# New Construction of $q$-ary Codes Correcting a Burst of at most $t$ Deletions 

Wentu Song*, Kui Cai* and Tony Q. S. Quek ${ }^{\dagger}$<br>*Science, Mathematics and Technology Cluster, Singapore University of Technology and Design, Singapore 487372<br>${ }^{\dagger}$ Information Systems Technology and Design Pillar, Singapore University of Technology and Design, Singapore 487372<br>Email: \{wentu_song, cai_kui, tonyquek\}@sutd.edu.sg


#### Abstract

In this paper, for any fixed positive integers $t$ and $q>2$, we construct $q$-ary codes correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions with redundancy $\log n+8 \log \log n+o(\log \log n)+\gamma_{q, t}$ bits and near-linear encoding/decoding complexity, where $n$ is the message length and $\gamma_{q, t}$ is a constant that only depends on $q$ and $t$. In previous works there are constructions of such codes with redundancy $\log n+O(\log q \log \log n)$ bits or $\log n+O\left(t^{2} \log \log n\right)+O(t \log q)$. The redundancy of our new construction is independent of $q$ and $t$ in the second term.


## I. Introduction

Study of deletion/insertion correcting codes, which was ' originated in 1960s, has made a great progress in recent years. One of the basic problem is to construct codes with low redundancy and low encoding/decoding complexity, where the redundancy of a $q$-ary $(q \geq 2)$ code $\mathcal{C}$ of length $n$ is defined as $n-\log _{q}|\mathcal{C}|$ in symbol or $\left(n-\log _{q}|\mathcal{C}|\right) \log q$ in bits 1
The famous VT codes were proved to be a family of singledeletion correcting binary codes and are asymptotically optimal in redundancy [1]. The VT construction was generalized to nonbinary single-deletion correcting codes in [2], and to a new version in [3] using differential vector, with asymptotically optimal redundancy and efficient encoding/decoding. Other works in binary and nonbinary codes for correcting multiple deletions can be found in [4]- [13] and the references therein.

Burst deletions and insertions, which means that deletions ' and insertions occur at consecutive positions in a string, are a class of errors that can be found in many applications, such as DNA-based data storage and file synchronization. For binary case, the maximal cardinality of a $t$-burst-deletion correcting code (i.e., a code that can correct a burst of exactly $t$ deletions) is proved to be asymptotically upper bounded by $2^{n-t+1} / n$ [14], so its redundancy is asymptotically lower bounded by $\log n+t-1$. Several constructions of binary codes correcting a burst of exactly $t$ deletions have been reported in [15], [16], where the construction in [16] achieves an optimal redundancy of $\log n+(t-1) \log \log n+k-\log k$. A more general class, i.e., codes correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions, were also constructed in the same paper [16], and this construction was improved in [17] to achieve a redundancy of $\lceil\log t\rceil \log n+$ $(t(t+1) / 2-1) \log \log n+c_{t}$ for some constant $c_{t}$ that only

[^0]depends on $t$. In [18], by using VT constraint and shifted VT constraint in the so-called $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense strings, binary codes correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions were constructed, with an optimal redundancy of $\log n+t(t+1) / 2 \log \log n+c_{t}^{\prime}$, where $c_{t}^{\prime}$ is a constant depending only on $t$.

In the recent parallel works [19] and [12], $q$-ary codes correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions were constructed for even integer $q>2$, with redundancy $\log n+O(\log q \log \log n)$, or more specifically, $\log n+(8 \log q+9) \log \log n+\gamma_{t}^{\prime}+$ $o(\log \log n)$ bits for some constant $\gamma_{t}^{\prime}$ that only depends on $t$. The basic techniques in [19] and [12] are to represent each $q$-ary string as a binary matrix whose column are the binary representation of the entries of the corresponding $q$ ary string, with the constraint that the first row of the matrix representation is $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense. Then the first row of the matrix is protected by binary burst deletion correcting codes of length $n$ and the other rows are protected by binary burst deletion correcting codes of length not greater than $2 \delta$, which results in the redundancy of $\log n+O(\log q \log \log n)$ bits of the constructed code. A different construction of $q$-ary codes correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions was reported in a more recent work [3], which has redundancy $\log n+O\left(t^{2} \log \log n\right)+O(t \log q)$.

In this paper, we construct $q$-ary codes correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions for any fixed $t$ and $q>2$. We consider $q$-ary $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense strings, which are defined similar to binary $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense strings as in [18], and give an efficient algorithm for encoding and decoding of $q$-ary $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense strings. In our construction, a VT-like function is used to locate the deletions within an interval of length not greater than $3 \delta$, which results in $\log n$ bits in redundancy. In addition, two functions are used to recover the substring destroyed by deletions, which results in $8 \log \log n+o(\log \log n)+\gamma_{q, t}$ bits in redundancy, where $\gamma_{q, t}$ is a constant that only depends on $q$ and $t$. Thus, the total redundancy of our construction is $\log n+8 \log \log n+$ $o(\log \log n)+\gamma_{q, t}$ bits. The encoding/decoding complexity of our construction is $O\left(q^{7 t} n(\log n)^{3}\right)$. Compared to previous work, the redundancy of our new construction is independent of $q$ and $t$ in the second term.

In Section II, we introduce related definitions and notations. In Section III, we study pattern dense $q$-ary strings. Our new construction of $q$-ary burst-deletion correcting codes is given in Section IV, and the paper is concluded in Section V.

## II. Preliminaries

Let $[m, n]=\{m, m+1, \ldots, n\}$ for any two integers $m$ and $n$ such that $m \leq n$ and call $[m, n]$ an interval. If $m>n$, then let $[m, n]=\emptyset$. For simplicity, we denote $[n]=[1, n]$ for any positive integer $n$. The size of a set $S$ is denoted by $|S|$.

Given any integer $q \geq 2$, let $\Sigma_{q}=\{0,1,2, \cdots, q-1\}$. For any sequence (also called a string or a vector) $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$, $n$ is called the length of $\boldsymbol{x}$ and denote $|\boldsymbol{x}|=n$. We will denote $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ or $\boldsymbol{x}=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}$. For any set $I=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\} \subseteq[n]$ such that $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{m}$, denote $x_{I}=x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}$ and call $x_{I}$ a subsequence of $\boldsymbol{x}$. If $I=[i, j]$ for some $i, j \in[1, n]$ such that $i \leq j$, then $x_{I}=x_{[i, j]}=x_{i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{j}$ is called a substring of $\boldsymbol{x}$. We say that $\boldsymbol{x}$ contains $\boldsymbol{p}$ (or $\boldsymbol{p}$ is contained in $\boldsymbol{x}$ ) if $\boldsymbol{p}$ is a substring of $\boldsymbol{x}$. For any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$ and $\boldsymbol{y} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n^{\prime}}$, we use $\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{y}$ to denote their concatenation, i.e., $\boldsymbol{x y}=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n} y_{1} y_{2} \cdots y_{n^{\prime}}$. We also use notations such as $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{k}$ to denote substrings of a sequence $\boldsymbol{x}$. For example, the notation $\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{2} \cdots \boldsymbol{x}_{k}$ means that the sequence $\boldsymbol{x}$ consists of $k$ substrings $\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{k}$.

