

A CONSTRAINED MEAN CURVATURE FLOW ON CAPILLARY HYPERSURFACE SUPPORTED ON TOTALLY GEODESIC PLANE

XIAOXIANG CHAI AND YIMIN CHEN

ABSTRACT. We prove a new Minkowski type formula for capillary hypersurfaces supported on totally geodesic hyperplanes in hyperbolic space. It leads to a volume-preserving flow starting from a star-shaped initial hypersurface. We prove the long-time existence of the flow and its uniform convergence to a θ -totally umbilical cap. Additionally, we establish that a θ -totally umbilical cap is an energy minimizer for a given enclosed volume.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mean curvature flow has a rich history, dating back to significant works such as Huisken [13]. Huisken showed that a convex and closed hypersurface will flow to a sphere under the properly rescaled mean curvature flow. A constrained curvature flow is a flow which preserves some geometric quantities. In \mathbb{R}^2 , Gage [5] used a constrained curve shortening flow to prove an isoperimetric inequality. In higher dimensions, a constrained mean curvature flow was applied to prove the isoperimetric inequality by Huisken [14]. This constrained flow preserves the enclosed volume while decreasing the area of the hypersurface.

An alternative approach to create a flow that preserves the enclosed volume is by employing the Minkowski formula on the hypersurface. This has been explored in [6], which investigated such flows in space forms. Furthermore, this approach has been extended to warped product spaces in [7], where they considered the flow $x : (\mathbb{R} \times N, g) \rightarrow (M, \bar{g} = dr^2 + \phi^2(r)g_N)$ satisfying

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = (n\phi' - uH)\nu.$$

Under appropriate assumptions on the metric \bar{g} , the flow is expected to converge to a level set of ϕ . See for example [1], [2], [3], [9] and [10] for various types of fully nonlinear curvature flow and anisotropic curvature flow in different ambient spaces.

In recent years, there has been considerable interest in geometric flows of capillary hypersurfaces, for instance, inverse mean curvature flow with free boundary in the Euclidean unit ball [15].

Diving into the main topic of this paper, constrained curvature flow on capillary hypersurfaces has yielded significant results. These include: constrained inverse mean curvature type [19], [23] and mean curvature type flow [12], [20] for capillary hypersurfaces in the Euclidean unit ball; curvature flows [11], [16] and [21] in capillary hypersurfaces in Euclidean half space; mean curvature type flow [17] in geodesic ball in space forms.

In this paper, we consider a new constrained mean curvature type flow for capillary hypersurfaces, which are supported on totally geodesic hyperplanes in hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^{n+1} . We use the well-known Poincaré half space model $(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = (\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \frac{1}{x_{n+1}^2} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\delta)$, where x_{n+1} is the $(n+1)$ -th coordinate, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\delta$ is the Euclidean metric and $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x_{n+1} > 0\}$. Let $P := \{x \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} : x_1 = 0\}$ be a totally geodesic hyperplane. Denote by x the position vector in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} and $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ the coordinate basis of $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\delta)$.

Throughout the paper, we consider $x_0 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ as an immersion of hypersurface in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} . If $\Sigma = x(M)$ satisfies that

- i. $\text{int } \Sigma = x(\text{int } M) \subset P_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} : x_1 > 0\}$,
- ii. $\partial \Sigma = x(\partial M) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} : x_1 = 0\} = P$,
- iii. Σ and P contacts at a constant angle θ on $\partial \Sigma = \Sigma \cap P$,

we call Σ a θ -capillary hypersurface supported on P , and P the supporting hypersurface.

On a θ -capillary hypersurface supported on totally geodesic hyperplane P , we introduce a novel condition called *star-shapedness with respect to cE_{n+1}* .

Definition 1. Let $x : M \rightarrow P_+ \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ be a θ -capillary hypersurface supported on P . We say Σ is star-shaped with respect to cE_{n+1} if it satisfies that

$$\langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle > 0.$$

We consider a flow, defined as a family of embeddings $x : M \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ with $x(\partial \Sigma, \cdot) \subset P$, such that

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} (\partial_t x)^\perp &= q_c \nu, & \text{in } M \times [0, T); \\ \langle \nu, \bar{N} \circ x \rangle &= -\cos \theta, & \text{on } \partial M \times [0, T); \\ x(\cdot, 0) &= x_0(\cdot), & \text{on } M, \end{aligned}$$

where the normal velocity q_c is given by

$$q_c = \frac{nc}{x_{n+1}} - nc \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle - H \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle.$$

We denote by κ the principal curvature of an umbilical hypersurface \mathcal{C} . Umbilical hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space can be classified into three types depending on κ as depicted in the figure. In the case of $\kappa = 0$, it is a totally geodesic hyperplane; in the case of $\kappa > 1$, it is a geodesic sphere, and for $0 < \kappa < 1$, it is an equidistant hypersurface, and if $\kappa = 1$, it is a horosphere. In the Poincaré half space model, \mathcal{C} can be represented as a plane or sphere with respect to the Euclidean metric. It is easy to see that compact umbilical θ -capillary hypersurface, can be part of a geodesic sphere, a horosphere and an equidistant hypersurface.

To state our main theorem, we need the following definition.

Definition 2. We define the θ -umbilical cap $\mathcal{C}_{c,R,\theta}$ as follows

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{C}_{c,R,\theta}(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} : |x - R \cos \theta E_1 - a - cE_{n+1}|_\delta \leq R, x_1 \geq 0\},$$

where a is a constant vector perpendicular to both E_1 and E_{n+1} .

For a θ -umbilical cap, we define a constant $K_0(c, R, \theta)$ by

$$K_0(c, R, \theta) = \begin{cases} c - R \sin \theta & \theta \leq \pi/2 \\ c - R & \theta > \pi/2 \end{cases}.$$




FIGURE 1. \mathcal{C}_1 , equidistant hypersurface; \mathcal{C}_2 , geodesic ball; \mathcal{C}_3 , horosphere.

Note that $K_0(c, R, \theta) > 0$ if and only if the $\mathcal{C}_{c, R, \theta}(a)$ is compact. Combining with Remark 2, we know that its principal curvature $\kappa = \frac{c}{R} > \sin \theta$.

Now we are ready to state our main theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $x_0 : M \rightarrow P_+ \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ be an embedding of a compact capillary hypersurface $\Sigma_0 = x_0(M)$, supported on the totally geodesic plane P with constant contact angle θ . Suppose there exist constants c, R such that $K_0(c, R, \theta) > c(n-1)/4n$, and Σ_0 is contained in the cap $\mathcal{C}_{c, R, \theta}(a)$ and star-shaped with respect to cE_{n+1} . We assume that in addition, θ satisfies that*

$$(3) \quad |\cos \theta| < \frac{4nK_0(c, R, \theta) - c(n-1)}{4nK_0(c, R, \theta) + c(n-1)}.$$

Then the flow (1) exists globally with uniform C^∞ -estimates. Moreover, $x(\cdot, t)$ uniformly converges to an umbilical cap in C^∞ topology as $t \rightarrow \infty$, with the same volume of its enclosed domain as Σ_0 .

Remark 1. In [17] and [20], the angle condition $|\cos \theta| \leq \frac{3n+1}{5n-1}$ is required similar to our angle condition (3). By rearranging the terms, we find that the condition (3) is equivalent to

$$|\cos \theta| < \frac{3n+1-4n \sin \theta R/c}{5n-1-4n \sin \theta R/c}, \quad \text{if } \theta \leq \pi/2;$$

and

$$|\cos \theta| < \frac{3n+1-4nR/c}{5n-1-4nR/c}, \quad \text{if } \theta > \pi/2.$$

Compared to the condition in [17] and [20], our condition is stricter due to R/c .

The following remark is crucial, which shows that some specific umbilical caps are static along the flow (1).

Remark 2. For any $r > 0$, $q_{c,\theta}$ is identically zero on umbilical cap $\mathcal{C}_{c,r,\theta}(a)$. It is well-known that the mean curvature by conformality can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= e^{-w}(H_\delta + n\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{\nu}}w) \\ &= nx_{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{r} + \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{\nu}} \log \frac{1}{x_{n+1}} \right) \\ &= nx_{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{r} - x_{n+1}^{-1} \left\langle \frac{x + r \cos \theta E_1 - cE_{n+1}}{r}, E_{n+1} \right\rangle_\delta \right) \\ &= n \frac{c}{r}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\nu} = r^{-1}(x + r \cos \theta E_1 - cE_{n+1})$ and we use the fact that the mean curvature of $\mathcal{C}_{c,r,\theta}(0)$ with respect to the metric δ is $H_\delta = \frac{n}{r}$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} q_c &= \frac{nc}{x_{n+1}} - n\langle E_1, \nu \rangle c \cos \theta - H\langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \\ &= \frac{nc}{x_{n+1}} - ncx_{n+1}^{-1}\bar{\nu}_1 \cos \theta - \frac{nc}{r} \langle -r \cos \theta E_1 + cE_{n+1} + r\bar{\nu} - cE_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\bar{\nu} \rangle \\ &= \frac{n}{x_{n+1}}(-c\bar{\nu}_1 \cos \theta + c^r \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \bar{\nu}_1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore umbilical caps are static along the flow (1).

Note that the principal curvature of an umbilical cap depends not only on the radius but also on the last coordinate of its center (in the Euclidean metric sense).

The paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2, we introduce basic notations and definitions of hypersurfaces. In Section 3, we prove a new Minkowski formula on capillary hypersurface supported on a totally geodesic hyperplane, comparing to the one in [4]. In Sections 4, 5 and 6, we follow the method in [20] and [16] to study the scalar equation of the flow (1), in particular, we prove the C^0 and C^1 estimates. In Section 7, we prove the uniform convergence of the flow.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Juncheol Pyo for helpful comments and hospitality. X. Chai has been partially supported by National Research Foundation of Korea grant No. 2022R1C1C1013511. Y. Chen has been supported by National Research Foundation of Korea grant No. RS-2023-00247299 and partially supported by National Research Foundation of Korea grant No. NRF-2020R1A01005698.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, we assume that $x : M \rightarrow P_+ \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ is an embedding of capillary hypersurface along P . We denote the second fundamental form of the embedding by h_{ij} . Since ν is the outer normal field on Σ , $h_{ij} = \langle \nabla_{e_i} \nu, e_j \rangle$. Let $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$ be the eigenvalues of (h_{ij}) , i.e., the principal curvatures of Σ . The k -th mean curvature S_k is defined by

$$S_r = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n} \kappa_{i_1} \kappa_{i_2} \dots \kappa_{i_r},$$

and the normalized mean curvature is defined by $H_r = \binom{n}{r}^{-1} S_r$.

The following so-called Newton transformation defined on the tangent bundle is essential to our formula:

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= \text{id}, \\ T_k &= S_k I - T_{k-1} \circ h. \end{aligned}$$

The following properties are well-known,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T_r) &= (n-r)S_r = (n-r) \binom{n}{r} H_r, \\ \text{tr}(T_r \circ h) &= (r+1)S_{r+1} = (n-k) \binom{n}{r} H_{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \bar{N} denote the outer normal field of P (P_+ is the interior side), ν denote the outer normal field of the immersed hypersurface Σ , $\bar{\nu}$ denote the outer normal field of $\partial\Sigma \subset P$ and η denote the outer conormal field along $\partial\Sigma \subset \Sigma$. Without loss of generality, assuming θ as the angle between $-\nu$ and \bar{N} , we have the following relation

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \mu = \sin \theta \bar{N} + \cos \theta \bar{\nu} \\ \nu = -\cos \theta \bar{N} + \sin \theta \bar{\nu} \end{cases}.$$

Then the following lemma is widely recognized, and we refer to [22] for its proof.

Lemma 1. *Let $x : \Sigma \rightarrow P_+ \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion of a capillary hypersurface supported on P . Then μ is a principal direction of Σ , that is,*

$$(5) \quad \bar{\nabla}_\mu \nu = h(\mu, \mu)\mu.$$

This property of capillary hypersurfaces is essential in the proof of the Minkowski type formula in the next section.

3. MINKOWSKI TYPE FORMULA

In this section, we introduce a new Minkowski type formula, which is based on the the following properties (see [8]).

Proposition 1. *It satisfies that*

$$(6) \quad \bar{\nabla}_Z x = -\langle Z, \bar{E}_{n+1} \rangle x + \langle Z, x \rangle \bar{E}_{n+1},$$

$$(7) \quad \bar{\nabla}_Z \bar{x} = \langle \bar{x}, Z \rangle \bar{E}_{n+1},$$

$$(8) \quad \bar{\nabla}_Z E_1 = -\langle Z, \bar{E}_{n+1} \rangle E_1 + \langle Z, E_1 \rangle \bar{E}_{n+1},$$

$$(9) \quad \bar{\nabla}_Z (-E_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{x_{n+1}} Z,$$

and

$$(10) \quad \bar{\nabla}_Z (-\bar{E}_{n+1}) = Z - \langle \bar{E}_{n+1}, Z \rangle \bar{E}_{n+1}.$$

These can be directly calculated by the relation between Levi-Civita connections of the metrics conformal to each other, we refer the proof to [8]. From (6), (8), and (9), we can easily see that x , E_1 , and E_{n+1} are conformal Killing vector fields, that is,

$$(11) \quad (\mathcal{L}_x \bar{g})(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} (\langle \bar{\nabla}_Y x, X \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_X x, Y \rangle) = 0,$$

$$(12) \quad (\mathcal{L}_{E_1} \bar{g})(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \bar{\nabla}_Y E_1, X \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_X E_1, Y \rangle) = 0,$$

and

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{-E_{n+1}} \langle X, Y \rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle \bar{\nabla}_Y (-E_{n+1}), X \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_X (-E_{n+1}), Y \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{x_{n+1}} \langle X, Y \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for any $X, Y \in T\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, where \bar{g} denotes the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Restricting the equation (13) to $T\Sigma$, we have

$$(14) \quad \langle \nabla_{e_i}^\Sigma E_1, e_j \rangle = -\langle e_i, \bar{E}_{n+1} \rangle \langle E_1, e_j \rangle + \langle e_i, E_1 \rangle \langle \bar{E}_{n+1}, e_j \rangle - h_{ij} \langle E_1, \nu \rangle,$$

$$(15) \quad \langle \nabla_{e_i}^\Sigma x, e_j \rangle = -\langle e_i, \bar{E}_{n+1} \rangle \langle x, e_j \rangle + \langle e_i, x \rangle \langle \bar{E}_{n+1}, e_j \rangle - h_{ij} \langle x, \nu \rangle,$$

and

$$(16) \quad \langle \nabla_{e_i}^\Sigma (-E_{n+1}), e_j \rangle = \frac{1}{x_{n+1}} \langle e_i, e_j \rangle - h_{ij} \langle -E_{n+1}, \nu \rangle.$$

Let $x : \Sigma \rightarrow (\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = \left(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \frac{1}{x_{n+1}^2} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\delta \right)$ be an immersion of θ -capillary hypersurface supported on the hyperplane P . By using the facts above, we can prove the following Minkowski type formula on Σ .

Proposition 2. *For $k = 1, \dots, n$, and $c > 0$, it satisfies that*

$$(17) \quad \int_\Sigma \left[ncH_{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{x_{n+1}} - \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle \right) - H_k \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \right] dA = 0,$$

where H_k is the k -th mean curvature of Σ .

Proof. Let T_r acts on the both side of (15) + c(16) and integrate. Using divergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-r+1) \binom{n}{r-1} \int_\Sigma \left[H_{r-1} \left(\frac{c}{x_{n+1}} \right) - H_r \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \right] dA \\ &= \int_\Sigma \operatorname{div}_\Sigma (T_{r-1}(x - cE_{n+1})) dA \\ &= \int_{\partial\Sigma} T_{r-1}(x - cE_{n+1}, \mu) dA \\ &= \cos \theta \int_{\partial\Sigma} S_{r-1; \mu} \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \bar{\nu} \rangle ds, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used (5), the angle relation (4) and the fact that $\bar{N} = -x_{n+1} E_1$.

Let $Z_{1, n+1} = \langle E_1, \nu \rangle \bar{E}_{n+1} - \langle \bar{E}_{n+1}, \nu \rangle E_1$, applying Proposition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_{e_i} Z_{1, n+1}, e_j \rangle &= -\delta_{ij} \langle E_1, \nu \rangle + h_{ik} [\langle E_1, e_k \rangle \langle \bar{E}_{n+1}, e_j \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \bar{E}_{n+1}, e_k \rangle \langle E_1, e_j \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$

Let T_{r-1} act on both sides, we get

$$\operatorname{div}_\Sigma (T_{r-1}(Z_{1, n+1})) = -(n-r+1) \binom{n}{r-1} H_{r-1} \langle E_1, \nu \rangle.$$

Considering the vector field $Z_1 = \bar{g}(E_1, \nu)\bar{x} - \bar{g}(\bar{x}, \nu)E_1$, from Proposition 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} Z_1, e_j \rangle &= \langle \bar{E}_{n+1}, \nu \rangle [\langle e_i, E_1 \rangle \langle \bar{x}, e_j \rangle - \langle e_i, \bar{x} \rangle \langle E_1, e_j \rangle] \\ &\quad + \langle E_1, \nu \rangle [\langle e_i, \bar{x} \rangle \langle \bar{E}_{n+1}, e_j \rangle - \langle e_i, \bar{E}_{n+1} \rangle \langle \bar{x}, e_j \rangle] \\ &\quad + h_{ik} [\langle E_1, e_k \rangle \langle \bar{x}, e_j \rangle - \langle \bar{x}, e_k \rangle \langle E_1, e_j \rangle] \\ &\quad + \langle \bar{x}, \nu \rangle [\langle \bar{E}_{n+1}, e_i \rangle \langle E_1, e_j \rangle - \langle E_1, e_i \rangle \langle \bar{E}_{n+1}, e_j \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\operatorname{div}_\Sigma(T_{r-1}(Z_1)) = 0.$$

Combining all the equations above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(n-k+1) \binom{n}{k-1} \int_\Sigma \left(\frac{cH_{k-1}}{x_{n+1}} - H_k \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \right) dA \\ &= \cos \theta \int_{\partial\Sigma} S_{k-1; \mu} \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \bar{\nu} \rangle ds \\ &= \cos \theta \int_{\partial\Sigma} S_{k-1; \mu} \langle Z_1 - cZ_{1, n+1}, \mu \rangle ds \\ &= \cos \theta \int_{\partial\Sigma} T_{k-1} \langle Z_1 - cZ_{1, n+1}, \mu \rangle ds \\ &= \cos \theta \int_\Sigma \operatorname{div}_\Sigma(T_{k-1}(Z_1 - cZ_{1, n+1})) ds \\ &= (n-k+1) \binom{n}{k-1} \cos \theta \int_\Sigma H_{k-1} \langle cE_1, \nu \rangle dA. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the Minkowski type formula (17). \square

Let $k = 1$, the Minkowski formula (17) becomes

$$(18) \quad \int_\Sigma \left[nc \left(\frac{1}{x_{n+1}} - \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle \right) - H \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \right] dA = 0,$$

which holds for any capillary hypersurfaces Σ supported on totally geodesic hyperplane P . Here $H = nH_1$ is the mean curvature of Σ .

