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#### Abstract

We study higher complex Sobolev spaces and their corresponding functional capacities. In particular, we prove the Moser-Trudinger inequality for these spaces and discuss some relationships between these spaces and the complex Monge-Ampère equation.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study higher complex Sobolev spaces introduced by Dinh which extend the notion of complex Sobolev space introduced earlier by himself and Sibony in [DS06a]. The complex Sobolev space has been systematically studied by Vigny in [Vig07]. The key observation is that this space takes into account the complex structure of the ambient space and is stable under holomorphic transformations; thus, one could view it as a tailored version of the classical Sobolev space to the complex setting. As a consequence, this functional space plays a key role in complex dynamics and it leads to many fruitful applications in this field (e.g., see [BD22, DKW21, DS06a, DV24, Vig15, Vu20]). Not limited to the theory of complex dynamics, the complex Sobolev spaces also find applications in other fields of mathematics. For example, in the study of the complex Monge-Ampère equation, this space has been used as a test space to obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions for whether a given measure is a Monge-Ampère measure with Hölder potentials (see [DKN20, DMV20]). Moreover, it has recently found applications in studying uniform diameter bound for Kähler metrics (see [Vu23]). Due to its importance, the complex Sobolev space has attracted a lot of attention from several mathematicians (see[DMV20, Vig07, VV23, Vu23]).

The classical Sobolev spaces $W^{n, p}$ are important tools in studying differential equations and are usually used as solution spaces for many fundamental equations. An important

[^0]fact is that when $n$ and $p$ go to infinity, the solution gains more regularity and becomes the ancient solution.

We study higher complex Sobolev spaces in both local (i.e., on bounded domains of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ ) and global (i.e., on compact Kähler manifolds) settings. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{k}$, we denote by $\operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$ the set of plurisubharmonic (psh) functions in $\Omega$. Let $(X, \omega)$ be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension $k$, we denote by $\operatorname{QPSH}(X)$ the set of quasi-plurisubharmonic (qpsh) functions on $X$. These functions are locally given as the sum of a smooth and a plurisubharmonic function. We also denote by $\operatorname{PSH}(X, \omega)$ the set of $\omega$-plurisubharmonic ( $\omega$-psh) functions on $X$, i.e., the set of functions $\varphi \in L^{1}(X, \mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty\})$ such that $\varphi$ qpsh and $\omega+d d^{c} \varphi \geq 0$.

Definition 1.1 (Higher complex Sobolev spaces). For $q \geq 1$, we define inductively $q$-complex Sobolev spaces $W_{q}^{*}$ as follows
(1) (local setting) $W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)$ is the set of all functions $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that $d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq$ $d d^{c} \psi$ for some $\psi \in W_{q-1}^{*}(\Omega) \cap \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)(\psi \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$ when $q=1)$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\Omega} d d^{c} \psi \wedge \omega^{k-1}<+\infty, \text { where } \omega \text { is the standard Kähler form on } \mathbb{C}^{k}
$$

(2) (global setting) $W_{q}^{*}(X)$ is the set of all functions $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(X)$ such that $d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq$ $c_{\varphi} \omega+d d^{c} \psi$ for some constant $c_{\varphi} \geq 0$ and $\psi \in W_{q-1}^{*}(X) \cap \operatorname{QPSH}(X)(\psi \in \operatorname{QPSH}(X)$ when $q=1$ ).

We will introduce some notions and technical tools to study these functional spaces. In particular, using techniques in [DS06a, Vig07], we will build a quasinorm $\|\cdot\|_{*, q}$ on $W_{q}^{*}$ which makes $W_{q}^{*}$ a quasi-Banach space sharing many properties with $W_{1}^{*}$. After that, we will prove some basic properties of these spaces and consider some specific examples. Moreover, we also introduce a family of Vigny's functional capacities and show that all these capacities characterize pluripolar sets, similarly to how the original Vigny's capacity does.

In Section 3, we will prove the Moser-Trudinger inequalities for these higher complex Sobolev spaces. An important point in our results is that the exponent in the MoserTrudinger inequalities goes to infinity when $q$ goes to infinity. It follows that when $q$ grows, our spaces will gain more regularity and get closer to the bounded functions space.

Theorem 1.2 (Moser-Trudinger inequality in a local setting). Let $\alpha \in\left[1,2^{q}\right.$ ) and $K$ be a compact subset of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ be psh functions which are Hölder continuous of Hölder exponent $\beta$ for some $\beta \in(0,1)$ on $\mathbb{B}$. Let $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(\mathbb{B})$. Assume that $\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{C^{\beta}} \leq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq 1$. Then there exist strictly positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ depending on $K, \alpha$, and $\beta$ but independent of $\varphi, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ such that

$$
\int_{K} e^{c_{1}|\varphi|^{\alpha}} d d^{c} v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} v_{k} \leq c_{2}
$$

In particular, there exist strictly positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ depending on $K$ and $\alpha$ such that for every $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(\mathbb{B})$ with $\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq 1$, there holds

$$
\int_{K} e^{c_{1}|\varphi|^{\alpha}} \omega^{k} \leq c_{2}
$$

where $\omega$ is the standard Kähler form in $\mathbb{C}^{k}$.
Note that two functions in $W_{q}^{*}(\mathbb{B})$ are equal if they are equal almost everywhere. By [VV23, Theorem 1.1] (see also [DMV20]), for every function $\varphi$ in $W_{1}^{*}(\mathbb{B})$ (and thus $\left.W_{q}^{*}(\mathbb{B})\right)$, all points are Lebesgue point except for points in some pluripolar set. So by considering the canonical values of $\varphi$ at its Lebesgue points, the first integral in the theorem makes sense as $d d^{c} v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} v_{k}$ has no mass on pluripolar sets. Throughout this paper, we always use the canonical values of $\varphi$ as above.

The Moser-Trudinger inequality for $W_{1}^{*}$ was proven in [DMV20] by using the slicing method. Recently, in [VV23], alongside the main goal of proving that the complement of the Lebesgue point set of functions in $W_{1}^{*}$ is pluripolar, Vigny and Vu obtained a version of the Moser-Trudinger inequality for $W_{1}^{*}$ which corresponds to the last assertion of Theorem 1.2 for $q=1$. For $\varphi \in W_{1}^{*}(\mathbb{B})$, their strategy was to bound $|\varphi|^{\alpha}$ by some psh function which allows them to use Skoda's integrability theorem. The construction of the psh bound is motivated by the proof of Josefson's theorem (see [Jos78]). Following their strategy, we construct the psh bound for $|\varphi|^{\alpha}$ where $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(\mathbb{B})$ (see Theorem 3.11 below) and deduce Theorem 1.2 by using a singular version of Skoda's integrability theorem which has been obtained in [DNS10] (see also [Kau17]). We also obtain the following global version of Theorem 1.2,

Theorem 1.3 (Moser-Trudinger inequality in a global setting). Let $(X, \omega)$ be a compact Kähler manifold and $\alpha \in\left[1,2^{q}\right)$. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ be $\omega$-psh functions which are Hölder continuous of Hölder exponent $\beta$ for some $\beta \in(0,1)$. Let $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(X)$. Assume that $\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{C^{\beta}} \leq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq 1$. Then there exist strictly positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ depending on $X, \omega, \alpha$ and $\beta$ but independent of $\varphi, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ such that

$$
\int_{X} e^{c_{1}|\varphi|^{\alpha}}\left(\omega+d d^{c} v_{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(\omega+d d^{c} v_{k}\right) \leq c_{2} .
$$

In particular, there exist strictly positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ depending on $X, \alpha$, and $\omega$ such that for every $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(X)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq 1$, there holds

$$
\int_{X} e^{c_{1}|\varphi|^{\alpha}} \omega^{k} \leq c_{2}
$$

In Section 4, we will discuss the connection of the higher complex Sobolev spaces to the theory of complex Monge-Ampère equation. In the global setting, the class $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$, introduced by Guedj-Zeriahi in [GZ07], is the largest class of $\omega$-psh functions on which the complex Monge-Ampère operator is well defined and the comparison principle is valid. We will show that $\omega$-psh functions with bounded $\|\cdot\|_{*, q}$-norm belong to this space for every $q \geq 1$. Furthermore, among the subsets of $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$, the finite energy classes $\mathcal{E}^{p}(X, \omega)$ have important applications in the variational approach to complex MongeAmpère equation (see [BBGZ13]). In [DGL20], the authors proved a Moser-Trudinger inequality for functions in $\mathcal{E}^{p}(X, \omega)$. The crucial point here is that the exponent in their Moser-Trudinger inequality is $1+p / k$ which converges to infinity as $p$ goes to infinity. This similar property with our space $W_{q}^{*}(X)$ motivated us to study if $W_{q}^{*}(X) \cap \operatorname{PSH}(X, \omega)$ is contained in some $\mathcal{E}^{p(q)}$ with $p(q)$ increases to infinity when $q$ goes to infinity. It turns out we can choose $p(q)=q-1$.

Theorem 1.4. Let $(X, \omega)$ be a compact Kähler manifold. Then we have the following inclusions:
(1) $W_{q}^{*}(X) \cap \operatorname{PSH}(X, \omega) \subset \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ for $q \geq 1$,
(2) $W_{q}^{*}(X) \cap \operatorname{PSH}(X, \omega) \subset \mathcal{E}^{q-1}(X, \omega)$ for $q \geq 2$.

The proof of this theorem is by induction and relies on estimations around energy functionals.

In the local setting, the domain of the definition of the Monge-Ampère operator $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ has been well understood after the works of Cegrell ([Ceg04]) and Błocki ([Blo06]). It has been proved in [Blo04] that in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, the Monge-Ampère operator is well-defined for functions in $W_{\text {loc }}^{1,2}$ and thus in $W_{1, \text { loc }}^{*}$, where $W_{1, \mathrm{loc}}^{*}$ is the set of functions that locally are functions in $W_{1}^{*}$. Our next theorem generalizes this fact by showing that the MongeAmpère operator $\left(d d^{c} .\right)^{k}$ is well-defined in the sense of Cegrell-Błocki for psh locally $q$-complex Sobolev functions when $q \geq k-1$.

Theorem 1.5. $W_{q, l o c}^{*}(\Omega) \cap \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ for $q \geq k-1$.
We prove this theorem by defining the product of $(1,1)$-currents using an induction on the number of currents.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider some basic properties of $W_{q}^{*}$ and corresponding Vigny's capacity. In Section 3, we construct a psh bound in order to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 , Finally, in Section 4, we study the relationship between $W_{q}^{*}$ and the complex Monge-Ampère equation and prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 ,

## 2. Higher complex Sobolev space

In this section, we prove some basic properties and give examples of our spaces. We also study their corresponding Vigny's capacities.
2.1. Quasinorms and compactness. We now define the quasinorm on $W_{q}^{*}$. First, we consider the local case. Let $\varphi_{0} \in W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)$. Then, by definition, there exist psh functions $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}$ in $\Omega$ such that

$$
\left\|d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right\|<+\infty \text { for all } j=1, \ldots, q
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \varphi_{j-1} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j-1} \leq d d^{c} \varphi_{j} \text { for all } j=1, \ldots, q \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call a sequence $\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}\right)$ satisfying (2.1) is a defining sequence of $\varphi_{0}$ in $W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)$. We define the $q$-star quasinorm for $W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)$ as follows

$$
\|\varphi\|_{W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)}=\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}}+\min \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left\|d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2^{j}}:\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}\right) \text { is a defining sequence of } \varphi\right\} .
$$

In the sequel, for simplicity, we will use the notation $\|\cdot\|_{*, q}$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)}$ when there is no possible confusion of domain.

Next, we consider the global case. Let $\varphi_{0} \in W_{q}^{*}(X)$. Then, by definition, there exist qpsh functions $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}$ in $X$ and constants $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{q} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \varphi_{j-1} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j-1} \leq c_{j} \omega+d d^{c} \varphi_{j} \text { for all } j=1, \ldots, q \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call a sequence $\left(\left(c_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{q}, \varphi_{q}\right)\right)$ satisfying (2.2) is a defining sequence of $\varphi_{0}$ in $W_{q}^{*}(X)$. We define the $q$-star quasinorm for $W^{*}(X)$ as follows

$$
\|\varphi\|_{*, q}=\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}}+\min \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{q} c_{j}^{1 / 2^{j}}:\left(\left(c_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{q}, \varphi_{q}\right)\right) \text { is a defining sequence of } \varphi\right\} .
$$

In the global case, note that by Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality, if we replace $L^{2}$ in the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{*, q}$ by $L^{1}$, we obtain an equivalent quasinorm.

Proposition 2.1. The function $\varphi \mapsto\|\varphi\|_{*, q}$ defines a quasinorm on $W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)$ (and $W_{q}^{*}(X)$ respectively).

