ChemPlasKin: a general-purpose program for unified gas and plasma kinetics simulations

Xiao Shao^{*a*,*}, Deanna A. Lacoste^{*a*}, Hong G. Im^{*a*}

^a CCRC, King Abdullah University of Science and Technolody (KAUST), Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

*E-mail: xiao.shao@kaust.edu.sa

Abstract

This work introduces ChemPlasKin, a freely accessible solver optimized for zero-dimensional (0D) simulations of chemical kinetics of neutral gas in non-equilibrium plasma environments. By integrating the electron Boltzmann equation solver, CppBOLOS, with the open-source combustion library, Cantera, at the source code level, ChemPlasKin computes time-resolved evolution of species concentration and gas temperature in a unified gas-plasma kinetics framework. The model allows high fidelity predictions of both chemical thermal effects and plasma-induced heating, including fast gas heating and slower vibrational-translational relaxation processes. Additionally, a new heat loss model is developed for nanosecond pulsed discharges, specifically within pin-pin electrode configurations. With its versatility, ChemPlasKin is well-suited for a wide range of applications, from plasma-assisted combustion (PAC) to fuel reforming. In this paper, the reliability, accuracy and efficiency of ChemPlasKin are validated through a number of test problems, demonstrating its utility in advancing gas-plasma kinetic studies.

Keywords: plasma-assisted combustion (PAC); ion chemistry; fuel reforming; electron-impact reactions; reaction kinetics

Source code: https://github.com/ShaoX96/ChemPlasKin

1 Introduction

Non-equilibrium plasma has gained an increasing interest within both the combustion and plasma research communities, owing to its potential to enhance combustion characteristics and fuel reforming [1-3]. The integration of plasma actuation into reacting flows, via kinetic, thermal, and hydrodynamic effects, presents a complex multi-physics challenge that requires a synergistic approach combining both experimental and computational methodologies to gain a comprehensive understanding. Due to the formidable computational complexity and expense associated with higher-dimensional models, detailed chemical kinetic analyses of systems that couple neutral gas with plasma have predominantly been confined to zero or one-dimensional simulations. Such 0D simulations, enriched with detailed gas-plasma kinetics, are not only foundational for the development of kinetic mechanisms but are also pivotal in identifying important pathways of plasma energy transfer. This insight is crucial for creating reduced-order plasma models that can be integrated efficiently into computational fluid dynamics (CFD) solvers [4,5].

A combined kinetic solver requires the determination of species reaction rates for the gas-phase and plasma. For the latter, the electron energy distribution function (EEDF) is crucial for determining reaction rate coefficients of inelastic electron-impact collisions and calculating electron temperature (T_e) . In non-equilibrium plasma, EEDF deviates from Maxwellian, and needs to be determined by solving the electron Boltzmann equation (EBE). Table 1 summarizes various modeling approaches for computing chemical kinetics in gas-phase coupled with non-equilibrium plasma available in the literature. Broadly, solver development methodologies fall into three categories: tabulation, operator-splitting, and full coupling. Solvers 1-4 employ either the Bolsig+ EBE solver [6] or the ZDPlasKin plasma kinetics solver [7] to pre-calculate electron-impact reaction rates to build look-up tables or polynomial fits as functions of reduced electric field (E/N) or T_e , for use with CHEMKIN [8], the standard in gas-phase chemical kinetics software. While straightforward, tabulation may be subjected to large errors with significant gas composition changes. Solvers 5-13, following Lefkowitz et al. [9], integrate ZDPlasKin with chemical kinetics solvers like CHEMKIN or Cantera [10], alternating the integration of plasma and neutral gas kinetics with stepwise exchange of species concentration and temperature data. Solver 14 by Cheng et al. [11] is the only approach known to date that directly couples the BOLOS EBE solver [12] with Cantera, enabling unified gas-plasma kinetics simulations, although the details of its code implementation were not elaborated.

While CHEMKIN and Cantera are well-established open-source modules for combustion community, and ZD-PlasKin is for the plasma community, the integration of the two schools for a unified version of gas-plasma kinetics solver is not straightforward, as evidenced by the fact that most of the tools shown in Table 1 are in-house codes and lack open availability. To address this deficiency, we introduce ChemPlasKin, an open-source code optimized for simulating neutral gas-phase chemical reactions in conjunction with non-thermal plasma chemistry within a unified code module framework. Similar to the CERFACS code [11], ChemPlasKin is developed with a particular interest in for nanosecond repetitively pulsed (NRP) plasma applications, which exhibits a large spectrum of time scales, offering new capabilities for plasma-assisted ignition (PAI) and fuel reforming applications.

The main content of this paper is divided into two sections. Section 2 outlines the methodologies, including the governing equations, the heat loss model, and code development. Section 3 presents extensive code validations that demonstrate the consistency and efficiency of ChemPlasKin in comparison with results from the literature. It also highlights the solver's capability in predicting both fast and slow gas heating processes, and assesses the impact of the newly introduced heat loss model.

Table 1:	Literature	review of	f publications	involving	in-house 0	D gas-	plasma	kinetics	solvers
			1	0		0.	L .		

