

Uniform Partitioning of a Bounded Region using Opaque ASYNC Luminous Mobile Robots

Subhajit Pramanick, Saswata Jana, Adri Bhattacharya, Partha Sarathi Mandal

^a*Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati, 781039, Assam, India*

Abstract

We are given N autonomous mobile robots inside a bounded region. The robots are opaque which means that three collinear robots are unable to see each other as one of the robots acts as an obstruction for the other two. They operate in classical *Look-Compute-Move* (LCM) activation cycles. Moreover, the robots are oblivious except for a persistent light (which is why they are called *Luminous robots*) that can determine a color from a fixed color set. Obliviousness does not allow the robots to remember any information from past activation cycles. The Uniform Partitioning problem requires the robots to partition the whole region into sub-regions of equal area, each of which contains exactly one robot. Due to application-oriented motivation, we, in this paper consider the region to be well-known geometric shapes such as rectangle, square and circle. We investigate the problem in *asynchronous* setting where there is no notion of common time and any robot gets activated at any time with a fair assumption that every robot needs to get activated infinitely often. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to study the Uniform Partitioning problem using oblivious opaque robots working under asynchronous settings. We propose three algorithms considering three different regions: rectangle, square and circle. The algorithms proposed for rectangular and square regions run in $O(N)$ epochs whereas the algorithm for circular regions runs in $O(N^2)$ epochs, where an epoch is the smallest unit of time in which all robots are activated at least once and execute their LCM cycles. The algorithms for the rectangular, square and circular regions require 2 (which is optimal), 5 and 8 colors, respectively.

Keywords:

Distributed algorithms, Multi-agent systems, Mobile robots, Uniform Partitioning, Luminous Robots

Email addresses: subhajit.pramanick@iitg.ac.in (Subhajit Pramanick), saswatajana@iitg.ac.in (Saswata Jana), a.bhattacharya@iitg.ac.in (Adri Bhattacharya), psm@iitg.ac.in (Partha Sarathi Mandal)

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation

Distributed algorithms for many real-world problems grabbed a lot of attention from researchers for many years now. A swarm of mobile robots is a very important tool in designing such distributed algorithms. The collaborative actions of these robots achieve the end goal of the problems. One such problem is *Uniform Partitioning* of a bounded region, which is a very common problem in our daily life. For example, if a number of people are asked to paint a wall, the natural strategy is to divide the region into equal parts and assign each person to a distinct part of the wall for painting. Keeping the same motivation in mind, autonomous mobile robots can be more useful in much more critical situations like cleaning spillage of liquid radioactive waste in a laboratory. In such hazardous situations, robots are the safest options for us. Uniform partitioning is equally applicable to another scenario where a city needs to be well-covered with networks. A group of autonomous drones, each of which is equipped with necessary instruments, can be deployed to serve the purpose, where drones should position themselves in such a way that each of them covers a part of the whole city of the same area as others.

Classically, these robots are autonomous (no external control), homogeneous (execute the same algorithm), anonymous (having no unique identifier) and disoriented (do not agree on any global coordinate system or orientation). The robots are modelled as points on the plane. They are equipped with vision which enables them to gather information from the surroundings. These robots operate in *Look-Compute-Move* cycles, which we define later in the paper. Two robots might not be able to see each other due to the presence of other robots between them (it is called *obstructed visibility model*). These robots are called *opaque* robots. There is no means of communication for the robots except an externally visible persistent light on them, which can determine color from a prefixed color set. Since there is a fixed number of colors, this type of communication is considered as a weak form of communication between the robots. Other than this light, robots do not have any persistent memory to store past information (this type of robot is called *oblivious luminous robots*).

We, in this paper, initiate the study of distributed uniform partitioning of a bounded region using opaque luminous mobile robots. The activation schedule of the robots is *asynchronous* (ASYNCR) where any robots can be activated at any time and there is no notion of a global time. The primary motive is to use a swarm of mobile robots with assumptions as less as possible. The problem aims to arrange the robots in such a way that the region gets divided into equal partitions and each partition contains exactly one robot. We retain our focus on this objective only, whereas the problem could even be extended to a version where the robots have sufficient memory or some extra ability to store the coordinates of the partitions. The problem gets challenging due to

the oblivious nature of the robots. The obstructed visibility of the robots adds to the challenge even more, because it is not always possible to count the number of robots present in the region. We also assume that the robots do not have any knowledge about the total number of robots. Although mutual visibility algorithms can be used to make any three robots non-collinear and robots can count the total number of robots, the obliviousness of the robots disables them to keep the information beyond the current LCM cycle. From the application point of view, we assume that the robots can detect the boundary of the region. We propose algorithms for the robots considering the region to be a standard geometric shape such as a rectangle, square or circle. Moreover, the algorithms are collision-free which means that two robots can never collocate at the same point.

1.2. Contributions

Our contributions, in this paper, are listed below.

- To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work towards the problem of distributed uniform partitioning of a bounded region \mathfrak{R} using a swarm of N ASYNC oblivious opaque mobile robots.
- We propose Algorithm RECTANGLE_PARTITION when \mathfrak{R} is a rectangular region. The algorithm requires 2 colors which is optimal with respect to the number of colors. The algorithm runs in $O(N)$ epochs, where N is the total number of robots deployed in \mathfrak{R} .
- We propose Algorithm SQUARE_PARTITION when \mathfrak{R} is a square region, which runs in $O(N)$ epochs and uses 5 colors.
- We propose Algorithm CIRCLE_PARTITION when \mathfrak{R} is a circle, which runs in $O(N^2)$ epochs and uses 8 colors. All of our algorithms are collision-free.

1.3. Related works

Distributed algorithms using mobile robots have been widely studied for many years. Some of the popular topics in this area are gathering, pattern formation, mutual visibility, etc. These problems are studied using different robot models in the literature that provide us with an extensive idea about how mobile robots work. In various literature [1, 2, 3], the pattern formation problem is studied with mobile robots with different capabilities. Formation of regular geometric shape can have a great correlation with the problem of uniform partitioning. Uniform circle formation (popularly known as UCF) is one of the most popular problems in this area which can be useful for partitioning a region of a specific geometrical shape. In the recent past, Feletti et al. [4] presented a linear time algorithm for the UCF problem using ASYNC luminous robots. Later in [5], they proposed an improved algorithm that runs in $O(\log(N))$ epochs. Mutual visibility is

another popular area where mobile robots are used. To achieve mutual visibility, the movement of the robots needs to be designed carefully enough to avoid collision between robots. Several papers [6, 7, 8] highlight this important aspect of mobile robots which is an essential part of designing the movement of the robot because collision might lead to a multiplicity points, whereas multiplicity detection is a costlier task. As mentioned earlier, the uniform partitioning problem has a wide range of applications such as surveillance of a particular region, painting a bounded region and many more. Saha et al. [9] inspected surveillance of uneven surfaces using drones which falls into the category of coverage problem. Their target is to give a compact coverage of the area so that the diameter of the drone network gets minimized. Das and Mukhapadhyaya [10] proposed an asynchronous algorithm for distributed painting in a rectangular region with a robot swarm where robots have agreement on a global line. The algorithm divides the region into uniform horizontal strips, but one of the advantages in this paper is that the robots are transparent which enables them to see all other robots in the region in every activation. Later in [11], they studied the distributed painting with robots having limited visibility and a global coordinate system. Robots are not completely oblivious and transparent so a robot can see all the robots within its visibility range. Das et al. [12] extended the distributed painting when the rectangular region has opaque obstacles in it. They consider the robots to be transparent and work under semi-synchronous settings having total agreement in the direction and orientation of their local coordinate systems. Pavone et al. [13] proposed an algorithm for equitable partitioning of an environment using synchronous mobile agents. Their algorithm uses the Voronoi-based partitioning approach, but our proposed algorithms can partition a region uniformly using mobile robots working under asynchronous setting in a much simpler way. Acevedo et al. [14] gave an algorithm for partitioning a known region using aerial robots, but the partitioning is not uniform.

2. Model and Preliminaries

Robots: A set of N *autonomous, anonymous, homogeneous* and *disoriented* mobile robots $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N\}$ is deployed at distinct points within a bounded region. This region can be thought of as a subset of the Euclidean plane. Each robot is considered as a point on the plane. We consider the robots to be *opaque* because of which a robot r_i is unable to see the robot r_k if there is a robot r_j lying on the line segment joining r_i and r_k . However, robots can detect the boundary of the region. A robot is visible to itself but might be unable to see all the robots inside the region due to obstructed visibility. Moreover, robots do not know N . Each robot has its local coordinate system, and the current position of the robot is considered the origin of its coordinate system.

Each robot has a persistent light externally visible to all other visible robots. This light can determine color from a prefixed set of colors. These colors enable the robots to have a weak form of communication among themselves. $r_i.color$ represents the current color of the robot r_i at any

time. Other than this persistent light, robots do not have any other memory to remember any information from the past. We misuse the notation r to denote the current position of the robot r . Two robots exhibit collision if they are collocated at a point simultaneously.

Region: We are given with a bounded region, denoted by \mathfrak{R} . The *interior* of the region \mathfrak{R} , denoted by $Int(\mathfrak{R})$ is defined to be the part of \mathfrak{R} without the boundary. In this paper, we consider the region to be a standard geometric region such as a rectangle, square or circle. A robot lying on $Int(\mathfrak{R})$, is called an *interior robot*. A robot is a *boundary robot* when it lies on the boundary of \mathfrak{R} , but not on the corners. When \mathfrak{R} has corners, robots lying on them are called *corner robots*. The boundary of \mathfrak{R} is identifiable by the robots from any point in the region which enables them to identify whether the region is a rectangle, square or a circle. For any two points A and B in the region, \overline{AB} denotes the line segment joining A and B . \overleftrightarrow{AB} is the line passing through the two points. The length of a line segment \overline{AB} is represented by $len(\overline{AB})$. We denote the distance between two points A and B by $d(A, B)$. A similar notation $d(p, L)$ is used to represent the shortest distance between a point p and a line L .

Activation Cycle: We consider the mobile robots operating in the *Look-Compute-Move* (LCM) cycles, in which the actions of the robots are divided into three phases. *Look:* The robot takes a snapshot of its surroundings, i.e., the vertices within the visibility range and the colors of the robots occupying them. *Compute:* The robot runs the algorithm using the snapshot as the input and determines a target vertex or chooses to remain in place. It also changes its current color, if necessary. *Move:* The robot moves to the target vertex if needed.

Scheduler and Run-time: Robots are activated under a fair *asynchronous* (ASYNC) scheduler. There is no common notion of time in the ASYNC setting. Any number of robots can be activated at any time, with the fairness assumption that each robot is activated infinitely often. Time is measured in terms of *epochs*, which is the smallest time interval in which every robot gets activated and executes its LCM cycle at least once.

Problem Definition (Distributed Uniform Partitioning): N oblivious, opaque, luminous point robots are deployed at arbitrary distinct points on a bounded region \mathfrak{R} . The robots neither have a global agreement on the coordinate axis nor knowledge of N . Each of them operates in *Look-Compute-Move* activation cycles and has a persistent light attached to it that can assume a color from a predefined color set. The objective is to divide the region \mathfrak{R} into N uniform partitions using N mobile robots such that each partition contains exactly one robot.

Partition Types: Depending on the positions and distribution of the robots over the region \mathfrak{R} , robots decide the type of partitioning in a distributed manner. All the partitions should have the same area. We identify four types of partitioning for the rectangular and square regions, as shown in Fig. 1. When the region \mathfrak{R} is a rectangle, robots follow either Type I or Type II partitioning,




Figure 1: Illustrating different types of partitioning

whereas, in the case of a square region, robots terminate in one of the four types (Type I, II, III and IV). If $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_N$ are the sub-regions of equal area (i.e., partitions of \mathcal{R}), then $\bigcap_{i=1}^N \mathcal{P}_i$ is a point and we call it a *vertex* of Type III and IV partitioning. In the case of a circular region, Type V partitioning is followed.

Table 1: The list of colors for different regions with their specification

Region	Color	Specification
Rectangle	OFF	Initial color
	FINISH	Used by robots at termination for Type I and II partitions
Square	OFF	Initial color
	MONITOR	Used when the robot moves to the apex point to count the number of robots in case of robots are only on one or two opposite sides of \mathcal{R}
	FINISH	Used by robots at termination for Type I and II partitions
	FINISH1	Used by robots at termination for Type III partition
	FINISH2	Used by robots at termination for Type IV partition
Circle	OFF	Initial color of the robots
	HEAD	Used for the head of an eligible cluster
	TAIL	Used for the tail of an eligible clusters
	MID	Used for the middle robots of an eligible cluster
	MOVE-H	Used for the movement of the head towards the other cluster when the head moves half of the excess distance
	HALF	Used after the color MOVE-H
	FULL	Used for the movement of the head towards the other cluster when the head moves the excess distance completely
	FINISH	Used by robots at termination

Organization: Rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 3 discusses the algorithm for the rectangular region. Section 4 explores the algorithm when the region is a square. Section 5 mentions the algorithm for the circular region. Finally, we conclude in Section 6.

3. Algorithm for a Rectangular Region

This section proposes the algorithm `RECTANGLE_PARTITION` when the region \mathcal{R} is a rectangle. The pseudocode of the algorithm can be found in Appendix A. Initially, robots are deployed over

distinct points on the rectangle \mathfrak{R} with color **OFF**. Robots will follow either Type I or Type II partitioning, which our algorithm ensures. The algorithm, in this case, uses 2 colors which are described in the Table 1. Our strategy is to bring the robots to the rectangle's boundary first. Then, each robot will occupy a point of a partition of the rectangle. We define some notations that will be used to explain the algorithm. We must note that the variables are defined with respect to the local coordinate system of a robot r . A point visible to two different robots can be perceived differently, depending on their coordinate system.

Notations: For any robot r , we define the following notations.

- S_r is the nearest longest side of the rectangle \mathfrak{R} to r or it denotes the specific longest side if r lies along one of them.
- S_r^{opp} denotes the side opposite to S_r in the rectangle.
- L_r is the line perpendicular to S_r that passes through r .
- p_r is the point of intersection of the two lines L_r and S_r .
- The two endpoints of a side S of \mathfrak{R} is denoted by e_S^1 and e_S^2 .
- For two opposite sides S and S' of \mathfrak{R} and a constant $0 < k < 1$, kS is the line segment of length $len(S)$ parallel to the side S that satisfies $d(kS, S) = kd(S, S')$ and intersects \mathfrak{R} at two points.
- For any two constants k and k' with $0 < k \neq k' < 1$ and for a side S of \mathfrak{R} , $[kS, k'S]$ is the rectangular region bounded by kS , $k'S$ and two adjacent sides of S . We denote the interior of the region $[kS, k'S]$ by $(kS, k'S)$. We also denote $(kS, k'S) \cup k'S$ by $(kS, k'S]$.
- \mathcal{CH}_r is the local convex hull of all the visible robots of r .

3.1. Description of the Algorithm

After activation with $r.color = \mathbf{OFF}$, the target of r is to reach one of the two longest sides of \mathfrak{R} and waits till all other interior robots, all the visible corner robots, and the robots on the two shortest sides with color **OFF** reach on one of the two longest sides of \mathfrak{R} . Before moving to one of the longest sides of \mathfrak{R} , r needs to choose a side of \mathfrak{R} as its target. Let us denote this target side by S_r . If r is already situated on one of the two longest sides of \mathfrak{R} , then it selects that side as S_r . If r is a corner robot, r chooses the longest side incident with it as S_r . If r is an interior robot or a boundary robot on one of the two shortest sides, it chooses the nearest longest side as S_r . In case of r being equidistant from both the longest sides, r chooses any one of them as S_r .