Proof. Consider the local case. We first check the homogeneity. Let $\psi=\lambda \varphi$, then $\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}\right)$ is a defining sequence for $\varphi$ iff $\left(|\lambda|^{2} \varphi_{1}, \ldots,|\lambda|^{2 q} \varphi_{q}\right)$ is a defining sequence for $\psi$. Hence, $\|\psi\|_{*, q}=|\lambda|\|\varphi\|_{*, q}$. We now only need to check the quasi-triangle inequality. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be functions in $W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)$ where $\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}\right)$ and $\left(\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{q}\right)$ are two corresponding defining sequences. Put $f=\varphi+\psi$. It is sufficient to prove that $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{q}\right)$, with $f_{j}=\frac{4^{2^{j-1}}}{2}\left(\varphi_{j}+\psi_{j}\right)$, is a defining sequence of $f$ satisfying

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left\|d d^{c} f_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2^{j}} \leq 2^{1-1 / 2^{q}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left\|d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2^{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2^{j}}\right) .
$$

We prove it by induction on $q$. For $q=1$, we have

$$
d f \wedge d^{c} f=d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi+d \psi \wedge d^{c} \psi+\left(d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \psi+d \psi \wedge d^{c} \varphi\right)
$$

It follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$
d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \psi+d \psi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi+d \psi \wedge d^{c} \psi
$$

This implies that

$$
d f \wedge d^{c} f \leq 2\left(d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi+d \psi \wedge d^{c} \psi\right) \leq d d^{c}\left(2 \varphi_{1}+2 \psi_{1}\right)
$$

Then, for $f_{1}=2\left(\varphi_{1}+\psi_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
d f \wedge d^{c} f \leq d d^{c} f_{1},
$$

and

$$
\left\|d d^{c} f_{1}\right\|^{1 / 2} \leq \sqrt{2}\left(\left\|d d^{c} \varphi_{1}\right\|^{1 / 2}+\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{1}\right\|^{1 / 2}\right) .
$$

This finishes the proof for $q=1$. Now we assume that the desired property is true for $q-1$ where $q \geq 2$. We have $f_{q-1}=\frac{4^{2^{q-2}}}{2}\left(\varphi_{q-1}+\psi_{q-1}\right)$. It thus follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the definition of defining sequences that

$$
d f_{q-1} \wedge d^{c} f_{q-1} \leq \frac{4^{2^{q-1}}}{2} d d^{c}\left(\varphi_{q}+\psi_{q}\right)
$$

Then, for $f_{q}=\frac{4^{2^{q-1}}}{2}\left(\varphi_{q}+\psi_{q}\right)$, we have

$$
d f_{q-1} \wedge d^{c} f_{q-1} \leq d d^{c} f_{q}
$$

and

$$
\left\|d d^{c} f_{q}\right\|^{1 / 2^{q}} \leq 2^{1-1 / 2^{q}}\left(\left\|d d^{c} \varphi_{q}\right\|^{1 / 2^{q}}+\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{q}\right\|^{1 / 2^{q}}\right) .
$$

Thus, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left\|d d^{c} f_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2^{j}} & =\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}\left\|d d^{c} f_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2^{j}}+\left\|d d^{c} f_{q}\right\|^{1 / 2^{q}} \\
& \leq 2^{1-1 / 2^{q-1}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}\left\|d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2^{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2^{j}}\right) \\
& +2^{1-1 / 2^{q}}\left(\left\|d d^{c} \varphi_{q}\right\|^{1 / 2^{q}}+\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{q}\right\|^{1 / 2^{q}}\right) \\
& \leq 2^{1-1 / 2^{q}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left\|d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2^{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2^{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired. The proof in the local case is thus completed.
Consider the global case. The homogeneity is clear. Now let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be functions in $W_{q}^{*}(X)$ where $\left(\left(\alpha_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{q}, \varphi_{q}\right)\right)$ and $\left(\left(\beta_{1}, \psi_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\beta_{q}, \psi_{q}\right)\right)$ are corresponding defining sequences. Let $f=\varphi+\psi$. As in the local case, it is sufficient to prove that $\left(\left(\gamma_{1}, f_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\gamma_{q}, f_{q}\right)\right)$, with $\gamma_{j}=\frac{4^{2^{j-1}}}{2}\left(\alpha_{j}+\beta_{j}\right)$ and $f_{j}=\frac{4^{2^{j-1}}}{2}\left(\varphi_{j}+\psi_{j}\right)$, is a defining sequence of $f$ satisfying

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{q} \gamma_{j}^{1 / 2^{j}} \leq 2^{1-1 / 2^{q}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q} \alpha_{j}^{1 / 2^{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_{j}^{1 / 2^{j}}\right)
$$

We prove it by induction on $q$. For $q=1$, the same computation as in the local case gives

$$
d f \wedge d^{c} f \leq\left(2 \alpha_{1}+2 \beta_{1}\right) \omega+d d^{c}\left(2 \varphi_{1}+2 \psi_{1}\right) .
$$

Then, for $\gamma_{1}=2\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}\right)$ and $f_{1}=2\left(\varphi_{1}+\psi_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
d f \wedge d^{c} f \leq \gamma_{1} \omega+d d^{c} f_{1}
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{1}^{1 / 2} \leq \sqrt{2}\left(\alpha_{1}^{1 / 2}+\beta_{1}^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

This finishes the proof for $q=1$. Now we assume that the desired property is true for $q-1$ where $q \geq 2$. We have $\gamma_{q-1}=\frac{4^{2^{q-2}}}{2}\left(\alpha_{q-1}+\beta_{q-1}\right)$ and $f_{q-1}=\frac{4^{2^{q-2}}}{2}\left(\varphi_{q-1}+\psi_{q-1}\right)$. It thus follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the definition of defining sequences that

$$
d f_{q-1} \wedge d^{c} f_{q-1} \leq \frac{4^{2^{q-1}}}{2}\left(\alpha_{q}+\beta_{q}\right) \omega+\frac{4^{2^{q-1}}}{2} d d^{c}\left(\varphi_{q}+\psi_{q}\right) .
$$

Then, for $\gamma_{q}=\frac{4^{2^{q-1}}}{2}\left(\alpha_{q}+\beta_{q}\right)$ and $f_{q}=\frac{4^{2^{q-1}}}{2}\left(\varphi_{q}+\psi_{q}\right)$, we have

$$
d f_{q-1} \wedge d^{c} f_{q-1} \leq \gamma_{q} \omega+d d^{c} f_{q},
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{q}^{1 / 2^{q}} \leq 2^{1-1 / 2^{q}}\left(\alpha_{q}^{1 / 2^{q}}+\beta_{q}^{1 / 2^{q}}\right)
$$

It thus follows from the induction hypothesis that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{q} \gamma_{j}^{1 / 2^{j}} & =\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \gamma_{j}^{1 / 2^{j}}+\gamma_{q}^{1 / 2^{q}} \\
& \leq 2^{1-1 / 2^{q-1}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \alpha_{j}^{1 / 2^{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \beta_{j}^{1 / 2^{j}}\right)+2^{1-1 / 2^{q}}\left(\alpha_{q}^{1 / 2^{q}}+\beta_{q}^{1 / 2^{q}}\right) \\
& \leq 2^{1-1 / 2^{q}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q} \alpha_{j}^{1 / 2^{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_{j}^{1 / 2^{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired. The proof is completed.
Remark 2.2. Note that when the domain $\Omega$ is nice (e.g., convex domain), we have $W_{1}^{*}=$ $W^{*}$. This restriction is from the fact that we cannot solve the equation $d d^{c} \varphi=T$ in every domain. The spaces $W_{q}^{*}$ is decreasing in $q$. Indeed, by definition, the $q$-star quasinorms are increasing in $q$, namely

$$
\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq\|\varphi\|_{*, q+1} \text { for every } \varphi \in W_{q+1}^{*}
$$

Next, we prove a compactness property of $W_{q}^{*}$.
Proposition 2.3. Let $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ be a bounded sequence in $W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)$ ( $W_{q}^{*}(X)$ respectively). Then there exists a subsequence $\left(\varphi_{n_{j}}\right)$ and a function $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)\left(W_{q}^{*}(X)\right.$ respectively) such that $\varphi_{n_{j}}$ converges weakly to $\varphi$ in $W^{1,2}$ and $\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq \lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\varphi_{n_{j}}\right\|_{*, q}$.

Proof. We prove the proposition for the local case only, as the proof for the global case merely requires a modification of this proof.

We proceed by induction on $q$. For $q=1$, the proposition is a slight modification of [Vig07, Proposition 4]. Now, we assume that the desired property is true for $q-1$ where $q>1$. Let $\psi_{n} \in W_{q-1}^{*}$ such that $d \varphi_{n} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{n} \leq d d^{c} \psi_{n}$ and $\left\|\psi_{n}\right\|_{*, q-1}$ is bounded. It follows from $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $W_{q}^{*}$ and $\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{*, q-1} \leq\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{*, q}$ that $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ is also bounded in $W_{q-1}^{*}$. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, there are subsequences $\left(\varphi_{n_{j}}\right),\left(\psi_{n_{j}}\right)$ of $\left(\varphi_{n}\right),\left(\psi_{n}\right)$ and functions $\varphi, \psi \in W_{q-1}^{*}$ such that $\varphi_{n_{j}}, \psi_{n_{j}}$ converge weakly to $\varphi, \psi$ respectively in $W^{1,2}$ and $\|\psi\|_{*, q-1} \leq \lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\psi_{n_{j}}\right\|_{*, q-1}$. Hence, $d d^{c} \psi_{n_{j}}$ converges weakly to $d d^{c} \psi$. Moreover, as noted in the proof of [Vig07, Proposition 4], if $\Theta$ is a weak limit of $\left(d \varphi_{n_{j}} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{n_{j}}\right)$, then $d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq \Theta$. So, we have $d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq d d^{c} \psi$. Therefore, $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}$. Let $\left(\psi_{0,1}, \ldots, \psi_{0, q-1}\right)$ and $\left(\psi_{n_{j}, 1}, \ldots, \psi_{n_{j}, q-1}\right)$ be respectively the defining sequence of $\psi$ and $\psi_{n_{j}}$ such that

$$
\|\psi\|_{*, q-1}=\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}+\sum_{t=1}^{q-1}\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{0, t}\right\|^{1 / 2^{t}}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\psi_{n_{j}}\right\|_{*, q-1}=\left\|\psi_{n_{j}}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\sum_{t=1}^{q-1}\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{n_{j}, t}\right\|^{1 / 2^{t}}
$$

We observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|d d^{c} \psi\right\|^{1 / 2}+\sum_{t=1}^{q-1}\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{0, t}\right\|^{1 / 2^{t+1}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $\|\psi\|_{*, q-1} \leq \lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\psi_{n_{j}}\right\|_{*, q-1}$ and $\varphi_{n_{j}}, \psi_{n_{j}}$ converge weakly to $\varphi, \psi$ respectively in $W^{1,2}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}} \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\varphi_{n_{j}}\right\|_{L^{2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|d d^{c} \psi\right\|^{1 / 2} \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{n_{j}}\right\|^{1 / 2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=1}^{q-1}\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{0, t}\right\|^{1 / 2^{t+1}} \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{t=1}^{q-1}\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{n_{j}, t}\right\|^{1 / 2^{t+1}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining inequalities (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6) gives us

$$
\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\left\|\varphi_{n_{j}}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{n_{j}}\right\|^{1 / 2}+\sum_{t=1}^{q-1}\left\|d d^{c} \psi_{n_{j}, t}\right\|^{1 / 2^{t+1}}\right) .
$$

Then, by the choice of $\psi_{n_{j}}$, we obtain

$$
\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\varphi_{n_{j}}\right\|_{*, q} .
$$

By passing to a subsequence of $\left(\varphi_{n_{j}}\right)$, we complete the proof.
Now, we prove that with the quasinorm $\|\cdot\|_{*, q}, W_{q}^{*}$ is a quasi-Banach space.
Proposition 2.4. $W_{q}^{*}$ endowed with the quasinorm $\|\cdot\|_{*, q}$ is a quasi-Banach space.
Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on $q$. For $q=1$, the proposition is a slight modification of [Vig07, Proposition 1]. Now, we assume that $W_{q-1}^{*}$ is a quasi-Banach space endowed with the quasinorm $\|\cdot\|_{*, q-1}$ for $q \geq 2$. Let $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ be a Cauchy sequence in $W_{q}^{*}$. Since $\|\varphi\|_{*, q-1} \leq\|\varphi\|_{*, q},\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $W_{q-1}^{*}$. It thus follows from the induction hypothesis that this sequence converges to a function $\varphi \in W_{q-1}^{*}$. For every $\epsilon>0$, there is an integer $N$ such that for $n$ and $m$ greater than $N$, we have

$$
d\left(\varphi_{n}-\varphi_{m}\right) \wedge d^{c}\left(\varphi_{n}-\varphi_{m}\right) \leq d d^{c} \psi_{n, m},
$$

where $\psi_{n, m}$ is a function with $\left\|\psi_{n, m}\right\|_{*, q-1}<\epsilon$. Let $n$ go to infinity. Since $\left(\varphi_{n}-\varphi_{m}\right)_{n}$ converges in $W^{1,2}$ to $\varphi-\varphi_{m}, d\left(\varphi_{n}-\varphi_{m}\right) \wedge d^{c}\left(\varphi_{n}-\varphi_{m}\right)$ converges in $L^{1}$ to $d\left(\varphi-\varphi_{m}\right) \wedge$ $d^{c}\left(\varphi-\varphi_{m}\right)$. By Proposition 2.3, we can find a subsequence of $\left(\psi_{n, m}\right)_{n}$ which converges weakly in $W^{1,2}$ to a function $\psi_{m}$. This function satisfies

$$
d\left(\varphi-\varphi_{m}\right) \wedge d^{c}\left(\varphi-\varphi_{m}\right) \leq d d^{c} \psi_{m}
$$

and $\left\|\psi_{m}\right\|_{*, q-1} \leq \epsilon$. Thus, $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}$ and $\varphi_{n}$ converges to $\varphi$ in $W_{q}^{*}$. We complete our proof.

