping such that $L(z) \neq 0, \forall z \in (\mathcal{Z}_{X \cap Y}^\infty \setminus \{0\})$. We claim that

$$(\Phi, L) : X \times Y \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C}),$$

$(\Phi, L)(x, y) = (\Phi(x, y), L(x))$ is a proper mapping.

In fact, let $x(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_{2n-1}(t))$ and $y(t) = (y_1(t), \dots, y_{2n-1}(t))$ be analytic curves such that $(x(t), y(t)) \in X \times Y$, $\Phi(x(t), y(t)) \rightarrow p \in \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ and $\|(x(t), y(t))\| \rightarrow \infty$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $\|x(t)\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|y(t)\| \rightarrow \infty$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We set

$$x_i(t) = a_{\alpha_i} t^{\alpha_i} + \text{lower terms}, y_i(t) = b_{\beta_i} t^{\beta_i} + \text{lower terms}, i = 1, \dots, 2n-1,$$

$\alpha := \max_i \{\alpha_i\}$, $\beta := \max_i \{\beta_i\}$, $a' := (a'_1, \dots, a'_{2n-1})$ and $b' := (b'_1, \dots, b'_{2n-1})$, where $a'_i = a_{\alpha_i}$ (resp., $b'_i = b_{\beta_i}$) if $\alpha = \alpha_i$ (resp., $\beta = \beta_i$) and $a'_i = 0$ (resp., $b'_i = 0$) if $\alpha > \alpha_i$ (resp., $\beta > \beta_i$).

Since $\Phi(x(t), y(t)) \rightarrow p \in \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$, we have $\alpha = \beta$ and $a' = -b'$. From the expansions:

$$f_j(x(t)) = (f_j)_{\nu_j}(a') t^{\alpha \nu_j} + \text{lower terms},$$

$$g_j(y(t)) = (g_j)_{\mu_j}(b')t^{\beta\mu_j} + \text{lower terms}, j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and since $f_j(x(t)) \equiv 0, g_j(y(t)) \equiv 0$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{X \cap Y}^\infty$ is a homogeneous set, we have $a', b' \in \mathcal{Z}_{X \cap Y}^\infty$.

On the other hand, we have the following expansion for L :

$$L(x(t)) = L(a')t^\alpha + \text{lower terms}.$$

Since $a' \in \mathcal{Z}_{X \cap Y}^\infty$, we have $L(a') \neq 0$ and therefore $\|L(x(t))\| \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that (Φ, L) is a proper mapping, as desired. \square

Directly from the proof of Lemma 2.8, we have that if $L: \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear mapping such that $L(z) \neq 0$ for any $z \in (\mathcal{Z}_{X \cap Y}^\infty \setminus \{0\})$, then the mapping $(\Phi, L): X \times Y \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C}), (\Phi, L)(x, y) = (\Phi(x, y), L(x))$ is a proper mapping.

Now, we prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof is motivated by arguments from [15, Theorem 3.1] and [16, Theorem 2.1].

From Lemma 2.8, there is a linear mapping $L: \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(\Phi, L): X \times Y \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C}), (\Phi, L)(x, y) = (\Phi(x, y), L(x))$$

is a proper mapping.

Let $p \notin \overline{K_0(\Phi)}$ and consider the smooth algebraic set $\Phi^{-1}(p)$. The restriction $L|_{\Phi^{-1}(p)}$ is a proper mapping, since (Φ, L) is a proper mapping and $\Phi^{-1}(p)$ is a closed set. It follows that $L|_{\Phi^{-1}(p)}(\Phi^{-1}(p))$ is a closed set and, since it is also a constructible set, we have that $L|_{\Phi^{-1}(p)}(\Phi^{-1}(p))$ is an algebraic set (constructible closed sets are algebraic sets). Thus $L|_{\Phi^{-1}(p)}(\Phi^{-1}(p)) = \mathbb{C}$.

We have $S_{(\Phi, L)} = \emptyset$, where $S_{(\Phi, L)}$ denotes the non-properness set of (Φ, L) . It follows from Theorem 2.4 that $B(\Phi, L) = K_0(\Phi, L)$ and, consequently, (Φ, L) is a ramified mapping of degree $d := \mu(\Phi, L)$, where $\mu(\Phi, L)$ denotes the geometric degree of (Φ, L) . Thus, for any $p \notin \overline{K_0(\Phi)}$ and any regular value $c \in \mathbb{C}$ of the mapping $L|_{\Phi^{-1}(p)}$, we have $\#(L|_{\Phi^{-1}(p)})^{-1}(c) = \#\{\Phi^{-1}(p) \cap L^{-1}(c)\} = \mu(\Phi, L) = d$.