Let $t \leq n$ be a nonnegative integer. For any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$, let $\mathcal{D}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})$ denote the set of subsequences of $\boldsymbol{x}$ of length $n-t$, and let $\mathcal{B}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})$ denote the set of subsequences $\boldsymbol{y}$ of $\boldsymbol{x}$ that can be obtained from $\boldsymbol{x}$ by a burst of $t$ deletions, that is $\boldsymbol{y}=$ $x_{[n] \backslash D}$ for some interval $D \subseteq[n]$ of length $t$ (i.e., $D=[i, i+$ $t-1]$ for some $i \in[n-t+1])$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{B}_{\leq t}(\boldsymbol{x})=$ $\bigcup_{t^{\prime}=0}^{t} \mathcal{B}_{t^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{x})$, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_{\leq t}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the set of subsequences of $\boldsymbol{x}$ that can be obtained from $x$ by a burst of at most $t$ deletions. Clearly, $\mathcal{B}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=\mathcal{D}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\mathcal{B}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})$ for $t \geq 2$.

A code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \Sigma_{q}^{n}$ is said to be a $t$-deletion correcting code if for any codeword $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{C}$, given any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{D}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}$ can be uniquely recovered from $\boldsymbol{y}$; the code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \Sigma_{q}^{n}$ is said to be capable of correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions if for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{C}$, given any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{B}_{\leq t}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}$ can be uniquely recovered from $\boldsymbol{y}$. In this paper, we will always assume that $q$ and $t$ are constant with respect to $n$.

Let $\boldsymbol{c}=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n}\right) \in \Sigma_{2}^{n}$. The VT syndrome of $\boldsymbol{c}$ is defined as

$$
\mathrm{VT}(\boldsymbol{c})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} i c_{i} \quad \bmod (n+1)
$$

It was proved in [1] that for any $c \in \Sigma_{2}^{n}$, given $\mathrm{VT}(\boldsymbol{c})$ and any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{D}_{1}(\boldsymbol{c})$, one can uniquely recover $\boldsymbol{x}$.

If $q>2$, for each $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$, let $\phi(\boldsymbol{x})=$ $\left(\phi(\boldsymbol{x})_{1}, \phi(\boldsymbol{x})_{2}, \cdots, \phi(\boldsymbol{x})_{n}\right) \in \Sigma_{2}^{n}$ such that $\phi(\boldsymbol{x})_{1}=0$ and for each $i \in[2, n], \phi(\boldsymbol{x})_{i}=1$ if $x_{i} \geq x_{i-1}$ and $\phi(\boldsymbol{x})_{i}=0$ if $x_{i}<x_{i-1}$. (One can also let $\phi(\boldsymbol{x})_{1}=1$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$.) Then we have $q$-ary codes for correcting a single deletion.

Lemma 1: [2] For any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$, given $\operatorname{VT}\left(\phi(\boldsymbol{x})_{[2, n]}\right)$, $\operatorname{Sum}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{D}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})$, one can uniquely recover $\boldsymbol{x}$, where $\phi(\boldsymbol{x})_{[2, n]}=\left(\phi(\boldsymbol{x})_{2}, \cdots, \phi(\boldsymbol{x})_{n}\right)$ and

$$
\operatorname{Sum}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \quad \bmod q .
$$

The following lemma generalizes the construction in [20] to $q$-ary codes $(q>2)$ and will be used in our new construction.

Lemma 2: Suppose that $q$ and $t$ are constants with respect to $n$. There exists a function $h: \Sigma_{q}^{n} \rightarrow \Sigma_{q}^{4 \log _{q} n+o\left(\log _{q} n\right)}$, computable in time $O\left(q^{t} n^{3}\right)$, such that for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$, given $h(\boldsymbol{x})$ and any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{B}_{\leq t}(\boldsymbol{x})$, one can uniquely recover $\boldsymbol{x}$.

Proof: The function $h$ can be constructed by the syndrome compression technique developed in Section II of [20].
For each $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$, let $\mathcal{N}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})$ be the set of all $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n} \backslash\{\boldsymbol{x}\}$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\leq t}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\leq t}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$. By simple counting, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{N}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})\right| \leq t n^{2} q^{t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first construct a function $\bar{h}: \Sigma_{q}^{n} \rightarrow\left[0,2^{\bar{R}}-1\right]$ such that 1) $\bar{R}=\frac{t(t+1)}{2}(\log (n+1)+\log q)$; and 2) $\bar{h}(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq \bar{h}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Specifically, $\bar{h}$ is constructed as follows: For each $t^{\prime} \in[t]$ and $j \in\left[t^{\prime}\right]$, let

$$
\bar{h}_{t^{\prime}, j}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(\mathrm{VT}\left(\phi\left(x_{I_{t^{\prime}, j}}\right)_{\left[2, n_{t^{\prime}, j}\right]}\right), \operatorname{Sum}\left(x_{I_{t^{\prime}, j}}\right)\right),
$$

where $I_{t^{\prime}, j}=\left\{\ell \in[n]: \ell \equiv j \bmod t^{\prime}\right\}$ and $n_{t^{\prime}, j}=\left|I_{t^{\prime}, j}\right|$. Then let

$$
\bar{h}=\left(\bar{h}_{1,1}, \bar{h}_{2,1}, \bar{h}_{2,2}, \cdots, \bar{h}_{t, 1}, h_{t, 2}, \cdots, \bar{h}_{t, t}\right)
$$

and view $\bar{h}(\boldsymbol{x})$ as the binary representation of a nonnegative integer. Clearly, $\left|I_{t^{\prime}, j}\right| \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{t^{\prime}}\right\rceil$ and so the length $|\bar{h}(\boldsymbol{x})|$ of $\bar{h}(\boldsymbol{x})$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\bar{h}(\boldsymbol{x})| & =\log \left(\prod_{t^{\prime}=1}^{t} \prod_{j=1}^{t^{\prime}} q\left(n_{t^{\prime}, j}+1\right)\right) \\
& \leq \log \left(\prod_{t^{\prime}=1}^{t}\left(\left[\frac{n}{t^{\prime}}\right\rceil+1\right)^{t^{\prime}} q^{t^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{t(t+1)}{2}(\log (n+1)+\log q) \\
& =\bar{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have $\bar{h}(\boldsymbol{x}) \in\left[0,2^{\bar{R}}-1\right]$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$. Moreover, for each $t^{\prime} \in[t]$, if $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{B}_{t^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{x})$, then we have $y_{I_{t^{\prime}, j}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{D}_{1}\left(x_{I_{t^{\prime}, j}}\right)$ for each $j \in\left[t^{\prime}\right]$, where $I_{t^{\prime}, j}^{\prime}=\{\ell \in$ $\left[n^{\left.t^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right]}: \ell \equiv j\left(\bmod t^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. By Lemma $11 x_{I_{t^{\prime}, j}}$ can be recovered from $\bar{h}_{t^{\prime}, j}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $y_{I_{t^{\prime}, j}^{\prime}}$, and so $\boldsymbol{x}$ can be recovered from $\boldsymbol{y}$ and $\bar{h}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Equivalently, if $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})$, then $\bar{h}(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq \bar{h}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)$.
For each $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$, let $\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{x})$ be the set of all positive integers $j$ such that $j$ is a divisor of $\left|\bar{h}(\boldsymbol{x})-\bar{h}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)\right|$ for some $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime} \in$ $\mathcal{N}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})$. By the same discussions as in the proof of [20] Lemma 4], we can obtain $|\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{x})| \leq 2^{\log \left|\mathcal{N}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|+o(\log n)} \leq O\left(q^{t} n^{3}\right)$. (Note that $q$ and $t$ are assumed to be constant with respect to $n$ and, by (1], $\left|\mathcal{N}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})\right| \leq t n^{2} q^{t}$.) So, by brute force search, one can find, in time $2^{\log \left|\mathcal{N}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|+o(\log n)} \leq O\left(q^{t} n^{3}\right)$, a positive integer $\alpha(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq 2^{\log \left|\mathcal{N}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|+o(\log n)}$ such that $\alpha(\boldsymbol{x}) \notin \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Let $h(\boldsymbol{x})=(\alpha(\boldsymbol{x}), \bar{h}(\boldsymbol{x}) \bmod \alpha(\boldsymbol{x}))$. Then we have $h(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq$ $h\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)$ for all $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Equivalently, given $h(\boldsymbol{x})$ and any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{B}_{\leq t}(\boldsymbol{x})$, one can uniquely recover $\boldsymbol{x}$.