Remark 2.5. It is worth noting that in the case when $q=1$, one can use a slight modification of the proof of [Vig07, Proposition 1] to prove that $\|\cdot\|_{*, 1}$ is a norm and $W_{1}^{*}$ is a Banach space with respect to this norm. It is also interesting to know if we can build a norm on $W_{q}^{*}$ for $q>1$. Note that by Aoki-Rolewicz's Theorem, we can pick some equivalent quasinorm $\|\cdot\|$ with $\|\cdot\|_{*, q}$, such that for some $0<p \leq 1,\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p} \leq\|x+y\|^{p}$ for all $x, y \in W_{q}^{*}$ (see [KPR84]).
2.2. Examples. We now give specific examples for functions in $W_{q}^{*}$.

Example 2.6. Let $\varphi$ be a psh function in $\Omega$. Assume that $\varphi$ satisfies the following condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq r d d^{c} \varphi \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $r>0$. Then it is clear by induction that $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)$ for all $q$. The condition (2.7) was introduced in [DF83] and has many applications in studying the Bergman kernel of $\Omega$ (see for example [BC00, Blo13]).

Example 2.7. Let $\varphi$ be an $\omega$-psh function in a compact Kähler manifold ( $X, \omega$ ). So $d d^{c} \varphi+$ $\omega \geq 0$. If $\varphi$ is bounded (assume for simplicity that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ ), then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi=d d^{c}\left(\varphi^{2}\right) / 2-\varphi d d^{c} \varphi \leq \omega+d d^{c}\left(\varphi^{2}\right) / 2 \\
d\left(\varphi^{2} / 2\right) \wedge d^{c}\left(\varphi^{2} / 2\right)=\varphi^{2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq \omega+d d^{c}\left(\varphi^{2} / 2\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

By choosing the defining sequence to be $\left(\left(1, \varphi^{2} / 2\right), \ldots,\left(1, \varphi^{2} / 2\right)\right)$, we deduce that $\varphi \in$ $W_{q}^{*}(X)$ for all $q$. Thus, bounded $\omega$-psh functions belong to the intersection of all $W_{q}^{*}(X)$. If $\varphi$ is unbounded (assume for simplicity that $\varphi \leq-1$ ). Let $\psi=-\log (-\varphi)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \psi \wedge d^{c} \psi=\frac{d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi}{|\varphi|^{2}} \text { and } \\
& d d^{c} \psi=-\frac{d d^{c} \varphi}{\varphi}+\frac{d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi}{|\varphi|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $d \psi \wedge d^{c} \psi=d d^{c} \psi+d d^{c} \varphi / \varphi \leq d d^{c} \psi+\omega$. Thus, by choosing the defining sequence to be $((1, \psi), \ldots,(1, \psi))$, we deduce that $\psi \in W_{q}^{*}(X)$ for all $q$.
Example 2.8. It follows from [Vig07, Section 2.3] (see also [DS06a, Proposition 4.1]) that if $f, g \in W_{q}^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \max (f, g) \wedge d^{c} \max (f, g) \leq d d^{c}\left(f_{1}+g_{1}\right), \\
& d \min (f, g) \wedge d^{c} \min (f, g) \leq d d^{c}\left(f_{1}+g_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{1}, g_{1}$ are functions in $W_{q-1}^{*}$ such that $d f \wedge d^{c} f \leq d d^{c} f_{1}$ and $d g \wedge d^{c} g \leq d d^{c} g_{1}$. So, both $\max (f, g)$ and $\min (f, g)$ belong to $W_{q}^{*}$. Moreover, there exists a constant c not depending on $f$ and $g$ such that

$$
\|\max (f, g)\|_{*, q} \leq c\left(\|f\|_{*, q}+\|g\|_{*, q}\right) \text { and }\|\min (f, g)\|_{*, q} \leq c\left(\|f\|_{*, q}+\|g\|_{*, q}\right) .
$$

Example 2.9. Let $\varphi$ be the function defined by $-\left(-\log \left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}$ in the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. Then,

$$
i \partial \varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} \varphi=\frac{i d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\left(-\log \left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2-2 \alpha}}
$$

Let $\psi=-\left(-\log \left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2 \alpha}$. We have

$$
\bar{\partial} \psi=2 \alpha\left(-\log \left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2 \alpha-1} \frac{1}{\overline{z_{1}}} d \bar{z}_{1}, \quad i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi=2 \alpha(1-2 \alpha) \frac{i d z_{1} \wedge d \overline{z_{1}}}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\left(-\log \left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2-2 \alpha}}
$$

Thus,

$$
i \partial \varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} \varphi=\frac{1}{2 \alpha(1-2 \alpha)} i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi
$$

So, by induction on $q$ and [Vig07, Example 2], we can prove that $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(\mathbb{B})$ if and only if $\alpha<\frac{1}{2^{q}}$.

Example 2.10. Let $\varphi$ be a subharmonic function in $W^{1,2}(U)$ where $U$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Then for any ball $B \subset U$, by Poincaré-Sobolev inequality, we have

$$
\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B}\left|\varphi-m_{B}(\varphi)\right| \leq c\left(\int_{B}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $c$ is a constant not depending on $\varphi$ and $B$. Let the radius of $B$ go to zero, we deduce that $\varphi$ is a VMO function. Thus, as pointed out in [BW24, Theorem 1.1], $\varphi$ must have zero Lelong number at every point of $U$. Now, consider $\varphi$ to be a psh function in $W_{1}^{*}(\Omega)$. By slicing method (see [DMV20]) and Siu's theorem (see [Dem, Chapter. III (7.13)]), we deduce that $\varphi$ has zero Lelong number at every point of $\Omega$. By remark after [Vig07, Proposition 6], we deduce that $W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)$ is a VMO space for every $q>1$. The same result holds in the global setting. In fact, as we will see in Theorem 1.4 if $\varphi \in W_{1}^{*}(X) \cap \operatorname{PSH}(X, \omega)$, then $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$. And it is well-known that functions in $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ have zero Lelong numbers at every point.
2.3. Density theorems. We now prove density theorems for $W_{q}^{*}$. The approximate sequences have been constructed in [Vig07], and we use the same construction for our spaces.

First, we consider the local case. We have the following result.
Theorem 2.11. Let $K$ be a relatively compact subset of $\Omega$ and $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence of smooth functions $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ converges to $\varphi$ in $W^{1,2}(K)$. Moreover, we have

$$
\|\varphi\|_{W_{q}^{*}(K)} \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{W_{q}^{*}(K)} \leq\|\varphi\|_{W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)} .
$$

Proof. Take $\chi$ to be a non-negative smooth radial function with compact support in $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{k}} \chi=1$ and define

$$
\chi_{\epsilon}(z)=\epsilon^{-2 k} \chi\left(\frac{z}{\epsilon}\right) .
$$

Put $\varphi_{\epsilon}=\varphi * \chi_{\epsilon}$, then $\varphi_{\epsilon}$ is well-defined in $K$ when $\epsilon$ is small enough. Consider $\psi \in$ $W_{q-1}^{*}(\Omega) \cap \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$ such that $d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq d d^{c} \psi$. Let $\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence decreasing to zero and define $\varphi_{n}=\varphi_{\epsilon_{n}}, \psi_{n}=\psi * \chi_{\epsilon_{n}}$. Then, by [Vig07, Lemma 5], we have $d \varphi_{n} \wedge$ $d^{c} \varphi_{n} \leq d d^{c} \psi_{n}$. Now, let $\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}\right)$ be a defining sequence for $\varphi$. Define $\varphi_{n, j}=\varphi_{j} * \chi_{\epsilon_{n}}$ for $j=1, \ldots, q$. Then by an induction, $\left(\varphi_{n, 1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n, q}\right)$ is a defining sequence for $\varphi_{n}$. Moreover, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{K} d d^{c} \varphi_{n, j} \wedge \omega^{k-1} \leq \int_{\Omega} d d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge \omega^{k-1} \text { for } j=1, \ldots, q . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ is a bounded sequence in $W_{q}^{*}(K)$. Thus, by Proposition [2.3, there exists a subsequence ( $\varphi_{n_{m}}$ ) converges to $\varphi$ in $W^{1,2}(K)$ and satisfies the first inequality. By (2.8), this sequence also satisfies the second inequality. We complete the proof.

We now consider the case of compact Kähler manifolds. We have the following result.
Theorem 2.12. Let $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(X)$. Then there exists a sequence of smooth functions $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ such that $\varphi_{n}$ converges to $\varphi$ weakly in $W^{1,2}(X)$. Moreover, there is a constant $c$ not depending on $\varphi$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{*, q} \leq c\|\varphi\|_{*, q}$.

Proof. Let $\left(K_{n}^{+}\right)$and ( $K_{n}^{-}$) be two sequences of positive closed $(k, k)$-currents constructed in [DS04]. Recall that $\left(K_{n}^{+}-K_{n}^{-}\right)$converges to the current of integration on the diagonal
of $X \times X$. Define $K_{n}=K_{n}^{+}-K_{n}^{-}$. Now consider $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(X)$ and put

$$
\varphi_{n}(x)=\int_{y \in X} \varphi(y) K_{n}(x, y)
$$

Let $\psi$ be the function in $W_{q-1}^{*}(X)$ such that $d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq c\left(\omega+d d^{c} \psi\right)$, then we can bound $d \varphi_{n} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{n}$ by

$$
A \int_{y \in X} K_{n}^{ \pm}(x, y) \wedge\left(\omega+d d^{c} \psi\right)
$$

Here $A$ is a constant depending on the manifold $X$ and $\|\varphi\|_{*, q}$. This is a positive closed current (note that both $K_{n}^{+}$and $K_{n}^{-}$are positive closed current) and for $N$ big enough, we can bound this as the form $2 A\left(\omega+d d^{c} \psi_{n}\right)$ where

$$
\psi_{n}=\int_{y \in X} \psi(y) K_{n}^{ \pm}(x, y)
$$

As pointed out in [Vig07, Section 2.4] (see [DNS22, Appendix A] for more information), after iterating this convolution several times, we can make $\varphi_{n}$ smooth. The result is thus followed by using [Vig07, Theorem 10] and induction in $q$.
2.4. Vigny's functional capacity. Consider the case when $(X, \omega)$ is a compact Kähler manifold. Following [Vig07], for a Borel set $E$ in $X$, we define

$$
L_{q}(E)=\left\{\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}: \varphi \leq-1 \text { a.e on some neighborhood of } E \text { and } \varphi \leq 0 \text { on } X\right\} .
$$

The corresponding Vigny's capacity for $W_{q}^{*}$ can be defined as follows

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{q}(E)=\inf \left\{\|\varphi\|_{*, q}^{2}: \varphi \in L_{q}(E)\right\}
$$

These capacities share similar properties with the original Vigny's capacity in [Vig07]. We list below some important properties. The proofs are modifications of Vigny's proofs in Vig07].

Proposition 2.13. The capacity $\mathrm{Cap}_{q}$ satisfies the following properties
(1) for $E \subset F \subset X, \operatorname{Cap}_{q}(E) \leq \operatorname{Cap}_{q}(F)$;
(2) if $\left(E_{j}\right)$ is a sequence of Borel sets in $X, \operatorname{Cap}_{q}\left(\cup_{j} E_{j}\right) \leq c \sum_{j} \operatorname{Cap}_{q}\left(E_{j}\right)$ for some constant c not depending on $\left(E_{j}\right)$;
(3) $\operatorname{Cap}_{q}(X)=1$ and $\operatorname{Cap}_{q}(E) \leq 1$ for any $E \subset X$;
(4) if $\left(K_{j}\right)$ is a decreasing sequence of compact sets, $\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{Cap}_{q}\left(K_{j}\right)=\operatorname{Cap}_{q}\left(\cap_{n} K_{j}\right)$;
(5) if $\left(E_{j}\right)$ is an increasing sequence of Borel sets, $\operatorname{Cap}_{q}\left(\cup_{j} E_{j}\right)=\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{Cap}_{q}\left(E_{j}\right)$, that is, $\mathrm{Cap}_{q}$ is a Choquet capacity.
Proof. We note that, due to Example 2.8, in $W_{q}^{*}$, we also have the following inequality

$$
\|\min (f, g)\|_{*, q}^{2} \leq c\left(\|f\|_{*, q}^{2}+\|g\|_{*, q}^{2}\right)
$$

Moreover, $\|f\|_{*, q}=1$ where $f$ is the constant function 1 on $X$. We can now follow the proofs of [Vig07, Proposition 27 and Theorem 30] to finish the proof.
$\mathrm{Cap}_{q}$ also characterizes pluripolar sets in a manner analogous to the original Vigny's capacity.

Theorem 2.14. There exists a strictly positive constant $B$ such that for all Borel subset $E$ of $X$, we have

$$
B^{-1} \operatorname{Cap}_{\omega}(E) \leq \operatorname{Cap}_{q}(E) \leq B\left(\operatorname{Cap}_{\omega}(E)\right)^{\frac{1}{k 2 q-1}} .
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Cap}_{q}(E)=0$ if and only if $E$ is pluripolar.
Recall that the capacity $\mathrm{Cap}_{\omega}$ was defined by Kołodziej in [Kol03]. It is related to the well-known Bedford-Taylor capacity ([ $[$ BT82] ), and defined by

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{\omega}(E)=\sup \left\{\int_{E}\left(\omega+d d^{c} u\right)^{k}: u \in \operatorname{PSH}(X, \omega),-1 \leq u \leq 0\right\}
$$

where $E$ is a Borel subset of $X$. We refer the reader to [GZ05] for more information on this capacity for local and global settings.