Strategy MOVE_TO_LONGESTSIDES: When r gets activated with $r.color = \mathbf{OFF}$, r finds the target side S_r in the current LCM cycle and calculates the point of intersection p_r of S_r and L_r . Now, r needs to consider its current position in the region provided the point p_r is visible to r . It

robots at a particular distance (inside the region) from the longest sides of \mathfrak{R} so that they can count the number of total robots present in the region and decide the partition type of \mathfrak{R} . This is important because neither the robots possess any memory to store the value of N nor are they transparent to see each other. It does nothing if r does not qualify as a monitor robot. When r is a monitor robot on S_r , it needs to move to a point a_r on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$, referred to as *apex point*, from where it can count the number of robots which is necessary to decide the next action of r . The robot r moves to its apex point with color FINISH. At this stage, our target is to gather all the robots on one of the longest sides of \mathfrak{R} if the number of robots lying on the two longest sides differs. In this case, our objective is to relocate all the robots from the side with a smaller number to the side with a larger number of robots. After all the robots have positioned themselves on one of the longer sides of \mathfrak{R} , monitoring robots place themselves again at apex points with the color FINISH to count N and then move to their final positions of the partitioning on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ without changing their color. Other robots decide their final positions based on these FINISH-colored robots on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$. We refer to this kind of partitioning as Type I partitioning.

On the other hand, if the number of robots on both of the longest sides is the same, we adopt a different strategy to partition the region. Here, the robots on the apex points first place themselves in their respective final positions on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ without changing their color. Other robots decide their final positions based on the FINISH-colored robots. We refer to this as Type II partitioning.

In the following, we describe in detail the action of a monitor robot r lying on S_r .

Strategy MONITORROBOT_MOVEMENT_RECTANGLE: If each of S_r and S_r^{opp} has exactly one robot on it, r simply moves to the midpoint of the line segment $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ from S_r , after changing its color to FINISH. If S_r^{opp} has exactly one robot, but S_r has multiple robots on it, r can understand this scenario by confirming the existence of its neighbouring robot on S_r . In this case, r waits until the robot on S_r^{opp} moves to S_r . If r is the only robot on S_r , but S_r^{opp} has multiple robots, r decides its target side as S_r^{opp} and moves to a point on it with the color OFF, following a strategy similar to the strategy MOVE_TO_LONGESTSIDES. Observe that in all of the above scenarios, r does not need to compute and move to its apex point on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$. We now focus on the case where both S_r and S_r^{opp} have multiple robots, and the monitor robot r moves to the apex point a_r .




Figure 4: If S_r^{opp} has no robot, a_r is the intersection point of L_r and $\frac{1}{8}S_r$




Figure 5: r moves to a_r considering r_{Nbr} on \mathcal{CH}_r , which is not on S_r




Figure 6: r remains a vertex of \mathcal{CH}_r after the movement to apex point

Movement to the Apex Point a_r : When r finds no robot on S_r^{opp} , it selects the point of intersection of L_r and $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ as its apex point a_r . as shown in Fig 4. When there is at least one robot on S_r^{opp} , r first selects the line $L = \overleftrightarrow{r_{Nbr}}$, where r_{Nbr} is the neighbour of r on the convex hull \mathcal{CH}_r lying on the side $(S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{8}S_r^{opp})$. Then, r chooses the intersection point of L and $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ as the apex point a_r (refer to Fig 5). The calculation of the apex point depends on the positions of the robots on S_r^{opp} to confirm that r can see all other robots in \mathfrak{R} and does not become an obstruction between the other two robots after its movement, as depicted in Fig 6. Finally, r moves to a_r after changing its color from OFF to FINISH.

We now identify two cases based on the position of r .

Case 1 (r lies on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$): In this case, if r gets activated and finds itself lying on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$, then $r.color$ must be FINISH, as r must have moved to its apex point from the side S_r in its previous LCM cycle. Now, r identifies the nearest longest side of \mathfrak{R} as S_r . It is possible for r to encounter an OFF-colored robot r' on $Int(\mathfrak{R})$, which might occur if r' is in its move phase to allocate itself on one of the longest sides of \mathfrak{R} (either from $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ or from $\frac{1}{8}S_r^{opp}$). Fig. 7 depicts one such situation. This might occur due to the asynchronous activation of the robots. Here r does not change its position and color. Otherwise (when no OFF-colored robot is visible in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$), r can identify the other FINISH-colored robot r_i within $[S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r]$, if exists (which might have been coming from S_r to its apex point). Moreover, it can also identify the robot with color FINISH on $[\frac{1}{8}S_r, \frac{1}{2}S_r]$ (this is possible




Figure 7: Movement of the OFF-colored robot r' creates obstruction for r

when r_i is moving to its final position either on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ for Type II partitioning or on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ for Type I partitioning and it is observed by r). Similarly, r can easily identify the robots with color FINISH which are nearest to S_r^{opp} by observing the region $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}]$. If r finds all the FINISH-colored robots on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ and $\frac{1}{8}S_r^{opp}$, it calculates c_r^1 and c_r^2 where c_r^1 is the total number of the robots on the band $[S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r]$ including itself. c_r^2 is the total number of robots on the band $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{8}S_r^{opp}]$. We now identify the following four sub-cases for the robot r lying on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ based on c_r^1 and c_r^2 .

- **Case 1.1** ($0 < c_r^2 < c_r^1$): It means that there is a lesser number of robots near S_r^{opp} than that of S_r , as shown in Fig. 8. In this case, our aim is to relocate all the robots lying on $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}]$ to S_r . r also moves back to S_r in this case. If the point of intersection p_r of L_r and S_r is visible to r and contains no robot, r moves to p_r with $r.color = OFF$. Otherwise, it chooses a target point t_r on S_r such that $d(p_r, t_r) = \frac{1}{4} \min_{r' \in \mathcal{V}_r} d(r', L_r)$, where \mathcal{V}_r is the set of all visible robots not lying on the line L_r . Then, r moves to t_r with current color FINISH.
- **Case 1.2** ($0 < c_r^1 < c_r^2$): It means that there are fewer robots near S_r than that of S_r^{opp}




Figure 8: r moves back to S_r from its apex point when $c_r^1 > c_r^2 > 0$




Figure 9: r moves to t_r on S_r^{opp} when $0 < c_r^1 < c_r^2$ with no FINISH-colored robot on $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{8} S_r^{opp}]$

(Fig 9). In this case, r needs to move to S_r^{opp} . Before the movement to S_r^{opp} , it first checks whether there is any FINISH-colored robot in $(S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{8} S_r^{opp}]$. If such a robot r_1 exists, then in ASYNC settings, the movement of r_1 might lead to a miscount of the number of the robots for r , as shown in Fig 10. In this situation, r waits until these robots allocate themselves on S_r^{opp} . Whenever r does not find any FINISH-colored robots in $(S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{4} S_r^{opp}]$, it decides to move on S_r^{opp} by finding the target point t_r on S_r^{opp} . It needs to consider p_r^{opp} , which is the point of intersection of L_r and S_r^{opp} . Using the same strategy described in Case 1.1 (instead of S_r , r considers S_r^{opp}), r finally moves on t_r after changing its current color to OFF.




Figure 10: r miscalculated c_r^2 if a robot lies on $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{8} S_r^{opp}]$ moving to its target point on S_r^{opp}




Figure 11: r moves to t_r on $\frac{1}{4} S_r$ because of same number of robot around S_r and S_r^{opp}

- **Case 1.3** ($0 < c_r^1 = c_r^2$): In this case, we aim to make the robots follow the Type II partitioning. r first identifies the shortest side of \mathfrak{R} , denoted as SS_r , which either lies on or intersects the half plane delimited by the line $\overleftrightarrow{r r_1}$ where no other robots of \mathfrak{R} are present. Here r_1 is the neighbour of r on \mathcal{CH}_r whose nearest longest side is S_r^{opp} . Now, it computes a target point t_r on $\frac{1}{4} S_r$ such that $d(t_r, SS_r) = \frac{\text{len}(S_r)}{2c_r^1}$, as shown in Fig. 11. Finally, r moves to t_r from its current position with the current color FINISH.
- **Case 1.4** ($0 = c_r^2 < c_r^1$): This case arises when there is no robot lying on S_r^{opp} and the robot r has moved from S_r to a point on $\frac{1}{8} S_r$, as shown in Fig 12. In this case, we want

the robots to follow the Type I partitioning. So, r first identifies SS_r , which lies on the half plane delimited by L_r where no other robot in \mathfrak{R} resides. It then calculates a target point t_r on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ such that $d(t_r, SS_r) = \frac{\text{len}(S_r)}{2c_r^1}$. It then moves to t_r with the current color FINISH.

If r is activated on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ with its color FINISH and sees one FINISH-colored robot r' on $(\frac{1}{8}S_r, \frac{7}{8}S_r)$, it moves back to S_r with color FINISH by following similar movement strategy as described in Case 1.1. This is because the position of r' (which is in its move phase towards the final point) might mislead to the wrong calculation of c_r^1 and c_r^2 . If r sees a FINISH-colored robot on $[S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r) \cup [S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{8}S_r^{opp})$, it does nothing.




Figure 12: r moves to $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ from its apex point on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ by following the Type I partitioning. The green box shows a partition of the region




Figure 13: r is on S_r with color OFF and utilizes the position of the FINISH colored robots on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ to reach its final position

Case 2 (r lies on S_r): If r gets activated with color FINISH on S_r , it understands that it has moved back to S_r from its apex point in its previous LCM cycle. So, it changes its color to OFF. The positions of the FINISH-colored robots in $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{R})$ help the OFF-colored robots on S_r to decide their final positions inside \mathfrak{R} . If r is a non-terminal robot on S_r , r waits till it becomes terminal on S_r . If r becomes a terminal robot with color OFF and all the robots on $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{R})$ are with color FINISH, we list down the following three sub-cases based on the different positions of the FINISH-colored robots, where r decides to move to a target point t_r .

- Case 2.1: r finds a FINISH-colored robot on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ and some robots on $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp})$
- Case 2.2: r finds a FINISH-colored robot on $\frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}$, but not on $[S_r, \frac{1}{4}S_r]$
- Case 2.3: r finds a FINISH-colored robot on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$.

In the above cases, r first identifies the sides SS_r and SS_r^{opp} . SS_r is the shortest side of \mathfrak{R} for which the intersection point of S_r and SS_r is visible to r . SS_r^{opp} is the opposite side of SS_r . Afterwards, r sets $L = \frac{1}{2}S_r$ when Case 2.3 occurs, as depicted in Fig 13. Otherwise (for Case 2.1 and Case 2.2), it sets $L = \frac{1}{4}S_r$, as shown in Fig. 14. r now considers a set \mathcal{F}_r , that consists of all the FINISH-colored robots lying on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$, $\frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}$, and $\frac{1}{2}S_r$. It now calculates

$d = \min_{r' \in \mathcal{F}_r} \{\min\{d(r', SS_r), d(r', SS_r^{opp})\}\}$. Let r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k be a sequence of robots of maximum length on L starting from the robot r_1 with $d(r_1, SS_r) = d$ till the robot r_k such that two consecutive robots in the sequence are exactly $2d$ distance apart from each other. When no robot is on L , we consider $k = 0$. Finally, r moves to t_r on L such that $d(t_r, SS_r) = (2k + 1)d$ after changing its color to FINISH from OFF. In all other situations, it is possible that a FINISH-colored robot is in its move phase towards its target point or it is on its apex point. In those cases, r maintains the status quo.




Figure 14: r moves to $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ from S_r by taking help of the FINISH-colored robots on $\frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}$

When r gets activated with the color FINISH and finds itself on either $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ or $\frac{1}{2}S_r$, it terminates.

3.2. Analysis of the Algorithm

Here, we analyse the algorithm RECTANGLE_PARTITION. We prove the correctness and the time complexity of this algorithm. We also show that the movement of the robots is free from collision. For our convenience, we refer to the rectangular region \mathfrak{R} as $ABCD$ with two longest sides \overline{AB} and \overline{CD} throughout the analysis of RECTANGLE_PARTITION algorithm.

Lemma 1. *All the robots not lying on one of the two longest sides of \mathfrak{R} , move to one of the longest sides of \mathfrak{R} without any collision.*

Proof. Let \overline{EF} divide the rectangle into two halves, as shown in Fig. 15. The robots lying inside the rectangle $ABFE$ choose the side \overline{AB} as their target and move to some points on it. Similarly, the robots inside the rectangle $CDEF$ move to some point of the side \overline{CD} . The robots on \overline{EF} choose any of the two sides \overline{AB} and \overline{CD} as the target side and move to it. Let us consider a robot r on \overline{EF} that chooses the side \overline{AB} as the target side, but there are robots on the line segment $\overline{rp_r}$ which are nearer to \overline{AB} . In this case, r waits until all these robots reach some point on \overline{AB} . So, eventually, r gets the chance to move to a point on \overline{AB} .

Now we choose another robot r' lying inside $ABFE$, but not on L_r . We show that r and r' do not collide in their way to AB . For both r and r' , if the two points p_r and $p_{r'}$ remain empty, they follow the path $\overline{rp_r}$ and $\overline{r'p_{r'}}$ to move to \overline{AB} . Since, $\overline{rp_r} \parallel \overline{r'p_{r'}}$, r and r' cannot collide in this movement. Let us assume that p_r and $p_{r'}$ are non-empty and r' is the nearest robot in \mathcal{V}_r . So, r calculates a point t_r on \overline{AB} such that $d(p_r, t_r) \leq \frac{1}{4}d(r', L_r) < \frac{1}{2}d(r', L_r)$. Even if r' calculates its target point $t_{r'}$ on the line segment \overline{AB} , we have $d(p_{r'}, t_{r'}) \leq \frac{1}{4}d(r', L_r)$. Thus, $d(t_r, t_{r'}) \geq d(p_r, p_{r'}) - d(p_r, t_r) - d(p_{r'}, t_{r'}) \geq d(r', L_r) - \frac{1}{4}d(r', L_r) - \frac{1}{4}d(r', L_r) > 0$. Moreover, the line L passing through the midpoint of $\overline{t_r t_{r'}}$ separates the two paths $\overline{rt_r}$ and $\overline{r't_{r'}}$, as depicted in Fig 15. So, the two robots r and r' cannot collide. In the case of r and r' lying on the same line, they move sequentially to the side \overline{AB} , because of which they cannot meet a collision. \square




Figure 15: Collision free movement of the robots r and r' , even in simultaneous execution




Figure 16: Monitor robots move to their respective apex points to see all the robots

Lemma 2. *A monitor robot r lying on S_r selects its apex point a_r on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ so that all the robots on \mathfrak{R} are visible to it after its movement.*

Proof. We want to take advantage of the properties of the convex hull. Let us consider a convex hull \mathcal{CH}^G of N points where all the points lie on the boundary of \mathcal{CH}^G . If a point v and its two neighbours are three vertices of \mathcal{CH}^G , then no two points on \mathcal{CH}^G are collinear with v . By the definition of a monitor robot, r must be a terminal robot on S_r . Let us denote the convex hull of all the robots of \mathfrak{R} by \mathcal{CH}^G . Let us further assume that r' , r_1 and r_2 are the other monitor robots in \mathfrak{R} , where r' lies on S_r and both r_1 and r_2 lie on S_r^{opp} , (Fig. 16). Observe that all of r , r' , r_1 and r_2 are vertices of \mathcal{CH}^G (shaded region with red boundary), and r_1 is one of the neighbours of r . After activation, r chooses the point of intersection of the line $\overleftrightarrow{rr_1}$ and $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ as its apex point a_r . Since r follows a path to a_r on the line $\overleftrightarrow{rr_1}$, it remains a vertex of \mathcal{CH}^G after the movement. If the other neighbour (lying on S_r) of r is r'' , then r'' becomes a vertex of the convex hull \mathcal{CH}^G as r has moved to a_r . If the robot r_1 stays on S_r^{opp} , it remains a vertex of \mathcal{CH}^G . In simultaneous movement with r , r_1 also follows a path on $\overleftrightarrow{rr_1}$, leading r_1 to remain a vertex of \mathcal{CH}^G . Similarly, if r' and r_2 move to their respective apex points, they remain as vertices of \mathcal{CH}^G (shaded region with blue boundary). So, no two robots become collinear with r after moving to its apex point.