Remark 3. The second author and Juncheol Pyo [4] gave another version of Minkowski type formula on capillary hypersurfaces supported on a totally geodesic plane, which is presented in Poincaré ball model $(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \bar{g}) = (\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \frac{4}{(1-|x|^2)^2} \delta)$ as follows (\mathbb{B}^{n+1} is an Euclidean unit ball and δ is the Euclidean metric in \mathbb{B}^{n+1}).

$$(19) \quad \int_\Sigma [nV_0 - n \cos \theta \bar{g}(Y_{n+1}, \nu) - H \bar{g}(x, \nu)] dA = 0,$$

where Σ is a θ -capillary hypersurface supported on a totally geodesic hypersurface $P' = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \bar{g}(x, E_{n+1}) = 0\}$ (in Poincaré ball model), $V_0 = (1 + |x|^2)/(1 - |x|^2)$, x is the position vector and $Y_{n+1} = \delta(x, E_{n+1})x - \frac{1}{2}(1 + |x|^2)E_{n+1}$. But unfortunately, we cannot find any umbilical θ -capillary hypersurfaces where the integrand in (19) is identically zero.

Let $\hat{\Sigma}$ denote the domain enclosed by Σ and P , and $|\widehat{\partial\Sigma}|$ be the domain enclosed by $\partial\Sigma$ on P . The energy functional defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}(\Sigma) = |\Sigma| - \cos \theta |\widehat{\partial\Sigma}|$$

is well-known since the critical hypersurface of this functional under any volume preserving variation is a θ -capillary hypersurface with constant mean curvature, see [18] and [22]. Under a flow $\Sigma_t = x_t(M)$ with with the given normal velocity f and capillary boundary condition as in (1), the following variation formula is well-known:

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\hat{\Sigma}_t| = \int_{\Sigma_t} f dA_t$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}Q(\Sigma_t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} H f dA_t.$$

From the Minkowski formula (18), it is evident that the flow described in (1) is a volume preserving flow.

4. SCALAR EQUATION OF THE FLOW

In this section, we express the flow (1) by a scalar equation of the radius function. Let x be the position vector defined on M which is represented by

$$x = cE_{n+1} + \rho(z)z, z \in \Omega \subset \bar{\mathbb{S}}_+^n,$$

where ρ defined on \mathbb{S}_+^n is the distance between x and cE_{n+1} in the Euclidean metric. Since Σ is smooth, star-shaped, the function ρ is well-defined and smooth on Ω . Let $u = \log \rho$, then $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$. Define $v = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}$, where ∇u is the gradient of u with respect to the ordinary metric on \mathbb{S}_+^n . From the basic facts for radial function, it is well-known that

$$\tilde{\nu} := x_{n+1}^{-1}\nu = \frac{\zeta - \rho^{-1}\nabla u}{v},$$

where $\zeta = \partial_\rho$.

Throughout the paper, we let the indices i, j, k range from 1 to n and we will apply the Einstein convention.

We use polar coordinates $(\rho, \beta, \gamma, \xi) \in [0, +\infty) \times [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \times [0, 2\pi] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, where ξ is the spherical coordinate on \mathbb{S}^{n-2} , and the star-shaped hypersurface $\Sigma := x(M)$ can be written as

$$(20) \quad x - cE_{n+1} = \rho(z)z = \rho(\beta, \gamma, \xi)z, \quad \text{where } z := (\beta, \gamma, \xi) \in \bar{\mathbb{S}}_+^n,$$

where $x_1 = \rho \cos \beta$ and $x_{n+1} = \rho \sin \beta \cos \gamma + c = e^{-w}$. Then the Euclidean metric $ds^2 = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\delta$ can be written as

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \rho^2 \sigma_{\mathbb{S}_+^n} = d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\beta^2 + \rho^2 \sin^2 \beta d\gamma^2 + \rho^2 \sin^2 \beta \sin^2 \gamma \sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}},$$

and we have

$$\rho^2 = x_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n x_i^2 + (x_{n+1} - c)^2.$$

Now we can represent E_1, E_{n+1} by the coordinate (20). Indeed, since

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_1} = \frac{x_1}{\rho} = \cos \beta,$$

and

$$-\sin \beta \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial(\cos \beta)}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial(x_1/\rho)}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\sin^2 \beta}{\rho},$$

we can represent E_1 by the polar coordinate defined above,

$$(21) \quad E_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_1} \partial_\rho + \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_1} \partial_\beta = \cos \beta \partial_\rho - \frac{\sin \beta}{\rho} \partial_\beta.$$

Similarly, since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_{n+1}} &= \frac{x_{n+1} - c}{\rho} = \sin \beta \cos \gamma, \\ -\sin \beta \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{n+1}} &= \frac{\partial(\cos \beta)}{\partial x_{n+1}} = \frac{\partial(x_1/\rho)}{\partial x_{n+1}} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \sin \beta \cos \beta \cos \gamma \end{aligned}$$

and

$$-\sin \gamma \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_{n+1}} = \frac{\partial(\cos \gamma)}{\partial x_{n+1}} = \frac{\partial((x_{n+1} - c)/(\rho \sin \beta))}{\partial x_{n+1}} = \frac{\sin^2 \gamma}{\rho \sin \beta},$$

we have

$$(22) \quad E_{n+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+1}} = \sin \beta \cos \gamma \partial_\rho + \frac{1}{\rho} \cos \beta \cos \gamma \partial_\beta - \frac{\sin \gamma}{\rho \sin \beta} \partial_\gamma.$$

Denoting $u_\beta = \sigma(\nabla u, \partial_\beta)$ and $u_\gamma = \sigma(\nabla u, \partial_\gamma)$, from (21) and (22) we have

$$(23) \quad \langle E_1, \nu \rangle = e^{2w} \langle \cos \beta \partial_\rho - \frac{\sin \beta}{\rho} \partial_\beta, e^{-w} \frac{\zeta - \rho^{-1} \nabla u}{v} \rangle_\delta = e^w \frac{\cos \beta - \sin \beta u_\beta}{v},$$

and

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle E_{n+1}, \tilde{\nu} \rangle_\delta &= \langle \sin \beta \cos \gamma \partial_\rho + \frac{1}{\rho} \cos \beta \cos \gamma \partial_\beta - \frac{\sin \gamma}{\rho \sin \beta} \partial_\gamma, \frac{\zeta - \rho^{-1} \nabla u}{v} \rangle_\delta \\ &= \frac{1}{v} (\sin \beta \cos \gamma - \cos \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta + \sin \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

By definition, we have

$$(25) \quad \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle = e^{2w} \langle \rho \zeta, e^{-w} \frac{\zeta - \rho^{-1} \nabla u}{v} \rangle = \frac{\rho e^w}{v}.$$

Moreover, by the conformality of mean curvature,

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= e^{-w} \left[\frac{n}{\rho v} - \frac{1}{\rho v} \left(\sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{v^2} \right) u_{ij} \right] - n D_{\tilde{\nu}} e^{-w} \\ &= e^{-w} \left[\frac{n}{\rho v} - \frac{1}{\rho v} \left(\sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{v^2} \right) u_{ij} \right] - n \langle E_{n+1}, \tilde{\nu} \rangle_\delta \\ &= -\frac{1}{\rho v e^w} \left(\sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{v^2} \right) u_{ij} + \frac{1}{v} (\cos \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta - \sin \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma) + \frac{nc}{\rho v}, \end{aligned}$$

where σ^{ij} corresponds to the inverse of the metric on \mathbb{S}_+^n , $u^i = \sigma^{ij} u_j$ is the i -th component of ∇u (the gradient of u on \mathbb{S}_+^n) and u_{ij} is the (i, j) -th component of the Hessian $\nabla^2 u$ on \mathbb{S}_+^n . Then by calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} q_c &= nc \left(\frac{1}{x_{n+1}} - \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle \right) - H \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \\ &= nce^w - nc \cos \theta v^{-1} e^w (\cos \theta - \sin \beta u_\beta) \\ &\quad - \left(-\frac{1}{\rho v e^w} \left(\sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{v^2} \right) u_{ij} + \frac{1}{v} (\cos \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta - \sin \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma) + \frac{nc}{\rho v} \right) \frac{\rho e^w}{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Writing the flow as a function u of t , from the flow (1), we see that

$$q_c = \langle \partial_t x, \nu \rangle = \rho_t e^{-w} e^{2w} \left\langle \zeta, \frac{\zeta - \rho^{-1} \nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right\rangle_\delta = \frac{\rho_t e^w}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} = \frac{u_t \rho e^w}{v}.$$