We also need the following notion of capacity introduced by Dinh and Sibony in [DS06b]. It is related to the capacities of Alexander in [Ale81] and of Siciak in [Sic62], see also [HL06] and [GZ05]. For a Borel subset $E$ of $X$, we consider the function

$$
V_{E}(x)=\sup \{u(x): u \in \operatorname{PSH}(X, \omega) \text { and } u \leq 0 \text { on } E\} .
$$

Then $V_{E}$ is a non-negative $\omega$-psh function. Define

$$
\mathcal{J}(E)=\exp \left(-\sup _{X} V_{E}(x)\right) .
$$

Recall from [GZ05, Proposition 6.1] the following relation between $\mathrm{Cap}_{\omega}$ and $\mathcal{J}$.
Theorem 2.15. There is a strictly positive constant $A$ such that for all compact subset $K$ of $X$, we have

$$
\exp \left(-\frac{A}{\operatorname{Cap}_{\omega}(K)}\right) \leq \mathcal{J}(K) \leq e \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\operatorname{Cap}_{\omega}(K)^{1 / k}}\right)
$$

Now we can prove Theorem 2.14.
Proof of Theorem 2.14] We follow proofs in [Vig07, DKN20]. Due to Example 2.7, if $\varphi$ is a qpsh function such that $\varphi<-1$, then the function $\psi=-\log (-\varphi)$ belongs to $W_{q}^{*}$ for all $q$, and $\psi$ has the same poles set as $\varphi$. We can now follow the proofs of [Vig07, Proposition 28] to see the first inequality.

We now consider the second inequality. Since both capacities are regular (see [GZ05, Theorem 4.2] and Proposition 2.13, (5)), we only need to show this inequality for any compact regular set $K \subset X$ instead of $E$. Let $M=\sup _{X} V_{K}(x)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M<+\infty$, otherwise both capacities vanish. If $M \leq 1$, then by [DKN20, page 14], the desired inequality follows. Now we only consider the case when $M \geq 1$. Define

$$
f_{K}(x)=\frac{V_{K}(x)-M}{M} .
$$

Then $f_{K}$ is equal -1 on $K$ with $-1 \leq f_{K} \leq 0$ and $f_{K}$ is qpsh with $d d^{c} f_{K}+M^{-1} \omega \geq 0$. Since $f_{K}$ is a bounded qpsh function, it follows from Example 2.7 that $f_{K} \in W_{q}^{*}$ for all $q$. We now compute $\left\|f_{K}\right\|_{*, q}$. Direct calculation gives us

$$
d f_{K} \wedge d^{c} f_{K}=-f_{K} d d^{c} f_{K}+\frac{1}{2} d d^{c}\left(f_{K}^{2}\right) \leq \frac{\omega}{M}+\frac{1}{2} d d^{c}\left(f_{K}^{2}\right),
$$

$$
d\left(f_{K}^{2} / 2\right) \wedge d^{c}\left(f_{K}^{2} / 2\right)=\left(f_{K}^{2}\right) d f_{K} \wedge d^{c} f_{K} \leq d f_{K} \wedge d^{c} f_{K} \leq \frac{\omega}{M}+\frac{1}{2} d d^{c}\left(f_{K}^{2}\right)
$$

Hence, we can choose $\left(\left(M^{-1}, f_{K}^{2} / 2\right), \ldots,\left(M^{-1}, f_{K}^{2} / 2\right)\right)$ as a defining sequence. Now, as mentioned in the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{*, q}$, if we replace $L^{2}$-norm by $L^{1}$-norm, we obtain an equivalent norm. Since $\max _{X} f_{K}=0$, we have

$$
\left\|f_{K}\right\|_{L^{1}}=\int_{X}-f_{K} \omega^{n} \leq \frac{A}{M}
$$

for some constant $A$ depending only on $(X, \omega)$ because the set of $\omega$-psh function $u$ such that $\max _{X} u=0$ is a compact subset in $L^{1}(X)$. Thus, we get

$$
\left\|f_{K}\right\|_{*, q}=\left\|f_{K}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{1}{M^{2^{j}}} \leq \frac{A}{M}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{1}{M^{1 / 2^{j}}} \leq \frac{B^{\prime}}{M^{1 / 2^{q}}}
$$

for some constant $B^{\prime}>0$ since $M \geq 1$. So, by Theorem 2.15,

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{q}(U) \leq\left\|f_{K}\right\|_{*, q}^{2} \leq \frac{B^{\prime 2}}{M^{1 / 2^{q-1}}} \leq B\left(\operatorname{Cap}_{\omega}(U)\right)^{\frac{1}{k 2^{q-1}}} .
$$

This finishes the proof.
Remark 2.16. Theorem 2.14 directly shows that the capacities $\mathrm{Cap}_{q}$ with $p \geq 1$, are equivalent capacities. Observe that the sequence $\left(\mathrm{Cap}_{q}\right)_{q \geq 1}$ is increasing and always bounded by 1. Given a Borel set E, it is an interesting question to study the behavior of $\mathrm{Cap}_{q}(E)$ as $q$ goes to infinity and their relationship with the Lebesgue measure.

Remark 2.17. As in [Vig07, Remark 33], one can define $\mathrm{Cap}_{q}$ in the local case by the same method. It is also a Choquet capacity and the sets of zero capacity are exactly the pluripolar sets.

## 3. Moser-Trudinger inequalities

In this section, we prove a sequence of estimations of $L^{m}$ type. Then we construct the psh (qpsh) bound for functions in $W_{q}^{*}$ to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 ,
3.1. Estimations of $L^{m}$ type. First, we need some auxiliary results. We begin by recalling the following version of Moser-Trudinger inequality for functions in $W_{1}^{*}$.

Theorem 3.1. [DMV20, Theorem 1.1] Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and $K$ a compact subset of $U$. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ be psh functions which are Hölder continuous of Hölder exponent $\beta \in(0,1)$ on $\Omega$. Let $\varphi \in W_{1}^{*}(\Omega)$. Assume that $\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{C^{\beta}} \leq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\|\varphi\|_{*, 1} \leq 1$. Then there exist strictly positive constants $\alpha$ and $c$ depending on $U, K, \beta$ but independent of $\varphi, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ such that

$$
\int_{K} e^{\alpha|\varphi|^{2}} d d^{c} v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} v_{k} \leq c .
$$

In particular, $\varphi$ belongs to $L_{\text {loc }}^{p}$ with respect to the measure $d d^{c} v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} v_{k}$ for every $p \in[1, \infty)$.

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\Omega$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a strictly positive constant $c_{1}$ so that

$$
\int_{K}|\varphi|^{m} \omega^{k} \leq c_{1}
$$

for every $\varphi \in W_{1}^{*}(\Omega)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{*, 1} \leq 1$. In particular, the estimate holds for every $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq 1$.

Here, for the last assertion, we use that $\|\varphi\|_{*, 1} \leq\|\varphi\|_{*, q}$ for $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}$.
Next, let $\varphi$ be a positive function in $W_{q}^{*}(\mathbb{B})$ and $\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}\right)$ be a defining sequence for $\varphi$ such that

$$
\|\varphi\|_{*, q}=\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}}+\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left\|d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2^{j}}
$$

We can assume that $\varphi_{q} \leq 0$.
Remark 3.3. Recall that if $\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}\right)$ is a defining sequence for $\varphi$, then $\left(\varphi_{1, \epsilon}, \ldots, \varphi_{q, \epsilon}\right)$ is a defining sequence for $\varphi_{\epsilon}$ where $\varphi_{\epsilon}$ is the standard regularization of $\varphi$ and $\varphi_{j, \epsilon}$ is the standard regularization of $\varphi_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, q$ (see Theorem (2.11).

We set

- $\phi_{n}=\max \left(\varphi_{q},-n\right)$,
- $h_{n}=1+\phi_{n} / n \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1]), h_{n}=0$ on $\left\{\varphi_{q} \leq-n\right\}$,
- $T_{n}=d d^{c}\left(h_{n}^{2} / 2\right)$. This is a positive closed ( 1,1 )-current which vanishes on $\left\{\varphi_{q}<-n\right\}$.
We have the following elementary lemma.
Lemma 3.4. Assume that $\varphi_{q-1}$ and $\varphi_{q}$ are smooth. Then
(1) $d h_{n} \wedge d^{c} h_{n} \leq T_{n}$ and $h_{n} d d^{c} h_{n} \leq T_{n}$,
(2) $d \varphi_{q-1} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{q-1} \leq d d^{c} \phi_{n}$ on $\left\{h_{n}>0\right\}$,
(3) $d \varphi_{q-1} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{q-1} \wedge T_{n} \leq d d^{c} \phi_{n+1} \wedge T_{n}$.

By (1), (2), and the definition of $h_{n}$, we have the following estimate.
Corollary 3.5. Assume that $\varphi_{q-1}$ and $\varphi_{q}$ are smooth. Then

$$
h_{n} d \varphi_{q-1} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{q-1} \leq n h_{n} d d^{c} h_{n} \leq n T_{n} .
$$

Now, we state and prove a sequence of estimates of $L^{m}$ type.
Definition 3.6. Let $\omega$ be the canonical Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $K \Subset \mathbb{B}$, define for $0 \leq p \leq k$

$$
I_{m, p, K}=\sup _{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])} \int_{K} h_{n}^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d d^{c} v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} v_{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p}
$$

and for $0 \leq p \leq k-1$

$$
J_{m, p, K}=\sup _{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])} \int_{K} \varphi^{2 m} T_{n} d d^{c} v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} v_{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p-1} .
$$

As noted after Theorem[1.2, we use the canonical representative of $\varphi$ here, and thus all the integrals make sense. In what follows, we use $\lesssim$ or $\gtrsim$ to denote $\leq$ or $\geq$ respectively modulo a multiplicative constant independent of $n$ and $\varphi$ provided $\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq 1$. Note that
all the definitions depend on $n$. However, we omit the index $n$ since the estimates do not depend on $n$.

Lemma 3.7. There is a constant $c=c(m, K)$ independent of $\varphi$ and $n$ such that

$$
J_{m, 0, K} \leq c n^{\frac{m}{2-1}}
$$

for every $n$.
Proof. By a standard regularization, we can assume that $\varphi, \varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}$ are smooth. Let $\chi$ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on an open neighborhood of $K$, and $\chi$ is supported on $\mathbb{B}$. It is sufficient to prove that

$$
J_{m}=\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} T_{n} \wedge \omega^{k-1} \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}
$$

It follows from the definition of $T_{n}$ and Stokes' formula that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{m} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d d^{c} h_{n}^{2} \wedge \omega^{k-1} \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi \varphi^{2 m} d \chi \wedge d^{c} h_{n}^{2} \wedge \omega^{k-1}-m \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-1} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} h_{n}^{2} \wedge \omega^{k-1} \\
& =-2\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi \varphi^{2 m} h_{n} d \chi \wedge d^{c} h_{n} \wedge \omega^{k-1}+m \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-1} h_{n} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} h_{n} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be respectively the first and second integrals inside the brackets. It follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.4 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d h_{n} \wedge d^{c} h_{n} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \chi \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right) \\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} T_{n} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right)\left(\int_{\operatorname{supp}(\chi)} \varphi^{2 m} \wedge \omega^{k}\right) \\
& \lesssim J_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{2}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d h_{n} \wedge d^{c} h_{n} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-2} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} T_{n} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-2} h_{n}^{2} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right) \\
& \leq J_{m} B_{1, m-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
B_{j, m}=\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge \omega^{k-1} \text { for } j=1, \ldots, q
$$

This implies $J_{m} \lesssim \sqrt{J_{m}}+\sqrt{J_{m}} \sqrt{B_{1, m-1}}$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{m} \lesssim B_{1, m-1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate $B_{1, m-1}$, we first observe that, by Stokes' formula, for $j=1, \ldots, q-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{j, m} & =-2\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right. \\
& \left.+m \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-1} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge \omega^{k-1}+\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n} d h_{n} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $C_{j, 1}, C_{j, 2}$, and $C_{j, 3}$ be the first, second, and third integrals inside the brackets respectively. It follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{j, 1}^{2} \leq & \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \chi \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right) \\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{\operatorname{supp}(\chi)} \varphi^{2 m} \omega^{k}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right), \\
C_{j, 2}^{2} \leq & \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-2} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right) \\
\leq & \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-2} h_{n}^{2} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
C_{j, 3}^{2} \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d h_{n} \wedge d^{c} h_{n} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right) .
$$

It thus follows from the definition of the defining sequence, Corollary 3.2, Lemma 3.4, Corollary 3.5 and inequality (3.1) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{j, 1}^{2} \lesssim\left\{\begin{array} { l l } 
{ B _ { j + 1 , m } } & { \text { if } j < q - 1 } \\
{ n B _ { 1 , m - 1 } } & { \text { if } j = q - 1 , }
\end{array} \quad C _ { j , 2 } ^ { 2 } \lesssim \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1, m-1} B_{j+1, m} & \text { if } j<q-1 \\
n B_{1, m-1}^{2} & \text { if } j=q-1,
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
C_{j, 3}^{2} \lesssim \begin{cases}B_{1, m-1} B_{j+1, m} & \text { if } j<q-1 \\
n B_{1, m-1}^{2} & \text { if } j=q-1 .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that we use (3.1) and the fact that $0 \leq h_{n} \leq 1$ when $j=q-1$. Then, we have

$$
B_{j, m}^{2} \lesssim \begin{cases}B_{j+1, m}+B_{1, m-1} B_{j+1, m} & \text { if } j<q-1 \\ n B_{1, m-1}^{2} & \text { if } j=q-1\end{cases}
$$

which implies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
B_{j, m}^{2} \lesssim B_{1, m-1} B_{j+1, m} \text { for } j<q-1 \\
B_{q-1, m} \lesssim \sqrt{n} B_{1, m-1},
\end{array}\right.
$$

and hence,

$$
B_{1, m}^{2 q-2} \lesssim B_{q-1, m} B_{1, m-1}^{2 q-2} \lesssim \sqrt{n} B_{1, m-1}^{2 q-2}
$$

It thus follows that

$$
B_{1, m} \lesssim n^{\frac{1}{2^{q-1}}} B_{1, m-1},
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1, m} \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2^{q-1}}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, combining inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) gives us

$$
J_{m} \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2^{q-1}}}
$$

as desired.
Lemma 3.8. There is a constant $c=c(m, K)$ independent of $\varphi$ and $n$ such that

$$
J_{m, p, K} \leq c n^{\frac{m}{29-1}}
$$

for every $n$.