Take $p_0 \notin \overline{K_0(\Phi)}$ and consider a small enough neighborhood W of p_0 such that $W \cap \overline{K_0(\Phi)} = \emptyset$. For any $p \in W$, we set

$$\Sigma_p := \{z_1(p), \dots, z_{r(p)}(p)\} \subset X \times Y$$

and

$$\Delta_p := \{c_1(p), \dots, c_{s(p)}(p)\} \subset \mathbb{C},$$

the critical points set and critical values set of $L|_{\Phi^{-1}(p)}$ respectively.

As in the proofs of Theorem 3.1 of [15], Theorem 2.1 of [16], or from [14, (III,32)], we obtain the following like Riemann-Hurwitz formula:

$$(2.1) \quad \chi(\Phi^{-1}(p)) = d + r(p) - \sum_{i=1}^{r(p)} \rho_i(p) = d - \sum_{i=1}^{r(p)} (\rho_i(p) - 1),$$

where $\rho_i(p)$ is the multiplicity of the point $x_i(p)$. These equalities imply that there is a small enough neighborhood of p_0 such that the following inequality holds

$$\chi(\Phi^{-1}(p_0)) \geq \chi(\Phi^{-1}(p)).$$

Therefore, there is a sufficiently small neighborhood $V := B(p_0, \delta)$ such that $V \subset W$ and $\chi(\Phi^{-1}(p_0)) = \chi(\Phi^{-1}(p))$ for any $p \in V$ if and only if there is no point $x_i(p)$ that converges to infinity as p converges to p_0 .

By similar arguments of [15, Theorem 3.1], [16, Theorem 2.1] and [12, Theorem 3.1], we will show that $\chi(\Phi^{-1}(p_0)) = \chi(\Phi^{-1}(p)) \forall p \in V$ implies $p_0 \notin B_\infty(X, Y)$.

As we have said, $\chi(\Phi^{-1}(p_0)) = \chi(\Phi^{-1}(p)) \forall p \in V$ implies that $\cup_{p \in V} \Sigma_p$ is bounded and, since (Φ, L) is a proper mapping, that there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $K := \Phi^{-1}(V) \cap L^{-1}(\overline{B(0, r)})$ is a bounded set containing $\cup_{p \in V} \Sigma_p$. Then, we set:

$$U_1 = \Phi^{-1}(V) \setminus \overline{K},$$

and we will show that Φ restricted to U_1 is a fibration on V .

Consider the (formal) system of differential equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} [df(x(t))]_{(n-1) \times (2n-1)} & [0]_{(n-1) \times (2n-1)} \\ [0]_{(n-1) \times (2n-1)} & [dg(y(t))]_{(n-1) \times (2n-1)} \\ & [d\Phi(x(t), y(t))]_{(2n-2) \times (4n-2)} \\ & [dL(x(t), y(t))]_{1 \times (4n-2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x'_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ x'_{2n-1}(t) \\ y'_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ y'_{2n-1}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [0]_{(n-1) \times 1} \\ [0]_{(n-1) \times 1} \\ [p - p_0]_{(2n-1) \times 1} \\ [0]_{1 \times 1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can solve the system, since the matrix on left side is invertible (at least in U_1) and we can write this system shortly as follows:

$$z'(t) = V_1(p - p_0, z(t)),$$

with $z(t) = (x(t), y(t))$. From above constructions, it follows that:

$$df(x(t))x'(t) = 0, \quad dg(y(t))y'(t) = 0,$$

$$d\Phi(V(p - p_0, z(t))) = p - p_0, \quad dL(V(p - p_0, z(t))) = 0.$$

Let us consider the following differential equation:

$$(2.2) \quad z'(t) = V_1(p - p_0, z(t)), \quad z(0) = \gamma \in \Phi^{-1}(p_0) \setminus \overline{B(0, R)}.$$

It follows that if $z_{p-p_0, \gamma}(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ is a solution of (2.2) then $z_{p-p_0, \gamma}(t) \in X \times Y$, $L(z_{p-p_0, \gamma}(t))$ is constant and $\Phi(z_{p-p_0, \gamma}(t)) = t(p - p_0) + p_0$. Then, from definition of U_1 and since (Φ, L) is a proper mapping, the trajectory of $z_{p-p_0, \gamma}$ is bounded and does not cross the boundary of U_1 for any $t \in [0, 1]$. It follows that the trajectory $z_{p-p_0, \gamma}(t)$ is defined on whole $[0, 1]$ and it is contained in $X \times Y$.