Moreover, since $\alpha(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq 2^{\log \left|\mathcal{N}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|+o(\log n)}$ is a positive integer and by $\mathbb{1},\left|\mathcal{N}_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})\right| \leq t n^{2} q^{t}$, so viewed as a $q$-ary sequence, we have $h(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \Sigma_{q}^{4 \log _{q} n+o\left(\log _{q} n\right)}$, which completes the proof.

## III. Pattern Dense Sequences

The concept of $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense sequences was introduced in [18] and was used to construct binary codes with redundancy $\log n+\frac{t(t+1)}{2} \log \log n+c_{t}$ for correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions, where $n$ is the message length and $c_{t}$ is a constant only depending on $t$. In this section, we generalize the $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-density to $q$-ary sequences and derive some important properties for these sequences that will be used in our new construction in the next section.

The $q$-ary $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense sequences can be defined similar to binary $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense sequences as follows.
Definition 1: Let $d \leq \delta \leq n$ be three positive integers and $\boldsymbol{p} \in \Sigma_{q}^{d}$ called a pattern. A sequence $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$ is said to be $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense if each substring of $\boldsymbol{x}$ of length $\delta$ contains at least one $\boldsymbol{p}$. The indicator vector of $\boldsymbol{x}$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{p}$ is a vector

$$
\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})_{1}, \mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})_{2}, \ldots, \mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})_{n}\right) \in \Sigma_{2}^{n}
$$

such that for each $i \in[n], \mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})_{i}=1$ if $x_{[i, i+d-1]}=\boldsymbol{p}$, and $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})_{i}=0$ otherwise.

In this work, we will always let $(d=2 t)$

$$
\boldsymbol{p}=0^{t} 1^{t}
$$

and view $\boldsymbol{p}=0^{t} 1^{t} \in \Sigma_{q}^{2 t}$ for any $q \geq 2$. Moreover, from Definition we have the following simple remark.

Remark 1: Each sequence $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$ can be written as the form $\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{x}_{2} \boldsymbol{p} \cdots \boldsymbol{x}_{m-1} \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{x}_{m}$, where each $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, i \in$ $[0, m]$, is a (possibly empty) string that does not contain $\boldsymbol{p}$. Moreover, $\boldsymbol{x}$ is $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense if and only if it satisfies: (1) the lengths of $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_{m}$ are not greater than $\delta-2 t$; (2) the length of each $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, i \in[1, m-1]$, is not greater than $\delta+1-4 t$.

In [18], the VT syndrome of $\mathrm{a}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ was used to bound the location of deletions for $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense $\boldsymbol{x}$, where $\mathrm{a}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is a vector of length $n_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})+1$ whose $i$-th entry is the distance between positions of the $i$-th and $(i+1)$-st 1 in the string $\left(1, \mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x}), 1\right)$ and $n_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the number of 1 s in $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})$. In this paper, we prove that the VT syndrome of $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ plays the same role. Specifically, for each $x \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} i \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the indicator vector of $\boldsymbol{x}$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{p}$ as defined in Definition 1 Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3: Suppose $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$ is $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense. For any $t^{\prime} \in[t]$ and any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{B}_{t^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{x})$, given $a_{0}(\boldsymbol{x})(\bmod 4)$ and $a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})(\bmod 2 n)$, one can find, in time $O(n)$, an interval $L \subseteq[n]$ of length at most $3 \delta$ such that $\boldsymbol{y}=x_{[n] \backslash D}$ for some interval $D \subseteq L$ of size $|D|=t^{\prime}=|\boldsymbol{x}|-|\boldsymbol{y}|^{2}$

[^1]Proof: Let $a_{0}(\boldsymbol{x})=m$ and $a_{0}(\boldsymbol{y})=m^{\prime}$. Then by Remark $11 \boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ can be written as the following form:

$$
\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{0} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{1} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{2} \cdots 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{m-1} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{m}
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{y}_{0} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{y}_{1} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{y}_{2} \cdots 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{y}_{m^{\prime}-1} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{y}_{m^{\prime}}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}_{j}$ do not contain $\boldsymbol{p}=0^{t} 1^{t}$ for each $i \in[0, m]$ and $j \in\left[0, m^{\prime}\right]$. We denote

$$
u_{i}=\left|\boldsymbol{y}_{0} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{y}_{1} 0^{t} 1^{t} \cdots \boldsymbol{y}_{i-1} 0^{t} 1^{t}\right|, \quad \forall i \in\left[1, m^{\prime}\right]
$$

and

$$
v_{i}=\left|\boldsymbol{y}_{0} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{y}_{1} 0^{t} 1^{t} \cdots \boldsymbol{y}_{i}\right|, \quad \forall i \in\left[0, m^{\prime}\right] .
$$

Additionally, let $u_{0}=0$. Clearly, for each $i \in\left[0, m^{\prime}\right]$, we have $u_{i} \leq v_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}_{i}=y_{\left[u_{i}+1, v_{i}\right]}$. Moreover, for each $i \in\left[0, m^{\prime}-1\right]$, each $j_{i} \in\left[u_{i}, v_{i}\right]$ and $j_{i+1} \in\left[u_{i+1}, v_{i+1}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{i+1}-j_{i} \geq u_{i+1}-v_{i} \geq 2 t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that a burst of $t^{\prime} \leq t$ deletions may destroy at most two $\boldsymbol{p}$ s or create at most one $\boldsymbol{p}$, so $\Delta_{0} \triangleq m-m^{\prime} \in\{-1,0,1,2\}$ and $\Delta_{0}$ can be computed from $a_{0}(\boldsymbol{x})-a_{0}(\boldsymbol{y})$. We need to consider the following four cases according to $\Delta_{0}$.

Case 1: $\Delta_{0}=2$. Then $m^{\prime}=m-2$ and there is an $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in$ $\left[0, m^{\prime}\right]$ such that $\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}\right| \leq t^{\prime}-2$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ can be obtained from $\boldsymbol{x}$ by deleting a substring $1^{t_{1}} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1} 0^{t_{0}}$ for some $t_{0}, t_{1}>0$ such that $\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}\right|+t_{0}+t_{1}=t^{\prime}$. More specifically, $\boldsymbol{y}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}=$ $\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t-t_{1}} 0^{t-t_{0}} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+2}$. Clearly, we have $2 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t$ and $x_{\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right]}=\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+2}$. It is sufficient to let $L \stackrel{L}{=}\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right]$. But we still need to find $i_{\mathrm{d}}$.