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $p$. If $p=0$, the desired assertion is Lemma3.7. Assume now that it is true for all $p^{\prime}$ with $p^{\prime} \leq p-1$. By a standard regularization, we can assume that $\varphi, \varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}$ are smooth. Let $\chi$ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on an open neighborhood of $K$, and $\chi$ is supported on $\mathbb{B}$. It is sufficient to prove that

$$
J_{m, p}=\sup _{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} T_{n} d d^{c} v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} v_{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p-1} \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}} .
$$

We prove this inequality by induction on $m$ ( $p$ now fixed). When $m=0$, it is obvious. Assume that it is true for all $m^{\prime}$ with $m^{\prime} \leq m-1$. Consider $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])$, we set $R=d d^{c} v_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} v_{p} \wedge T_{n} \wedge \omega^{k-p-1}$. It follows from Stokes' formula that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} T_{n} d d^{c} v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} v_{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p-1} \\
& \quad=-2\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi \varphi^{2 m} d \chi \wedge d^{c} v_{1} \wedge R+m \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-1} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} v_{1} \wedge R\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be the first and second integrals inside the brackets respectively. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the induction hypothesis on $p$, the induction hypothesis on $m$, and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \varphi^{2 m} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \chi \wedge R\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d v_{1} \wedge d^{c} v_{1} \wedge R\right) \\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{\operatorname{supp}(\chi)} \varphi^{2 m} R \wedge \omega\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d d^{c}\left(v_{1}^{2}\right) \wedge R\right) \\
& \lesssim J_{m, p-1, \operatorname{supp}(\chi)} J_{m, p} \\
& \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}} J_{m, p},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{2}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \wedge R\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d v_{1} \wedge d^{c} v_{1} \wedge R\right) \\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-2} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge R\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d d^{c}\left(v_{1}^{2}\right) \wedge R\right) \\
& \lesssim E_{1, m-1} J_{m, p},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
E_{j, m}=\sup _{v_{2}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R \text { for } j=1, \ldots, q .
$$

Note that since $\chi$ depends on $K$, the estimate here only depends on $K$. Then, by taking the supremum over all $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])$, we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{m, p} \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2^{9-1}}}+E_{1, m-1} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate $E_{1, m-1}$, we consider $v_{2}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])$. It follows from Stokes' formula that, for $j=1, \ldots, q-1$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R=-2\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi \varphi^{2 m} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R+m \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-1} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R\right)
$$

Let $F_{j, 1}$ and $F_{j, 2}$ be the first and second integrals inside the brackets respectively. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the induction hypothesis on $p$, and the induction hypothesis
on $m$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{j, 1}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \varphi^{2 m} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \chi \wedge R\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R\right) \\
& \lesssim J_{m, p-1, \operatorname{supp}(\chi)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R\right) \\
& \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{j, 2}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \wedge R\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R\right) \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-2} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge R\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R\right) \\
& \leq E_{1, m-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by taking the supremum over all $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])$, Lemma 3.4 and inequality (3.3), we have

$$
E_{j, m}^{2} \lesssim \begin{cases}E_{j+1, m}\left(E_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2^{q-1}}}\right) & \text { if } j<q-1 \\ n\left(n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}\left(n^{\frac{m}{2^{q-1}}}+E_{1, m-1}\right)+E_{1, m-1}\left(n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}+E_{1, m-1}\right)\right) & \text { if } j=q-1\end{cases}
$$

It thus follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{j, m}^{2} \lesssim E_{j+1, m}\left(E_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}\right) \text { if } j<q-1 \\
E_{q-1, m} \lesssim \sqrt{n}\left(E_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, we have

$$
E_{1, m}^{2 q-2} \leq E_{q-1, m}\left(E_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2^{q-1}}}\right)^{2^{q-2}-1} \lesssim \sqrt{n}\left(E_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2^{q-1}}}\right)^{2^{q-2}}
$$

and hence,

$$
E_{1, m} \lesssim n^{\frac{1}{2 q-1}}\left(E_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2^{p-1}}}\right) .
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1, m} \lesssim n^{\frac{m+1}{2^{q-1}}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining inequalities (3.3) and (3.4) gives us

$$
J_{m, p} \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}
$$

as desired.
Lemma 3.9. There is a constant $c=c(m, K)$ independent of $\varphi$ and $n$ such that

$$
I_{m, p, K} \leq c n^{\frac{m}{2 q^{-1}}}
$$

for every $n$.

Proof. We argue similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.8, by induction on $p$. If $p=0$, the desired assertion follows from Corollary 3.2. We assume now that it is true for every $p^{\prime}$ with $p^{\prime} \leq p-1$. By a standard regularization, we can assume that $\varphi, \varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}$ are smooth. Let $\chi$ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on an open neighborhood of $K$, and $\chi$ is supported on $\mathbb{B}$. To prove the desired assertion, it suffices to prove that

$$
I_{m, p}=\sup _{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d d^{c} v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} v_{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p} \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}
$$

We prove this inequality by induction on $m$ ( $p$ now fixed). When $m=0$, it is obvious. Assume that it is true for all $m^{\prime}$ with $m^{\prime} \leq m-1$. Consider $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])$, we set $R^{\prime}=d d^{c} v_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} v_{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p}$. It follows from Stokes' formula that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d d^{c} v_{1} \wedge R^{\prime} & =-2\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \chi \wedge d^{c} v_{1} \wedge R^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n} d h_{n} \wedge d^{c} v_{1} \wedge R^{\prime}+m \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-1} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} v_{1} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $G_{1}, G_{2}$, and $G_{3}$ be the first, second, and third integrals inside the brackets respectively. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the induction hypothesis on $p$, the induction hypothesis on $m$, and Lemma 3.8, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{1}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} h_{n}^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \chi \wedge R^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} h_{n}^{2} \varphi^{2 m} \chi^{2} d v_{1} \wedge d^{c} v_{1} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \lesssim I_{m, p-1, \operatorname{supp}(\chi)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} h_{n}^{2} \varphi^{2 m} \chi^{2} d d^{c}\left(v_{1}^{2}\right) \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2^{q-1}}} I_{m, p}, \\
G_{2}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d h_{n} \wedge d^{c} h_{n} \wedge R^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d v_{1} \wedge d^{c} v_{1} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} T_{n} \wedge R^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d d^{c}\left(v_{1}^{2}\right) \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq J_{m, p-1, \operatorname{supp}(\chi)} I_{m, p} \\
& \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}} I_{m, p},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{3}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-2} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \wedge R^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d v_{1} \wedge d^{c} v_{1} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-2} h_{n}^{2} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge R^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d d^{c}\left(v_{1}^{2}\right) \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq H_{1, m-1} I_{m, p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
H_{j, m}=\sup _{v_{2}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime} \text { for } j=1, \ldots, q .
$$

Then, by taking the supremum over all $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{m, p} \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2^{q-1}}}+H_{1, m-1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate $H_{1, m-1}$, we consider $v_{2}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])$. It follows from Stokes' formula that, for $j=1, \ldots, q-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime} & =-2\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}+\right. \\
& \left.m \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-1} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}+\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n} d h_{n} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $L_{j, 1}, L_{j, 2}$, and $L_{j, 3}$ be the first, second, and third integrals inside the brackets respectively. It follows from Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.8, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the induction hypothesis on $p$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{j, 1}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \chi \wedge R^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\operatorname{supp}(\chi)} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} \wedge R^{\prime} \wedge \omega\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq I_{m, p-1, \operatorname{supp}(\chi)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{29-1}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}\right), \\
L_{j, 2}^{2} \leq & \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-2} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \wedge R^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
\leq & \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m-2} h_{n}^{2} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge R^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \lesssim H_{1, m-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{j, 3}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} d h_{n} \wedge d^{c} h_{n} \wedge R^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} T_{n} \wedge R^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq J_{m, p-1, \operatorname{supp}(\chi)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) \\
& \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2^{q-1}}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi^{2} \varphi^{2 m} h_{n}^{2} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge R^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by taking the supremum over all $v_{2}, \ldots, v_{p} \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B},[0,1])$, Corollary 3.5 , Lemma 3.8, and inequality (3.5), we have

$$
H_{j, m}^{2} \lesssim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H_{j+1, m}\left(H_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}\right) \text { if } j<q-1 \\
n\left(H_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}\right)^{2} \text { if } j=q-1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It thus follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H_{k, m}^{2} \lesssim H_{k+1, m}\left(H_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}\right) \text { if } k<q-1 \\
H_{q-1, m} \lesssim \sqrt{n}\left(H_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

and hence

$$
H_{1, m-1}^{2^{q-2}} \lesssim H_{q-1, m}\left(H_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}\right)^{2^{q-2}-1} \lesssim \sqrt{n}\left(H_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}\right)^{2^{q-2}}
$$

Then, we have

$$
H_{1, m} \lesssim n^{\frac{1}{2 q-1}}\left(H_{1, m-1}+n^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}\right)
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1, m} \lesssim n^{\frac{m+1}{2 q-1}} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) gives

$$
I_{m, p} \lesssim n^{\frac{m}{2^{q-1}}}
$$

as desired.
We end this subsection by estimates of Bedford-Taylor's capacity of sub-level sets, which will be used in the sequel. We recall that, for a compact subset $K \subset \Omega$, the Bedford-Taylor's capacity of $K$ in $\Omega$ is defined by the formula

$$
\operatorname{Cap}(K, \Omega)=\sup \left\{\int_{K}\left(d d^{c} u\right)^{n}: u \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega),-1 \leq u \leq 0\right\} .
$$

We refer the reader to [BT82, Kol98, Kol05] for more information on this capacity.
For every Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{B}$, the relative extremal function for $E$ in $\mathbb{B}$ is defined as

$$
u_{E}=\sup \{u \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B}): u \leq 0 \text { on } \mathbb{B}, u \leq-1 \text { on } E\} .
$$

Let $u_{E}^{*}$ be the upper semicontinuous regularization of $u_{E}$. Then we have the following relationship between the relative extremal functions and Bedford-Taylor's capacity.

$$
\operatorname{Cap}(E, \mathbb{B})=\int_{\mathbb{B}}\left(d d^{c} u_{E}^{*}\right)^{k}=\int_{\bar{E}}\left(d d^{c} u_{E}^{*}\right)^{k},
$$

see [BT82]. Now, fix a compact subset $K$ of $\mathbb{B}$. Let $2^{2 q-1}<\lambda<2^{2^{q}}$ be a constant. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$
K_{n}=\left\{z \in K: \varphi(z) \geq 2^{n}, \varphi_{q} \geq-\lambda^{n}\right\}
$$

and $u_{n}=u_{K_{n}}$.
We have the following estimates for Bedford-Taylor's capacity of $K_{n}$ in $\mathbb{B}$.
Lemma 3.10. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a constant $c_{m}$ independent of $\varphi$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\int_{K_{n}}\left(d d^{c} u_{n}^{*}\right)^{k} \leq \operatorname{Cap}\left(K_{n}, \mathbb{B}\right) \leq c_{m}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^{2^{q}}}\right)^{\frac{m n}{2 q-1}}
$$

Proof. The first inequality is clear. We only need to prove the second inequality. Let $l$ be a positive integer and $V_{l}$ be an open subset in $\mathbb{B}$ so that $\operatorname{Cap}\left(V_{l}, \mathbb{B}\right) \leq l^{-1}$ and $\varphi$ is continuous on $\mathbb{B} \backslash V_{l}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}\left(K_{n}, \mathbb{B}\right) \leq \operatorname{Cap}\left(K_{n} \backslash V_{l}, \mathbb{B}\right)+\operatorname{Cap}\left(V_{l}, \mathbb{B}\right) \leq \int_{K_{n} \backslash V_{l}}\left(d d^{c} u_{K_{n} \backslash V_{l}}^{*}\right)^{k}+l^{-1} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h_{2 \lambda^{n}} \geq 1 / 2$ on $K_{n}$ (recall that $h_{n}=1+\max \left(\varphi_{q},-n\right) / n$ ), we have

$$
\int_{K_{n} \backslash V_{l}}\left(d d^{c} u_{K_{n} \backslash V_{l}}^{*}\right)^{k} \leq 4 \times 4^{-m n} \int_{K_{n} \backslash V_{l}} h_{2 \lambda^{n}}^{2} \varphi^{2 m}\left(d d^{c} u_{K_{n} \backslash V_{l}}^{*}\right)^{k} \lesssim 4^{-m n}\left(2 \lambda^{n}\right)^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}} \lesssim\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^{2 q}}\right)^{\frac{m n}{2 q^{-1}}}
$$

by Lemma 3.9. This combined with inequality (3.7) gives

$$
\operatorname{Cap}\left(K_{n}, \mathbb{B}\right) \leq c_{m}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^{2^{q}}}\right)^{\frac{m n}{2 q-1}}+l^{-1} .
$$