When all the robots lie on one side S_r (r_1 and r_2 do not exist), a_r is the point of intersection of $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ and L_r . If another monitor robot r' moves simultaneously with r to its apex point $a_{r'}$ on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$, all other robots lie between L_r and $L_{r'}$ and on S_r . Hence, r can see all the robots on S_r after moving to the apex point a_r . \square

Lemma 3. *A robot r moves to its apex point a_r on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ without any collision.*

Proof. When all the robots are positioned on one longest side, say CD of \mathfrak{R} , two monitor robots lie on CD . In this case, if r and r' be the monitor robots, they choose their respective apex points a_r and $a_{r'}$ on $\frac{1}{8}CD$ (as it is the same as $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ and $\frac{1}{8}S_{r'}$) such that $\overline{ra_r} \perp CD$ and $\overline{r'a_{r'}} \perp CD$. This implies $\overline{ra_r} \parallel \overline{r'a_{r'}}$, which means that the two robots cannot collide.

When both the longest sides have some robots, there can be at most four monitor robots. Without loss of generality, we assume that r_1 and r_2 are the two monitor robots on S_r^{opp} along

with r and r' being the monitor robots on S_r . As depicted in Fig 16, r_1 is a neighbour of r on the convex hull \mathcal{CH}^G . r cannot meet a collision with r_1 and r_2 even in case of simultaneous movement because a_r lies on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ whereas a_{r_1} and a_{r_2} lie on $\frac{1}{8}S_r^{opp}$ (which is same as $\frac{7}{8}S_r$). It is also not possible for r to collide with r' , as r and r' follow the paths $\overline{rr_1}$ and $\overline{r'r_2}$ which are two different sides of the convex hull \mathcal{CH}^G , as described in Lemma 2. \square

Remark 1. When neither there is a OFF-colored robot in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$ nor a FINISH-colored robot on $(\frac{1}{8}S_r, \frac{7}{8}S_r)$, a FINISH-colored robot lying on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ accurately calculates c_r^1 and c_r^2 , where c_r^1 is the total number of the robots on S_r and on the band $[S_r, \frac{1}{2}S_r]$ including itself. c_r^2 is the total number of robots on S_r^{opp} and on the band $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}]$.

Lemma 4. *If the longest side AB of \mathfrak{R} contains less number of robots than the other longest side CD , all the robots on AB move to CD without collision.*

Proof. Let r be a robot on AB which means $S_r = AB$ and $CD = S_r^{opp}$. Let us assume that r is a terminal robot on S_r . If there exists a OFF-colored robot r' in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$, either r' is moving towards one of the longest sides from its apex point, or it is still in its initial position. In both situations, r' eventually allocates itself on one of the longest sides of \mathfrak{R} , by Lemma 1. So r eventually becomes a monitor robot. It then moves to its apex point a_r and checks whether there is any FINISH-colored robot r'' in $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{8}S_r^{opp}]$. When r'' finds $c_{r''}^1 > c_{r''}^2$, it moves back to S_r^{opp} . After this, r accurately computes c_r^1 and c_r^2 by Remark 1. By the assumption of this Lemma 4, $c_r^1 < c_r^2$, so it finds p_r^{opp} . r moves to p_r^{opp} , if it is empty. If not, r finds a point t_r on S_r^{opp} such that $d(t_r, p_r^{opp}) = 1/4 \min_{r' \in \mathcal{V}_r} d(r', L_r)$ and moves to the point t_r . By similar arguments presented in Lemma 1, this type of movement is collision-free even if there is another robot simultaneously moving towards S_r^{opp} . If r is not a terminal robot on S_r , it eventually becomes a terminal robot and thus, every robot on AB moves to some point on CD . \square

Remark 2. Eventually, either one of the longest sides contains all of the robots of \mathfrak{R} , or both the longest sides contain an equal number of robots.

Lemma 5. *For a FINISH-colored robot r lying on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ with $0 < c_r^1 = c_r^2$ or $0 = c_r^2 < c_r^1$, when there is no robot on $(\frac{1}{8}S_r, \frac{7}{8}S_r)$, it terminates to a unique partition of \mathfrak{R} and the movement is collision-free.*

Proof. We first prove the lemma when r satisfies $0 < c_r^1 = c_r^2$. In this situation, r first checks whether any OFF-colored robot exists in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$. If such a robot r' exists, it must be in the move phase towards its target position. Since r lies on $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ in the current LCM cycle, it must have been qualified for the monitor robot when it was situated on S_r and did not find OFF-colored robots in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$. Thus, in the current LCM cycle of r , the robot r' must be in the compute or move phase,

aiming towards one of the longest sides of \mathfrak{R} from its apex point $a_{r'}$. Therefore, r eventually finds no OFF-colored robots in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$. After this, according to our strategy, one of the shortest sides is selected as SS_r . There can be another robot r'' exists that satisfies all the conditions similar to those of r . Then, if it is activated simultaneously with r , it also chooses $SS_{r''}$. Let's consider r_1 as the neighbour of r on the convex hull \mathcal{CH}_r . If the shortest side AD lies within or intersects the half-plane defined by the line $\overleftrightarrow{r_1}$, where no other robot of \mathfrak{R} resides, then r assigns $SS_r = AD$, and r'' assigns $SS_{r''} = BC$. Otherwise, $SS_r = BC$ and $SS_{r''} = AD$. Thus, the selection of SS_r and $SS_{r''}$ are different. Then, r chooses its destination point on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ at $\frac{len(S_r)}{2c_r^1}$ distance away from SS_r . Similarly r'' chooses its destination point on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ (as it is same as $\frac{1}{4}S_{r''}$) at $\frac{len(S_r)}{2c_r^1}$ distance away from $SS_{r''}$. These two destination points are $len(S_r) - \frac{len(S_r)}{c_r^1}$ distance apart from each other, and the movement is free from any collision. So r and r'' both move to separate partitions, each with an area $\frac{len(SS_r)}{2} \times \frac{len(S_r)}{c_r^1} = \frac{len(AC) \cdot len(AB)}{2c_r^1}$.

We now prove the lemma when $0 = c_r^2 < c_r^1$. Similar to the previous arguments, r must eventually see no OFF-colored robot on $Int(\mathfrak{R})$. If another robot r'' satisfies conditions similar to those of r , they find SS_r and $SS_{r''}$ individually. According to our algorithm, r chooses its destination point on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ at $\frac{len(S_r)}{2c_r^1}$ distance away from SS_r and r'' chooses its destination point on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ at $\frac{len(S_r)}{2c_r^1}$ distance away from $SS_{r''}$. By a similar argument, it can be proved that r and r'' reach different partitions, each with the area $len(SS_r) \times \frac{len(S_r)}{c_r^1}$.

Now, we prove that the movement of r is free from the collision. Without loss of generality, we assume that r'' lies on the right half plane delimited by L_r . Then SS_r must lie on the left half plane delimited on L_r . Since $d(t_r, SS_r) < d(t_{r''}, SS_r)$ for $t_r, t_{r''}$ lying on $L = \frac{1}{2}S_r$ (or $\frac{1}{4}S_r$), $t_{r''}$ lies on the right of t_r on L . If t_{mid} and r_{mid} are the midpoints of the line segments $\overline{t_r t_{r''}}$ and $\overline{r r''}$, respectively, the line $\overleftrightarrow{t_{mid} r_{mid}}$ separates the two paths $\overline{r t_r}$ and $\overline{r'' t_{r''}}$. Hence, the movement of r is free from the collision even if r'' simultaneously moves with r . \square

Lemma 6. *A OFF-colored robot r , which lies on S_r and satisfies one of the following conditions, terminates to a unique partition of \mathfrak{R} , and the movement is collision-free.*

(i) *r finds a FINISH-colored robot on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ and some robots on $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}]$.*

(ii) *r finds a FINISH-colored robot on $\frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}$, but not on $[S_r, \frac{1}{4}S_r]$.*

(iii) *r finds a FINISH-colored robot on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$.*

Proof. If r satisfies (i), it first identifies the side SS_r , as described in Case 2, and r follows the Type II partitioning. Another robot r' on S_r might exist that satisfies conditions similar to those of r . It also chooses $SS_{r'}$ if it is simultaneously activated with r . If the point of intersection of S_r and AD is visible to r , it selects AD as SS_r and r' selects BC as $SS_{r'}$. Otherwise, $SS_r = BC$ and $SS_{r'} = AD$. Thus SS_r and $SS_{r'}$ are different. r sets $L = \frac{1}{4}S_r$ and computes the number k , d , its target point t_r on L such that $d(t_r, SS_r) = (2k + 1)d$, as explained in Case 2. Similarly,

r' can find its target point $t_{r'}$ on L such that $d(t_{r'}, SS_{r'}) = (2k' + 1)d$, where k' is the length of the sequence of robots on L considered by the robot r' with respect to $SS_{r'}$. Observe that $d(t_r, t_{r'}) = \text{len}(S_r) - 2(k + k' + 1)d > 0$, as $\text{len}(S_r) \geq 2(k + k')d + 4d$ and $d \neq 0$. Hence, r terminates at a unique partition of \mathfrak{R} . When r satisfies (ii) and (iii), by similar arguments as above, we can prove that it terminates at a unique partition of \mathfrak{R} .

Using analogous reasoning as presented in the proof of collision freeness in Lemma 5, we can demonstrate that the movement of r is also free from collisions. \square

Theorem 7. *Our algorithm RECTANGLE_PARTITION solves the uniform partitioning without collision for the rectangular region in $O(N)$ epochs, where N is the total number of robots in \mathfrak{R} .*

Proof. By Remark 2, eventually, either all the robots lie on one of the longest sides of \mathfrak{R} , or both of the longest sides have an equal number of robots. On both accounts, a robot r must qualify as a monitor robot, which later moves to its apex point and finds either $0 < c_r^1 = c_r^2$ or $0 = c_r^2 < c_r^1$. By Lemma 5, it is ensured that r terminates at a unique partition of \mathfrak{R} (it lies either on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ for Type I partitioning or on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ for Type II partitioning). After termination of r , if there is an OFF-colored robot r' on one of the longest sides, the position of r helps it find its destination point either on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ or on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$. Lemma 6 ensures that r' terminates at a unique partition of \mathfrak{R} .

In the worst case, initially, all robots lie on a line inside \mathfrak{R} . It takes $O(N)$ epochs for all the robots to reach the boundary, as the movement of the robots will be sequential. Monitor robots on the sides of \mathfrak{R} move to their apex point in one epoch. Moreover, if the two longest sides of \mathfrak{R} have different numbers of robots, the robots move from the longest side with fewer robots (say AB) to the other longest side (CD) of \mathfrak{R} . A monitor robot r on AB gets activated and moves to its apex points in one epoch. Since the number of robots on CD is more, r needs to wait till all the robots on $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{8}S_r^{opp}]$ move back to CD , which requires four epochs, as each of the two FINISH-colored robots lying on $\frac{1}{8}CD$ might take one epoch to reach CD and one epoch to change its color to OFF. It might also need to wait for one epoch if there is another robot on $(\frac{1}{8}S_r, \frac{7}{8}S_r)$ moving towards CD . When no such robot exists on $(\frac{1}{8}S_r, \frac{7}{8}S_r)$, r calculates c_r^1 and c_r^2 (by Remark 1) and decides to move to CD which takes one epoch. So, it needs seven epochs for r to move to CD from AB . In the worst case, it is possible that the robots on AB sequentially execute the movement to CD . So, this process takes $O(N)$ epochs to complete. So, all the robots reposition themselves on exactly one longest side or get distributed equally among the two longest sides of \mathfrak{R} takes $O(N)$ epochs. When all the robots are on one longest side CD of \mathfrak{R} , there can be two monitor robots r and r' . They reach their respective apex points in one epoch. In the worst case, r' gets activated first and moves to its final position on $\frac{1}{2}CD$. While r' is executing its movement, r gets activated and finds r' on $(\frac{1}{8}CD, \frac{7}{8}CD)$ (as $S_r = CD$). So, it decides to move back to CD with color FINISH, which needs one epoch. When it reaches CD , it needs another epoch to change

its color to **OFF**. When r is again activated and finds r' on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$, it calculates and moves to its final position on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ in one epoch. Thus, r needs at most four epochs to reach its final point. In the worst case, the other **OFF**-colored robot on CD moves to their final point one by one, requiring $O(N)$ epochs. On the other hand, if the robots are distributed equally on both the longest sides, by similar arguments as above, we can prove that the robots need $O(N)$ epochs to terminate. So, overall, the algorithm requires $O(N)$ epochs to achieve uniform partitioning when the region is rectangular.

Lemma 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6 ensure that the movements of the robots are collision-free. \square

4. Algorithm for a Square Region

The region \mathfrak{R} is considered to be square in this section. Our proposed algorithm `SQUARE_PARTITION` uses 5 colors described in the Table 1 with their specification. The pseudocode of the algorithm is included in the Appendix A.

4.1. Description of the Algorithm

From any initial deployment of the robots inside \mathfrak{R} , our target is to move the interior and corner robots to the boundary. A robot r , after activating with color **OFF**, determines whether it is a corner, boundary or interior robot. In case of r being a corner or an interior robot, it finds a target side S_r and moves to a point on it with maintaining its color **OFF**. Then r waits for other interior robots and the visible corner robots to move to one of the sides of \mathfrak{R} .

If r is a corner robot, it chooses any of the incident sides as S_r . In the case of r being an interior robot on \mathfrak{R} , it selects one of the nearest sides from its current position as S_r . The strategy is the same as the rectangle. Since there is no shortest side in a square, the difference here is when r is a boundary robot in \mathfrak{R} , it does not move. In all other cases, a target point t_r is calculated on S_r , and the robot r moves to it with color **OFF** by following a movement strategy similar to the strategy `MOVE_TO_LONGESTSIDES`.

After this, the outline of the strategy for the square region has similarities with the algorithm `RECTANGLE_PARTITION`. In rectangular regions, we ask the monitor robots to move at a particular distance from the longest sides and make them compare the number of robots near the two longest sides of the region. If the number is not the same, we bring the robots from the side with fewer robots to the side with a larger number of robots. In the case of the square region, we follow a similar strategy, but here, the robots can be situated on any side of \mathfrak{R} . If all the robots are distributed among the two sides S_r and S_r^{opp} , the robot r follows the Type I or the Type II partitioning. For other cases, r follows Type III and Type IV partitioning. We define some new notations and conventions to describe the algorithm for the square regions.

- C is the center of the square \mathfrak{R} .

- The triangle $\Delta Ce_S^1 e_S^2$, denoted by ΔS , is called the *side triangle* of the side S where e_S^1 and e_S^2 are the endpoints of the side S .
- When we say that r lies on ΔS , we mean that r lies either on the side S or strictly within the triangle $\Delta Ce_S^1 e_S^2$, but not on $\overline{Ce_S^1}$ or $\overline{Ce_S^2}$.
- $|S|$ denotes the number of robots lying on the side triangle ΔS of S .
- For the robot r , S_r^L and S_r^R represent the sides of \mathfrak{R} other than S_r and S_r^{opp} .
- S_r is the nearest side of the square \mathfrak{R} for the robot r .
- D_r and D_r^{opp} are the two diagonals of \mathfrak{R} for the robot r .
- Max_r represents a set of all sides with the maximum number of robots lying on their corresponding side triangle.

Max_r helps r to decide which side triangles contain the maximum number of robots. For example, $Max_r = \{S_r, S_r^{opp}\}$ if $|S_r| = |S_r^{opp}| > |S_r^L|, |S_r^R|$. Here, the sides S_r and S_r^{opp} have the maximum number of robots. Our aim is to move all the robots from the sides not in Max_r to the sides in Max_r . When Max_r contains all the sides of \mathfrak{R} , all the four sides have $\frac{N}{4}$ robots.