Consider $\mathbb{1}_{p}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\mathbb{1}_{p}(\boldsymbol{y})$. By Definition $\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ can be obtained from $\mathbb{1}_{p}(\boldsymbol{y})$ by $t^{\prime}$ insertions and two substitutions in the substring $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{y})_{\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}\right]}$ : inserting $t^{\prime} 0 \mathrm{~s}$ and substituting two 0 s by two 1 s . Then by (3), we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+\lambda_{1}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)+\left(m^{\prime}-i_{\mathrm{d}}\right) t^{\prime} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right), \lambda_{2}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \in\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right]$ are the locations of the two substitutions. To find $i_{\mathrm{d}}$, we define a function $\xi_{2}$ as follows: For every $i \in\left[0, m^{\prime}\right]$, let

$$
\xi_{2}(i)=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+2\left(u_{i}+1\right)+\left(m^{\prime}-i\right) t^{\prime}
$$

Then for each $i \in\left[0, m^{\prime}-1\right]$, we can obtain $\xi_{2}(i+1)-\xi_{2}(i)=$ $2\left(u_{i+1}-u_{i}\right)-t^{\prime} \geq 4 t-t^{\prime}>0$, where the first inequality comes from (4). So, for each $i \in\left[0, m^{\prime}-1\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})<\xi_{2}(i)<\xi_{2}(i+1) \leq \xi_{2}\left(m^{\prime}\right)<a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+2 n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last inequality comes from the simple observation that $\xi_{2}\left(m^{\prime}\right)=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+2\left(u_{m^{\prime}}+1\right)<a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+2 n$.

By definition of $\xi_{2}$ and $a_{1}$, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{2}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}+1\right)-a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) & =2\left(u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}+1\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)-\lambda_{2}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)-t^{\prime} \\
& \stackrel{(\mathrm{i})}{\geq} 2 u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}+2-2\left(v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right)-t^{\prime} \\
& \stackrel{(\text { (ii) }}{\geq} 4 t+2-3 t^{\prime} \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

where (i) holds because $\lambda_{1}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right), \lambda_{2}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \in\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right]$, and (ii) is obtained from (4). On the other hand, by (5), $a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})-$ $\xi_{2}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)-2\left(u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1\right) \geq 0$ (noticing that $\left.\lambda_{1}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right), \lambda_{2}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \in\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right]\right)$. Hence, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{2}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \leq a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})<\xi_{2}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}+1\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6) and (7), $i_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $L$ can be found as follows: Compute

$$
\mu \triangleq a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})(\bmod 2 n)-a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})(\bmod 2 n)
$$

and

$$
\mu_{i} \triangleq \xi_{2}(i)(\bmod 2 n)-a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})(\bmod 2 n)
$$

for $i$ from 0 to $m^{\prime}$. Then we can find an $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in\left[0, m^{\prime}\right]$ such that $\mu_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} \leq \mu<\mu_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}$, where $\mu_{m^{\prime}+1}=2 n$. Let $L=\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+\right.$ $\left.1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right]$. Note that $x_{\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right]}=\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+2}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}$ is $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense, so by Remark 1 the length of $L$ satisfies $|L|=\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+2}\right| \leq 3(\delta+1-4 t)+4 t \leq 3 \delta$, where the last inequality holds because $2 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t$.

Case 2: $\Delta_{0}=1$. Then $m^{\prime}=m-1$ and, similar to Case 1 , there is an $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in\left[0, m^{\prime}\right]$ such that $\boldsymbol{y}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}$ can be obtained from $\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}$ by deleting $t^{\prime}$ symbols and the pattern $0^{t} 1^{t}$ is destroyed. Clearly, $x_{\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right]}=\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}$ and it is sufficient to let $L=\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right]$. To find $i_{\mathrm{d}}$, consider $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{y})$ and $\mathbb{1}_{p}(\boldsymbol{x})$. By Definition $1 \mathbb{1}_{p}(\boldsymbol{x})$ can be obtained from $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{y})$ by $t^{\prime}$ insertions and one substitution in the substring $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{y})_{\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}\right]}$ : inserting $t^{\prime} 0 \mathrm{~s}$ and substituting a 0 by a 1 . By (3), we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+\lambda\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)+\left(m^{\prime}-i_{\mathrm{d}}\right) t^{\prime} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \in\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right]$ is the location of the substitution. For every $i \in\left[0, m^{\prime}\right]$, let

$$
\xi_{1}(i)=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+\left(u_{i}+1\right)+\left(m^{\prime}-i\right) t^{\prime}
$$

Then for each $i \in\left[0, m^{\prime}-1\right]$, we have $\xi_{1}(i+1)-\xi_{1}(i)=$ $u_{i+1}-u_{i}-t^{\prime} \geq 2 t-t^{\prime}>0$, and so we can further obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})<\xi_{1}(i)<\xi_{1}(i+1) \leq \xi_{1}\left(m^{\prime}\right) \leq a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+n \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition of $\xi_{1}$ and $a_{1}$, we can obtain $\xi_{1}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}+1\right)-$ $a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}+1-\lambda\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)-t^{\prime}>u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}+1-\left(v_{i}+t^{\prime}\right)-t^{\prime} \geq$ $2 t+1-2 t^{\prime}>0$. On the other hand, by (8), $a_{1}(x)-\xi_{1}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=$ $\lambda\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)-\left(u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1\right) \geq 0$. Hence, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \leq a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})<\xi_{1}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}+1\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (9) and 10), $L$ can be found as follows: Compute

$$
\mu \triangleq a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})(\bmod 2 n)-a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})(\bmod 2 n)
$$

and

$$
\mu_{i} \triangleq \xi_{1}(i)(\bmod 2 n)-a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})(\bmod 2 n)
$$

for $i$ from 0 to $m^{\prime}$. Let $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in\left[0, m^{\prime}\right]$ be such that $\mu_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} \leq \mu<$ $\mu_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}$. Then let $L=\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right]$, where $\mu_{m^{\prime}+1}=2 n$. Note that $x_{\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+t^{\prime}\right]}=\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}$ is $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense, so by Remark $1|L|=\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}\right| \leq 2(\delta+1-4 t)+2 t<2 \delta$.

Case 3: $\Delta_{0}=0$. Then $m^{\prime}=m$. For every $i \in[0, m]$, let

$$
\xi_{0}(i)=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+(m-i) t^{\prime}
$$

Note that $x$ contains $m$ copies of $0^{t} 1^{t}$, so we have $n \geq 2 t m>$ $m t^{\prime}$. Therefore, for each $i \in[0, m-1]$, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+n>a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+m t^{\prime} \geq \xi_{0}(i)>\xi_{0}(i+1) \geq a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y}) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\Delta_{0}=0$, there are two ways to obtain $\boldsymbol{y}$ from $\boldsymbol{x}$ :