Let $l \rightarrow+\infty$, we complete the proof.
3.2. Psh bound (local version). Now we construct the psh bound for functions in $W_{q}^{*}$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(\mathbb{B})$ with $\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq 1$ and $\alpha \in\left[1,2^{q}\right)$. Then for every compact subset $K$ of $\mathbb{B}$, there exist a strictly positive constant $C$ and a psh function $u$ on $\mathbb{B}$ such that

$$
|\varphi|^{\alpha} \leq-u
$$

on $K$ and $\|u\|_{L^{1}(K)} \leq C$.
Proof. Fix $\alpha \in\left[1,2^{q}\right)$ and $\lambda \in\left(\max \left(2^{\alpha}, 2^{2^{q-1}}\right), 2^{2^{q}}\right)$. Assume that $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(\mathbb{B})$ with $\varphi \geq 0$. Let $\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}\right)$ be a defining sequence for $\varphi$ such that

$$
\|\varphi\|_{*, q}=\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}}+\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left\|d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2^{j}}
$$

We can assume that $\varphi_{q} \leq 0$. Consider

$$
u=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n \alpha}\left(u_{n}^{*}+\frac{\max \left(\varphi_{q},-\lambda^{n}\right)}{\lambda^{n}}\right)
$$

Similar to the proof of [VV23, Theorem 1.3], we need to prove the following claims.
Claim 1. $2^{\alpha} u+1 \leq-\varphi^{\alpha}$ outside a pluripolar set.
Claim 2. $u$ is not identically $-\infty$.
Claim 3. One can choose $u$ so that $L^{1}$-norm of $u$ is bounded by a constant that not depends on $\varphi$.

Proof of Claim 1. Set

$$
A_{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{B}: \varphi(x) \in\left[2^{n}, 2^{n+1}\right), \varphi_{q}>-\lambda^{n}\right\} .
$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{B} \backslash\left(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right)$. Thus, there exists $n$ such that $\varphi(x) \in\left[2^{n}, 2^{n+1}\right)$ and $\varphi_{q} \leq-\lambda^{n}$. Thus

$$
u(x) \leq 2^{n \alpha}\left(\frac{\max \left(\varphi_{q},-\lambda^{n}\right)}{\lambda^{n}}\right) \leq-2^{n \alpha}
$$

This means

$$
2^{\alpha} u+1 \leq-\varphi^{\alpha}
$$

on $\mathbb{B} \backslash\left(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right)$. Note that $u_{n}^{*}=u_{n}$ outside some pluripolar set $E_{n}$, on $A_{n} \backslash E_{n}$, we have

$$
u(x) \leq 2^{n \alpha} u_{n}(x)=-2^{n \alpha}
$$

Hence,

$$
2^{\alpha} u+1 \leq-\varphi^{\alpha}
$$

on $\left(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right) \backslash\left(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}\right)$ and the Claim 1 follows.

Proof of Claim 2. Let

$$
B_{n}=\left\{2^{n \alpha} u_{n}^{*}<\frac{1}{n^{2}} \rho\right\}
$$

where $\rho(z)=\|z\|^{2}-1$. By the comparison principle (see [Kol05, Theorem 1.16]) and Lemma 3.10, we have, for every $m \geq 1$, there is a constant $c_{m}>0$ depending only on $m$ such that

$$
\int_{B_{n}} \omega^{k}=\int_{B_{n}}\left(d d^{c} \rho\right)^{k} \leq n^{2 k} 2^{n k \alpha} \int_{B_{n}}\left(d d^{c} u_{n}^{*}\right)^{k} \leq c_{m} n^{2 k} 2^{n k \alpha}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^{2^{q}}}\right)^{\frac{m n}{2 q-1}}
$$

Then for every $n_{0} \geq 1$,

$$
\sum_{n \geq n_{0}} \int_{B_{n}} \omega^{k} \leq c_{m} \sum_{n \geq n_{0}} n^{2 k}\left(2^{2^{q-1} k \alpha}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^{2^{q}}}\right)^{m}\right)^{\frac{n}{2^{q-1}}}
$$

Thus by choosing $m$ large enough (precisely so that $2^{2^{q-1} k \alpha}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^{2 q}}\right)^{m}<1$ ), we see that

$$
\sum_{n \geq n_{0}} \int_{B_{n}} \omega^{k}<\int_{\mathbb{B}} \omega^{k}
$$

for $n_{0}$ large enough (independent of $\varphi$ and $K$ ). In particular, $\mathbb{B} \backslash\left(\cup_{n \geq n_{0}} B_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$
0 \geq 2^{n \alpha} u_{n}^{*}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n^{2}} \rho(x), \text { for all } n \geq n_{0}, x \in \mathbb{B} \backslash\left(\cup_{n \geq n_{0}} B_{n}\right)
$$

Since $\mathbb{B} \backslash\left(\cup_{n \geq n_{0}} B_{n}\right)$ has a positive measure, it is a non-pluripolar set. It thus follows that we can choose $x_{0} \in \mathbb{B} \backslash\left(\cup_{n \geq n_{0}} B_{n}\right)$ such that $\varphi_{q}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq-\infty$. Now we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u\left(x_{0}\right) & =\sum_{n \geq 1} 2^{n \alpha}\left(\frac{\max \left(\varphi_{q}\left(x_{0}\right),-\lambda^{n}\right)}{\lambda^{n}}\right)+\sum_{n \geq 1} 2^{n \alpha} u_{n}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi_{q}\left(x_{0}\right) \sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{2^{\alpha}}{\lambda}\right)^{n}+\sum_{1 \leq n \leq n_{0}} 2^{n \alpha} u_{n}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right)+\sum_{n>n_{0}} 2^{n \alpha} u_{n}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi_{q}\left(x_{0}\right) \sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{2^{\alpha}}{\lambda}\right)^{n}-\sum_{1 \leq n \leq n_{0}} 2^{n \alpha}+\sum_{n>n_{0}} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \rho\left(x_{0}\right)>-\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Claim 2.
Proof of Claim 3. Define

$$
M_{\varphi}=\inf \left\{\|u\|_{L^{1}(K)}:|\varphi|^{\alpha} \leq-u, u \in \operatorname{PSH}(\mathbb{B})\right\}
$$

Let

$$
M=\sup \left\{M_{\varphi}, \varphi \in W_{q}^{*}, \varphi \geq 0,\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq 1\right\}
$$

Suppose by contradiction that $M=+\infty$. Hence, we can find a sequence $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $W_{q}^{*}(\mathbb{B})$ with $\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq 1$ such that $M_{\varphi_{n}} \geq 2^{n}$. Define

$$
v=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{n}}{n^{2}}
$$

Then, since $W_{q}^{*}$ is a quasi-Banach space, $v \in W_{q}^{*}$. Hence, by Claim 2, we can find a negative psh function $u$ on $\mathbb{B}$ with $|u| \geq v^{\alpha}$. This deduce that $|u| \geq n^{-2 \alpha} \varphi_{n}^{\alpha}$ and hence, $n^{2 \alpha}|u| \geq \varphi_{n}^{\alpha}$. It follows that

$$
M_{\varphi_{n}} \leq\left\|n^{2 \alpha} u\right\|_{L^{1}(K)}=n^{2 \alpha}\|u\|_{L^{1}(K)} \ll 2^{n}
$$

when $n$ is big enough. This is a contradiction since $M_{\varphi_{n}} \geq 2^{n}$. So $M<+\infty$ and the Claim 3 follows.

Now, for general $\varphi$, we decompose $\varphi=\max (\varphi, 0)+\min (\varphi, 0)$. By Example 2.8, we can rescale the function a little bit to get the answer. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.11.

Example 3.12. (Sharpness of the exponential $\alpha$ ) As in [VV23, page 13], we consider the case when $\alpha>2^{q}$ and $k=1$. We choose the function $\varphi$ to be $\left(-\log |z|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2^{q}-\delta}$ where $\delta \in\left(0,1 / 2^{q}\right)$. Then by Example 2.9. $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}$. Since $\alpha>2^{q}$, we can choose $\delta$ small enough such that $\beta=\alpha\left(1 / 2^{q}-\delta\right)>1$. Thus, $\varphi^{\alpha}=\left(-\log |z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}$ and then $e^{c \varphi^{\alpha}} \geq \frac{c}{|z|^{2}}$ which is not locally integrable at 0 in $\mathbb{C}$. Also, note that by arguments in [VV23], this function is not bounded from above by minus of a subharmonic function. So the exponential coefficient here as well as the one in Theorem 1.2 can not be greater than $2^{q}$. It is natural to predict that the result still holds for $\alpha=2^{q}$ (like in the case $q=1$ ), but currently, we don't know how to prove that.
3.3. Psh bound (global version). The following result is a global version of Theorem 3.11.

Theorem 3.13. Let $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(X)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{*, q} \leq 1$ and $\alpha \in\left[1,2^{q}\right)$. Then there exist a strictly positive constant $C$ not depending on $\varphi$ and a negative $C \omega$-psh $u$ on $X$ such that

$$
|\varphi|^{\alpha} \leq-u \quad \text { and } \quad\|u\|_{L^{1}(X)} \leq C
$$

Proof. We follow the proof of Theorem 3.11 almost line by line with the only additional consideration being the careful selection of $u_{n}$ to ensure that it is $C \omega$-psh for some uniform constant $C$. Recall that the capacity of a Borel set $E$ in $X$ with respect to a Kähler form $\eta$ on $X$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{\eta}(E)=\sup \left\{\int_{X}\left(\eta+d d^{c} v\right)^{k}: v \in \operatorname{PSH}(X, \eta),-1 \leq v \leq 0\right\} .
$$

Following [GZ05, Proposition 3.1, Theorem 3.2], we have

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{\eta}(E)=\int_{X}-u_{E, \eta}^{*}\left(\eta+d d^{c} u_{E, \eta}^{*}\right)^{k}=\int_{\bar{E}}-u_{E, \eta}^{*}\left(\eta+d d^{c} u_{E, \eta}^{*}\right)^{k}
$$

where

$$
u_{E, \eta}=\sup \{u \in \operatorname{PSH}(X, \eta): u \leq 0 \text { on } X \text { and } u \leq-1 \text { on } E\} .
$$

Let $\alpha \in\left[1,2^{q}\right)$ and take $\lambda$ such that $2^{\alpha}<\lambda$. As in Theorem 3.11, it suffices to take $\varphi \in W_{q}^{*}(X)$ with $\varphi \geq 0$. Let $\left(\left(c_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{q}, \varphi_{q}\right)\right)$ be a defining sequence such that

$$
\|\varphi\|_{*, q}=\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} c_{j}^{1 / 2^{j}}
$$

We can assume that $\varphi_{q} \leq 0$. Consider

$$
X_{n}=\left\{x \in X: \varphi(x) \in\left[2^{n}, 2^{n+1}\right), \varphi_{q}(x)>-\lambda^{n}\right\}
$$

We set $u_{n}=u_{X_{n}, 3^{-n \alpha} \omega}$ and

$$
u=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n \alpha}\left(u_{n}^{*}+\frac{\max \left(\varphi_{q},-\lambda^{n}\right)}{\lambda^{n}}\right)
$$

It follows from the proof of Lemma 3.10 that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $c_{m}$ independent of $\varphi$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}_{3^{-n \alpha_{\omega}}}\left(X_{n}\right) \leq c_{m}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^{2^{q}}}\right)^{\frac{m n}{2^{q-1}}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we also have $2^{\alpha} u+1 \leq-\varphi^{\alpha}$ outside a pluripolar set. Let

$$
\eta=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n \alpha}\left(3^{-n \alpha} \omega\right)+2^{n \alpha} \lambda^{-n} c_{q} \omega \leq C \omega
$$

for some $C>0$ depending only on $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ (note that $c_{q} \leq 1$ ). Since $u_{n}^{*}$ is $3^{-n \alpha} \omega$-psh and $\varphi_{q}$ is $c_{q} \omega$-psh, we have $u$ is $C \omega$-psh if $u \not \equiv-\infty$.