Therefore, we obtain the evolution equation of u as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad u_t &= \frac{v}{\rho e^w} q_c \\ &= \frac{ncv}{\rho} - \frac{nc \cos \theta}{\rho} (\cos \beta - \sin \beta u_\beta) + \frac{1}{\rho e^w v} \left(\sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{v^2} \right) u_{ij} \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{v} (-\cos \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta + \sin \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma) - \frac{nc}{\rho v} \\ &= \frac{nc}{\rho} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{v} - \frac{nc \cos \theta}{\rho} (\cos \beta - \sin \beta u_\beta) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\rho e^w v} \left(\sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{v^2} \right) u_{ij} + \frac{n}{v} (-\cos \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta + \sin \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma) \\ &=: Q_c(\nabla^2 u, \nabla u, \rho, \beta, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

As for the boundary condition in (1),

$$\begin{aligned} -\cos \theta &= \langle \nu, \bar{N} \rangle \\ &= e^{2w} \langle e^{-w} \tilde{\nu}, -e^{-w} E_1 \rangle_\delta \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\zeta - \rho^{-1} \nabla u}{v}, \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\beta \right\rangle_\delta \\ &= -\frac{1}{v} u_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(28) \quad u_\beta = \rho v \cos \theta = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \cos \theta.$$

Combining (27) and (28), we know that the flow (1) can be written as the parabolic equation of the scalar function u as follows,

$$(29) \quad \begin{cases} u_t = Q_c(\nabla^2 u, \nabla u, \rho, \beta, \gamma), & \text{in } \mathbb{S}_+^n \times [0, T]; \\ u_\beta = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \cos \theta, & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{S}_+^n \times [0, T]; \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0(\cdot), & \text{on } \mathbb{S}_+^n, \end{cases}$$

where $u_0 = \log \rho_0$ and $\rho_0 = |x_0 - cE_{n+1}|_\delta$ is the radial function with respect to cE_{n+1} of the initial hypersurface $\Sigma_0 = x_0(M)$.

The short time existence of the flow can be guaranteed by applying the standard PDE theory to (29), due to the assumption on the star-shapedness of $\Sigma_0 = x_0(M)$. In the following section, we will show the uniform C^0 and C^1 -estimates for the equation.

Before we start, we need the following calculation.

$$(30) \quad Q_c^{ij} := \frac{\partial Q_c(\lambda, \psi, \rho, \beta, \gamma)}{\partial \lambda_{ij}} \Big|_{\lambda = \nabla^2 u, \psi = \nabla u} = \frac{1}{\rho v e^w} \left(\sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{v^2} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(31) \quad Q_{c,\psi_i} &:= \frac{\partial Q_c(\lambda, \psi, \rho, \beta, \gamma)}{\partial \psi_i} \Big|_{\lambda=\nabla^2 u, \psi=\nabla u} \\
&= \frac{nc}{\rho} \left(\frac{2u_i}{v} - \frac{|\nabla u|^2 u_i}{v^3} \right) - \frac{u_i}{\rho e^w v^3} a^{kl} u_{kl} - \frac{2}{\rho e^w v^3} a^{il} u_{kl} u_k \\
&\quad + \frac{nc \cos \theta \sin \beta}{\rho} \sigma(\partial_\beta, e_i) + \frac{nu_i}{v^3} (-\cos \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta + \sin \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma) \\
&\quad - \frac{n}{v} (\cos \beta \cos \gamma \sigma(\partial_\beta, e_i) - \sin \beta \sin \gamma \sigma(\partial_\gamma, e_i)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(32) \quad Q_{c,\rho} &:= \frac{\partial Q_c(\lambda, \psi, \rho, \beta, \gamma)}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{\lambda=\nabla^2 u, \psi=\nabla u} \\
&= \frac{nc}{\rho^2} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{v} + \frac{nc \cos \theta}{\rho^2} (\cos \beta - \sin \beta u_\beta) - \frac{1}{\rho^2 v} a^{ij} u_{ij},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(33) \quad Q_{c,\beta} &:= \frac{\partial Q_c(\lambda, \psi, \rho, \beta, \gamma)}{\partial \beta} \Big|_{\lambda=\nabla^2 u, \psi=\nabla u} \\
&= \frac{1}{v} \cos \beta \cos \gamma a^{ij} u_{ij} + nc \cos \theta \left(\frac{\sin \beta}{\rho} + \frac{\cos \beta}{\rho} u_\beta \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{n}{v} (\sin \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta + \cos \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(34) \quad Q_{c,\gamma} &:= \frac{\partial Q_c(\lambda, \psi, \rho, \beta, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma} \Big|_{\lambda=\nabla^2 u, \psi=\nabla u} \\
&= -\frac{1}{v} \sin \beta \sin \gamma a^{ij} u_{ij} + \frac{n}{v} (\cos \beta \sin \gamma u_\beta + \sin \beta \cos \gamma u_\gamma),
\end{aligned}$$

where $a^{ij} = \sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{v^2}$. Now we are ready to prove the C^0 and C^1 -estimates.

5. C^0 ESTIMATE

Wang and Weng [20] proved a C^0 -estimate for a similar constrained mean curvature type flow of capillary hypersurfaces in the Euclidean unit ball. More specifically, if the initial surface is bounded by two spherical caps that remains stationary under a specified constrained mean curvature flow, the flow will remain bounded by the same two spherical caps. Therefore, a certain pair of spherical caps can be used as *barriers* of their designed constrained mean curvature flow. A similar property holds in the case of geodesic ball in space forms, see [17].

According to the discussion in Remark 2, the umbilical caps defined by (2) can be regarded as barriers of the flow (1). Then we have a similar corresponding C^0 -estimate.

Proposition 3. *Let $x_0(M)$ be an initial star-shaped hypersurface with respect to cE_{n+1} which satisfies that*

$$x_0(M) \subset \mathcal{C}_{c,R_1,\theta} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{c,R_2,\theta}$$

for some $R_1 > R_2 > 0$, where $\mathcal{C}_{c,R,\theta}$ is defined in (2). Then it holds that

$$x(M, t) \subset \mathcal{C}_{c,R_1,\theta} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{c,R_2,\theta}$$

for all t along the flow (1). In particular, if $u(x, t)$ solves the initial boundary value problem (29) on $[0, \infty)$, then for any $T > 0$,

$$\|u\|_{C^0(\mathbb{S}_+^n \times [0, T])} \leq C,$$

where $C = C(u_0, \nabla u_0, \nabla^2 u_0)$.

Proof. Let φ be the defining logarithmic radial function of $C_{c, R_1, \theta}$. Then, φ is a static solution of the equation of (29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(u - \varphi) &= Q_c(\nabla^2 u, \nabla u, e^u, \beta, \gamma) - Q_c(\nabla^2 \varphi, \nabla \varphi, e^\varphi, \beta, \gamma) \\ &= A^{ij} \nabla_{ij}(u - \varphi) + b^j \cdot (u - \varphi)_j + B(u - \varphi), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A^{ij} = \int_0^1 Q_c^{ij}(\nabla^2(su + (1-s)\varphi), \nabla(su + (1-s)\varphi), e^{su+(1-s)\varphi}, \beta, \gamma) ds,$$

$$b_j = \int_0^1 Q_{c, p_j}(\nabla^2(su + (1-s)\varphi), \nabla(su + (1-s)\varphi), e^{su+(1-s)\varphi}, \beta, \gamma) ds$$

and

$$B = \int_0^1 Q_{c, \rho}(\nabla^2(su + (1-s)\varphi), \nabla(su + (1-s)\varphi), e^{su+(1-s)\varphi}, \beta, \gamma) e^{su+(1-s)\varphi} ds.$$

Since $Q_{c, \rho}$ has no singular point if $\rho \neq 0$, B is bounded and we can denote $\lambda = -\sup_{\mathbb{S}_+^n \times [0, T]} |B|$. We get

$$\partial_t(e^{\lambda t}(u - \varphi)) = e^{\lambda t}(A^{ij} \nabla_{ij}(u - \varphi) + b^j \cdot (u - \varphi)_j + (B + \lambda)(u - \varphi)).$$

Let (y_0, t_0) be the point where $e^{\lambda t}(u - \varphi)$ attains its nonnegative maximum value. By maximum principle, (y_0, t_0) can only be located on the parabolic boundary, say (y_0, t_0) . That is,

$$e^{\lambda t}(u(x, t) - \varphi(x)) \leq \sup_{\partial \mathbb{S}_+^n \times [0, T] \cup \mathbb{S}_+^n \times \{0\}} \{0, e^{\lambda t}(u(x, t) - \varphi(x))\}.$$

If $y_0 \in \partial \mathbb{S}_+^n$, by Hopf Lemma we have

$$\nabla^{\partial \mathbb{S}_+^n}(u - \varphi)(y_0, t_0) = 0; \quad \nabla_n(u - \varphi)(y_0, t_0) < 0,$$

where $\nabla^{\partial \mathbb{S}_+^n}$ denotes the gradient of a function on $\partial \mathbb{S}_+^n$, and ∇_n is the normal derivative on $\partial \mathbb{S}_+^n$. Then we have

$$|\nabla^{\partial \mathbb{S}_+^n} u(y_0, t_0)| = |\nabla^{\partial \mathbb{S}_+^n} \varphi(y_0, t_0)| := k, \quad \text{and } \nabla_n u(y_0, t_0) < \nabla_n \varphi(y_0, t_0).$$

From the boundary condition in (29),

$$\frac{\nabla_n u}{\sqrt{1 + k^2 + |\nabla_n u|^2}} = -\cos \theta = \frac{\nabla_n \varphi}{\sqrt{1 + k^2 + |\nabla_n \varphi|^2}},$$

which is a contradiction to $\nabla_n u(y_0, t_0) < \nabla_n \varphi(y_0, t_0)$ by monotonicity of the function $g(x) = x/\sqrt{1 + k^2 + x^2}$.