1) There is an $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in[0, m]$ such that $\boldsymbol{y}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}$ can be obtained from $x_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}$ by a burst of $t^{\prime}$ deletions. Correspondingly, by Definition $1 \mathbb{1}_{p}(\boldsymbol{x})$ can be obtained from $\mathbb{1}_{p}(\boldsymbol{y})$ by inserting $t^{\prime} 0$ s into $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{y})_{\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{\left.i_{\mathrm{d}}\right]}\right]}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+\left(m-i_{\mathrm{d}}\right) t^{\prime}=\xi_{0}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) There is an $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in[0, m-1]$ such that $\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}=$ $\boldsymbol{y}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t+t_{0}} 1^{t+t_{1}} \boldsymbol{y}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}$ for some $t_{0}, t_{1} \in\left[1, t^{\prime}-1\right]$ such that $t_{0}+t_{1}=t^{\prime}$, and $\boldsymbol{y}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{y}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}$ is obtained from $\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}$ by deleting the substring $0^{t_{0}} 1^{t_{1}}$. By Definition $1 \mathbb{1}_{p}(\boldsymbol{x})$ can be obtained from $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{y})$ by inserting $t_{0} 0 \mathrm{~s}$ in $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{y})_{\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}\right]}$ and $t_{1} 0 \mathrm{~s}$ in $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{y})_{\left[v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+2, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+2 t}\right]}$. Therefore, we have

$$
a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+t_{0}+\left(m-i_{\mathrm{d}}-1\right) t^{\prime}
$$

By definition of $\xi_{0}$, we have $\xi_{0}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)-a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=t^{\prime}-t_{0}>0$ and $a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})-\xi_{0}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}+1\right)=t_{0}>0$. So, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{0}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)>a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})>\xi_{0}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}+1\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For both cases, if $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in[0, m-1]$, then we can $L=\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+\right.$ $\left.1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+2 t+t^{\prime}\right]$; if $i_{\mathrm{d}}=m$, then we can let $L=\left[u_{m}+1, n\right]$. Note that $x_{\left[u_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+2 t+t^{\prime}\right]}=\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} t^{t} 1^{t}$ and $x_{\left[u_{m}+1, n\right]}=\boldsymbol{x}_{m}$, and since $\boldsymbol{x}$ is $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense, then by Remark 11 we have $|L|=$ $\left|x_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t}\right| \leq 2 \delta$ or $|L|=\left|x_{m}\right| \leq 2 \delta$. Moreover, by (11), (12) and (13), $i_{\mathrm{d}}$ (and so $L$ ) can be found as follows: Compute

$$
\mu \triangleq a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})(\bmod 2 n)-a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})(\bmod 2 n)
$$

and

$$
\mu_{i} \triangleq \xi_{0}(i)(\bmod 2 n)-a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})(\bmod 2 n)
$$

for $i$ from 0 to $m$. Then we can always find an $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in[0, m]$ such that $\mu_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} \geq \mu>\mu_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}$, which is what we want.

Case 4: $\Delta_{0}=-1$. Then $m^{\prime}=m+1$ and there is an $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in\left[0, m^{\prime}-1\right]$ such that $\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}=\boldsymbol{y}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t_{0}} \boldsymbol{s} 0^{t-t_{0}} 1^{t} \boldsymbol{y}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}$ or $\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}=$ $\boldsymbol{y}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}} 0^{t} 1^{t_{1}} \boldsymbol{s} 1^{t-t_{1}} \boldsymbol{y}_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}$, where $t_{0} \in[1, t], t_{1} \in[1, t-1]$ and $s \in \Sigma_{q}^{t^{\prime}}$, and $\boldsymbol{y}$ can be obtained from $\boldsymbol{x}$ by deleting $s$. In this case, we can let $L=\left[v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+2 t+t^{\prime}\right]$ and can obtain $|L|=2 t+t^{\prime}<\delta$. To find $i_{\mathrm{d}}$, we consider $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{y})$. By Definition $1 \mathbb{1}_{p}(\boldsymbol{x})$ can be obtained from $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{y})$ by inserting $t^{\prime} 0$ s into $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{y})_{\left[v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}+1}, v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+2 t\right]}$ and substituting $\mathbb{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{y})_{v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1}=1$ by a 0 . Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})-\left(v_{i_{\mathrm{d}}}+1\right)+\left(m^{\prime}-1-i_{\mathrm{d}}\right) t^{\prime} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $i \in\left[0, m^{\prime}-1\right]$, let

$$
\xi_{-1}(i)=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})-\left(v_{i}+1\right)+\left(m^{\prime}-1-i\right) t^{\prime}
$$

Then for each $i \in\left[0, m^{\prime}-2\right]$, we have $\xi_{-1}(i)-\xi_{-1}(i+1)=$ $v_{i+1}-v_{i}-t^{\prime}>0$, where the inequality is obtained from (4). Moreover, we have $\xi_{-1}(0)=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})-1+\left(m^{\prime}-1\right) t^{\prime}<a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+$
$2 t m^{\prime}<a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+n$ and $\xi_{-1}\left(m^{\prime}-1\right)=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})-\left(v_{m^{\prime}-1}+1\right)>$ $a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})-n$. So for each $i \in\left[0, m^{\prime}-2\right]$, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})+n>\xi_{-1}(i)>\xi_{-1}(i+1)>a_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})-n \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (14) and by the definition of $\xi_{-1}$, we have $a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=$ $\xi_{-1}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$. So, by (15), $i_{\mathrm{d}}$ (and so $L$ ) can be found by the following process: For $i$ from 0 to $m^{\prime}-1$, compute $\xi_{-1}(i)$. Then we can always find an $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in\left[0, m^{\prime}-1\right]$ such that $\xi_{-1}\left(i_{\mathrm{d}}\right)(\bmod 2 n)=a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})(\bmod 2 n)$, which is what we want.

Thus, one can always find the expected interval $L \subseteq[n]$. From the above discussions, it is easy to see that the time complexity for finding such $L$ is $O(n)$.

In the rest of this section, we will use the so-called sequence replacement (SR) technique to construct $q$-ary $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$ dense strings with only one symbol of redundancy for $\delta=$ $2 t q^{2 t}\lceil\log n\rceil$. The SR technique, which has been widely used in the literature (e.g., see [19], [21]- [23]), is an efficient method for constructing strings with or without some constraints on their substrings. In this paper, to apply the SR technique to construct $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense strings, each length $-\delta$ string that does not contain $\boldsymbol{p}$ needs to be compressed to a shorter sequence, which can be realized by the following lemma.
Lemma 4: Let $\delta=2 t q^{2 t}\lceil\log n\rceil$ and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \Sigma_{q}^{\delta}$ be the set of all sequences of length $\delta$ that do not contain $\boldsymbol{p}=0^{t} 1^{t}$. For $n \geq q^{\frac{6 t+3-\log _{q} e}{0.4}}$, there exists an invertible function

$$
g: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Sigma_{q}^{\delta-\left\lceil\log _{q} n\right\rceil-6 t-2}
$$

such that $g$ and $g^{-1}$ are computable in time $O(\delta)$.
Proof: As each $s \in \mathcal{S}$ has length $\delta=2 t q^{2 t}\lceil\log n\rceil$ and does not contain $p$, then $\mathcal{S}$ can be viewed as a subset of $\left(\Sigma_{q}^{2 t} \backslash\{\boldsymbol{p}\}\right)^{q^{2 t}\lceil\log n\rceil}$, and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log _{q}|\mathcal{S}| \leq \log _{q}\left(q^{2 t}-1\right)^{q^{2 t}\lceil\log n\rceil} \\
&=(2 t) q^{2 t}\lceil\log n\rceil+\lceil\log n\rceil \log _{q}\left(1-\frac{1}{q^{2 t}}\right)^{q^{2 t}} \\
& \stackrel{(\text { i) }}{\leq}(2 t) q^{2 t}\lceil\log n\rceil+(\log n+1) \log _{q}\left(\frac{1}{e}\right) \\
&=\delta-\log _{q} n \log e-\log _{q} e \\
& \leq \delta-1.4 \log _{q} n-\log _{q} e \\
& \text { (ii) } \\
& \leq \delta-\left\lceil\log _{q} n\right\rceil-6 t-2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where (i) comes from the fact that $\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)^{x}<\frac{1}{e}$ for $x \geq 1$, and (ii) holds when $0.4 \log _{q} n+\log _{q} e \geq 6 t+3$, i.e., $n \geq$ $q^{\frac{6 t+3-\log _{q} e}{0.4}}$. Thus, each sequence in $\mathcal{S}$ can be represented by a $q$-ary sequence of length $\delta-\left\lceil\log _{q} n\right\rceil-6 t-2$, which gives an invertible function $g: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Sigma_{q}^{\delta-\left\lceil\log _{q} n\right\rceil-6 t-2}$.