Now, we redefine the monitor robot for the square region. A robot r on S_r is called a *monitor robot* (refer to Fig. 17) if all the four conditions are satisfied. (i) r is a terminal robot on S_r . (ii) $((S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r) \cap \Delta S_r) \cup ((\frac{1}{8}S_r, \frac{7}{8}S_r) \cap (\frac{1}{8}S_r^L, \frac{7}{8}S_r^L))$ has no robots (shown as the shaded region). (iii) All the robots on $(S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{8}S_r^{opp}] \cup (S_r^L, \frac{1}{8}S_r^L] \cup (S_r^R, \frac{1}{8}S_r^R]$ are with color MONITOR. (iv) There is no visible corner robot.




Figure 17: r qualifies to be a monitor robot

We differentiate the rest of the algorithm into three major cases for a terminal robot r lying on the side S_r .

Case 1 (r is a monitor robot and $Int(\mathfrak{R})$ has no robot with color FINISH or FINISH1 or FINISH2): Computing Max_r is possible when r moves to a point in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$, referred to as *apex point*, to calculate the number of robots on each side. The apex point must be chosen so that r gets to see all the robots in \mathfrak{R} , and it does not obstruct the visibility of any other robot after the movement. Also, we want r to lie within ΔS_r so that it can choose S_r easily. If S_r has no other robot, it does not need to move to an apex point. Rather, it can find out Max_r without moving from S_r and directly follow the movement strategy for the MONITOR-colored robots lying

on $(S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r]$, which is described later in this case. We now discuss the movement strategy of a monitor robot r lying on S_r to its apex points where $|S_r| \geq 2$.

Strategy MONITORROBOT_MOVEMENT_SQUARE: If the robot r does not find any robot on ΔS_r^{opp} nor ΔS_r^L nor ΔS_r^R , it chooses the point of intersection of L_r and $\frac{1}{8}S_r$ as its apex point a_r and moves to it with color **MONITOR**. Otherwise, r finds the neighbour r_{Nbr_1} on the convex hull \mathcal{CH}_r , which does not lie on the side S_r . r also finds the neighbour r_{Nbr_2} on \mathcal{CH}_r other than r_{Nbr_1} which lies on S_r , as shown in Fig 18. It calculates the apex point a_r on the line segment $\overline{r r_{Nbr_1}}$ such that $d(r, a_r) = \frac{1}{2} \min\{d(r, D_r), d(r, D_r^{opp}), d(r, \frac{1}{4}S_r), d(r, r_{Nbr_2})\}$. The point a_r is chosen on $\overline{r r_{Nbr_1}}$ to ensure that r does not




Figure 18: r moves to its apex point a_r considering the convex hull \mathcal{CH}_r

become an obstruction for other monitor robots after its movement. Moreover, it also ensures that r does not cross D_r and D_r^{opp} , allowing r to accurately determine S_r (so that it remains the nearest side to r) after moving to the apex point. We also want r to lie on or below the line segment $\frac{1}{8}S_r$, as our aim is to terminate r either on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$, $\frac{1}{4}S_r$, $\frac{1}{3}S_r$ or $\frac{1}{6}S_r$. Additionally, we do not cross the line $L_{r_{Nbr_2}}$ after the movement to ensure that all other robots on S_r must be on one side of the half-plane delimited by L_r . Finally, r changes its current color to **MONITOR** and moves to a_r .

Next, we explain how a **MONITOR**-colored robot r lying on $(S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r]$, decides its destination based on Max_r . It moves from its apex point either to one of the sides or to the final position.

Movement of a MONITOR-colored Robot r lying on $(S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r]$: If r sees any **OFF**-colored robot in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$, r does not change its color or position. Otherwise, before calculating Max_r , r checks whether there is any visible **FINISH** or **FINISH1** or **FINISH2**-colored robot. These three colors are used when a robot moves to its final position. Such a robot might lead to the miscalculation of Max_r , as it can become an obstruction for r . We explain the rest of the algorithm using a proper example and figures for better comprehension. We consider a square with corners e_P (common corner of S_r and S_r^L), e_Q (common corner of S_r and S_r^R), e_R (common corner of S_r^{opp} and S_r^R) and e_X (common corner of S_r^{opp} and S_r^L), as depicted in Fig. 19. We now identify four sub-cases.

- **Case 1.1 (r sees a FINISH-colored robot):** r waits till all **FINISH**-colored robots reach $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ or $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ or $\frac{3}{4}S_r$. The robot r now moves to its final position on $L = \frac{1}{2}S_r$ or $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ with color **FINISH** by following the same movement strategy as an **OFF**-colored robot, described in Case 2 of **RECTANGLE_PARTITION** algorithm (Section 3). The only difference is the choice of SS_r , which, in this case, belongs to $\{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ (as there is no shortest side in a square).

- **Case 1.2 (r sees a FINISH1-colored robot):** r waits till all FINISH1-colored robots reach $\frac{1}{3}S_r$ or $\frac{1}{3}S$ where $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$. Let c be number of FINISH1-colored robot on $\frac{1}{3}S_r$. Note that $c \leq 1$ in this case. Let us also assume that c' is the number of robots lying on ΔS_r without the color FINISH1. Without loss of generality, let us assume $S = S_r^L$. r first chooses the corner e_R as the vertex of Type III partitioning and then calculates two points A and B on S_r which are $\frac{\text{len}(S_r)}{c+c'}$ distance away from e_P and e_Q , respectively, as shown in Fig. 19. If S_r^{opp} lies on the half plane delimited by L_r where other robots on ΔS_r lie, r chooses the triangle $\mathcal{T} = \Delta A e_P e_R$. Otherwise, it chooses $\mathcal{T} = \Delta B e_Q e_R$. r moves to the centroid of the triangle \mathcal{T} after changing its current color to FINISH1 from FINISH.




Figure 19: The movement of r for Type III partitioning when $r.color = \text{MONITOR}$




Figure 20: The movement of r for Type IV partitioning when $r.color = \text{MONITOR}$

- **Case 1.3 (r sees a FINISH2-colored robot):** r waits till all the FINISH2-colored robots lie on $\frac{1}{6}S$ where $S \in \{S_r, S_r^{opp}, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$. Afterwards, r computes the two points A and B on S_r which are $\frac{\text{len}(S_r)}{|S_r|}$ distance away from e_P and e_Q , respectively as shown in Fig. 20. If e_P and all the other robots on ΔS_r lie on the different half plane delimited by L_r , the triangle \mathcal{T} is chosen that satisfies $\mathcal{T} = \Delta A e_P C$. Otherwise, it chooses $\mathcal{T} = \Delta B e_Q C$. Then r moves to the centroid of the triangle \mathcal{T} after changing its current color to FINISH2 from FINISH.
- **Case 1.4 (r does not see any FINISH or FINISH1 or FINISH2-colored robot):** In this case, r considers Max_r to understand the type of partitioning of the region \mathfrak{R} . We identify ten sub-cases, out of which the first four sub-cases discuss the process of choosing the type of partitioning and the movement of r to the final position in its respective partition.
 - **Case 1.4.1 ($Max_r = \{S_r\}$ and ΔS contains no robot for all $S \in \{S_r^{opp}, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$):** Similar to Case 1.4 in the algorithm RECTANGLE_PARTITION (in Section 3), r moves to the final position on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ with color FINISH by considering SS_r from $\{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$.
 - **Case 1.4.2 ($Max_r = \{S_r, S_r^{opp}\}$ and no robots on ΔS for all $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$):**

Similar to Case 1.3 in the algorithm RECTANGLE_PARTITION (in Section 3), r moves to the final position on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ with color FINISH by considering SS_r from $\{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$.

- **Case 1.4.3** ($Max_r = \{S_r, S\}$ and both ΔS_r^{opp} and ΔS^{opp} contain no robot where $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$): Without loss of generality, let us assume that $S = S_r^L$. Similar to Case 1.2 of SQUARE_PARTITION algorithm, r calculates two points A and B on S_r , as illustrated in Fig 19. It selects the triangle \mathcal{T} and moves to the centroid of \mathcal{T} after changing its color to FINISH1 from MONITOR.
- **Case 1.4.4** ($Max_r = \{S_r, S_r^{opp}, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$): Similar to Case 1.3 of SQUARE_PARTITION algorithm, r finds the triangle \mathcal{T} and moves to the centroid of the triangle \mathcal{T} , as depicted in Fig 20 after changing its current color to FINISH2 from MONITOR.

For the remaining six sub-cases, r chooses a target side before its movement. Our aim is to gather all the robots to one of the four sides of \mathfrak{R} . If, due to symmetry, it is not possible, robots should be gathered on two sides of \mathfrak{R} . When Case 1.4.1, Case 1.4.2, Case 1.4.3 and Case 1.4.4 do not hold, the following cases may occur for different Max_r . The robot r decides a side as its target for its movement based on Max_r .

- **Case 1.4.5** ($Max_r = \{S_r, S_r^{opp}, S\}$ where $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$): Without loss of generality, if $S = S_r^L$, the side S_r^R has the minimum number of robots. We target to move r to the side S_r^L , which is opposite to the side having minimum number of robots. So, r sets the side S as its target side.
- **Case 1.4.6** ($Max_r = \{S\}$ or $\{S, S'\}$, where $S, S' \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ with $S \neq S'$): In this case, either one or two adjacent sides to S_r contain maximum number of robots. So r targets to move on one of them accordingly. The target side is chosen to be the side S .
- **Case 1.4.7** ($Max_r = \{S_r^L, S_r^R, S_r^{opp}\}$ or $\{S_r^{opp}\}$): The target side is the side S_r^{opp} .
- **Case 1.4.8** ($Max_r = \{S, S_r^{opp}\}$, where $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$): The target side for r is S .




Figure 21: r moves back to S_r from its apex point a_r considering the convex hull \mathcal{CH}_r




Figure 22: The movement of r to S_{Tgt} when the half plane delimited by L'_r has no MONITOR-colored robot

- **Case 1.4.9** ($Max_r = \{S_r\}$ or $\{S_r, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$): In this case, r chooses S_r its target side.
- **Case 1.4.10** ($Max_r = \{S, S_r\}$ where $S \in \{S_r^{opp}, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$): S_r is the target side of r .

Let S_{Tgt} be the target side of r and L'_r be the line passing through r and parallel to S_{Tgt} . If $S_{Tgt} = S_r$, then r considers the line $\overleftarrow{r r_{Nbr_1}}$ (ref. Fig 21) where r_{Nbr_1} is the neighbour of r on the convex hull \mathcal{CH}_r not lying on ΔS_r . It moves to the point of intersection t_r of S_r and $\overleftarrow{r r_{Nbr_1}}$ with the current color MONITOR. If r gets activated again with color MONITOR on S_r , it changes its color to OFF with no movement. When $S_{Tgt} \neq S_r$, r waits until there is no MONITOR-colored robot lying on the half plane delimited by L'_r that contains S_{Tgt} , as shown in Fig. 22. r moves with color OFF to the target side S_{Tgt} , by following the similar movement strategy as MOVEMENT_TO_LONGESTSIDE. The above cases can interchangeably occur in different LCM cycles of a robot r .

Case 2 ($Int(\mathfrak{R})$ has FINISH-colored robots): r understands that the partitioning of \mathfrak{R} would be of Type II after seeing a FINISH-colored robot. r figures out its final position by looking at the positions of the robots with color FINISH. r follows the movement strategy similar to Case 2 in RECTANGLE_PARTITION algorithm (Section 3) to move to the final position on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ (for Type I) or on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ (for Type II) with color FINISH.

Case 3 ($Int(\mathfrak{R})$ has FINISH1-colored robots): r understands the partitioning would be of Type III after seeing FINISH1-colored robots. r figures out its final position by looking at the positions of the robots with color FINISH1.

- $\frac{1}{3}S_r^L$ has FINISH1-colored robot: r chooses the side $S = S_r^L$.
- $\frac{1}{3}S_r^R$ has a FINISH1-colored robot: r chooses S_r^R as S .
- A robot lying on the side triangle of ΔS_r^L : r chooses the side S_r^L as S .
- A robot lying on the side triangle of ΔS_r^R : The side S_r^R is chosen as S .

The robot r waits till all the FINISH1-colored robots lie either on $\frac{1}{3}S_r$ or on $\frac{1}{3}S$. Without loss of generality, we assume $S = S_r^L$. At this point, r understands that the partitioning is of Type III. It chooses the point of intersection of S_r^{opp} and S^{opp} (i.e., the corner e_R in this scenario) as the vertex of Type III partitioning. D_r is the diagonal of the region \mathfrak{R} passing through the vertex of Type III partitioning and D_r^{opp} is the other diagonal of \mathfrak{R} . Now it computes the length of the base bl of each triangular partition depending on the positions of the FINISH1-colored robots, as shown in Fig. 23. So, there could be two sub-cases.

- **Case 3.1** (There is a FINISH1-colored robot on $\frac{1}{3}S_r$): Let r' be a terminal robot on $\frac{1}{3}S_r$ with color FINISH1. Let bl_1 be the length of the base of the triangle whose one side is D_r and the centroid is r' . bl_2 is the length of the base of the triangle whose one side is S^{opp} and the centroid is at r' . So, r computes bl such that $bl = \min\{bl_1, bl_2\}$.

such that two consecutive robots are $2bl/3$ distance apart from each other. Finally, r changes its color to FINISH2 and moves to the point t_r such that $t_r = \text{Centroid}(\Delta C e_Y e_Z)$, where e_Y and e_Z are the points on S_r satisfying $d(e_P, e_Y) = c \cdot bl$ and $d(e_P, e_Z) = (c + 1) \cdot bl$. Otherwise, if the line segment $\overline{C e_Q}$ lies on the other half plane delimited by the line \overleftrightarrow{rC} , where other robots on S_r reside, r finds the number of FINISH2-colored robots c on $\frac{1}{6}S_r$ starting from the robot $bl/3$ distance away from u_2 towards u_1 such that two consecutive robots are $2bl/3$ distance apart from each other. Finally, r changes its color to FINISH2 and moves to the point t_r such that $t_r = \text{Centroid}(\Delta C e_Z e_Y)$, where e_Z and e_Y are the points on S_r satisfying $d(e_Q, e_Z) = c \cdot bl$ and $d(e_Q, e_Y) = (c + 1) \cdot bl$.

4.2. Analysis of the Algorithm

In this subsection, we analyse the algorithm SQUARE_PARTITION. The movement of the robots is free from collision. The following lemmas and theorems provide the correctness and time complexity of the algorithm.

Lemma 8. *All interior and corner robots move to the boundary without collision.*

Proof. The proof of this lemma follows from Lemma 1. □

Lemma 9. *A monitor robot r lying on S_r selects its apex point a_r on $(S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r] \cap \Delta S_r$ so that all the robots on \mathfrak{R} are visible to it after its movement and moves to it without collision.*

Proof. When r qualifies as a monitor robot on S_r , it finds the apex point a_r such that $d(r, a_r) < d(r, D_r)$ and $d(r, a_r) < d(r, D_r^{opp})$. So, a_r cannot lie on D_r or D_r^{opp} or outside ΔS_r . Also, $d(r, a_r) \leq d(r, \frac{1}{8}S_r)$. Thus a_r must be on $(S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r]$. Hence a_r lies on $(S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r] \cap \Delta S_r$. The point a_r is chosen on the line segment rr_{Nbr_1} where r_{Nbr_1} is the neighbour of r on \mathcal{CH}_r which does not lie on S_r . By the similar argument as presented in Lemma 2, we can conclude that r remains a vertex of \mathcal{CH}^G (which is the convex hull of all the robots in \mathfrak{R}) after its movement which enables it to see all the robots in \mathfrak{R} after reaching to its apex point.