Therefore we can only have $t_0 = 0$, that is,

$$e^{\lambda t}(u(y, t) - \varphi(y, t)) \leq u_0(y_0) - \varphi(y_0) \leq 0, \quad \forall (y, t) \in \mathbb{S}_+^n \times [0, T],$$

which gives u an upper bound by the umbilical cap $C_{c, R_2, \theta}$'s defining function φ . Similarly, the desired lower bound can be obtained. We have finished the proof of Proposition 3. \square

6. C^1 -ESTIMATE

In this section, we prove a C^1 -estimate of the flow (29). Inspired by [20], we use the similar auxiliary function. Let $d : \text{neigh}(\partial\mathbb{S}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative smooth function on $\text{neigh}(\partial\mathbb{S}_+^n) \subset \mathbb{S}_+^n$ defined by

$$d(x) := \text{dist}_\sigma(x, \partial\mathbb{S}_+^n).$$

Indeed, the function is well defined on a neighborhood of $\partial\mathbb{S}_+^n$ but it can be extended to the whole \mathbb{S}_+^n and satisfies that

$$d \geq 0, \quad |\nabla d| \leq 1, \quad \text{in } \bar{\mathbb{S}}_+^n.$$

The following C^1 -estimate is essential for the flow (1).

Proposition 4. *If θ satisfies that $|\cos \theta| < \frac{4nK_0(c,R,\theta)-c(n-1)}{4nK_0(c,R,\theta)+c(n-1)}$, for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{S}_+^n \times [0, T]$ ($T < T^*$), we have*

$$|\nabla u|(x, t) \leq C,$$

for some positive constant $C = C(\nabla^2 u_0, \nabla u_0, u_0)$.

Proof. Define an auxiliary function inspired by [20],

$$\Psi := (1 + Kd)v + \cos \theta \sigma(\nabla u, \nabla d)$$

where K is a constant to be determined later. Let $(y_0, t_0) \in \bar{\mathbb{S}}_+^n \times [0, t]$ be the point where Ψ attains its maximum. We will discuss case by case to prove the theorem.

Case 1: $(y_0, t_0) \in \partial\mathbb{S}_+^n \times [0, T]$. At the point $x_0 \in \partial\mathbb{S}_+^n$, we choose a local coordinate $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ near y_0 , such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} = -\partial_\beta$ is an inner normal vector of $\partial\mathbb{S}_+^n$, and $\{y_i\}_{i=2}^{n+1}$ be the geodesic coordinate near $y_0 \in \partial\mathbb{S}_+^n$ along $y_1 = t$, ($0 < t < \varepsilon$) in the neighborhood of x_0 . Under this coordinate, $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} = \nabla d$ on $\partial\mathbb{S}_+^n$.

First of all, from the boundary condition of (29), we know that $u_1 := \nabla_{-\partial_\beta} u = -\cos \theta v$, denote $\nabla' u = \nabla u - u_1$, then from $1 + |\nabla' u|^2 = v^2 - u_1^2 = \sin^2 \theta v^2$ we have,

$$\Psi|_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^n} = v - v \cos^2 \theta = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' u|^2 + u_1^2} \sin^2 \theta = |\sin \theta| \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' u|^2}.$$

Applying the Gauss-Weingarten equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_1 v &= \frac{\nabla_k u \nabla_{k1} u}{v} = \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n \nabla_i u \nabla_{1i} u}{v} - \cos \theta \nabla_{11} u \\ &= \frac{1}{v} \sum_{i=2}^n \left(u_i u_{i1} + \sum_{j=2}^n u_i h_{ij}^{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^n} u_j \right) - \cos \theta \nabla_{11} u \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{u_i u_{i1}}{v} - \cos \theta \nabla_{11} u, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $h_{ij}^{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^n} = 0$ since $\partial\mathbb{S}_+^n$ is totally geodesic in \mathbb{S}_+^n . Then, from Hopf Lemma we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (35) \quad 0 &\geq \nabla_1 \Psi(x_0) = \nabla_1 v + K v \nabla_1 d + \nabla_1 (u_k d_k) \cos \theta \\ &= \nabla_1 v + K v \nabla_1 d + \nabla_{k1} u d_k \cos \theta + u_k \nabla_{k1} d \cos \theta \\ &= \frac{1}{v} \sum_{i=2}^n u_i u_{i1} + K v + u_k \nabla_{k1} d \cos \theta, \end{aligned}$$

and for $i \geq 2$, we have

$$\nabla'_i \Psi(x_0) = v_i + u_{1i} \cos \theta.$$

Differentiating the boundary condition (29), together with the fact above we have

$$u_{1i} = -\nabla'_i(\cos \theta v) = \cos^2 \theta u_{1i},$$

therefore we know

$$(36) \quad u_{1i} = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Then applying (36) to (35), we have

$$0 \geq Kv + u_k \nabla_{k1} d \cos \theta \geq \Psi \left(\frac{K}{\sin^2 \theta} - C_1 \right),$$

where C_1 is a universal constant which satisfies $\frac{|\nabla u| \cos \theta}{v |\sin^2 \theta|} \leq C_1$. Then K can be chosen large enough so that it comes to a contradiction.

Case 2: If $(x_0, t_0) \in \bar{\mathbb{S}}_+^n \times \{0\}$, then

$$\Psi(x, t) \leq \Psi(x_0, 0) = (1 + Kd) \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_0|^2} + \cos \theta \sigma(\nabla u_0, \nabla d) \leq C_2.$$

Therefore $v(x, t) \leq C$, for any $(x, t) \in \bar{\mathbb{S}} \times [0, T]$.

Case 3: In the case of $(x_0, t_0) \in \text{int}(\mathbb{S}_+^n)$, we have

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_i \Psi(x_0, t_0) = (1 + Kd)v_i + Kd_i v + \cos \theta (u_k d_k)_i \\ &= \left((1 + Kd) \frac{\nabla_k u}{v} + \nabla_k d \cos \theta \right) \nabla_{ik} u + \nabla_k u \nabla_{ik} d \cos \theta + Kd_i v. \end{aligned}$$

Choose a geodesic coordinate, up to a rotation of the one in **Case 1**, such that

$$u_1 = |\nabla u| > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \{\nabla_{\alpha\beta} u\}_{2 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} \text{ is diagonal.}$$

Assume $u_1 = |\nabla u|$ is large enough such that $u_1, v = \sqrt{1 + u_1^2}$ and $\Psi = (1 + Kd)v + u_1 d_1 \cos \theta$ are equivalent. Otherwise, we could obtain the desire C^1 estimate for u . Here we denote $d_1 = \sigma(\nabla d, e_1) = u_1^{-1} \sigma(\nabla d, \nabla u)$.

Firstly, from (37) by letting $i = \alpha$, we have

$$(38) \quad \left[(1 + Kd) \frac{u_1}{v} + \cos \theta d_1 \right] u_{1\alpha} = -\cos \theta u_{\alpha\alpha} d_\alpha - \cos \theta u_1 d_{1\alpha} - Kd_\alpha v,$$

and letting $i = 1$, we have

$$(39) \quad \left[(1 + Kd) \frac{u_1}{v} + \cos \theta d_1 \right] u_{11} = -\cos \theta u_{\alpha 1} d_\alpha - \cos \theta u_1 d_{11} - Kd_1 v,$$

We can see from the (38) that

$$(40) \quad u_{1\alpha} = -S^{-1} \cos \theta u_{\alpha\alpha} d_\alpha - S^{-1} (\cos \theta u_1 d_{1\alpha} + Kd_\alpha v),$$

where $S = (1 + Kd) \frac{u_1}{v} + \cos \theta d_1$, and it is clear that $2 + \pi K \geq S \geq C(\delta, \theta)$, if there exists some positive $\delta > 0$ such that $u_1(x_0, t_0) \geq \delta$. Indeed, if not, we would have already proved the theorem.