Computation of $g$ and $g^{-1}$ involve conversion of integers in $\left[0,\left(q^{2 t}-1\right)^{q^{2 t}[\log n\rceil}-1\right]$ between $\left(q^{2 t}-1\right)$-base representation and $q$-base representation, so have time complexity $O\left(2 t q^{2 t}\lceil\log n\rceil\right)=O(\delta)$.

In the rest of this paper, we will always let

$$
\delta=2 t q^{2 t}\lceil\log n\rceil
$$

As we are interested in large $n$, we will always assume that $n \geq q^{\frac{6 t+3-\log _{q} e}{0.4}}$. The following lemma gives a function for encoding $q$-ary strings to $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense strings.
Lemma 5: There exists an invertible function, denoted by EncDen : $\Sigma_{q}^{n-1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{q}^{n}$, such that for every $\boldsymbol{u} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n-1}$, $\boldsymbol{x}=\operatorname{EncDen}(\boldsymbol{u})$ is $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense. Both EncDen and its inverse, denoted by DecDen, are computable in $O(n \log n)$ time.

Proof: Let $g$ be the function constructed in Lemma 4 The functions EncDen and DecDen are described by Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2 respectively, where each integer $i \in[n]$ is also viewed as a $q$-ary string of length $\lceil\log n\rceil$ which is the $q$-base representation of $i$.

The correctness of Algorithm 1 can be proved as follows:

1) In the initialization step, if $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}=u_{[n-\delta+2 t, n-1]}$ contains $\boldsymbol{p}$, then clearly, $\boldsymbol{x}$ has length $n$. If $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}=u_{[n-\delta+2 t, n-1]}$ doest not contain $\boldsymbol{p}$, then the length of $\boldsymbol{x}$ is $|\boldsymbol{x}|=$ $\left|\left(u_{\left[1, n^{\prime}\right]}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}, g\left(\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, 0^{2 t}\right)\right), 0^{\left\lceil\log _{q} n\right\rceil+3}\right)\right|=n^{\prime}+4 t+$ $\left|g\left(\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, 0^{2 t}\right)\right)\right|+\left\lceil\log _{q} n\right\rceil+3=n$, where $n^{\prime}=n-\delta+2 t-1$ and by Lemma $4,\left|g\left(\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, 0^{2 t}\right)\right)\right|=\delta-\left\lceil\log _{q} n\right\rceil-6 t-2$. So, at the end of the initialization step, $\boldsymbol{x}$ has length $n$. Moreover, $x_{\left[n^{\prime}+1, n^{\prime}+2 t\right]}=\boldsymbol{p}$ and the substring $x_{\left[n^{\prime}+2 t+1, n\right]}$ has length $\leq \delta-4 t+1$.
2) In each round of the replacement step, if $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \triangleq x_{[i, i+\delta-1]}$ does not contain $\boldsymbol{p}$ for some $i \in\left[1, n^{\prime}-\delta+1\right]$, then by Lemma $4\left|\left(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}, i, g(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}), 0,1^{2 t}, 0\right)\right|=\delta=\left|x_{[i, i+\delta-1]}\right|$, so by replacement, the length of the appended string equals to the length of the deleted substring, and hence the length of $\boldsymbol{x}$ keeps unchanged.
3) At the beginning of each round of the replacement step, we have $x_{\left[n^{\prime}+1, n^{\prime}+2 t\right]}=\boldsymbol{p}$, so for $i \in\left[n^{\prime}+2 t-\delta+1, n^{\prime}\right]$, the substring $x_{[i, i+\delta-1]}$ contains $\boldsymbol{p}$. Equivalently, if $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \triangleq$ $x_{[i, i+\delta-1]}$ does not contain $\boldsymbol{p}$ for some $i \in\left[n^{\prime}-\delta+2, n^{\prime}\right]$, then it must be that $i \in\left[n^{\prime}-\delta+2, n^{\prime}+2 t-\delta\right]$. In this case, $\left|\left(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}, i, g\left(\left(x_{\left[i, n^{\prime}\right]}, 0^{\ell}\right)\right), 0,1^{2 t-\ell}, 0\right)\right|=\delta-\ell=$ $\left|x_{\left[i, n^{\prime}\right]}\right|$, so by replacement, the length of the appended string equals to the length of the deleted substring, and hence the length of $\boldsymbol{x}$ keeps unchanged.
4) By 1), 2) and 3), the substring $x_{\left[n^{\prime}+1, n-\delta+1\right]}$ is always of the form puppe $\cdots \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{w}$, where all substrings $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{w}$ have length not greater than $\delta+1-4 t$, so by Remark 1 for each $i \in\left[n^{\prime}+1, n-\delta+1\right]$, the substring $x_{[i, i+\delta-1]}$ contains $\boldsymbol{p}$.
5) At the end of each round of the replacement step, the value of $n^{\prime}$ strictly decreases, so the While loop will end after at most $n$ rounds, and at this time, for each $i \in\left[1, n^{\prime}\right]$, the substring $x_{[i, i+\delta-1]}$ contains $\boldsymbol{p}$, which combining with 4 ) implies that $\boldsymbol{x}$ is $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense.
The correctness of Algorithm 2 can be easily seen from Algorithm 1, so DecDen is the inverse of EncDen.
Note that Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2 have at most $n$ rounds of replacement and in each round $g$ (resp. $g^{-1}$ ) needs to be computed, which has time complexity $O(\delta)=O(\log n)$ by Lemma 4 so the total time complexity of Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2 is $O(n \log n)$.