Observe that even if r and r_{Nbr_1} move simultaneously on $\overline{rr_{Nbr_1}}$, they do not collide as their respective apex points are separated by either D_r or D_r^{opp} . Additionally, another monitor robot lying on a different side triangle other than ΔS_r cannot collide with r as its movement to its apex point is restricted within its respective side triangle. If r' is another monitor robot on S_r moving simultaneously with r , they move to their respective apex points by following two different sides of the convex hull \mathcal{CH}^G similar to Lemma 3, resulting to no collision. □

Remark 3. When r finds itself on $(S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r]$ with color MONITOR and does not see any OFF or FINISH or FINISH1 or FINISH2 robot in $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{R})$, it accurately calculates Max_r .

Remark 4. Let r be the first robot to set its color to FINISH. Then, all the robots are positioned on one side of \mathfrak{R} or the two opposite sides of \mathfrak{R} before the movement of r with color FINISH, according to our strategy described in Case 1.4.1 and 1.4.2.

Remark 5. Let r be the first robot to set its color to FINISH1. Then, all the robots are positioned on the two adjacent sides of \mathfrak{R} before the movement of r with color FINISH1, according to our strategy described in Case 1.4.3.

Remark 6. Let r be the first robot to set its color to FINISH2. Then, all the robots are distributed equally on the four sides of \mathfrak{R} before the movement of r with color FINISH2, according to our strategy described in Case 1.4.4.

Lemma 10. *All robots form either a one-side configuration (where all robots gather on one side), or a two-side configuration (where robots gather on either two adjacent or two opposite sides), or a four-side configuration (where robots are equally distributed on four sides).*

Proof. After reaching all the robots on the boundary, a robot r will move to the apex point to calculate Max_r . r first checks whether there is any OFF-colored robot in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$. If yes, it means that the OFF-colored robot is in its move phase towards one of the sides from its apex point. So r eventually finds no robot with color OFF in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$. We assume that there is neither a FINISH, nor FINISH1 nor a FINISH2 robot in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$. If such a robot exists, then the lemma holds from Remarks 4, 5 and 6. If Max_r has only one element (side), r moves towards the side with maximum robots (follows from Case 1.4.6 and Case 1.4.9), which leads to gathering all robots on one side. If Max_r contains two sides, then r moves to one of those two sides in Max_r (follows from Case 1.4.6, 1.4.8, and 1.4.10), leading all the robots lying on two sides of \mathfrak{R} . If Max_r has three sides, our target is to move r to the side opposite to the side having minimum robots (follows from Case 1.4.5, 1.4.7, and 1.4.9) and eventually Max_r contains exactly one side, leading to the gathering of all robots on one side. Otherwise, Max_r contains all the sides. \square

Remark 7. A MONITOR-colored robot r with no robot with color OFF, or FINISH, or FINISH1, or FINISH2 eventually satisfies one of the following conditions.

- (i) $Max_r = \{S_r\}$ and ΔS contains no robot where $S \in \{S_r^{opp}, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ (Case 1.4.1, Section 4)
- (ii) $Max_r = \{S_r, S_r^{opp}\}$ and no robots on ΔS for $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ (Case 1.4.2, Section 4)
- (iii) $Max_r = \{S_r, S\}$ and both ΔS_r^{opp} and ΔS^{opp} contain no robot where $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ (Case 1.4.3, Section 4)
- (iv) $Max_r = \{S_r, S_r^{opp}, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ (Case 1.4.4, Section 4).

Lemma 11. *The robot r moving from the apex point to one of the sides of \mathfrak{R} does not collide while moving.*

Proof. Let S_{Tgt} be the target of r , calculated based on Max_r . If $S_{Tgt} = S_r$, r considers $\overleftarrow{r_{Nbr_1}}$ to move back to S_r where r_{Nbr_1} is the neighbour of r on \mathcal{CH}_r , not lying on ΔS_r . By the similar argument presented in Lemma 9, we can argue that the movement of the robot r is free from collision. When $S_{Tgt} \neq S_r$, the movement of r is similar to the strategy MOVEMENT_TO_LONGESTSIDE which is collision-free by Lemma 1. \square

Lemma 12. *A MONITOR-colored robot r on $(S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r]$ satisfying one of the conditions in Remark 7 terminates to a unique partition of \mathfrak{R} and the movement is collision-free.*

Proof. If r satisfies (i), it follows Type I partitioning, whereas if r satisfies (ii), it follows Type II partitioning. The movement strategy for both cases is similar to the algorithm RECTANGULAR_PARTITION (in Section 3). So, the statement of the above lemma holds from the Lemma 5. If r satisfies (iii), it follows Type III partitioning. It first calculates two points A and B on S_r , which are $\frac{len(S_r)}{c'}$ distance apart from e_P and e_Q respectively, where c' is the number of robots on ΔS_r including itself and e_P and e_Q are the common endpoints of S_r , S and S_r , S^{opp} , respectively. It then chooses two triangles $\mathcal{T}_1 = \Delta Ae_Pe_R$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 = \Delta Be_Qe_R$ where e_R is the vertex of the Type III partitioning. If another robot r' satisfies the conditions similar to those of r , it also chooses the above-mentioned two triangles. The choice of the apex point for r (as described in the strategy MONITORROBOT_MOVEMENT_SQUARE ensures that S^{opp} must lie on either the same or different half-planes delimited by L_r where other robots of ΔS_r lie. If S^{opp} lies on the half plane delimited by L_r where other robots on ΔS_r lie, r and r' choose the centroid of \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 as their final positions, respectively. Otherwise, r and r' choose the centroid of \mathcal{T}_2 and \mathcal{T}_1 as their final positions, respectively. So, r and r' select different triangles for their termination and their two final positions are $\frac{2}{3}len(S_r) - \frac{2len(S_r)}{3c'}$ distance apart from each other, and the movement is free from any collision. Thus, r and r' both move to distinct partitions, each having e_R as the vertex and with an area of $\frac{(len(S_r))^2}{2c'}$. If r satisfies (iv), it follows Type IV partitioning. It calculates A and B on S_r and chooses the centroid of one of the triangles ΔAe_PC and Be_QC as its final point. Based on similar arguments presented above, r moves to a unique partition without collision. \square

Lemma 13. *A MONITOR-colored robot r on $(S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r]$ seeing either a FINISH or FINISH1 or FINISH2-colored robot, terminates to a unique partition of \mathfrak{R} and the movement is collision-free.*

Proof. If r sees a FINISH-colored robot r'' in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$, it waits till r'' reach either on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ or on $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ or on $\frac{3}{4}S_r$. Since r'' is in its move phase to its final position, r eventually finds all the FINISH-colored robots lying on $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ or $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ or $\frac{3}{4}S_r$. Then it moves to its final position in a partition by following a similar strategy as an OFF-colored robot, described in Case 2 of the algorithm RECTANGLE_PARTITION (in Section 3). In this case, the statement of the above lemma follows from the similar arguments in Lemma 6.

If r sees a FINISH1-colored robot in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$, it waits for all FINISH1-colored robots to reach on either $\frac{1}{3}S_r$ or $\frac{1}{3}S$ where $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ and eventually sees all those robots reach their final positions. Since the FINISH1-colored robot on $\frac{1}{3}S_r$ may reach to another side triangle than ΔS_r while executing its movement to the final position, we separately count c' (which is the number of the robot on ΔS_r without color FINISH1) and c (which is the number of FINISH1-colored robots on $\frac{1}{3}S_r$). Similar to Lemma 12, r selects A, B which are $\frac{len(S_r)}{c+c'}$ distance apart from e_P and e_Q , respectively and the centroid of one of the two triangles ΔAe_Pe_R and ΔBe_Qe_R as its final position. By Lemma 12, this final position of r lies in a unique partition of \mathfrak{R} and the movement is collision-free. Similarly, we can prove that if r sees a FINISH2-colored robot, it terminates to a unique partition without collision. \square

Lemma 14. *An OFF-colored robot r on S_r terminates to a unique partition of \mathfrak{R} , and the movement is collision-free.*

Proof. By Remark 7, there exists a terminal robot r'' on one of the sides, which moves to its apex point on $(S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r]$ with color MONITOR and satisfies one of the four conditions as described in the remark. By Lemma 12 and 13, r'' reaches to a unique partition of \mathfrak{R} with color either FINISH or FINISH1 or FINISH2. Let us assume that r is a terminal robot of S_r . If $r''.color = \text{FINISH}$, r follows a similar strategy as presented in Case 2 of RECTANGLE_PARTITION. By Lemma 6, r terminates to a unique partition without collision.

Let $r''.color = \text{FINISH1}$. So, r understands that it needs to follow Type III partitioning. In this case, r must have seen some robots on ΔS ($S \neq S_r$) and calculates the distance bl , the two points e_Y and e_Z and the number c , as described in Case 3 (Section 4). It then selects two points e_Y and e_Z and moves to the centroid of the triangle $\Delta e_Y e_R e_Z$. If r' is another terminal robot on S_r , which simultaneously gets active with r , then it selects the centroid of the different triangle than that of r , as described in Case 3 (in Section 4). The choice of the triangles ensures that the two robot r and r' terminate at t_r and $t_{r'}$. Let u_1 be the point of intersection of $\frac{1}{3}S_r$ and D_r and u_2 be the point of intersection of $\frac{1}{3}S_r$ and S^{opp} . If we consider that k (and k') is the number of FINISH1-colored robots on $\frac{1}{3}S_r$ starting from the robot $\frac{bl}{3}$ distance apart from u_1 (u_2) towards u_2 (u_1) such that two consecutive robots are $\frac{2bl}{3}$ distance apart from each other, where $d(t_r, t_{r'}) = \frac{2}{3}len(S_r) - \frac{2}{3}(k+k'+1)bl > 0$ as $len(S_r) \geq (k+k')bl + 2bl$. Hence, r and r' terminate at distinct partitions of the region \mathfrak{R} . The movement of the two robots is free from collision, by the similar arguments presented in Lemma 5.

For $r''.color = \text{FINISH2}$, we can similarly prove that r terminates at a unique partition. \square

Theorem 15. *Algorithm SQUARE_PARTITION solves uniform partitioning for the square region in $O(N)$ epochs without collision.*

Proof. By Lemma 8, starting from any initial configuration, all the interior and corner robots become boundary robots in \mathfrak{R} . After this, all the robots eventually form either a one-side, two-side, or four-side configuration by Lemma 10. Thereafter, monitor robots terminate to distinct partitions of \mathfrak{R} by Lemma 12, 13 and all the other robots terminate to distinct partitions by Lemma 6. Hence, SQUARE_PARTITION solves uniform partitioning for the square region.

In the worst case, all robots may lie on a straight line on $Int(\mathfrak{R})$, in which it takes $O(N)$ epochs for all robots to reach the boundary. After moving to the boundary, it takes one epoch for monitor robots to move to apex points. In the worst case, there may be 8 MONITOR-colored robots in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$, each lying on a different line parallel to S_r and r is the farthest robot from S_r^{opp} . If $Max_r = \{S_r^{opp}\}$, r needs to wait for seven epochs to reach S_r^{opp} because the other seven robots move to S_r^{opp} one by one. Then r needs one more epoch to reach S_r^{opp} . Thus, r takes nine ($O(1)$) epochs so that the whole configuration of the robots becomes a one-side configuration. Hence, reaching a one-side configuration takes $O(N)$ epoch. Similarly, we can prove that if Max_r contains more than one element, we can reach a two-side or a four-side configuration. After this, if r is again a monitor robot, it needs one epoch to reach its apex point, waits for another seven epochs for other seven MONITOR-colored robots to reach their respective final positions, and needs one more epoch to reach its final position in a partition. Thus, r terminates in nine epochs. After seeing r at its final position, all the other OFF-colored robots on the sides position themselves at their respective final positions one by one in $O(N)$ epochs, as each of them needs $O(1)$ epoch to reach their final position. Hence, SQUARE_PARTITION solves the uniform partitioning problem in $O(N)$ epochs.

The algorithm is free from collision by Lemma 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, and 14. □

5. Algorithm for a Circular Region

This section considers the region \mathfrak{R} as a circle of radius rad . We follow the Type V partitioning for circular regions. Our target in this process is to form a regular N -gon inscribed in the region using the robots. In this section, we discuss two techniques to achieve the target.

We can use an algorithm for the widely popular *Uniform Circle Formation* (UCF) problem, presented by Feletti et al [5]. They proposed a $O(\log(N))$ algorithm for the ASYNC setting. The algorithm relies on the mutual visibility algorithm, proposed by Sharma et al [15], where the robots first position themselves on the vertices of a convex hull. Taking advantage of the mutual visibility, robots then place themselves on the smallest enclosing circle, which later gets translated to a uniform circle configuration using a subroutine, named as **Uniform Transformation** that runs in $O(\log(N))$ epochs. The mutual visibility algorithm in [15] requires 47 colors to achieve the goal in $O(1)$ epochs. Regarding the uniform partitioning problem in a circular region, we can achieve the same goal of repositioning the robots on the boundary of \mathfrak{R} using no colors in $O(1)$

epochs. From the application-oriented point of view, we can take advantage of the bounded region where the robots can detect the boundary of the region. Robots can be placed on the boundary using the movement strategy `MOVE_TO_BOUNDARY` (which is described later in this section), maintaining the initial color `OFF` throughout the strategy. The detailed steps of the technique `Uniform Transformation` are not repeated here to keep the section concise. We include the pseudocode of the algorithm in Appendix A.

5.1. Description of the Algorithm

Initially, all robots are with color `OFF`. Before going into the details of the algorithm, we define the following notations that we will use in the future to comprehend the algorithm better.

Notations: For a robot r ,

- O is the center of \mathfrak{R} . p_r and p_r^{opp} are the two diametrically opposite points of intersection of the line \overleftrightarrow{rO} and the boundary of \mathfrak{R} , out of which p_r is the nearest to r .
- \widehat{AB} represents the segment of the circumference of \mathfrak{R} , starting from the point A to B such that the segment has no robot except on the endpoints. In the case of \widehat{AB} having no robots in both clockwise and counter-clockwise directions, \widehat{AB} is chosen to be the arc with the shortest arc length.
- $alen(AB)$ is the arc length of \widehat{AB} .

Strategy `MOVE_TO_BOUNDARY`: If r is a boundary robot and there is at least one `OFF`-colored interior robot visible to it, r does not move or change its current color. If r is an interior robot and lies on the center O , it waits till all other interior robots with color `OFF` reach the boundary of \mathfrak{R} . When there are no other interior robots left, r does not change its color and moves to any target point t_r on the boundary that does not contain a robot. Otherwise (r is an interior robot not lying on O), r considers the point p_r and checks whether the point p_r is visible or not. If p_r is visible and no robot lies on it, r simply moves to p_r with current color `OFF`. On the other hand, r computes the set \mathcal{V}_r , that consists of all visible robots to r not lying on the line \overleftrightarrow{rO} . We identify two sub-cases.

- **\mathcal{V}_r is non-empty:** r calculates $d_r = \frac{1}{4} \min\{alen(p_r p_{r'}) \mid r' \in \mathcal{V}_r \text{ and } \widehat{p_r p_{r'}} \text{ is defined}\}$, as shown in Fig. 25.




Figure 25: The target point t_r of r depends on the distance of r from the point p_r

- \mathcal{V}_r is empty: It happens when all the robots lie on one line passing through O . Here, r calculates $d_r = \frac{1}{4}alen(p_r p_r^{opp})$.

Finally, r finds the target point t_r such that $alen(t_r p_r) = \frac{d_r}{rad}d(r, p_r)$ and moves to t_r with the current color OFF, as illustrated in Fig 25.

Observe that the above strategy does not require any change in color to displace the robots on the boundary from the interior of \mathfrak{R} . From now on, we can use the **Uniform Transformation** sub-problem proposed in [5] to achieve a configuration of the robots such that $alen(r_i r_j) = \frac{2\pi \cdot rad}{N}$ for any two neighbouring robots r_i and r_j in $O(\log(N))$ epochs under ASYNC setting. The above technique uses more than 17 colours to achieve a uniform circular configuration.