Inserting (40) into (39),

$$\begin{aligned}
(41) \quad u_{11} &= -S^{-1} \cos \theta u_{\alpha 1} d_\alpha - S^{-1} (\cos \theta u_1 d_{11} + K d_1 v) \\
&= \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{S^2} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n d_\alpha^2 u_{\alpha\alpha} + \left[\sum_{\alpha=2}^n \frac{\cos \theta d_\alpha}{S^2} (\cos \theta u_1 d_{1\alpha} + K d_\alpha v) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - S^{-1} (\cos \theta u_1 d_{11} + K d_1 v) \right] \\
&= \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{S^2} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n d_\alpha^2 u_{\alpha\alpha} + O(v).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the condition of second derivative on (x_0, t_0) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(42) \quad 0 &\leq (\partial_t - Q_c^{ij} \nabla_{ij} - Q_{c, p_i} \nabla_i) \Phi \\
&= \frac{(1 + Kd)}{v} u_k (u_{kt} - Q_c^{ij} u_{kij} - Q_{c, p_i} u_{ki}) \\
&\quad + d_k \cos \theta (u_{kt} - Q_c^{ij} u_{kij} - Q_{c, p_i} u_{ki}) \\
&\quad + (1 + Kd) \left(\frac{Q_c^{ij} u_l u_{li} u_k u_{kj}}{v^3} - \frac{Q_c^{ij} u_{li} u_{lj}}{v} \right) \\
&\quad - (2Q_c^{ij} u_{ki} d_{kj} \cos \theta + 2K Q_c^{ij} d_i v_j) \\
&\quad - (Q_c^{ij} u_k d_{kij} \cos \theta + K Q_c^{ij} d_{ij} v) \\
&\quad - Q_{c, \psi_i} (K d_i v + \cos \theta u_k d_{ki}) \\
&:= L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4 + L_5 + L_6.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us examine the term L_1 and L_2 at first. Differentiating the parabolic equation (29) with respect to t , we have

$$(43) \quad u_{tk} = Q_c^{ij} u_{ijk} + Q_{c, p_i} u_{ik} + Q_{c, \rho} \rho u_k + Q_{c, \beta} \sigma(\partial_\beta, e_k) + Q_{c, \gamma} \sigma(\partial_\gamma, e_k).$$

Ricci identity on \mathbb{S}_+^n gives that

$$(44) \quad u_{ijk} = u_{kij} + u_j \sigma_{il} - u_l \sigma_{ij}.$$

And by definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(45) \quad a^{ij} u_{ij} &= \left(\sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{v^2} \right) u_{ij} = u_{11} + \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha} - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{v^2} u_{11} \\
&= \frac{1}{v^2} u_{11} + \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (43), (44) and (45) to the terms L_1 and L_2 gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= \frac{1+Kd}{v} u_k (u_{kt} - Q^{ij} u_{kij} - Q_{c,p_i} u_{ki}) \\
&= \frac{1+Kd}{v} u_k (Q_c^{ij} (u_j \sigma_{ik} - u_k \sigma_{ij}) + Q_{c,\rho} \rho u_k + Q_{c,\beta} \sigma(\partial_\beta, e_k) \\
&\quad + Q_{c,\gamma} \sigma(\partial_\gamma, e_k)) \\
&= \frac{1+Kd}{v^4} \left(\cos \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta - \sin \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma - c \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\rho} \right) u_{11} \\
&\quad - c \frac{1+Kd}{\rho v^2} |\nabla u|^2 \left(\sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha} \right) \\
(46) \quad &\quad - nc \frac{1+Kd}{v} |\nabla u|^2 \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\rho v} + \frac{\cos \theta \sin \beta}{\rho} u_\beta \right) \\
&\quad + (1+Kd) \left\{ \frac{1}{v^2} (\cos \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta - \sin \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma) \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha} \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{n \cos \theta \cos \beta}{v \rho} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n}{v^2} (\sin \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta^2 + 2 \cos \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma u_\beta \\
&\quad \left. + \sin \beta \cos \gamma u_\gamma^2) + \frac{(1-n)(1+Kd)|\nabla u|^2}{\rho e^w v^2} \right\} \\
&:= L_{11} + L_{12} + L_{13} + L_{14}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $L_{14} = O(v^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha} + O(v)$. And using (41) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{11} &= \frac{1+Kd}{v^4} \left(\cos \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta - \sin \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma - c \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\rho} \right) \left(\frac{\cos^2 \theta}{S^2} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n d_\alpha^2 u_{\alpha\alpha} + O(u_1) \right) \\
&= O(v^{-2}) \sum_{\alpha=2}^n |u_{\alpha\alpha}| + O(v^{-1}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{47}$$

Similarly, the term L_2 be written as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2 &= d_k \cos \theta (u_{kt} - Q_c^{ij} u_{kij} - Q_{c,p_i} u_{ki}) \\
&= \frac{\cos \theta}{v^3} \left(\cos \beta \cos \gamma d_\beta - \sin \beta \sin \gamma d_\gamma - c \frac{\sigma(\nabla u, \nabla d)}{\rho} \right) u_{11} \\
&\quad - c \frac{\cos \theta}{\rho v} \sigma(\nabla u, \nabla d) \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha} \\
(48) \quad &\quad - nc \sigma(\nabla u, \nabla d) \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\rho v} + \frac{\cos \theta \sin \beta}{\rho} u_\beta \right) \\
&\quad + \cos \theta \left[\frac{1}{v} (\cos \beta \cos \gamma d_\beta - \sin \beta \sin \gamma d_\gamma) \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha} \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{n \cos \theta \cos \beta}{\rho} \sigma(\nabla u, \nabla d) + \frac{n}{v} (\sin \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta + \cos \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma) d_\beta \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{n}{v} (\sin \beta \cos \gamma u_\beta + \cos \beta \sin \gamma u_\gamma) d_\alpha + (1-n) \frac{1}{\rho e^w} (\nabla u, \nabla d) \right] \\
&:= L_{21} + L_{22} + L_{23} + L_{24}.
\end{aligned}$$

And for the same reason, we have $L_{21} = O(v^{-2}) \sum_{\alpha=2}^n |u_{\alpha\alpha}| + O(v^{-1})$ and $L_{24} = O(\frac{1}{v}) \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha} + O(v)$.

For the term L_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(49) \quad L_3 &= (1 + Kd) \left(\frac{Q_c^{ij} u_l u_l u_k u_{kj}}{v^3} - \frac{Q_c^{ij} u_l u_l u_j}{v} \right) \\
&= \frac{1 + Kd}{\rho v e^w} \left(\sigma^{ij} - \frac{u_i u_j}{v^2} \right) \left(\frac{u_l u_l u_k u_{kj}}{v^3} - \frac{u_l u_l u_j}{v} \right) \\
&= \frac{1 + Kd}{\rho v e^w} \left(-\frac{1}{v^5} u_{11}^2 - \frac{2}{v^3} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{1\alpha}^2 - \frac{1}{v} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha}^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1 + Kd}{\rho v e^w} \left(-\frac{1}{v^5} u_{11}^2 - \frac{2}{v^3} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{1\alpha}^2 \right) - (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{1 + Kd}{\rho v^2 e^w} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha}^2 \\
&\quad - \varepsilon \frac{1 + Kd}{\rho v^2 e^w} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha}^2 \\
&:= L_{31} + L_{32} + L_{33}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we compile the following three terms

$$\begin{aligned}
(50) \quad L_{12} + L_{22} + L_{32} &= -\frac{c}{\rho v} \left(\frac{1 + Kd}{v} |\nabla u|^2 + \cos \theta \sigma(\nabla u, \nabla d) \right) \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha} \\
&\quad - (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{1 + Kd}{\rho v^2 e^w} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha}^2 \\
&= -\frac{c}{\rho v} S |\nabla u| \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha} - (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{1 + Kd}{\rho v^2 e^w} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{c^2 (n-1) e^w S^2 |\nabla u|^2}{4\rho(1-\varepsilon)(1+Kd)} \\
&\leq \frac{c^2 (n-1) (1 + |\cos \theta|) S e^w}{4\rho(1-\varepsilon)} |\nabla u|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $L_{13} + L_{23}$, we need the following arguments. Let c_0 be a constant which satisfies $c_0 \in \left(|\cos \theta|, \frac{4n\tau K_0(c, R, \theta) - c(n-1)}{4n\tau K_0(c, R, \theta) + c(n-1)} \right)$, for some $0 < \tau < 1$. Then we can assume that

$$(51) \quad \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{v} + \cos \theta \sin \beta u_\beta \geq (1 - c_0) |\nabla u|.$$

Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - c_0 &> \frac{|\nabla u|}{v} + \cos \theta \sin \beta \frac{u_\beta}{|\nabla u|} \\
&\geq \frac{|\nabla u|}{v} - |\cos \theta|
\end{aligned}$$

would give an upper bound for $|\nabla u|$, the required estimate is obtained.

Considering $L_{13} + L_{23}$ and using (51), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(52) \quad L_{13} + L_{23} &= -nc \frac{1 + Kd}{v} |\nabla u|^2 \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\rho v} + \frac{\cos \theta \sin \beta u_\beta}{\rho} \right) \\
&\quad - nc \cos \theta \sigma(\nabla u, \nabla d) \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\rho v} + \frac{\cos \theta \sin \beta u_\beta}{\rho} \right) \\
&= -nc |\nabla u| \rho^{-1} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{v} + \cos \theta \sin \beta u_\beta \right) S \\
&\leq -nc(1 - c_0) \rho^{-1} S |\nabla u|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (50) and (52), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{13} + L_{23} + L_{12} + L_{22} + L_{32} \\
&\leq -nc(1 - c_0) \rho^{-1} S |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{c^2(n-1)(1 + |\cos \theta|) S}{4(1 - \varepsilon)\rho} e^w |\nabla u|^2 \\
&\leq \left(-nc(1 - c_0) \rho^{-1} + \frac{c^2(n-1)(1 + c_0)}{4(1 - \varepsilon)\rho x_{n+1}} \right) S |\nabla u|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality, Proposition 3 shows that $\Sigma_t \subset \hat{C}_{c,R,\theta}$, and hence $e^{-w} = x_{n+1} > K_0(c, R, \theta)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&-nc(1 - c_0) + \frac{c^2(n-1)(1 + c_0)}{4(1 - \varepsilon)x_{n+1}} \\
&\leq -\frac{2c^2n(n-1)}{4\tau cn K_0(c, R, \theta) + (n-1)} + \frac{2c^2\tau n K_0(c, R, \theta)(n-1)}{x_{n+1}(1 - \varepsilon)(4\tau cn K_0(c, R, \theta) + (n-1))} \\
&\leq -\frac{2c^2n(n-1)}{4\tau cn K_0(c, R, \theta) + (n-1)} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{1 - \varepsilon} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon = (1 - \tau)/2 \in (0, 1)$, from the C^0 -estimate in Proposition 3, there is a uniform lower bound ρ_0 on ρ . Hence letting $a_0 = \frac{2c^2n(n-1)(1-\tau)}{(4\tau cn K_0(c, R, \theta) + (n-1))(1+\tau)} \rho_0 S$, we have