The Algorithm 1 generalizes the Algorithm 2 of [19], which

```
Algorithm 1: The function EncDen for encoding to \((\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)\)-dense sequence
Input: \(\boldsymbol{u} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n-1}\)
Output: \(\boldsymbol{x}=\operatorname{EncDen}(\boldsymbol{u}) \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}\) such that \(\boldsymbol{x}\) is \((\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)\)-dense
```

Initialization Step: Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}=u_{[n-\delta+2 t, n-1]}$.
If $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ contains $\boldsymbol{p}$, then let $n^{\prime}$ be the smallest $i \in[n-\delta+2 t-1, n-2]$ such that $u_{[i+1, i+2 t]}=\boldsymbol{p}$, and let $\boldsymbol{x}=(\boldsymbol{u}, 1)$;
else, let $n^{\prime}=n-\delta+2 t-1$ and $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(u_{\left[1, n^{\prime}\right]}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}, g\left(\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, 0^{2 t}\right)\right), 0^{\left\lceil\log _{q} n\right\rceil+3}\right)$.
Replacement Step: While there exists an $i \in\left[1, n^{\prime}\right]$ such that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \triangleq x_{[i, i+\delta-1]}$ does not contain $\boldsymbol{p}$, do
If $i \in\left[1, n^{\prime}-\delta+1\right]$, then delete $x_{[i, i+\delta-1]}$ from $\boldsymbol{x}$ and append ( $\left.\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}, i, g(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}), 0,1^{2 t}, 0\right)$ to $\boldsymbol{x}$; let $n^{\prime}=n^{\prime}-\delta$.
If $i \in\left[n^{\prime}-\delta+2, n^{\prime}\right]$, then delete $x_{\left[i, n^{\prime}\right]}$ from $\boldsymbol{x}$ and append $\left(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}, i, g\left(\left(x_{\left[i, n^{\prime}\right]}, 0^{\ell}\right)\right), 0,1^{2 t-\ell}, 0\right)$ to $\boldsymbol{x}$, where
$\ell \triangleq \delta-\left|x_{\left[i, n^{\prime}\right]}\right|$ satisfying $1 \leq \ell \leq 2 t-1$; let $n^{\prime}=i-1$.

## Return $\boldsymbol{x}=\operatorname{EncDen}(\boldsymbol{u})$.

```
Algorithm 2: The function DecDen for decoding of \((\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)\)-dense sequence
Input: \(\boldsymbol{x}=\operatorname{EncDen}(\boldsymbol{u}) \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}\)
Output: \(\boldsymbol{u} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n-1}\)
While \(x_{\left[n-\ell^{\prime}-2, n\right]}=01^{\ell^{\prime}} 0\) for some \(\ell^{\prime} \in[1,2 t]\), do
        let \(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\) be obtained from \(g^{-1}\left(x_{\left[n-\delta+6 t-\ell^{\prime}+1+\left\lceil\log _{q} n\right\rceil, n-\ell^{\prime}-2\right]}\right)\) by deleting the last \(2 t-\ell^{\prime}\) symbols; delete the last
        \(\delta+\ell^{\prime}-2 t\) symbols of \(\boldsymbol{x}\) and insert \(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\) at the position \(i\) of \(\boldsymbol{x}\) such that \(i=x_{\left[n-\delta+6 t-\ell^{\prime}+1, n-\delta+6 t-\ell^{\prime}+[\log n 7]\right]}\).
If \(x_{n}=x_{n-1}=0\), then let \(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\) be obtained from \(g^{-1}\left(x_{\left[n-\delta+6 t, n-\left\lceil\log _{q} n\right\rceil-3\right]}\right)\) by deleting the last \(2 t\) ss and
    let \(\boldsymbol{u}=\left(x_{[1, n-\delta+2 t-1]}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\right)\).
If \(x_{n}=1\), then let \(\boldsymbol{u}=x_{[1, n-1]}\).
```

Return $\boldsymbol{u}=\operatorname{Dec} \operatorname{Den}(\boldsymbol{x})$.
is for binary sequences. Moreover, our algorithm has only one symbol of redundancy for all $q \geq 2$, while the algorithm in [19] has $4 t$ bits of redundancy.

## IV. Burst-deletion correcting $q$-Ary codes

In this section, using $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense sequences, we construct a family of $q$-ary codes that can correct a burst of at most $t$ deletions, where $t, q \geq 2$ are fixed integers and $\delta=2 t q^{2 t}\lceil\log n\rceil$. In our construction, each $q$-ary string is also viewed as an integer represented with base $q$.
Let $\rho=3 \delta=6 t q^{2 t}\lceil\log n\rceil$ and
$L_{j}= \begin{cases}{[(j-1) \rho+1,(j+1) \rho],} & \text { for } j \in\{1, \cdots,\lceil n / \rho\rceil-2\}, \\ {[(j-1) \rho+1, n],} & \text { for } j=\lceil n / \rho\rceil-1 .\end{cases}$
The following remarks are easy to see.
Remark 2: The intervals $L_{j}, j=1, \cdots,\lceil n / \rho\rceil-1$, satisfy:

1) For any interval $L \subseteq[n]$ of length at most $\rho$, there is a $j_{0} \in\{1,2, \cdots,\lceil n / \rho\rceil-1\}$ such that $L \subseteq L_{j_{0}}$.
2) $L_{j} \cap L_{j^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ for all $j, j^{\prime} \in[1,\lceil n / \rho\rceil-1]$ such that $\left|j-j^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$.
The following construction gives a sketch function for correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions for $q$-ary sequences.

Construction 1: Let $h$ be the function constructed as in Lemma 2 Let $L_{j}, j=1,2, \cdots,\lceil n / \rho\rceil-1$, be the intervals
defined by (16). For each $x \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$ and each $\ell \in\{0,1\}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}^{(\ell)}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{\substack{j \in[1,\lceil n / \rho\rceil-1\}: \\ j \equiv \ell \bmod 2}} h\left(x_{L_{j}}\right)(\bmod \bar{N}), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{N}=q^{4 \log _{q}(2 \rho)+o\left(\log _{q}(2 \rho)\right)} .
$$

Then let
$f(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(a_{0}(\boldsymbol{x})(\bmod 4), a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})(\bmod 2 n), \bar{h}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}), \bar{h}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$. where $a_{0}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})$ are defined by (2) and (3) respectively.
Theorem 1: For each $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$, the function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is computable in time $O\left(q^{7 t} n(\log n)^{3}\right)$, and when viewed as a binary string, the length $|f(\boldsymbol{x})|$ of $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ satisfies

$$
|f(\boldsymbol{x})| \leq \log n+8 \log \log n+o(\log \log n)+\gamma_{q, t}
$$

where $\gamma_{q, t}$ is a constant depending only on $q$ and $t$. Moreover, if $\boldsymbol{x}$ is $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense, then given $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ and any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{B}_{\leq t}(\boldsymbol{x})$, one can uniquely recover $\boldsymbol{x}$.

Proof: By (2) and (3), $a_{0}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})$ are computable in linear time. By Lemma2 the functions $\bar{h}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\bar{h}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x})$ are computable in time (noticing that each $\left|L_{j}\right|=2 \rho=6 \delta$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
O\left(n q^{t}\left|L_{j}\right|^{3}\right) & =O\left(n q^{t}\left(12 t q^{2 t}\lceil\log n\rceil\right)^{3}\right) \\
& =O\left(q^{7 t} n(\log n)^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Construction 1, we can see that $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is computable in time $O\left(q^{7 t} n(\log n)^{3}\right)$.

Since $\delta=2 t q^{2 t}\lceil\log n 7$, then by (2), (3) and by Lemma 2, the length of $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ (viewed as a binary string) satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(\boldsymbol{x})| & =\left|a_{0}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|+\left|a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|+\left|\bar{h}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|+\left|\bar{h}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x})\right| \\
& =\log n+3+2 \log \bar{N} \\
& =\log n+8 \log \rho+o(\log \rho)+\gamma_{q, t} \\
& =\log n+8 \log \log n+o(\log \log n)+\gamma_{q, t},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma_{q, t}$ is a constant depending only on $q$ and $t$.
Finally, we prove that if $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma_{q}^{n}$ is $(\boldsymbol{p}, \delta)$-dense, then given $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ and any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{B}_{\leq t}(\boldsymbol{x})$, one can uniquely recover $\boldsymbol{x}$.