The phase flow $z_{p-p_0, \gamma}(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, transforms $\Phi^{-1}(p_0) \cap U_1$ into $\Phi^{-1}(t(p - p_0) + p_0) \cap U_1$ (in fact, by symmetry, it transforms $\Phi^{-1}(p_0) \cap U_1$ onto $\Phi^{-1}(t(p - p_0) + p_0) \cap U_1$). The mapping

$$h : \Phi^{-1}(p_0) \cap U_1 \times V \ni (\gamma, p) \rightarrow z_{p-p_0, \gamma}(1) \in \Phi^{-1}(V) \cap U_1,$$

is a diffeomorphism. Thus $p_0 \notin B_\infty(X, Y)$.

Let k be the Euler characteristic of a typical fiber of Φ . Hence a point $p \in \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \setminus \overline{K_0(\Phi)}$ is typical if and only if $\chi(\Phi^{-1}(p)) = k$. By Theorem 2.7, the set A_k of such points is constructible in $\mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \setminus \overline{K_0(\Phi)}$. Since A_k is open, it is Zariski open. Hence, $B(X, Y) = (\mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \setminus A_k) \cup \overline{K_0(\Phi)}$ is a Zariski closed set, i.e., $B(X, Y)$ is an algebraic set. \square

Definition 2.9. *We set*

$$L_\infty(\Phi) := \{y \in Y \mid \exists \{x_k\} \subset \text{Sing}(\Phi, L) \setminus \text{Sing}(\Phi) \text{ s.t. } \|x_k\| \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \Phi(x_k) \rightarrow y\}.$$

Let $S := \overline{\text{Sing}(\Phi, L) \setminus \text{Sing}(\Phi)}$. We have that $L_\infty(\Phi)$ is the non-properness set of the restriction $\Phi|_S : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ and as a direct consequence of the proof of Theorem 1.4 (after equation 2.1), it follows that:

$$(2.3) \quad B_\infty(X, Y) \subset L_\infty(\Phi).$$

Theorem 1.5 follows from the next result:

Theorem 2.10. *Let L be a generic linear mapping. Then $L_\infty(\Phi)$ is either empty or a hypersurface such that:*

$$(2.4) \quad \deg L_\infty(\Phi) \leq D + d - \mu_{X,Y},$$

where $D := \deg \overline{\text{Sing}(\Phi, L) \setminus \text{Sing}(\Phi)}$, $d := \mu(\Phi, L)$, where $\mu(\Phi, L)$ denotes the geometric degree of (Φ, L) .

Proof. Consider $S := \overline{\text{Sing}(\Phi, L) \setminus \text{Sing}(\Phi)}$. If $S = \emptyset$, then $L_\infty(\Phi) = \emptyset$. Suppose $S \neq \emptyset$. We have $\dim S = 2n - 1$. Consider the restriction of Φ to S , which is an equidimensional mapping:

$$\Phi|_S : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}.$$

Note that for $p \in \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \setminus K_0(\Phi)$ the fiber $\Phi^{-1}(p)$ is smooth (hence reduced). Hence, if Q is an irreducible (one-dimensional) component of this fiber, then either the mapping $L|_Q : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism or it has a finite number of critical points. In the first case, Q is not contained in S . In the second case, Q has only a finite number of points in common with S (all critical points of $L|_Q$ are in S). This means that the mapping $\Phi|_S$ is generically finite and so dominant.

Let $D := \deg S$. We can apply Theorem 2.2. Note that the algebraic degree of Φ is 1. Thus,

$$\deg L_\infty(\Phi) \leq D - \mu(\Phi|_S).$$

In particular,

$$\deg L_\infty(\Phi) \leq D - 1$$

and, by [9, Proposition 2.8], we have $\deg L_\infty(\Phi) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i + b_i - 2) - 1$.

By (2.1), we have

$$-\mu(\Phi|_S) = -r(p) \leq -\chi(\Phi^{-1}(p_0)) + d$$

and, consequently, we can obtain the estimation:

$$\deg L_\infty(\Phi) \leq D - \mu(\Phi|_S) \leq D - \chi(\Phi^{-1}(p_0)) + d,$$

as desired. □

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.6. Denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2n-1})$ the set of linear mappings from \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} to \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} . Let us consider the mapping $\Psi : X \times Y \times \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}) \ni (x, y, H) \mapsto (\frac{x+H(y)}{2}, H) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \times \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2n-1})$. The mapping Ψ is a polynomial mapping, hence it has homeomorphic fibers outside the bifurcation set $B(\Psi)$. The Zariski closure $B := \overline{B(\Psi)}$ is a proper algebraic subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \times \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2n-1})$.

Put $R = \{H \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}) : \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \times \{H\} \subset B\}$. It is easy to see that the set R is algebraic. Since the set B is a proper algebraic subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \times \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2n-1})$, the set R is a proper algebraic subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2n-1})$. Outside R a generic fiber of the mapping $X \times Y \ni (x, y) \mapsto (\frac{x+H(y)}{2}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ is homeomorphic to the generic fiber of Ψ . We can finish the first part of theorem from Proposition 1.3.