$$(53) \quad L_{13} + L_{23} + L_{12} + L_{22} + L_{32} < -a_0 |\nabla u|^2.$$

Now we consider L_4 and L_6 . Using (41), (42) and (45), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_4 + L_6 \\
&= -(2Q_c^{ij} u_{ki} d_{kj} \cos \theta + 2KQ_c^{ij} d_i v_j) - Q_{c,\psi_i}(Kd_i v + \cos \theta u_k d_{ki}) \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{v}\right) \sum_{\alpha=2}^n |u_{\alpha\alpha}| + O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

and it is easy to see $L_5 = -(Q_c^{ij} u_k d_{kij} \cos \theta + KQ_c^{ij} d_{ij} v) = O(1)$.

Then adding all the terms back to (42), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{1+Kd}{\rho e^w v} \left(-\frac{1}{v^5} u_{11}^2 - \frac{2}{v^3} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n |u_{1\alpha}| \right) - \varepsilon_0 \frac{1+Kd}{2\rho e^w v^2} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n |u_{\alpha\alpha}| - \alpha_0 u_1^2 \\
 &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{v}\right) \sum_{\alpha=2}^n |u_{\alpha\alpha}| + O(v) \\
 &\leq -\varepsilon_0 \frac{1+Kd}{2\rho e^w v^2} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n u_{\alpha\alpha}^2 + \frac{C_2}{v} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n |u_{\alpha\alpha}| - a_0 u_1^2 + C_1 v \\
 &\leq -a_0 u_1^2 + C_1 v + \frac{C_2^2 \rho e^w}{2\varepsilon_0(1+Kd)},
 \end{aligned}$$

This gives an upper bound for u_1 . \square

7. CONVERGENCE OF THE FLOW

The higher order a-priori estimates of u follow from the uniform C^0 and C^1 estimates. The same argument as in [20] gives the following result.

Proposition 5. *If $u(\cdot, t)$ solves the boundary value problem (29) on the interval $[0, T^*)$, and the initial hypersurfaces Σ_0 and the contact angle θ satisfies the same condition in Theorem 1. Then for any $0 < T < T^*$, we have*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{C^k} \leq C, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

where $C = C(k, u_0, \nabla u_0, \nabla^2 u_0) > 0$. In addition, it follows that $T^* = \infty$.

Before we prove Theorem 1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathcal{C}_{c_1, R_1, \theta}(a_1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{c_2, R_2, \theta}(a_2)$ defined by (2). If their enclosed volume are equal, that is, $|\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{c_1, R_1, \theta}(a_1)| = |\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{c_2, R_2, \theta}(a_2)|$, it holds that*

$$\frac{c_1}{R_1} = \frac{c_2}{R_2},$$

that is, the umbilical θ -caps with the same enclosed volume have the same principal curvature.

Proof. Let $\chi = \chi_2 \circ \chi_1$ be an isometry composed by two isometries in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} , where χ_1 is a translation along the hyperbolic geodesic $\gamma_t = (0, \dots, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, such that,

$$\chi_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \frac{c_2}{c_1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}),$$

and χ_2 is a translation defined by

$$\chi_2(\tilde{x}, x_{n+1}) = (\tilde{x} + a_2 - a_1, x_{n+1}).$$

They are both isometric transformation. Hence

$$|\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{c_2, R_2, \theta}(a_2)| = |\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{R_1, c_1, \theta}(a_1)| = |\chi(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{R_1, c_1, \theta}(a_1))| = |\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{c_2, c_2 R_1 / c_1, \theta}(a_2)|.$$

Since $|\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{c_2, R, \theta}(\theta)|$ is monotonically decreasing as R decreasing, so $c_2 R_1 / c_1 = R_2$. \square

Remark 4. We can see from the proof that, the umbilical caps \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 on totally geodesic hyperplane with the same enclosed volume is equivalent to the same area and the same wetting area, which is the area of the domain enclosed by $\partial\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\partial\mathcal{C}_2$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of the Theorem 1. Let $r = 2$ in the Minkowski type formula (17), we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} \left[H_1 \left(\frac{c}{x_{n+1}} - c \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle \right) - H_2 \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \right] dA = 0.$$

Let $\widehat{\partial\Sigma}$ be the domain enclosed by $\partial\Sigma$ on P . The first variation formula of the energy $\mathcal{Q}(\widehat{\Sigma}_t) = \frac{1}{n} [|\Sigma_t| - \cos \theta |\widehat{\partial\Sigma}|]$ gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{Q}(t) &= \int_{\Sigma_t} H_1 \left(\frac{c}{x_{n+1}} - c \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle - H_1 \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \right) dA \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} H_1 \left(\frac{c}{x_{n+1}} - c \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle - H_1 \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \right) dA \\ &\quad - \int_{\Sigma_t} \left[H_1 \left(\frac{c}{x_{n+1}} - c \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle \right) - H_2 \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \right] dA \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} (H_1^2 - H_2) \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle dA \\ &= -\frac{1}{n^2(n-1)} \sum_{i < j} \int_{\Sigma_t} (\kappa_j - \kappa_i)^2 \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle dA \\ &= -\frac{1}{n^2(n-1)} \sum_{i < j} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^n} (\kappa_i(y) - \kappa_j(y))^2 dg(y), \end{aligned}$$

where $dg(y) = \frac{\rho e^v}{v} dy$ and κ_i 's are the principle curvatures at $y \in \mathbb{S}_+^n$ identified as a point on Σ_t . Therefore, the energy $\mathcal{Q}(\Sigma) = \text{Area}(\Sigma) - \cos \theta \mathcal{W}(\partial\Sigma)$ is monotonically decreasing from Proposition 3, we know that the energy is bounded from above and below. Then integrating both sides of the equation above on $[0, +\infty)$, we have

$$\sum_{i < j} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^n} (\kappa_i(y, t) - \kappa_j(y, t))^2 dg(y) dt \leq C.$$

From the uniform C^k estimate in Proposition 5, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\kappa_i - \kappa_j|^2 = 0.$$

Therefore any convergent subsequence of $x(\cdot, t)$ must converge to an umbilical cap $\mathcal{C}_{c, R_\infty, \theta}(a_\infty)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence from the boundary condition in (1), we know that they are given by

$$\mathcal{C}_{c', R', \theta}(a_\infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} : |x + R' \cos \theta E_1 - a_\infty - c' E_{n+1}| = R'\},$$

where a_∞ is a constant vector perpendicular to both E_1 and E_{n+1} .

It remains to show that the limit umbilical cap is unique. We follow the proof in [17] and [21]. Let $R_\infty > 0$ be the unique number such that $|\widehat{\Sigma}| = |\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{c, R_\infty, \theta}|$.

Denote by $R(\cdot, t)$ the radius of the unique umbilical cap

$$\mathcal{C}_{c, R(\cdot, t), \theta} = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} : |x - R(\cdot, t) \cos \theta E_1 - cE_{n+1}| = R(\cdot, t)\},$$

passing through the point $x(\cdot, t)$. Let $R^*(t) = \max_{x \in M} R(x, t)$ and there exists a point ξ_t attaining $R^*(t)$ by compactness. It then follows that $R^*(t)$ is non-increasing since the $\mathcal{C}_{c, R^*(\cdot, t), \theta}$ is a barrier of $x(\cdot, t)$ by Proposition 3. We claim that

$$(54) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R^*(t) = R_\infty.$$

We suppose otherwise, then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and t large enough, such that

$$(55) \quad R^*(t) > R_\infty + \varepsilon,$$

from the definition of $R(\cdot, t)$, we have

$$|x + R(\cdot, t) \cos \theta E_1 - cE_{n+1}|_\delta^2 = R^2(\cdot, t),$$

or equivalently

$$|x|_\delta^2 + c^2 - 2c\langle x, E_{n+1} \rangle_\delta - 2R(\cdot, t) \cos \theta \langle x, E_1 \rangle_\delta = R^2(\cdot, t) \sin^2 \theta.$$

Taking the derivative of the equation above with respect to t , we have

$$(56) \quad \langle x_t, x - cE_{n+1} + R(\cdot, t) \cos \theta E_1 \rangle_\delta = R_t(\cdot, t)(R(\cdot, t) \sin^2 \theta - \cos \theta \langle x, E_1 \rangle_\delta).$$

Now we evaluate at the point (ξ_t, t) , since Σ_t is tangential to $\mathcal{C}_{c, \rho^*(\cdot, t), \theta}$ at the point, then the normal vector at (ξ_t, t) is

$$\tilde{\nu}(\xi_t, t) = \nu_{\mathcal{C}_{c, \rho^*(\cdot, t), \theta}}(x(\xi_t, t)) = \frac{x + R^*(t) \cos \theta E_1 - cE_{n+1}}{R^*(t)}.$$