It remains to check that $u \not \equiv-\infty$. We argue as in the proof of Theorem 3.11. Let

$$
Y_{n}=\left\{2^{n \alpha} u_{n}^{*}<-\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right\}
$$

It follows from the comparison principle (see [Kol05, Theorem 6.4]) and inequality (3.8) that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Y_{n}}\left(3^{-n \alpha} \omega\right)^{k} & \leq \int_{Y_{n}}\left(3^{-n \alpha} \omega+d d^{c} u_{n}^{*}\right)^{k} \leq 2^{n \alpha} n^{2} \int_{Y_{n}}-u_{n}^{*}\left(3^{-n \alpha} \omega+d d^{c} u_{n}^{*}\right)^{k} \\
& \leq 2^{n \alpha} n^{2} \operatorname{Cap}_{3^{-n \alpha} \omega}\left(X_{n}\right) \leq c_{m} 2^{n \alpha} n^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^{2 q}}\right)^{\frac{m n}{2 q-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for every $n_{0} \geq 1$, we have

$$
\sum_{n \geq n_{0}} \int_{Y_{n}} \omega^{k} \leq c_{m} \sum_{n \geq n_{0}} 2^{n \alpha} 3^{n k \alpha} n^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^{2^{q}}}\right)^{\frac{m n}{2 q^{-1}}}<c_{m} \sum_{n \geq n_{0}} n^{2}\left(3^{(k+1) \alpha}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^{2 q}}\right)^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}\right)^{n}
$$

Since $\lambda<2^{2^{q}}$, we can choose $m$ large enough such that

$$
3^{(k+1) \alpha}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^{2 q}}\right)^{\frac{m}{2 q-1}}<1 .
$$

It thus follows that

$$
\sum_{n \geq n_{0}} \int_{Y_{n}} \omega^{k}<1=\int_{X} \omega^{k}
$$

for $n_{0}$ large enough (independent of $\varphi$ ). In particular, $X \backslash\left(\cup_{n \geq n_{0}} Y_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$
0 \geq 2^{n \alpha} u_{n}^{*}(x) \geq-\frac{1}{n^{2}}, \text { for all } n \geq n_{0}, x \in X \backslash\left(\cup_{n \geq n_{0}} Y_{n}\right)
$$

Since $X \backslash\left(\cup_{n \geq n_{0}} Y_{n}\right)$ has a positive measure, it is a non-pluripolar set. It thus follows that we can choose $x_{0} \in X \backslash\left(\cup_{n \geq n_{0}} Y_{n}\right)$ such that $\varphi_{q}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq-\infty$. Now we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u\left(x_{0}\right) & =\sum_{n \geq 1} 2^{n \alpha}\left(\frac{\max \left(\varphi_{q}\left(x_{0}\right),-\lambda^{n}\right)}{\lambda^{n}}\right)+\sum_{n \geq 1} 2^{n \alpha} u_{n}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi_{q}\left(x_{0}\right) \sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{2^{\alpha}}{\lambda}\right)^{n}+\sum_{1 \leq n \leq n_{0}} 2^{n \alpha} u_{n}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right)+\sum_{n>n_{0}} 2^{n \alpha} u_{n}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi_{q}\left(x_{0}\right) \sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{2^{\alpha}}{\lambda}\right)^{n}-\sum_{1 \leq n \leq n_{0}} 2^{n \alpha}-\sum_{n>n_{0}} \frac{1}{n^{2}}>-\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is thus completed.

## 4. Complex Monge-Ampère equation

In this section, we study the relationship between $W_{q}^{*}$ and the complex Monge-Ampère operator.
4.1. Relationship between the classes $W_{q}^{*}(X), \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{p}(X, \omega)$. Let $(X, \omega)$ be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension $k$. We recall some definitions from [GZ07]. Let $\varphi$ be some unbounded $\omega$-psh function on $X$ and consider $\varphi_{j}=\max (\varphi,-j)$ be canonical approximation of $\varphi$ by bounded $\omega$-psh functions. By [BT87], we can define the MongeAmpère measure $\left(\omega+d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right)^{k}$. The sequence of measures

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\{\varphi>-j\}}\left(\omega+d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right)^{k}
$$

is an increasing sequence and converges to the non-pluripolar Monge-Ampère measure $\mu_{\varphi}$ of $\varphi$. Its total mass $\mu_{\varphi}(X)$ can take any value in $\left[0, \int_{X} \omega^{k}\right]$. Define

$$
\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)=\left\{\varphi \in \operatorname{PSH}(X, \omega): \mu_{\varphi}(X)=\int_{X} \omega^{k}\right\}
$$

Recall the following criterion for functions in $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$.
Lemma 4.1. [GZ07, Lemma 1.2] Fix $\varphi \in \operatorname{PSH}(X, \omega)$ and define $\varphi_{j}=\max (\varphi,-j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\left(s_{j}\right)$ be any sequence of real numbers converging to $+\infty$, such that $s_{j} \leq j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The following conditions are equivalent
(1) $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$;
(2) $\left(\omega+d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right)^{k}(\varphi \leq-j) \rightarrow 0$;
(3) $\left(\omega+d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right)^{k}\left(\varphi \leq-s_{j}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Now, we prove that any $\omega$-psh complex Sobolev function belongs to $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$.
Proof of Theorem 1.4(1). Assume that $\varphi$ is a negative $\omega$-psh function in $W_{1}^{*}(X)$ and $\varphi_{j}=$ $\max (\varphi,-j)$. We note that $\varphi_{j} / j$ equals to -1 when $\varphi \leq-j$ and equals to $\varphi / j<0$ when $\varphi>-j$. Let $T_{\varphi}$ be a positive closed current on $X$ such that $d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq T_{\varphi}$. By [Vig07] or

Example 2.8, we have $d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \leq T_{\varphi}$. By Stokes' formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{\varphi \leq-j\}}\left(\omega+d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right)^{k} & \leq-\int_{X} \frac{\varphi_{j}}{j}\left(\omega+d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right)^{k} \\
& =\frac{1}{j}\left(\int_{X} d \varphi_{j} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{j} \wedge \sum_{m=0}^{k-1}\left(\omega+d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right)^{k-1-m} \wedge \omega^{m}-\int_{X} \varphi_{j} \omega^{k}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{j}\left(\int_{X} T_{\varphi} \wedge \sum_{m=0}^{k-1}\left(\omega+d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right)^{k-1-m} \wedge \omega^{m}-\int_{X} \varphi \omega^{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T_{\varphi}$ is closed, the first integral doesn't change if we replace the closed current $\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}\left(\omega+d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right)^{k-1-m} \wedge \omega^{m}$ by a closed form in its de Rham cohomology class. We can replace it by $k \omega^{k-1}$ and obtain

$$
\int_{\{\varphi \leq-j\}}\left(\omega+d d^{c} \varphi_{j}\right)^{k} \leq \frac{k \cdot\left\|T_{\varphi}\right\|}{j}-\frac{1}{j}\left(\int_{X} \varphi \omega^{k}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } j \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

This, combined with Lemma 4.1, finishes our proof.
Next, we recall the definition of finite energy classes in [GZ07]. For simplicity, assume that $\int_{X} \omega^{k}=1$. Denote $\omega_{\varphi}=\omega+d d^{c} \varphi$. For bounded $\omega$-psh functions, define the energy functional

$$
E_{p}(\varphi)=-\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \int_{X}(-\varphi)^{p}\left(\omega_{\varphi}\right)^{m} \wedge \omega^{k-m} .
$$

We can extend this functional for arbitrary $\omega$-psh functions by canonical approximation as above

$$
E_{p}(\varphi)=\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} E_{p}\left(\varphi_{j}\right) .
$$

So, we can define the finite energy class

$$
\mathcal{E}^{p}(X, \omega)=\left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega): E_{p}(\varphi)>-\infty\right\} .
$$

To prove Theorem 1.4(2), we first recall some facts about finite energy classes that will be used in sequel. We only use the results for the class $\mathcal{E}^{p}(X, \omega)$ with $p \geq 1$. For more general classes, we refer the readers to [GZ07] and [DV22].

Proposition 4.2. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be bounded non-positive $\omega$-psh functions and $p \geq 1$. Then, for every positive closed current $T$ of bi-dimension (1, 1), we have

$$
0 \leq \int_{X}(-\varphi)^{p} \omega_{\psi} \wedge T \leq 2 p \int_{X}(-\varphi)^{p} \omega_{\varphi} \wedge T+2 p \int_{X}(-\psi)^{p} \omega_{\psi} \wedge T .
$$

Recall that $\omega_{\varphi}=\omega+d d^{c} \varphi$ and $\omega_{\psi}=\omega+d d^{c} \psi$. For a proof of this proposition, see [GZ07, Proposition 3.6].

Proposition 4.3. Let $\varphi_{0}, \ldots, \varphi_{k}$ be bounded non-positive $\omega$-psh functions and $p \geq 1$. Then there exists a strictly positive constant $C_{p}$ depending only on $p$ such that

$$
\int_{X}\left(-\varphi_{0}\right)^{p} \omega_{\varphi_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{\varphi_{k}} \leq C_{p} \max _{0 \leq m \leq k}\left(\int_{X}\left(-\varphi_{m}\right)^{p} \omega_{\varphi_{m}}^{k}\right) .
$$

See [GZ07, Proposition 3.8] for a proof. We will use the following direct corollary.

Corollary 4.4. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be non-positive functions in $\mathcal{E}^{p}(X, \omega)$ with $p \geq 1$. Then for $0 \leq m \leq k-1$, there exists a strictly positive constant $C_{p}$ depending only on $p$ such that

$$
\int_{X}(-\varphi)^{p} \omega_{\psi} \wedge \omega_{\varphi}^{k-m-1} \wedge \omega^{m} \leq C_{p}\left(\int_{X}(-\varphi)^{p} \omega_{\varphi}^{k}+\int_{X}(-\psi)^{p} \omega_{\psi}^{k}\right) .
$$

Here the measure $\omega_{\psi} \wedge \omega_{\varphi}^{k-m-1} \wedge \omega^{m}$ is defined in the non-pluripolar sense (see [GZ17, Chapter 10.2.3].

Proof. Let $\varphi_{j}=\max (\varphi,-j)$ and $\psi_{j}=\max (\psi,-j)$. By [GZ17, Theorem 10.18],

$$
\int_{X}\left(-\varphi_{j}\right)^{p} \omega_{\psi} \wedge \omega_{\varphi}^{k-m-1} \wedge \omega^{m}=\lim _{j^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{X}\left(-\varphi_{j}\right)^{p} \omega_{\psi_{j^{\prime}}} \wedge \omega_{\varphi_{j^{\prime}}}^{k-m-1} \wedge \omega^{m}
$$

By Proposition4.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X}\left(-\varphi_{j}\right)^{p} \omega_{\psi_{j^{\prime}}} \wedge \omega_{\varphi_{j^{\prime}}}^{k-m-1} \wedge \omega^{m} & \leq C_{p}\left(\int_{X}\left(-\varphi_{j}\right)^{p} \omega_{\varphi_{j}}^{k}+\int_{X}\left(-\varphi_{j^{\prime}}\right)^{p} \omega_{\varphi_{j^{\prime}}}^{k}+\int_{X}\left(-\psi_{j^{\prime}}\right)^{p} \omega_{\psi_{j^{\prime}}}^{k}\right) \\
& \leq C_{p}\left(\int_{X}(-\varphi)^{p} \omega_{\varphi}^{k}+\int_{X}(-\psi)^{p} \omega_{\psi}^{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $j^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty$ and then let $j \rightarrow+\infty$ give us the result.
Proof of Theorem 1.4(2). We prove this by induction. First, consider the case when $q=2$. Let $\psi$ be the function in $W_{1}^{*}(X)$ such that $d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq C\left(\omega+d d^{c} \psi\right)$. By Theorem 1.4(1), we have $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$. Now, by using Stokes' formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-E_{1}(\varphi) & =\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \int_{X} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \wedge \omega_{\varphi}^{l} \wedge \omega^{k-l-1} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{k+1} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \int_{X} \omega_{\psi} \wedge \omega_{\varphi}^{l} \wedge \omega^{k-l-1} \\
& =\frac{C}{k+1} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} 1<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^{1}(X, \omega)$. Assume now that our theorem is true for $q-1$ with $q>2$. Let $\psi$ be an $\omega$-psh function in $W_{q-1}^{*}(X)$ such that $d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \leq C\left(\omega+d d^{c} \psi\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, we have $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}^{q-2}(X, \omega)$. We observe that

$$
d d^{c}\left((-\varphi)^{q} / q\right)=(q-1)(-\varphi)^{q-2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi-(-\varphi)^{q-1} d d^{c} \varphi
$$

Then, by using Stokes's formula, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X}(-\varphi)^{q-1} d d^{c} \varphi \wedge T & =(q-1) \int_{X}(-\varphi)^{q-2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \wedge T-\int_{X} d d^{c}\left((-\varphi)^{q} / q\right) \wedge T \\
& =(q-1) \int_{X}(-\varphi)^{q-2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \wedge T
\end{aligned}
$$

for $T$ is a sufficiently regular positive closed current of bi-dimension $(1,1)$. This deduces that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-E_{q-1}(\varphi) & =\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \int_{X}(-\varphi)^{q-1}\left(\omega_{\varphi}\right)^{m} \wedge \omega^{k-m} \\
& =\int_{X}(-\varphi)^{q-1} \omega^{k}+\frac{q-1}{k+1} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \int_{X}(-\varphi)^{q-2} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi \wedge\left(\omega_{\varphi}\right)^{l} \wedge \omega^{k-l-1} \\
& \leq \int_{X}(-\varphi)^{q-1} \omega^{k}+\frac{C(q-1)}{k+1} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \int_{X}(-\varphi)^{q-2} \omega_{\psi} \wedge\left(\omega_{\varphi}\right)^{l} \wedge \omega^{k-l-1} \\
& <+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

by applying Corollary 4.4 for $p=q-2$ and the induction hypothesis. So $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^{q-1}(X, \omega)$ and we complete our proof.