Although the number of colors required in **Uniform Transformation** is a constant, if we turn our focus on reducing the number of colors, we can propose another technique to achieve the same goal of repositioning the robots in such a way that the neighbouring robots are $\frac{2\pi \cdot rad}{N}$ distance apart from each other. Observe that when all robots are on the boundary of \mathfrak{R} , they all can see each other, as no three robots are collinear. We will turn this to our advantage. We now define a *cluster* that will be useful for the rest of the algorithm.

Definition 3. (Cluster) A sequence of robots $Cl = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\}$ ($k \geq 1$) lying on the boundary of \mathfrak{R} , is called a cluster if the following conditions hold. (i) r_i and r_{i+1} are consecutive robots on the boundary. (ii) $alen(r_i r_{i+1}) = \frac{2\pi \cdot rad}{N}$. (iii) $alen(r_1 r_1^{Nbr}), alen(r_k r_k^{Nbr}) \neq \frac{2\pi \cdot rad}{N}$, where r_1^{Nbr} and r_k^{Nbr} are the neighbors of r_1 and r_k respectively such that $r_1^{Nbr}, r_k^{Nbr} \notin Cl$.

For convenience, we denote $(2\pi \cdot rad)/N$ by s . Any robot can detect its own cluster, as it is able to see all the robots on the boundary. A robot r is called the *head* of a cluster Cl , if $alen(rr^{Nbr_1}) = s$ and $alen(rr^{Nbr_2}) > s$ for two neighbours r^{Nbr_1}, r^{Nbr_2} of r such that $r^{Nbr_1} \in Cl$ and $r^{Nbr_2} \notin Cl$. The robot r is called *tail* of the cluster Cl , when $alen(rr^{Nbr_1}) = s$ for $r^{Nbr_1} \in Cl$ and $alen(rr^{Nbr_2}) < s$ for $r^{Nbr_2} \notin Cl$. It is possible that the head or tail of a cluster does not exist. Moreover, a cluster can be made of a single robot r . In such cases, if $alen(rr^{Nbr_1}) > s$ and $alen(rr^{Nbr_2}) < s$, r itself is called the head and tail of the cluster. An example is given in Fig. 26. Note that a cluster having no head and tail contains all the robots in \mathfrak{R} .




Figure 26: Illustrating eligible clusters with head and tail

Definition 4. (Eligible Cluster) A cluster is eligible for movement if it satisfies the following two conditions. (i) The cluster has both head and tail robots. (ii) All the robots in that cluster are with color OFF.




Figure 27: Movement of the heads of the eligible clusters

Figure 28: Movements of the robots with color MID & TAIL

Figure 29: Two clusters are merged with each other

In other words, clusters that only have heads or tails are not eligible for movement. In an eligible cluster, the head initiates the movement along the perimeter of \mathfrak{R} . By movement of a cluster $Cl = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\}$, we mean that all the robots in Cl move in the direction of the head sequentially. Note that the robots do not have identifiers. We use r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k for better comprehension. The movement of a cluster is explained below.

Movement of an Cluster Cl : Let us consider that r_1 is the head, r_k is the tail of Cl and r'_1 is neighbor of r_1 , not in Cl . Let Cl' be the cluster of r'_1 , as shown in Fig. 27. When r_1 gets activated with color OFF with all other robots in Cl having color OFF, and finds Cl as an eligible cluster, it changes its color to HEAD and waits till all robots in its cluster change their color either to MID or TAIL. When r_k finds r_1 in its own cluster Cl with color HEAD and determines that all the other robots in Cl lies between r_1 and r_k on the boundary of \mathfrak{R} , it sets its color to TAIL. All other robots in Cl change their color to MID after seeing r_1 with the color HEAD. After this, r_1 determines the eligibility of Cl' . If any robot lying on the boundary sees another interior robot with color other than OFF, it waits as the robots are in their move phase toward their target points through the interior of \mathfrak{R} . Otherwise, we have two cases.

Case 1 (Cl' is eligible and $r'_1.color = OFF$): In this case, our strategy is to move each head of Cl and Cl' a distance $\frac{1}{2}(alen(r_1 r'_1) - s)$ towards each other so that the distance between them becomes s after movement. All other robots of the respective clusters sequentially move in the direction of the head's movement. The process is as follows and illustrated in Fig. 27. The head r_1 changes its color to MOVE-H and moves towards r'_1 to a point t_{r_1} such that $alen(t_{r_1} r_1) = \frac{1}{2}(alen(r_1 r'_1) - s)$. After getting activated with color MOVE-H, it changes its color to HALF. It waits till the tail of the cluster (if it exists) r_k sets its color to OFF. At this moment, r_k and all MID-colored robots do not change their position or color. After seeing r_1 on the boundary of \mathfrak{R} with the color HALF and $alen(r_1, r_2) > s$, r_2 starts moving towards r_1 without changing its current color to a point t_{r_2} on the boundary such that $alen(t_{r_2} r_2) = alen(r_1 r_2) - s$. Other robots in Cl except r_2 remain in

place at this time. Similarly, for any robot r_i ($3 \leq i \leq k$), if r_{i-1} lies on the boundary of \mathfrak{R} with $alen(r_{i-1}r_i) > s$ and $alen(r_{i-1}r_{i-2}) = s$, r_i moves to t_{r_i} towards r_{i-1} with its current color such that $alen(t_{r_i}r_i) = alen(r_{i-1}r_i) - s$, as shown in Fig. 28. After the movement, r_k finds the cluster Cl with its head r_1 having color **HALF**. It changes its color to **OFF**. The **MID**-colored robots in Cl change their color to **OFF** after seeing the tail with color **OFF**. The head r_1 changes its current color to **OFF** from **HALF** as illustrated Fig. 29, when both of its neighbours are s arc-length away from it and all the robots in its cluster are with color **OFF**.




Figure 30: Cl is an eligible cluster and Cl' is the non-eligible cluster




Figure 31: The two clusters got merged after the movement of Cl

Case 2 (Cl' is eligible and $r'_1.color = \text{HALF}$) or (Cl' is non-eligible): Here, we move the head r_1 with color **FULL** towards r'_1 to a point on the boundary t_{r_1} such that $alen(t_{r_1}r_1) = (alen(r_1r'_1) - s)$, as shown in Fig. 30. The rest of the strategy is the same as the previous case (Case 1) for other robots in the cluster. Finally, Cl gets merged with Cl' , as shown in Fig. 31. When r_1 is with color **FULL** and finds all the robots in Cl with color **OFF**, it sets its color to **OFF**.

When any robot r finds itself with color **OFF** and all robots are in one cluster, i.e., every two consecutive robots on the boundary are at s distance apart from each other, it moves to the point t_r on the line segment \overline{rO} such that $d(r, t_r) = \frac{1}{2}d(r, O)$ with color **FINISH**. Even if a boundary robot r sees a **FINISH**-colored robot in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$, it follows the same strategy. In all other cases, r maintains status-quo.

5.2. Analysis of the Algorithm

In this subsection, we discuss the correctness and the time complexity of the above-mentioned algorithm for circular regions. We also prove that the robots do not meet collision during any movement.

Lemma 16. *Any interior robot in \mathfrak{R} with color **OFF** moves to its boundary without collision.*

Proof. Let us consider two robots, r and r' , lying in $Int(\mathfrak{R})$. We can identify two cases here.

Case 1 (r' lies on $\overleftrightarrow{r\hat{O}}$): In this case, we investigate two sub-cases. When $p_r = p_{r'}$, it signifies that r and r' lie on $\overline{Op_r}$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that r is farther from p_r than r' . So, $d(p_r, r') < d(p_r, r)$. We further assume that p_r has a robot on it. If both of them get activated simultaneously, they find each other in the same radius. Both of them calculate d_r and $d_{r'}$ respectively, such that $d_r = d_{r'}$. If they choose t_r and $t_{r'}$ as their respective target points on the boundary, where $alen(t_r p_r) = \frac{d_r}{rad} d(r, p_r) > \frac{d_{r'}}{rad} d(r', p_{r'}) = alen(t_{r'} p_{r'}) = alen(t_{r'}, p_r)$. We also have $d(r, p_r) > d(r', p_r)$. Both of the above inequalities imply that the line passing through r_{mid} (the midpoint of $\overline{rr'}$) and $t_{r_{mid}}$ (the midpoint of $\widehat{t_r t_{r'}}$) separates the paths of r and r' towards their respective target points. In case of $p_r \neq p_{r'}$, if we assume that \mathcal{V}_r is non-empty with $r'' \in \mathcal{V}_r$, then the line $\overleftrightarrow{r''\hat{O}}$ separates the two target points t_r and $t_{r''}$. If \mathcal{V}_r is empty, the line $\overleftrightarrow{p_{r_{mid}}\hat{O}}$ separates $\overline{rt_r}$ and $\overline{r't_{r'}}$ where $p_{r_{mid}}$ is the midpoint of $\widehat{p_r p_{r'}}$. So, the movement paths of the two robots r and r' do not cross each other. Hence, the movements of r and r' are free from collision.

Case 2 (r' does not lie on $\overleftrightarrow{r\hat{O}}$): In this case, $p_r \neq p_{r'}$. By the similar argument presented above, the movements of the robots are free from collision. \square

Lemma 17. *There always exists an eligible cluster or all the robots are in the same cluster.*

Proof. If all the robots are in the same cluster, the statement of the lemma follows trivially. Let us assume that there are at least two clusters. If all the clusters are non-eligible, then all the arc-length between two consecutive clusters is always either greater or lesser than s , which is a contradiction to the fact that the sum of the distances between two consecutive robots on the boundary is $2\pi \cdot rad = s \cdot N$. \square

Lemma 18. *After all the robots in a cluster complete their movement, they remain as a cluster.*

Proof. For an eligible cluster $Cl = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\}$, the head r_1 executes its movement towards another cluster. After that, $alen(r_1 r_2) > s$, since r_2 is a member of Cl . When r_1 reaches its final position and changes its color to either HALF or FULL, r_2 moves to a point t_{r_2} towards r_1 such that $alen(r_1 t_{r_2}) = s$. All other robots in Cl sequentially execute the same process which leads to the completion of one movement of the whole cluster. Hence the statement follows. \square

Lemma 19. *Let k ($< N$) be the length of an eligible cluster Cl . The length of Cl gets increased at least by one without collision in $O(k)$ epochs.*

Proof. First the head of an eligible cluster having all robots with color OFF, changes its color to HEAD. Upon seeing this, all other robots in the cluster change their color to either MID or TAIL. This operation takes total 2 epochs. For a cluster $Cl = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\}$ with r_1 as head and r_k as tail, r_1 moves first in the direction of the another cluster. Then MID-colored robots move sequentially (first r_2 moves in the direction of r_1 , then r_3 and at last the tail r_k). This step takes $O(k)$ epochs.

If the cluster Cl moves towards another cluster Cl' , the heads of the two clusters become s arc-length apart in just one epoch (either both the heads move towards each other or one head moves towards the other). After the movement of the whole cluster, the tail of that cluster changes its color to **OFF**, then the MID-colored robots change their color to **OFF** and finally the head changes its color to **OFF** when both of its neighbors are s arc-length apart from it and all other robots in its cluster are with color **OFF**. This process takes 3 epochs. So, the movement of a cluster of length k takes $O(k)$ epochs. After the movement, both the neighbors of r_1 are s distance apart from each other. Therefore the length of the cluster gets increased at least by one. Hence the proof. \square

Theorem 20. *Our algorithm solves uniform partitioning for the circular region in $O(N^2)$ epochs.*

Proof. The robots lying on $Int(\mathcal{R})$, moves to the boundary. The process of moving all the interior robots to the boundary takes $O(1)$ epochs. In the worst case, it is possible that the length of an eligible clusters gets increased only by one in every movement of the cluster. Lemma 19 proves that the movement of an eligible cluster takes $O(k)$ epochs, where k is the length of the cluster. So, it takes $\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} O(k) \approx O(N^2)$ epochs for all robots to become one cluster. Finally, all robots moves to their final positions in one epochs. Thus, our algorithm takes overall $O(N^2)$ epochs to partition the region. \square

6. Conclusion

We studied the distributed version of uniform partitioning of a bounded region using mobile robots. The problem becomes interesting and challenging due to the robot model that is considered in this paper. The robots are opaque and do not have enough memory to store the past information. They have a persistent memory in form of a light. The ASYNC activation schedule which is considered in this paper, is the most general form of activation of a robot network, where any robot can be activated any time. Moreover, the robots do not have any coordinate axes agreement or global orientation. We solved this problem when the region is either a rectangle, a square or a circle. The reason behind this, is the application oriented point of view, as the regions, we deal with in our daily life, are known geometric figures. We believe that the problem can be extended to other convex regions under the same model. Also, the problem can even be studied when some of the robots become faulty.

References

- [1] P. Flocchini, G. Prencipe, N. Santoro, P. Widmayer, Arbitrary pattern formation by asynchronous, anonymous, oblivious robots, *Theoretical Computer Science* 407 (1) (2008) 412–447.

- [2] S. Pramanick, G. J. Spourgeon, K. Raj, P. S. Mandal, Asynchronous line formation in presence of faulty robots, NSysS '22, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2022, p. 75–82.
- [3] S. Bhagat, K. Mukhopadyaya, Fault-tolerant gathering of semi-synchronous robots, in: Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Distributed Computing and Networking, ICDCN '17, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2017.
- [4] C. Feletti, C. Mereghetti, B. Palano, Uniform circle formation for fully, semi-, and asynchronous opaque robots with lights, Applied Sciences 13 (13) (2023). doi:10.3390/app13137991.
- [5] C. Feletti, C. Mereghetti, B. Palano, $O(\log\{n\})$ -Time Uniform Circle Formation for Asynchronous Opaque Luminous Robots, in: A. Bessani, X. Défago, J. Nakamura, K. Wada, Y. Yamauchi (Eds.), 27th International Conference on Principles of Distributed Systems (OPODIS 2023), Vol. 286 of Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2024, pp. 5:1–5:21. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.OPODIS.2023.5.
- [6] G. A. Di Luna, P. Flocchini, S. G. Chaudhuri, F. Poloni, N. Santoro, G. Viglietta, Mutual visibility by luminous robots without collisions, Information and Computation 254 (2017) 392–418.
- [7] S. Pramanick, P. S. Mandal, Fault-tolerant mutual visibility for async mobile robots with local coordinate, in: 2023 IEEE Guwahati Subsection Conference (GCON), 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [8] G. Sharma, R. Vaidyanathan, J. L. Trahan, Constant-time complete visibility for robots with lights: The asynchronous case, Algorithms 14 (2) (2021) 56.
- [9] D. Saha, D. Pattanayak, P. S. Mandal, Surveillance of uneven surface with self-organizing unmanned aerial vehicles, IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing 21 (4) (2022) 1449–1462.
- [10] D. Das, S. Mukhopadhyaya, Distributed painting by a swarm of robots with unlimited sensing capabilities and its simulation, ArXiv abs/1311.4952 (2013).
- [11] D. Das, S. Mukhopadhyaya, Distributed algorithm for painting by a swarm of randomly deployed robots under limited visibility model, International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems 15 (5) (2018) 1729881418804508.
- [12] D. Das, S. Mukhopadhyaya, D. Nandi, Swarm-based painting of an area cluttered with obstacles, International Journal of Parallel, Emergent and Distributed Systems 36 (4) (2021) 359–379.

- [13] M. Pavone, A. Arsie, E. Frazzoli, F. Bullo, Distributed algorithms for environment partitioning in mobile robotic networks, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* 56 (8) (2011) 1834–1848.
- [14] J. J. Acevedo, B. C. Arrue, I. Maza, A. Ollero, A distributed algorithm for area partitioning in grid-shape and vector-shape configurations with multiple aerial robots, *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems* 84 (2016) 543–557.
- [15] G. Sharma, R. Vaidyanathan, J. L. Trahan, Constant-time complete visibility for robots with lights: The asynchronous case, *Algorithms* 14 (2) (2021). doi:10.3390/a14020056.