Inserting the flow equation (1) to (56), we have

$$(57) \quad \begin{aligned} & (R^*(t) \sin^2 \theta - \cos \theta \langle x, E_1 \rangle_\delta) \partial_t R^*(t) \\ &= x_{n+1} \frac{|x + R^*(t) \cos \theta E_1 - cE_{n+1}|_\delta^2}{R^*(t)} q_c \\ &= x_{n+1} R^*(t) \left(\frac{nc}{x_{n+1}} - nc \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle - H(\xi_t, t) \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the calculation in Remark 2, we have

$$(58) \quad H_{\mathcal{C}_{c, \rho^*(\cdot, t), \theta}} = \frac{nc}{R^*(t)},$$

then

$$(59) \quad \left. \frac{\frac{nc}{x_{n+1}} - nc \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle}{\langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle} \right|_{(\xi_t, t)} = \left. \frac{\frac{nc}{x_{n+1}} - nc \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle}{\langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle} \right|_{\mathcal{C}_{c, \rho^*(\cdot, t), \theta}} = \frac{nc}{R^*(t)}.$$

From (25), (58) and (59), we can see that for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, there exist a $T > 0$ such that for any $t > T$, the following

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} & x_{n+1} R^*(t) \left(\frac{nc}{x_{n+1}} - nc \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle - H(\xi_t, t) \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \right) \\ &= x_{n+1} (nc - H(\xi_t, t) R^*(t)) \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \end{aligned}$$

holds at (ξ_t, t) .

On the other hand, since $x(\cdot, t)$ converge to $\mathcal{C}_{c, \rho_\infty, \theta}(a_\infty)$ and ρ_∞ is uniquely determined, we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(\xi_t, t) = \frac{nc'}{R'}.$$

Therefore, for $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{nc'}{2R'}$, there exists a $T > 0$, such that for any $t > T$,

$$(61) \quad H(\xi_t, t) > \frac{nc'}{R'} - \varepsilon_0 > 0.$$

Then from (55), (60) and (61), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_{n+1}R^*(t) \left(\frac{nc}{x_{n+1}} - nc \cos \theta \langle E_1, \nu \rangle - H(\xi_t, t) \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \right) \\
(62) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{2}x_{n+1} \left(nc - \left(\frac{nc'}{R'} - \varepsilon_0 \right) (R_\infty + \varepsilon) \right) \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}x_{n+1} \left(-\varepsilon_0 R_\infty - \varepsilon \left(\frac{nc'}{R'} - \varepsilon_0 \right) \right) \langle x - cE_{n+1}, \nu \rangle \\
& < 0
\end{aligned}$$

In the second inequality we have used Proposition 5. On the other hand, since $0 \leq \langle x, E_1 \rangle_\delta \leq 1 - \rho^*(t) \cos \theta$, we have

$$(63) \quad R^*(t) \sin^2 \theta - \cos \theta \langle x, E_1 \rangle \geq R^*(t)(1 - \cos \theta) > 0,$$

then combining (57), (62) and (63), we have

$$\partial_t R^*(t) < 0.$$

This leads to a contradiction to that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{dt} R^*(t) = 0$. Hence (54) holds. Similarly, we can show

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R_*(t) = R_\infty,$$

where $R_*(t)$ is defined by $R_*(t) = \min_{x \in M} R(x, t) = R(\chi_t, t)$ and χ_t is the point achieving $R_*(t)$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}_{c', R', \theta}(a_\infty) = \mathcal{C}_{c, R_\infty, \theta}$, and we obtain the uniqueness of the limit. \square

Remark 5. In Poincaré half space model of hyperbolic space, the volume of an umbilical cap is determined not only by its Euclidean radius but also by the location of its center, particularly the $(n+1)$ -th coordinate. As indicated by Remark 2, the location of its center also influences the principal curvatures of the cap. Therefore, we cannot identify the radius by the volume of the domain bounded by initial hypersurfaces Σ_0 .

Note that all the isometries appearing in the proof of Lemma 2 on Poincaré half-space model will keep the ratio c/R of an umbilical cap $\mathcal{C}_{c, R, \theta}$.

From the monotonicity of the energy \mathcal{Q} , we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $x : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ be a θ -capillary hypersurface supported on the totally geodesic hyperplane P . If*

- (1) $\Sigma = x(M)$ is contained in an umbilical cap $\mathcal{C}_{c, R, \theta}(a)$ which satisfies that $K(c, R, \theta) > c(n-1)/4n$.
- (2) the contacting angle θ satisfies

$$|\cos \theta| < \frac{4nK_0(c, R, \theta) - c(n-1)}{4nK_0(c, R, \theta) + c(n-1)},$$

then θ -umbilical caps with the same enclosed volume with $\Sigma = x(M)$ are the only minimizers of the energy \mathcal{Q} .

REFERENCES

- [1] Ben Andrews. “Volume-preserving anisotropic mean curvature flow”. *Indiana University mathematics journal* (2001), pp. 783–827.
- [2] Ben Andrews and Yong Wei. “Quermassintegral preserving curvature flow in hyperbolic space”. *Geometric and Functional Analysis* 28.5 (2018), pp. 1183–1208.
- [3] Ben Andrews and Yong Wei. “Volume preserving flow by powers of the k th mean curvature”. *Journal of Differential Geometry* 117.2 (2021), pp. 193–222.
- [4] Yimin Chen and Juncheol Pyo. “Some rigidity results on compact hypersurfaces with capillary boundary in Hyperbolic space”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.09062* (2022).
- [5] Michael Gage. “On an area-preserving evolution equation for plane curves”. *Nonlinear problems in geometry* 51 (1986), pp. 51–62.
- [6] Pengfei Guan and Junfang Li. “A mean curvature type flow in space forms”. *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2015.13 (2015), pp. 4716–4740.
- [7] Pengfei Guan, Junfang Li, and Mu-Tao Wang. “A volume preserving flow and the isoperimetric problem in warped product spaces”. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 372.4 (2019), pp. 2777–2798.
- [8] Jinyu Guo, Guofang Wang, and Chao Xia. “Stable capillary hypersurfaces supported on a horosphere in the hyperbolic space”. *Adv. Math.* 409, Part A (2022), p. 108641.
- [9] Yingxiang Hu and Haizhong Li. “Geometric inequalities for static convex domains in hyperbolic space”. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 375.08 (2022), pp. 5587–5615.
- [10] Yingxiang Hu, Haizhong Li, and Yong Wei. “Locally constrained curvature flows and geometric inequalities in hyperbolic space”. *Mathematische Annalen* 382.3 (2022), pp. 1425–1474.
- [11] Yingxiang Hu, Yong Wei, Bo Yang, and Tailong Zhou. “A complete family of Alexandrov-Fenchel inequalities for convex capillary hypersurfaces in the half-space”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.12479* (2022).
- [12] Yingxiang Hu, Yong Wei, Bo Yang, and Tailong Zhou. “On the mean curvature type flow for convex capillary hypersurfaces in the ball”. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations* 62.7 (2023), p. 209.
- [13] Gerhard Huisken. “Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres”. *Journal of Differential Geometry* 20.1 (1984), pp. 237–266.
- [14] Gerhard Huisken. “The volume preserving mean curvature flow.” *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 1987.382 (1987), pp. 35–48. DOI: doi:10.1515/crll.1987.382.35.
- [15] Ben Lambert and Julian Scheuer. “The inverse mean curvature flow perpendicular to the sphere”. *Mathematische Annalen* 364 (2016), pp. 1069–1093.
- [16] Xinqun Mei, Guofang Wang, and Liangjun Weng. “A Constrained Mean Curvature Flow and Alexandrov–Fenchel Inequalities”. *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2024.1 (2024), pp. 152–174.
- [17] Xinqun Mei and Liangjun Weng. “A constrained mean curvature type flow for capillary boundary hypersurfaces in space forms”. *The Journal of Geometric Analysis* 33.6 (2023), p. 195.
- [18] Antonio Ros and Rabah Souam. “On stability of capillary surfaces in a ball”. *pacific journal of mathematics* 178.2 (1997), pp. 345–361.

-
- [19] Julian Scheuer, Guofang Wang, and Chao Xia. “Alexandrov-Fenchel inequalities for convex hypersurfaces with free boundary in a ball”. *J. Differential Geom.* 120.2 (2022), pp. 345–373.
 - [20] Guofang Wang and Liangjun Weng. “A mean curvature type flow with capillary boundary in a unit ball”. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations* 59.5 (2020), p. 149.
 - [21] Guofang Wang, Liangjun Weng, and Chao Xia. “Alexandrov–Fenchel inequalities for convex hypersurfaces in the half-space with capillary boundary”. *Math. Ann.* (2023), pp. 1–34.
 - [22] Guofang Wang and Chao Xia. “Uniqueness of stable capillary hypersurfaces in a ball”. *Math. Ann.* 374.3-4 (2019), pp. 1845–1882.
 - [23] Liangjun Weng and Chao Xia. “Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality for convex hypersurfaces with capillary boundary in a ball”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 375.12 (2022), pp. 8851–8883.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, POSTECH, POHANG, GYEONGBUK, SOUTH KOREA
Email address: xxchai@kias.re.kr, xxchai@postech.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN, SOUTH KOREA
Email address: sherlockpoe@pusan.ac.kr