Suppose $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{B}_{t^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{x})$, where $t^{\prime}=n-|\boldsymbol{y}| \in[t]$. First, by Lemma 3 from $a_{0}(\boldsymbol{x})(\bmod 4)$ and $a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})(\bmod 2 n)$, we can find an interval $L$ of length at most $\rho=3 \delta$ such that $\boldsymbol{y}=$ $x_{[n] \backslash D}$ for some interval $D \subseteq L$ of size $t^{\prime}$. By 1) of Remark 2] there is a $j_{0} \in\{1,2, \cdots,\lceil n / \rho\rceil-1\}$ such that $L \subseteq L_{j_{0}}$. Denote $L_{j_{0}}=\left[\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right]$. Then we have: i) $x_{[1, \lambda-1]}=y_{[1, \lambda-1]}$ and $x_{\left[\lambda^{\prime}+1, n\right]}=y_{\left[\lambda^{\prime}+1-t^{\prime}, n-t^{\prime}\right]}$; ii) $y_{\left[\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}-t^{\prime}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{t^{\prime}}\left(x_{\left[\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right]}\right)=$ $\mathcal{B}_{t^{\prime}}\left(x_{L_{j_{0}}}\right)$. We can recover $x_{L_{j_{0}}}$ from $\bar{h}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}), \bar{h}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $y_{\left[\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}-t^{\prime}\right]}$ as follows.

For each $j \in[1,\lceil n / \rho\rceil-1]$ such that $j \equiv j_{0}(\bmod 2)$, by 2) of Remark 2] $L_{j} \subseteq[1, \lambda]$ or $L_{j} \subseteq\left[\lambda^{\prime}+1, n\right]$, so $h\left(x_{L_{j}}\right)$ can be computed from $x_{[1, \lambda-1]}$ and $x_{\left[\lambda^{\prime}+1, n\right]}$. Moreover, by Lemma 2 we have $h\left(x_{L_{j}}\right)<\bar{N}$. Then $h\left(x_{L_{j_{0}}}\right)$ can be solved from (17) and further, by Lemma $2 x_{L_{j_{0}}}$ can be recovered from $y_{\left[\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}-t^{\prime}\right]}$. Thus, $\boldsymbol{x}$ can be recovered from $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$, which completes the proof.

Now, we can give an encoding function of a family of $q$-ary codes capable of correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions.

Theorem 2: Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}: \Sigma_{q}^{n-1} & \rightarrow \Sigma_{q}^{n+r} \\
\boldsymbol{u} & \mapsto\left(\boldsymbol{x}, 0^{t} 1, f_{q}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}=\operatorname{EncDen}(\boldsymbol{u}), f_{q}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the $q$-ary representation of $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $r=t+1+\left|f_{q}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|=\log _{q} n+8 \log _{q} \log _{q} n+$ $o\left(\log _{q} \log _{q} n\right)+\gamma_{q, t}$. Then for each $\boldsymbol{z}=\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{u})$, given any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{B}_{\leq t}(\boldsymbol{z})$, one can recover $\boldsymbol{x}$ (and so $\boldsymbol{z}$ ) correctly.

Proof: Let $t^{\prime}=|\boldsymbol{z}|-|\boldsymbol{y}|$. Suppose $D=\left[i_{\mathrm{d}}, i_{\mathrm{d}}+t^{\prime}-1\right] \subseteq$ $[1, n+r]$ is an interval such that $\boldsymbol{y}=z_{[n+r] \backslash D}$. Then we have $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in\left[1, n+r-t^{\prime}+1\right]$. Clearly, if $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in\left[1, n+t+1-t^{\prime}\right]$, then $y_{n+t+1-t^{\prime}}=z_{n+t+1}=1$; if $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in\left[n+t+2-t^{\prime}, n+r-t^{\prime}+1\right]$, then $y_{n+t+1-t^{\prime}}=z_{n+t+1-t^{\prime}}=0$. So, we can consider the following two cases.
Case 1: $y_{n+t+1-t^{\prime}}=1$. Then $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in\left[1, n+t-t^{\prime}+1\right]$. We need further to consider the following three subcases.

Case 1.1: $y_{\left[n+1-t^{\prime}, n+1+t-t^{\prime}\right]}=0^{t} 1$. In this case, it must be that $D \subseteq[1, n]$. Therefore, we have $y_{\left[1, n-t^{\prime}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{t^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $y_{\left[n+t+2-t^{\prime}, n+r-t^{\prime}\right]}=f_{q}(\boldsymbol{x})$. By Theorem $1 \boldsymbol{x}$ can be recovered from $y_{\left[1, n-t^{\prime}\right]}$ and $y_{\left[n+t+2-t^{\prime}, n+r-t^{\prime}\right]}$ correctly.

Case 1.2: There is a $t^{\prime \prime} \in\left[1, t^{\prime}-1\right]$ such that $y_{\left[n+1-t^{\prime}+t^{\prime \prime}, n+1+t-t^{\prime}\right]}=0^{t-t^{\prime \prime}} 1$ and $y_{n-t+t^{\prime \prime}} \neq 0$. In this case, it must be that $D=\left[n+1-t^{\prime}+t^{\prime \prime}, n+t^{\prime \prime}\right]$. Therefore, $y_{\left[1, n+1-t^{\prime}+t^{\prime \prime}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{t^{\prime}-t^{\prime \prime}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $y_{\left[n+t+2-t^{\prime}, n+r-t^{\prime}\right]}=f_{q}(\boldsymbol{x})$.

By Theorem $1 x$ can be recovered from $y_{\left[1, n+1-t^{\prime}+t^{\prime \prime}\right]}$ and $y_{\left[n+t+2-t^{\prime}, n+r-t^{\prime}\right]}$ correctly.
Case 1.3: $y_{\left[n+1, n+1+t-t^{\prime}\right]}=0^{t-t^{\prime}} 1$ and $y_{n} \neq 0$. In this case, it must be that $D \subseteq[n+1, n+t]$. Therefore, $y_{[1, n]}=\boldsymbol{x}$.

Case 2: $y_{n+t+1-t^{\prime}}=0$. Then we have $i_{\mathrm{d}} \in[n+t+2-$ $\left.t^{\prime}, n+r-t^{\prime}+1\right]$ and $\boldsymbol{x}=y_{[1, n]}$.

Thus, $\boldsymbol{x}$ can always be recovered correctly from $\boldsymbol{y}$.

## V. Conclusions and Discussions

We proposed a new construction of $q$-ary codes correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions. Compared to existing works, which have redundancy either $\log n+O(\log q \log \log n)$ bits or $\log n+O\left(t^{2} \log \log n\right)$ bits, our new construction has a lower redundancy of $\log n+8 \log \log n+o(\log \log n)+\gamma_{q, t}$ bits, where $\gamma_{q, t}$ is a constant that only depends on $q$ and $t$.

We can also consider a more general scenario, which allows decoding with multiple reads (also known as reconstruction codes [24]), then with techniques of this work, we can construct $q$-ary reconstruction codes correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions with two reads, and with redundancy $8 \log \log n+$ $o(\log \log n)+\gamma_{q, t}$ bits. This improves the construction in [25], which has redundancy $t(t+1) / 2 \log \log n+\gamma_{q, t}^{\prime}$ bits, where $\gamma_{q, t}^{\prime}$ is a constant that only depends on $q$ and $t$. The problem of correcting a burst of at most $t$ deletions under reconstruction model will be investigated in our future work.
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