Appendix A. Pseudocode of the Algorithms

RECTANGLE_PARTITION is the main pseudocode of the algorithm for rectangular regions. SQUARE_PARTITION is the main pseudocode of the algorithm for square regions. CIRCLE_PARTITION is the main pseudocode of the algorithm for circular regions.

Algorithm 1: RECTANGLE_PARTITION

```

1 if  $r.color = OFF$  then
2   Follow RECTANGLE_TARGET_SIDE() to calculate  $S_r$ 
3   if  $r$  is not a boundary robot on  $S_r$  then
4     Follow TARGETPOINT_ON_SIDES() to find a target point
5     Move to the target point with the current color OFF
6   else //  $r$  lies on one of the longest sides of  $\mathcal{R}$ 
7     if  $r$  is a monitor robot on  $S_r$  then
8       if Each of  $S_r$  and  $S_r^{opp}$  contains only one robot then
9         Change  $r.color$  to FINISH
10        Move to the midpoint of  $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ 
11      else if  $S_r^{opp}$  has exactly one robot, but  $S_r$  has more than one robot then
12        No change in color and position
13      else if  $S_r$  has exactly one robot, but  $S_r^{opp}$  has more than one robot then
14        Set  $S_r^{opp}$  as the target side and follow TARGETPOINT_ON_SIDES() to find
15        the target point
16        Move to the target point with the current color
17      else
18        Follow MOVE_TO_APEXPOINT() and calculate the apex point  $a_r$ 
19        Change  $r.color$  to FINISH from OFF
20        Move to the point  $a_r$ 
21    else if  $r$  is a terminal robot on  $S_r$  with a FINISH-colored robot on  $\frac{1}{2}S_r$  or  $\frac{1}{4}S_r$  or
22     $\frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}$  then
23      Follow MOVE_TO_FINALPOINT() to compute the target point
24      Change  $r.color$  to FINISH
25      Move to the target point
26    else
27      No change in color and position

```

```

26 else if  $r.color = FINISH$  and  $r$  lies on  $\frac{1}{8}S_r$  then
27   if there is an OFF-colored robot visible in  $Int(\mathfrak{R})$  then
28      $r$  does not move and change its current color
29   else
30     if  $r$  finds all the FINISH-colored robot on  $\frac{1}{8}S_r$  and  $\frac{1}{8}S_r^{opp}$  then
31        $c_r^1 \leftarrow$  Number of robots on  $[S_r, \frac{1}{8}S_r]$  including itself
32        $c_r^2 \leftarrow$  Number of robots on  $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}]$ 
33       if  $0 < c_r^2 < c_r^1$  then
34          $S_r$  is the target side and follow TARGETPOINT_ON_SIDES() to find a target
35         point
36         Move to the target point with the color FINISH
37       else if  $0 < c_r^1 < c_r^2$  then
38         if there is a FINISH-colored robot on  $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{8}S_r^{opp}]$  then
39            $r$  does not change its color or position
40         else
41            $S_r^{opp}$  is target side and follow TARGETPOINT_ON_SIDES() to find the
42           target point
43           Change its color to OFF and move to the target point
44         else //  $0 < c_r^1 = c_r^2$  or  $0 = c_r^2 < c_r^1$ 
45           Follow MOVE_TO_FINALPOINT() to find a target point
46           Move to the target point with color FINISH
47       else if a FINISH-colored robot on  $(\frac{1}{8}S_r, \frac{7}{8}S_r)$  then
48          $S_r$  is the target side and follow TARGETPOINT_ON_SIDES() to find a target
49         point
50         Move to the target point with the color FINISH
51     else
52       No change in color and position
53   else if  $r.color = FINISH$  and  $r$  lies on  $S_r$  then
54     Change  $r.color$  to OFF without any movement
55 else //  $r.color = FINISH$  and  $r$  lies on  $\frac{1}{2}S_r$  or  $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ 
56    $r$  terminates.

```

Algorithm 2: SQUARE_PARTITION

```
1  $C \leftarrow$  The center of  $\mathfrak{R}$ 
2  $e_P \leftarrow$  The common corner of  $S_r$  and  $S_r^L$ ,
3  $e_Q \leftarrow$  The common corner of  $S_r$  and  $S_r^R$ 
4  $e_R \leftarrow$  The common corner of  $S_r^{opp}$  and  $S_r^R$ 
5  $e_X \leftarrow$  The common corner of  $S_r^{opp}$  and  $S_r^L$ 
6 if  $r.color = OFF$  then
7   Choose one of the nearest sides of  $\mathfrak{R}$  as  $S_r$ 
8   if  $r$  is not a boundary robot on  $S_r$  then
9     Follow TARGETPOINT_ON_SIDES() to find the target point
10    Move to the target point on  $S_r$  with color OFF
11  else
12    if  $r$  is a monitor robot and  $Int(\mathfrak{R})$  has no FINISH or FINISH1 or FINISH2-colored
13    robot then
14      Follow APEX_POINT_IN_SQUARE() to find the target point  $t_r$ 
15      Change  $r.color$  to MONITOR
16      Move to the point  $t_r$ 
17    else if  $r$  is a terminal robot and  $Int(\mathfrak{R})$  has FINISH-colored robots then
18      Follow MOVE_TO_FINALPOINT() to find a target point
19      Change  $r.color$  to FINISH
20      Move to the target point
21    else if  $r$  is a terminal robot and  $Int(\mathfrak{R})$  has FINISH1-colored robots then
22      Follow PARTITIONTYPE_III to find a target point
23      Change  $r.color$  to FINISH1
24      Move to the target point
25    else if  $r$  is a terminal robot and  $Int(\mathfrak{R})$  has FINISH2-colored robots then
26      Follow PARTITIONTYPE_IV to find a target point
27      Change  $r.color$  to FINISH2
28      Move to the target point
29    else
30      No change in color and position
```

```

30 else if  $r.color = MONITOR$  then
31   if  $r$  lies on  $S_r$  then
32     Change  $r.color$  to OFF
33   else
34     if  $r$  finds any OFF-colored robot in  $Int(\mathcal{R})$  then
35        $r$  does not change its color and position
36     else
37       Follow MONITORTOFINALINSQAURE() to find the target point and move to it
38 else //  $r.color = FINISH$  or  $FINISH1$  or  $FINISH2$ 
39    $r$  terminates

```

Algorithm 3: CIRCLE_PARTITION

```

1 if  $r.color = OFF$  then
2   if  $r$  is an interior robot then
3     Follow MOVETOBOUNDARY_INCIRCLE() to find a target point on the boundary
4     Move to the target point with the color OFF
5   else if  $r$  is a boundary robot with at least one OFF-colored robot in  $Int(\mathcal{R})$  then
6      $r$  does not change its color or position
7   else //  $r$  is a boundary robot with no OFF-colored robot in  $Int(\mathcal{R})$ 
8      $Cl \leftarrow$  The cluster of  $r$ 
9     if  $Cl$  is eligible then
10      if  $r$  is the head of  $Cl$  then
11        Change  $r.color$  to HEAD
12      else if  $r$  is the tail of  $Cl$  then
13        Change  $r.color$  to TAIL
14      else
15        Change  $r.color$  to MID
16    else
17       $r$  does not change its position or color

```

```

18 else if  $r.color = HEAD$  then
19    $r' \leftarrow$  Head of the neighbouring cluster  $Cl'$  and the neighbour of  $r$ 
20   if  $(r'.color = HALF \text{ and } Cl' \text{ is eligible}) \vee (Cl' \text{ is non-eligible})$  then
21     Change  $r.color$  to FULL
22     Move to a point  $t_r$  on  $\widehat{rr'}$  such that  $alen(rt_r) = alen(rr') - s$ 
23   else //  $r'.color = OFF$  or  $HEAD$  with  $Cl'$  being eligible
24     Change  $r.color$  to MOVE-H
25     Move to a point  $t_r$  on  $\widehat{rr'}$  such that  $alen(rt_r) = \frac{1}{2}(alen(rr') - s)$ 

26 else if  $r.color = MOVE-H$  then
27   Change  $r.color$  to HALF

28 else if  $r.color = MID$  then
29    $r_{Nbr_1}, r_{Nbr_2} \leftarrow$  Neighbours of  $r$  in  $Cl$  with  $r_{Nbr_1}$  nearer to the head of  $Cl$  than  $r_{Nbr_2}$ 
30   if  $alen(rr_{Nbr_1}) = alen(rr_{Nbr_2}) = s$  then
31      $r$  does not change its color or position
32   else
33      $r$  moves to a point  $t_r$  on  $\widehat{rr_{Nbr_1}}$  such that  $alen(rt_r) = alen(rr_{Nbr_1}) - s$ 

34 else if  $r.color = TAIL$  then
35    $r_{Nbr_1} \leftarrow$  Neighbour of  $r$  in  $Cl$ 
36   if  $alen(rr_{Nbr_1}) = s$  then
37      $r$  does not change its color or position
38   else
39     Move to a point  $t_r$  on  $\widehat{rr_{Nbr_1}}$  such that  $alen(rt_r) = alen(rr_{Nbr_1}) - s$  with color
     TAIL

40 else if  $r.color = HALF$  or  $FULL$  then
41    $r_{Nbr_1}, r_{Nbr_2} \leftarrow$  The two neighbours of  $r$ 
42   if  $alen(rr_{Nbr_1}) = alen(rr_{Nbr_2}) = s$  then
43     Change  $r.color$  to OFF
44   else
45      $r$  does not change its color or position

46 else //  $r.color = FINSH$  and  $r$  lies on  $\frac{1}{2}S_r$  or  $\frac{1}{4}S_r$ 
47    $r$  terminates.

```

Algorithm 4: RECTANGLE_TARGET_SIDE()

```
1 if  $r$  is an interior robot then
2   | Choose one of the nearest longest side of  $\mathfrak{R}$  as  $S_r$ 
3 else if  $r$  is a corner robot then
4   | Choose the incident longest side of  $\mathfrak{R}$  as  $S_r$ 
5 else if  $r$  is a boundary robot on one of the shortest sides of  $\mathfrak{R}$  then
6   | Choose one of the nearest longest sides of  $\mathfrak{R}$  as  $S_r$ 
7 else
8   | Choose the side where it is currently situated as  $S_r$ 
```

Algorithm 5: TARGETPOINT_ON_SIDES()

```
1  $\mathcal{V}_r \leftarrow$  The set of all robots not lying on  $L_r$ 
2 if the target side of  $r$  is  $S_r$  then
3   | if  $p_r$  is not visible to  $r$  then
4     | No change in color and position
5   | else
6     | if ( $r$  is a boundary robot not lying on one of the sides  $S_r$  and  $S_r^{opp}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  with no
7       | robot on  $p_r$ )  $\vee$  ( $r$  is an interior robot with another robot on  $p_r$ )  $\vee$  ( $r$  is a corner
8         | robot) then
9           | if  $\mathcal{V}_r$  is empty then
10            | Choose the point  $t_r$  as the target point on the side  $S_r$  such that
11              |  $d(p_r, t_r) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{d(e_{S_r}^1, p_r), d(e_{S_r}^2, p_r)\}$ 
12            | else
13              | Choose the target point  $t_r$  on  $S_r$  such that  $d(p_r, t_r) = \frac{1}{4} \min_{r' \in \mathcal{V}_r} \{d(r', L_r)\}$ 
14            | else if  $r$  is an interior robot with no robot on  $p_r$  then
15              |  $r$  chooses the point  $p_r$  as the target point
16            | else
17              |  $r$  does not move or change its current color
```

```

15 else
16    $p_r^{opp} \leftarrow$  The point of intersection  $L_r$  and  $S_r^{opp}$ 
17   if  $p_r^{opp}$  has no robots on it then
18     Choose the point  $p_r^{opp}$  as the target point
19   else
20     Choose a point  $t_r$  on  $S_r^{opp}$  as the target point such that
      $d(p_r^{opp}, t_r) = \frac{1}{4} \min_{r' \in \mathcal{V}_r} d(r', L_r)$ 

```

Algorithm 6: MOVE_TO_APEXPOINT()

```

1 if  $S_r^{opp}$  has some robots on it then
2    $r_{Nbr} \leftarrow$  The neighbour of  $r$  on  $\mathcal{CH}_r$  lying on  $(S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{8}S_r^{opp}]$ 
3   Choose the point of intersection of  $\frac{1}{8}S_r$  and the line  $\overleftrightarrow{r r_{Nbr}}$  as the target point  $a_r$ 
4 else
5   Choose the point of intersection of  $\frac{1}{8}S_r$  and  $L_r$  as the target point  $a_r$ 

```

Algorithm 7: MOVE_TO_FINALPOINT()

```

1 if  $r.color = FINISH$  then
2   if  $0 < c_r^1 = c_r^2$  then
3      $r_{Nbr} \leftarrow$  The neighbour of  $r$  on  $\mathcal{CH}_r$  whose nearest longest side is  $S_r^{opp}$ 
4      $SS_r \leftarrow$  The shortest side of  $\mathfrak{R}$  which either lies on or intersects the half plane
       delimited by the line  $rr_{Nbr}$  where no other robot in  $\mathfrak{R}$  is present
5     Compute the target point  $t_r$  on  $\frac{1}{4}S_r$  such that  $d(t_r, SS_r) = \frac{len(S_r)}{2c_r^1}$ 
6   else //  $0 = c_r^2 < c_r^1$ 
7      $SS_r \leftarrow$  The shortest side of  $\mathfrak{R}$  which lies on the half plane delimited by  $L_r$  where
       no other robot in  $\mathfrak{R}$  resides
8     Calculates the target point  $t_r$  on  $\frac{1}{2}S_r$  such that  $d(t_r, SS_r) = \frac{len(S_r)}{2c_r^1}$ 

```

```

9 else
10    $SS_r \leftarrow$  The side of  $\mathfrak{R}$  for which the intersection point of  $S_r$  and  $SS_r$  is visible to  $r$ 
11    $SS_r^{opp} \leftarrow$  The side of  $\mathfrak{R}$  opposite to  $SS_r$ 
12   if  $r$  finds a FINISH-colored robot on  $\frac{1}{4}S_r$  but no robot on  $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}]$  then
13      $r$  does not change its color and position
14   else
15     if there is a FINISH-colored robot on  $\frac{1}{2}S_r$  then
16       Choose  $L = \frac{1}{2}S_r$ 
17     else // (a FINISH-colored robot on  $\frac{1}{4}S_r$  and some robots on  $[S_r^{opp}, \frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}]$ ) or (a
18       FINISH-colored robot on  $\frac{1}{4}S_r^{opp}$ , but not on  $[S_r, \frac{1}{4}S_r]$ )
19       Choose  $L = \frac{1}{4}S_r$ 
19      $\mathcal{F}_r \leftarrow$  Set of all FINISH-colored robots
20     Calculate  $d = \min_{r' \in \mathcal{F}_r} \{\min\{d(r', SS_r), d(r', SS_r^{opp})\}\}$ 
21      $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k$  is the sequence of robots of maximum length on  $L$  starting from  $r_1$ 
22     with  $d(r_1, SS_r) = d$  till  $r_k$  such that two consecutive robots in the sequence are
     exactly at  $2d$  distance apart from each other
     Choose the target point  $t_r$  on  $L$  such that  $d(t_r, SS_r) = (2k + 1)d$ 

```

Algorithm 8: APEX_POINT_IN_SQUARE()

```

1 if  $r$  does not find any robot on  $\Delta S_r^{opp}$  nor  $\Delta S_r^L$  nor  $\Delta S_r^R$  then
2    $r$  chooses the point of intersection of  $L_r$  and  $\frac{1}{8}S_r$  as the apex point  $a_r$ 
3 else
4    $r_{Nbr_1} \leftarrow$  The neighbor of  $r$  on  $\mathcal{CH}_r$  that does not lie on  $S_r$ 
5    $r_{Nbr_2} \leftarrow$  Another neighbor of  $r$  on  $\mathcal{CH}_r$  that lies on  $S_r$ 
6   Compute the target point  $t_r$  on  $\overline{r r_{Nbr_1}}$  such that
    $d(r, t_r) = \frac{1}{2} \min\{d(r, D_r), d(r, D_r^{opp}), d(r, \frac{1}{4}S_r), d(r, r_{Nbr_2})\}$ 