Now, we show that

$$(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, B(X, H(Y))) \text{ and } (\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, B(X, H'(Y)))$$

are homeomorphic for generic $H, H' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2n-1})$.

Denote $\Psi_H(x, y) := \Psi(x, y, H)$. Given a mapping f , let $C(f)$ denote the set of critical points of f . It is easy to compute the $C(\Psi) = \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2n-1})} C(\Psi_H) \times \{H\}$.

In particular, if $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \times \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2n-1})$ is a projection, then $\pi^{-1}(H) \cap K_0(\Psi) = K_0(\Psi_H)$. Since $K_0(\Psi)$ is a constructible set, it follows from Theorem 2.5 (or rather from their proof) that $\pi^{-1}(H) \cap \overline{K_0(\Psi)} = \overline{K_0(\Psi_H)}$.

Let k be the Euler characteristic of a typical fiber of Ψ and let $B_k = \{y \in \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \times \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}) : \chi(\Psi^{-1}(y)) \neq k\}$. By Theorem 2.7, the set B_k is constructible. Moreover, $B(\Psi_H) = \pi^{-1}(H) \cap (B_k \cup \overline{K_0(\Psi)}) = B(X, H(Y))$. Now, the result follows from Corollary 2.6.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author was partially supported by the Fapemig-Brazil Grant APQ-02085-21 and CNPq-Brazil grant 301631/2022-0. The second author was partially supported by the grant of Narodowe Centrum Nauki number 2019/33/B/ST1/00755. The authors are grateful to professor M.A.S Ruas for many helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Berry, *Semi-classical mechanics in phase space: A study of Wigner's function*, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. vol. **287** (1977), 237
- [2] J.W. Bruce, P.J. Giblin, C.G. Gibson, *Symmetry sets*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **101A**, (1983), 163-186.
- [3] J. Damon, *Strongness and geometry of boundaries associated to skeletal structures I: Sufficient conditions for strongness*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **53**, 6 (2003), 1941-1985.
- [4] J. Damon, *Determining the Geometry of Boundaries of Objects from Medial Data*, International Journal of Computer Vision **63**(1), (2005), 45-64.
- [5] L.R.G. Dias, M. Farnik and Z. Jelonek, *Generic symmetry defect set of an algebraic curve*, accepted for publication in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (2023).

- [6] P.J.Giblin, P.A.Holtom, *The centre symmetry set*, Geometry and Topology of Caustics, Banach Center Publications Vol **50**, ed. S.Janeczko and V.M.Zakalyukin, Warsaw, 1999, 91-105.
- [7] P.J. Giblin, S. Janeczko, *Geometry of curves and surfaces through the contact map*, Topology and Its Applications **159** (2012), 379-380.
- [8] S. Janeczko, *Bifurcations of the Center of Symmetry*, Geometriae Dedicata vol. **60**, (1996), 9-16.
- [9] S. Janeczko, Z. Jelonek, M.A.S. Ruas, *Symmetry defect of algebraic varieties*, *Asian J. Math.* vol. **18**, (2014), 525-544.
- [10] Z. Jelonek, *The set of points at which a polynomial map is not proper*, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **58** (1993), 259-266.
- [11] Z. Jelonek, *Testing sets for properness of polynomial mappings*, *Math. Ann.* **315** (1999), 1-35.
- [12] Z. Jelonek, *On asymptotic critical values and the Rabier theorem*, *Geometric singularity theory*, v. **65** of Banach Center Publ., (2004), 125-133.
- [13] C. Joița and M. Tibăr, *Bifurcation set of multi-parameter families of complex curves*, *J. Topol.*, **11** (2018), 739–751.
- [14] S. Kleiman, *The enumerative theory of singularities*, Real and complex singularities - Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo (1976), 297-396.
- [15] Ha Huy Vui, N. T. Thang, *On the topology of polynomial functions on algebraic surfaces in \mathbb{C}^n* , *Singularities II*, *Contemp. Math.* **475** (2008), 61-67.
- [16] Ha Huy Vui, N. T. Thang, *On the topology of polynomial mappings from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^{n-1}* , *Internat. J. Math.*, **22** (2011), 435-448.

(L.R.G. Dias) FACULDADE DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE UBERLÂNDIA, AV. JOÃO NAVES DE ÁVILA 2121, 1F-153 - CEP: 38408-100, UBERLÂNDIA, BRASIL.

Email address: lrgdias@ufu.br

(Z. Jelonek) INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, POLSKA AKADEMIA NAUK, ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-956 WARSZAWA, POLAND.

Email address: najelone@cyf-kr.edu.pl