Remark 4.5. The above theorem gives us a lower bound for $p(q)$ by $q-1$. From Example 3.12 and [DGL20, Theorem 2.1], we see that $p(q)$ has an upper bound by $k\left(2^{q}-1\right)$. It is an interesting question to know what is the best choice for $p(q)$. It has been noted in [Vig07] that $W_{1}^{*}(X)$ is a BMO space and hence by [JN61], there are constants $c$ and $A$ such that $\int_{X} e^{c|\varphi|} \omega^{k} \leq A$ for all $\varphi$ in $W_{1}^{*}(X)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{*, 1} \leq 1$. This fact can be used instead of Skoda's integrability theorem in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [DGL20].
4.2. Relationship between the classes $W_{q}^{*}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. We will prove Theorem 1.5. First, we recall Błocki's criterion for functions in class $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.6. [Blo06, Theorem 1.1] Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and $\varphi$ be a negative psh function on $\Omega$, the following properties are equivalent
(1) There exists a measure $\mu$ in $\Omega$ such that if $U \subset \Omega$ is open and a sequence $\varphi_{n} \in$ $\operatorname{PSH}(U) \cap C^{\infty}(U)$ is decreasing to $u$ in $U$, then $\left(d d^{c} \varphi_{n}\right)^{k}$ tends weakly to $\mu$ in $U$;
(2) For every open subset $U$ of $\Omega$ and any sequence $\varphi_{n} \in \operatorname{PSH}(U) \cap C^{\infty}(U)$ decreasing to $\varphi$ in $U$, the sequence $\left(d d^{c} \varphi_{n}\right)^{k}$ is locally weakly bounded in $U$;
(3) For every open subset $U$ of $\Omega$ and any sequence $\varphi_{n} \in \operatorname{PSH}(U) \cap C^{\infty}(U)$ decreasing to $\varphi$ in $U$, the sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{n}\right|^{k-p-2} d \varphi_{n} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{n} \wedge\left(d d^{c} \varphi_{n}\right)^{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p-1}, \quad p=0, \ldots, k-2 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are locally weakly bounded in $U$;
(4) For every $z \in \Omega$ there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $z$ in $\Omega$ and a sequence $\varphi_{n} \in \operatorname{PSH}(U) \cap C^{\infty}(U)$ decreasing to $\varphi$ in $U$ such that the sequences in (4.1) are locally weakly bounded in $U$.

The following result is the key point in our proof of Theorem 1.5.
Proposition 4.7. Let $1 \leq p \leq k-1$ and $0 \leq m \leq k-p$. Let $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{p+1}$ be integers satisfying
(1) $q_{p+1} \geq \max _{1 \leq j \leq p} q_{j}$,
(2) $\sum_{j=1}^{p} q_{j} \geq p(p-1+m)$,
(3) $\max _{1 \leq j \leq p} q_{j}-\min _{1 \leq j \leq p} q_{j} \leq 1$.

Let $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{p+1}$ be negative smooth psh functions on $\Omega$ such that, for $j=1, \ldots, p+1$, $\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|_{*, q_{j}} \leq 1$. Assume that there exists a defining sequence $\left(\varphi_{j, 1}, \ldots, \varphi_{j, q_{j}}\right)$ of $\varphi_{j}$ such that $\varphi_{j, l}$ is smooth for $l=1, \ldots, q_{j},\left\|\varphi_{j, l}\right\|_{*, q_{j}-l} \leq 1$ for $l=1, \ldots, q_{j}-1$ and $\left\|\varphi_{j, q_{j}}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq 1$. Then for every compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$, there is a constant $C>0$ depending only on $K, m$ and $p$ such that

$$
\int_{K}\left(-\varphi_{p+1}\right)^{m} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p} \leq C
$$

Proof. We prove by induction on $p$ and $m$. Fix a compact set $K$ and a cut-off function $\chi$ on $\Omega$ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ and $\chi=1$ on $K$.

Consider the case $p=1$. If $m=0$ then the desired property is trivial. Assume that the desired property is true for $0, \ldots, m-1$ for some $1 \leq m \leq k-p$. We now prove it for $m$. By hypothesis, $q_{2} \geq q_{1} \geq m$. Hence, there exist smooth psh functions $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ satisfying $\left\|\psi_{1}\right\|_{*, m-1} \leq 1,\left\|\psi_{2}\right\|_{*, m-1} \leq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \varphi_{1} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{1} \leq d d^{c} \psi_{1}, \quad d \varphi_{2} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{2} \leq d d^{c} \psi_{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Stokes' formula, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{K}\left(-\varphi_{2}\right)^{m} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \omega^{k-1} \lesssim\left|\int_{\Omega} \chi\left(-\varphi_{2}\right)^{m-1} d \varphi_{2} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right|  \tag{4.3}\\
&+\left|\int_{\Omega}\left(-\varphi_{2}\right)^{m} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lesssim$ denotes $\leq$ modulo a multiplicative constant depending only on $m$ and $K$. For the first term, by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and inequalities (4.2), we can bound it from above by the square root of

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega} \chi\left(-\varphi_{2}\right)^{m-1} d d^{c} \psi_{1} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} \chi\left(-\varphi_{2}\right)^{m-1} d d^{c} \psi_{2} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right) .
$$

It follows from the induction hypothesis for $m-1$ that both factors are bounded by a constant depending only on $\operatorname{supp}(\chi)$ (and hence only on $K$ ). Hence, the first term of the RHS of inequality (4.3) is bounded by a constant depending only on $K$. For the second term of the RHS of inequality (4.3), by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and inequalities (4.2), we can bound it from above by the square root of

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(-\varphi_{2}\right)^{m+1} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \chi \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right)\left(\int_{\operatorname{supp}(\chi)}\left(-\varphi_{2}\right)^{m-1} d d^{c} \psi_{1} \wedge \omega^{k-1}\right)
$$

We use the induction hypothesis for $m-1$ for the second factor. The first factor can be bound by using Corollary 3.2, Hence, the second term of the RHS of inequality (4.3) is bounded by a constant depending only on $K$. The proof for the case $p=1$ is thus complete.

Now, we consider the case when $p>1$. Assume that the desired property is true for $p-1$, where $2 \leq p \leq k-1$. We prove that it is true for $p$. We can further assume that $q_{p}=\max _{1 \leq j \leq p} q_{j}$. Consider the case $m=0$. We note that

$$
d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p}=d d^{c}\left(\varphi_{p} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p-1}\right)
$$

Hence, by Stokes' formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{K} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p} & \leq \int_{\Omega} \chi d d^{c}\left(\varphi_{p} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p-1}\right) \wedge \omega^{k-p} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(-\varphi_{p}\right) d d^{c} \chi \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p-1} \wedge \omega^{k-p} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\operatorname{supp}(\chi)}\left(-\varphi_{p}\right) d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p-1} \wedge \omega^{k-p+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now only need to check if $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{p}$ satisfies the induction hypothesis for $p-1$ and $m=1$. Indeed, condition (2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}+\cdots+q_{p} \geq p(p-1) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (3) thus becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{p}-q_{j} \leq 1 \text { for every } j=1, \ldots, p-1 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining inequalities (4.4) and (4.5) gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} q_{j} & =\frac{p-1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} q_{j}+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} q_{j} \geq \frac{p-1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} q_{j}+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{q-1}\left(q_{p}-1\right) \\
& =\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} q_{j}-1\right) \geq \frac{p-1}{p} \cdot p(p-1) \\
& =(p-1)(p-1)=(p-1)(p-2+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{p}$ satisfy the condition (2) for $p-1$ and $m=1$. Obviously, $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{p}$ satisfy the conditions (1) and (3) for $p-1$ and $m=1$. Hence, by applying the induction hypothesis for $p-1$ and $m=1$, we get the statement for $m=0$.

Assume that the statement is true for $0, \ldots, m-1$, where $1 \leq m \leq k-p$. We now prove it for $m$. We can further assume that $q_{p}=\max _{1 \leq j \leq p} q_{j}$. Since $q_{p+1} \geq q_{p}$, there exist smooth psh functions $\phi_{p}, \phi_{p+1}$ satisfying $\left\|\phi_{p}\right\|_{*, q_{p}-1} \leq 1,\left\|\phi_{p+1}\right\|_{*, q_{p}-1} \leq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \varphi_{p} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{p} \leq d d^{c} \phi_{p}, \quad d \varphi_{p+1} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{p+1} \leq d d^{c} \phi_{p+1} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to bound, for $\varphi=\varphi_{p+1}$,

$$
\int_{K} \chi(-\varphi)^{m} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p}
$$

By Stokes' formula, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{K} \chi(-\varphi)^{m} d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p}  \tag{4.7}\\
& \lesssim\left|\int_{\Omega}(-\varphi)^{m} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{p} \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p-1} \wedge \omega^{k-p}\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{\Omega} \chi(-\varphi)^{m-1} d \varphi \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{p} \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p-1} \wedge \omega^{k-p}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lesssim$ denotes $\leq$ modulo a multiplicative constant depending only on $m$ and $K$. For the second term of the RHS of inequality (4.7), by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and
inequalities (4.6), we can bound it from above by the square root of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{\Omega} \chi(-\varphi)^{m-1} d d^{c} \phi_{p} \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p-1} \wedge \omega^{k-p}\right) \\
& \times\left(\int_{\Omega} \chi(-\varphi)^{m-1} d d^{c} \phi_{p+1} \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p-1} \wedge \omega^{k-p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $q_{p}-1, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{p-1}, q_{p+1}$ satisfy the conditions (1), (2), and (3) for $p$ and $m-1$. It follows from the induction hypothesis for $m-1$ that both factors are bounded by a constant depending only on $\operatorname{supp}(\chi)$ (and hence only on $K$ ). Hence, the second term of the RHS of inequality (4.7) is bounded by a constant depending only on $K$. For the first term of the RHS of inequality (4.7), by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and inequalities (4.6), we can bound it from above by the square root of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{\Omega}(-\varphi)^{m+1} d \chi \wedge d^{c} \chi \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p-1} \wedge \omega^{k-p}\right) \\
& \times\left(\int_{\operatorname{supp}(\chi)}(-\varphi)^{m-1} d d^{c} \phi_{p} \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d d^{c} \varphi_{p-1} \wedge \omega^{k-p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To deal with the second factor, we note that $q_{p}-1, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{p-1}, q_{p+1}$ satisfy the conditions (1), (2) and (3) for $p$ and $m-1$. Thus, we can bound the second factor by using the induction hypothesis for $m-1$. To deal with the first factor, we first observe that $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{p-1}, q_{p+1}$ satisfy the conditions (1) and (3) for $p-1$ and $m+1$. For the condition (2), as in the case $m=0$, we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{p} q_{j} \geq p(p-1+m)
$$

and

$$
q_{p}-q_{j} \leq 1, \text { for every } j=1, \ldots, p-1
$$

It follows that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} q_{j} \geq \frac{p-1}{p}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} q_{j}-1\right) \geq \frac{p-1}{p} \cdot p(p-1+m)=(p-1)(p-1+m)
$$

Hence $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{p-1}, q_{p+1}$ satisfy the conditions (2) for $p-1$ and $m+1$. Thus, we can bound the first factor by using the induction hypothesis for $p-1$ and $m+1$. Therefore, we can bound the first term of the RHS of inequality (4.7). The proof is complete.

We now prove that psh $q$-complex Sobolev functions belong to $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ for $q \geq k-1$.
End of proof of Theorem 1.5 Let $\varphi \in W_{k-1, \operatorname{loc}}^{*}(\Omega) \cap \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$. Since the problem is local, we can assume that $\varphi \in W_{k-1}^{*}(\Omega) \cap \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$. We will prove that $\varphi$ satisfies the condition (4) of Proposition 4.6. Indeed, we consider an open relatively compact subset $U$ of $\Omega$ and let $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ be the sequence of smooth psh functions constructed in the proof of Theorem 2.11. We note that $\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{W_{k-1}^{*}(U)} \leq 2\|\varphi\|_{W_{k-1}^{*}(\Omega)}$ for every $n$. Let $\left(\varphi_{0,1}, \ldots, \varphi_{0, k-1}\right)$ be a smooth defining sequence for $\varphi$. By the proof of Theorem[2.11, we can construct smooth defining sequence $\left(\varphi_{n, 1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n, k-1}\right)$ for $\varphi_{n}$ such that $\left\|\varphi_{n, l}\right\|_{W_{k-1-l}^{*}(U)} \leq 2\left\|\varphi_{0, l}\right\|_{W_{k-1-l}^{*}(\Omega)}$ for $1 \leq l \leq$
$k-2,\left\|\varphi_{n, k-1}\right\|_{L^{1}(U)} \leq 2\left\|\varphi_{0, k-1}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}$, for every $n$. Since $d \varphi_{n} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{n} \leq d d^{c} \varphi_{n, 1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{U}\left|\varphi_{n}\right|^{k-p-2} d \varphi_{n} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{n} \wedge\left(d d^{c} \varphi_{n}\right)^{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p-1} \\
& \leq \int_{U}\left|\varphi_{n}\right|^{k-p-2} d d^{c} \varphi_{n, 1} \wedge\left(d d^{c} \varphi_{n}\right)^{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Rescale $\varphi$ if necessary, we can assume that, for every $n$, $\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{W_{k-1}^{*}(U)} \leq 1,\left\|\varphi_{n, l}\right\|_{W_{k-1-l}^{*}(U)} \leq$ 1 for $1 \leq l \leq k-2$ and $\left\|\varphi_{n, k-1}\right\|_{L^{1}(U)} \leq 1$. It follows from Proposition 4.7 that the right-hand side is uniformly bounded by a constant depending only on $U$. Therefore, $\left|\varphi_{n}\right|^{k-p-2} d \varphi_{n} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{n} \wedge\left(d d^{c} \varphi_{n}\right)^{p} \wedge \omega^{k-p-1}$ are locally weakly bounded in $U$ for every $p=$ $0, \ldots, k-2$. The proof is thus complete.
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