```

Algorithm 9: MONITORTOFINALINSQAURE()

```
1 if  $r$  finds FINISH-colored robots and all of them lying on  $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ , or  $\frac{1}{4}S_r$  or  $\frac{3}{4}S_r$  then
2   | Follow MOVE_TO_FINALPOINT() to find a target point and set  $r.color = \text{FINISH}$ 
3 else if  $r$  finds FINISH1-colored robots and all of them lying on  $\frac{1}{3}S_r$  or  $\frac{1}{3}S$  where
   |  $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$  then
4   | Set  $k = \frac{1}{3}$  and follow PARTITIONTYPE.III() to get the target point
5   | Change  $r.color$  to FINISH1
6 else if  $r$  sees FINISH2-colored robots and all of them lying on  $\frac{1}{6}S_r$  or on  $\frac{1}{6}S$  where
   |  $S \in \{S_r^{opp}, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$  then
7   | Set  $k = \frac{1}{6}$  and follow PARTITIONTYPE.IV() to get the target point
8   | Change  $r.color$  to FINISH2
9 else if ( $r$  finds a FINISH-colored robot, but not on  $\frac{1}{2}S_r$ ,  $\frac{1}{4}S_r$  or  $\frac{3}{4}S_r$ )  $\vee$  ( $r$  finds a
   | FINISH1-colored robot, but not on  $\frac{1}{3}S_r$  or  $\frac{1}{3}S$  where  $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ )  $\vee$  ( $r$  finds a
   | FINISH2-colored robot, but not on  $\frac{1}{6}S_r$  or on  $\frac{1}{6}S$  where  $S \in \{S_r^{opp}, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ ) then
10  | No change in color or position
11 else
12  | Follow FINALPOSITION_WITHMax $_r$ ()
```

Algorithm 10: FINALPOSITION_WITHMax $_r$ ()

```
1 Max $_r \leftarrow$  The set of all sides with maximum number of robots lying on their
   | corresponding side triangle
2 if Max $_r = \{S_r\}$  and  $\Delta S$  has no robots for all  $S \in \{S_r^{opp}, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$  then
3   | Follow PARTITIONTYPE.I.II() with  $k = \frac{1}{2}$  to find the target point and change  $r.color$ 
   | to FINISH
4 else if Max $_r = \{S_r, S_r^{opp}\}$  and no robots on  $\Delta S$  for all  $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$  then
5   | Follow PARTITIONTYPE.I.II() with  $k = \frac{1}{4}$  to find the target point and change  $r.color$ 
   | to FINISH
6 else if Max $_r = \{S_r, S\}$  and both  $\Delta S_r^{opp}$  and  $\Delta S^{opp}$  contain no robot where  $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ 
   | then
7   | Follow PARTITIONTYPE.III() with  $k = \frac{1}{3}$  to find the target point and change  $r.color$ 
   | to FINISH1
8 else if Max $_r = \{S_r, S_r^{opp}, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$  then
9   | Follow PARTITIONTYPE.IV() with  $k = \frac{1}{6}$  to find the target point and change  $r.color$ 
   | to FINISH2
```

```

10 else
11   if ( $Max_r = \{S_r, S_r^{opp}, S\}$  where  $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ )  $\vee$  ( $Max_r = \{S\}$  or  $\{S, S'\}$ , where
       $S, S' \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$  with  $S \neq S'$ )  $\vee$  ( $Max_r = \{S, S_r^{opp}\}$ , where  $S \in \{S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ )) then
12     Set  $S$  as the target side  $S_{Tgt}$ 
13   else if ( $Max_r = \{S_r\}$  or  $\{S_r, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ )  $\vee$  ( $Max_r = \{S, S_r\}$  where
       $S \in \{S_r^{opp}, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$ ) then
14     Set  $S_r$  as the target side  $S_{Tgt}$ 
15   else //  $Max_r = \{S_r^L, S_r^R, S_r^{opp}\}$  or  $\{S_r^{opp}\}$ 
16     Set  $S_r^{opp}$  as the target side  $S_{Tgt}$ 
17   if  $S_{Tgt} = S_r$  then
18      $r_{Nbr_1} \leftarrow$  The neighbour of  $r$  on  $\mathcal{CH}_r$  not lying on  $S_r$ 
19     Select the point of intersection of  $\overleftrightarrow{r_{Nbr_1}}$  and  $S_r$  as the target point  $t_r$ 
20   else
21      $L'_r \leftarrow$  The line parallel to  $S_{Tgt}$  passing through  $r$ 
22     if there is a MONITOR-colored robot lying on the half plane delimited by  $L'_r$  that
      contains  $S_{Tgt}$  then
23        $r$  does not change its color or position
24     else
25        $L_r^\perp \leftarrow$  The line passing through  $r$  and perpendicular to  $S_{Tgt}$ 
26        $p_{r_{Tgt}} \leftarrow$  The point of intersection of  $L_r^\perp$  and  $S_{Tgt}$ 
27       if  $p_{r_{Tgt}}$  has no robots on it then
28         Choose the point  $p_{r_{Tgt}}$  as the target point
29       else
30         Choose a point  $t_r$  on  $S_{Tgt}$  as the target point such that
           $d(p_{r_{Tgt}}, t_r) = \frac{1}{4} \min_{r' \in \mathcal{V}_r} d(r', L_r^\perp)$ 
31       Change  $r.color$  to OFF

```

Algorithm 11: PARTITIONTYPE.II()

```
1  $c_r^1 \leftarrow$  The number of robots on  $\Delta S_r$ 
2 if  $k = \frac{1}{2}$  then
3    $SS_r \leftarrow$  The side which lies on the half plane delimited by  $L_r$  where no other robot
   resides
4   Calculate the target point  $t_r$  on  $\frac{1}{2}S_r$  such that  $d(t_r, SS_r) = \frac{\text{len}(S_r)}{2c_r^1}$ 
5 else
6    $r_{Nbr} \leftarrow$  The neighbour of  $r$  on  $\mathcal{CH}_r$  whose nearest side is  $S_r^{opp}$ 
7    $SS_r \leftarrow$  The side which either lies on or intersects the half plane delimited by the line
    $rr_{Nbr}$  where no other robot is present
8   Compute the target point  $t_r$  on  $\frac{1}{4}S_r$  such that  $d(t_r, SS_r) = \frac{\text{len}(S_r)}{2c_r^1}$ 
```

Algorithm 12: PARTITIONTYPE.III()

```
1 if  $k = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $r.\text{color} = \text{MONITOR}$  then
2    $c \leftarrow$  The number of all FINISH1-colored robots on  $\frac{1}{3}S_r$ 
3    $c' \leftarrow$  The number of robots lying on  $\Delta S_r$  without the color FINISH1
4   if  $S = S_r^L$  then
5      $A, B \leftarrow$  The two points on  $S_r$  which are  $\frac{\text{len}(S_r)}{c+c'}$  distance away from  $e_P$  and  $e_Q$ ,
     respectively
6     if  $S^{opp}$  lies on the half plane delimited by  $L_r$  where other robots on  $\Delta S_r$  lie then
7       Choose the triangle  $\mathcal{T} = \Delta Ae_Pe_R$ 
8     else
9       Choose  $\mathcal{T} = \Delta Be_Qe_R$ 
10  else
11     $A, B \leftarrow$  The two points on  $S_r$  which are  $\frac{\text{len}(S_r)}{c+c'}$  distance away from  $e_Q$  and  $e_P$ ,
    respectively
12    if  $S^{opp}$  lies on the half plane delimited by  $L_r$  where other robots on  $\Delta S_r$  lie then
13      Choose the triangle  $\mathcal{T} = \Delta Ae_Qe_X$ 
14    else
15      Choose  $\mathcal{T} = \Delta Be_Pe_X$ 
16  Set the centroid of the triangle  $\mathcal{T}$  as the target point
```

```

17 else //  $k = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $r.color = \text{OFF}$ 
18   if  $(\frac{1}{3}S_r^L \text{ has a FINISH1-colored robot}) \vee (a \text{ robot lying on } \Delta S_r^L)$  then
19     Choose  $S = S_r^L$ 
20   else
21     Choose  $S = S_r^R$ 
22   if there is a FINISH1-colored robots not lying on  $\frac{1}{3}S_r$  or on  $\frac{1}{3}S$  then
23     No change in color or position
24   else
25     Choose the point of intersection of  $S_r^{opp}$  and  $S^{opp}$  as the vertex  $v_r$ 
26      $D_r \leftarrow$  Diagonal of  $\mathfrak{R}$  passing through  $v_r$ 
27     if there is a FINISH1-colored robot on  $\frac{1}{3}S_r$  then
28        $r' \leftarrow$  Terminal FINISH1-colored robot on  $\frac{1}{3}S_r$ 
29        $bl_1, bl_2 \leftarrow$  The length of the base of the triangle whose one side is  $D_r$  (resp.  $S_r^{opp}$ )
30       and the centroid is at  $r'$ 
31     else
32        $r' \leftarrow$  Terminal FINISH1-colored robot on  $\frac{1}{3}S$ 
33        $bl_1, bl_2 \leftarrow$  The length of the base of the triangle whose one side is  $D_r$  (resp.  $S_r^{opp}$ )
34       and the centroid is at  $r'$ 
35      $bl = \min\{bl_1, bl_2\}$ 
36      $u_1 \leftarrow$  The point of intersection of  $\frac{1}{3}S_r$  and the diagonal  $D_r$ 
37      $u_2 \leftarrow$  The point of intersection  $\frac{1}{3}S_r$  and the diagonal  $S_r^{opp}$ 
38      $e_P \leftarrow$  The endpoint of  $D_r$  other than  $v_r$ 
39      $e_Q \leftarrow$  The endpoint of  $S_r$  other than  $e_P$ 
40     if  $S_r^{opp}$  lies in the half plane delimited by  $\overleftrightarrow{rv_r}$ , where the other robots of  $S_r$  reside then
41        $c \leftarrow$  The number of FINISH1-colored robots on  $\frac{1}{3}S_r$  starting from the robot  $\frac{bl}{3}$ 
42       distance apart from  $u_1$  towards  $u_2$  such that two consecutive robots are  $\frac{2bl}{3}$  distance
43       away from each other
44        $e_Y, e_Z \leftarrow$  The points of  $S_r$  satisfying  $d(e_Y, e_P) = c \cdot bl$  and  $d(e_Z, e_P) = (c + 1) \cdot bl$ 
45     else
46        $c \leftarrow$  The number of FINISH1-colored robots on  $\frac{1}{3}S_r$  starting from the robot  $\frac{bl}{3}$ 
47       distance apart from  $u_2$  towards  $u_1$  such that two consecutive robots are  $\frac{2bl}{3}$  distance
48       away from each other
49        $e_Y, e_Z \leftarrow$  The points of  $S_r$  satisfying  $d(e_Y, e_Q) = c \cdot bl$  and  $d(e_Z, e_Q) = (c + 1) \cdot bl$ 
50     Calculate the target point  $t_r$  such that it is the centroid of  $\Delta e_Y e_Z v_r$ 

```

Algorithm 13: PARTITIONTYPE_IV()

```
1 if  $k = \frac{1}{6}$  and  $r.color = MONITOR$  then
2    $A, B \leftarrow$  The two points on  $S_r$  which are  $\frac{len(S_r)}{|S_r|}$  distance away from  $e_P$  and  $e_Q$ , respectively
3   if  $e_P$  and all the other robots on  $\Delta S_r$  lie on the different half plane delimited by  $L_r$  then
4     Choose the triangle  $\mathcal{T} = \Delta Ae_PC$ 
5   else
6     Choose  $\mathcal{T} = \Delta Be_QC$ 
7    $r$  chooses the centroid of  $\mathcal{T}$  as the target
8 else //  $k = \frac{1}{6}$  and  $r.color = OFF$ 
9   if there is a FINISH2-colored robot not lying on  $\frac{1}{6}S$  for  $S \in \{S_r, S_r^{opp}, S_r^L, S_r^R\}$  then
10    No change in color and position
11  else
12     $r' \leftarrow$  A FINISH2-colored terminal robot on  $\frac{1}{6}S_{r'}$ 
13     $e_{S_{r'}}^1, e_{S_{r'}}^2 \leftarrow$  Two endpoints of  $S_{r'}$ 
14     $D_r, D_r^{opp} \leftarrow$  Two diagonals of  $\mathfrak{R}$  passing thorough  $e_P$  and  $e_Q$  respectively
15     $bl_1 \leftarrow$  Length of the base of the triangle whose centroid is  $r'$  and two vertices are  $C$  and  $e_{S_{r'}}^1$ 
16     $bl_2 \leftarrow$  Length of the base of the triangle whose centroid is  $r'$  and two vertices are  $C$  and  $e_{S_{r'}}^2$ 
17     $bl = \min\{bl_1, bl_2\}$ 
18     $u_1 \leftarrow$  The point of intersection of  $\frac{1}{6}S_r$  and  $D_r$ 
19     $u_2 \leftarrow$  The point of intersection of  $\frac{1}{6}S_r$  and  $D_r^{opp}$ 
20    if  $\overline{Ce_Q}$  lies on the half plane delimited by  $\overleftrightarrow{rC}$  where other robots of  $S_r$  reside then
21       $c \leftarrow$  The number of FINISH2-colored robots on  $\frac{1}{6}S_r$  starting from the robot  $bl/3$  distance away from  $u_1$  towards  $u_2$  such that two consecutive robots are  $2bl/3$  distance apart from each other
22       $e_Y, e_Z \leftarrow$  The points on  $S_r$  satisfying  $d(e_P, e_Y) = c \cdot bl$  and  $d(e_P, e_Z) = (c + 1) \cdot bl$ 
23      Choose the centroid of  $\Delta Ce_Ye_Z$  as the target point
24    else
25       $c \leftarrow$  The number of FINISH2-colored robots on  $\frac{1}{6}S_r$  starting from the robot  $bl/3$  distance away from  $u_2$  towards  $u_1$  such that two consecutive robots are  $2bl/3$  distance apart from each other
26       $e_Y, e_Z \leftarrow$  The points on  $S_r$  satisfying  $d(e_Q, e_Z) = c \cdot bl$  and  $d(e_Q, e_Y) = (c + 1) \cdot bl$ 
27      Choose the centroid of  $\Delta Ce_Ye_Z$  as the target point
```

Algorithm 14: MOVEToBOUNDARY_INCIRCLE()

```
1 if  $r$  lies on  $O$  and there is at least interior robot other than  $r$  then
2    $r$  does not change its color or position
3 else if  $r$  lies in  $Int(\mathfrak{R})$ , but not on  $O$  then
4    $p_r \leftarrow$  The point of intersection of the line  $\overleftrightarrow{rO}$  and the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}$ 
5    $p_r^{opp} \leftarrow$  The point diametrically opposite to  $p_r$  on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}$ 
6   if  $p_r$  does not have any robot on it then
7      $r$  chooses  $p_r$  as its target point
8   else
9      $\mathcal{V}_r \leftarrow$  The set of all visible robots to  $r$  not lying on the line  $\overleftrightarrow{rO}$ 
10    if  $\mathcal{V}_r$  is non-empty then
11      Calculate  $d_r = \frac{1}{4} \min\{alen(p_r p_{r'}) \mid r' \in \mathcal{V}_r \text{ and } \widehat{p_r p_{r'}} \text{ is defined}\}$ 
12    else
13      Calculate  $d_r = \frac{1}{4} alen(p_r p_r^{opp})$ 
14     $r$  finds a target point on the boundary  $t_r$  such that  $alen(t_r p_r) = \frac{d_r}{rad} d(r, p_r)$ 
```