#	Origin	Method	Topic	Discharge	Year
1	Ohio State	Bolsig+ precalculation + ChemKin-Pro	Air/H_2 and $air/hydrocarbon$ kinetics [13]	NRP	2015
2	UC Berkeley	ZDPlasKin precalculation + CHEMKIN	CH_4 /air ignition [14]	NRP	2016
3	UT Austin	Bolsig+ precalculation + CHEMKIN	$CH_4/air and C_2H_4/air ignition [15]$	NRP	2021
4	TU/e	Bolsig+ precalculation + Sundials IDA	CH_4 and H_2 oxidation in Ar [16]	DBD	2023
5	Princeton	ZDPlasKin + CHEMKIN	$CH_4/O_2/He$ oxidization [9]	NRP	2015
			CH_4 /air ignition [17]	NRP	2018
			$H_2/O_2/He$ ignition [18]	NRP/DC	2019
			$CH_4/O_2/He$ ignition [19]	NRP/DC	2019
			$H_2/air ignition [20]$	NRP	2020
			N-dodecane/ O_2/N_2 kinetics [21]	NRP	2021
			$\rm NH_3/O_2/He$ ignition [22]	NRP	2021
			NH_3 /air ignition [23]	NRP	2022
			N_2O/NO_x mechanism in NH_3 oxidation [24]	DBD	2023
			NH_3/air ignition [25]	NRP	2024
			n-pentane/air oxidation $[26]$	NRP	2024
6	USC	ZDPlasKin + Cantera	$DME/O_2/Ar$ and $C_3H_8/O_2/Ar$ ignition [27]	nanosecond	2017
7	Tsinghua	ZDPlasKin + CHEMKIN	DME oxidation kinetics $[28]$	DBD	2021
8	UMN	ZDPlasKin + CHEMKIN	$\rm NH_3$ pyrolysis and combustion [29]	NRP	2021
			$\rm NH_3/air$ ignition and $\rm NO_x$ emission [30]	NRP	2022
			Plasma-based global pathway analysis $[31]$	NRP	2023
9	KAUST	ZDPlasKin + CHEMKIN	Lean H_2/O_2 kinetics [32]	DBD	2022
			Rich H_2/O_2 kinetics [33]	DBD	2022
			$\rm NH_3$ cracking [34]	DBD	2023
			O_3 kinetics [35]	DBD	2023
10	Birmingham	ZDPlasKin + Cantera	$\rm NH_3/N_2/O_2/He \ combustion \ [36]$	NRP	2022
11	MIT	ZDPlasKin + Cantera	$1D \text{ CH}_4/\text{air flame } [37]$	NRP-DBD	2023
12	XJTU	ZDPlasKin + in-house	$\rm NH_3/N_2/O_2$ ignition [38]	NRP/DC	2023
		chemistry solver			
13	SDU	ZDPlasKin + CHEMKIN	$CH_4/O_2/He$ ignition [39]	NRP-SDBD	2024
14	CERFACS	BOLOS + Cantera	CH_4/air mechanism [11]	NRP	2022
			CH_4/air phenomenological model [5]	NRP	2023

2 Methodology

2.1 Governing equations

The governing equations build upon the previous work by Cheng et al. [11] and are presented in a more generalized format. ChemPlasKin integrates the mass fraction Y_k and gas temperature T_{gas} over time t in a 0D neutral

gas-plasma reactor for a total of N species:

$$\frac{dY_k}{dt} = \frac{W_k}{\rho} \dot{\omega}_k,\tag{1}$$

$$\rho c_v \frac{dT_{\text{gas}}}{dt} = -\sum_{k=1}^N \dot{\omega}_k u_k W_k + \dot{E}^p + \sum_k \left(-\dot{E}^k_{\text{vib}} + \dot{R}^k_{\text{VT}}\right), \quad \text{(constant volume)}$$
(2a)

$$\rho c_p \frac{dT_{\text{gas}}}{dt} = -\sum_{k=1}^N \dot{\omega}_k h_k W_k + \dot{E}^p + \sum_k \left(-\dot{E}^k_{\text{vib}} + \dot{R}^k_{\text{VT}} \right). \quad \text{(constant pressure)} \tag{2b}$$

Here, W_k and $\dot{\omega}_k$ denote the molecular weight and molar production rate of species k, respectively. ρ represents the density. The terms c_v and c_p signify the mass heat capacities at constant volume and constant pressure, respectively. The term u_k refers to the internal energy of species k, and h_k represents the enthalpy of species k. The total plasma energy \dot{E}^p is derived from non-elastic electron collision processes \mathcal{P} :

$$\dot{E}^p = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}} \varepsilon_{\rm th}^j q_j, \tag{3}$$

where ε_{th}^{j} and q_{j} are the threshold energy and net molar production rate for each process j, respectively.

A significant portion of plasma energy, not directly contributing to fast gas heating, is stored in the vibrational states of species k, denoted by $\dot{E}_{\rm vib}^k$. This energy is released to facilitate slow gas heating, primarily through vibrational-translational (V-T) relaxation, at a rate $\dot{R}_{\rm VT}^k$. Given the system's non-equilibrium nature, a dedicated equation for the vibrational energy $e_{\rm vib}^k$ is necessary:

$$\frac{de_{\rm vib}^k}{dt} = \dot{E}_{\rm vib}^k - \dot{R}_{\rm VT}^k.$$
(4)

 $\dot{R}_{\rm VT}^k$ is modeled using the V-T relaxation timescale $\tau_{\rm VT}^k$:

$$\dot{R}_{\rm VT}^k = \frac{e_{\rm vib^k}}{\tau_{\rm VT}^k}.$$
(5)

Extending the two-component mixture relaxation formula in [40] to multiple species, we obtain:

$$\left(\tau_{\rm VT}^k\right)^{-1} = \sum_m \left(X_m/\tau_{\rm VT}^{k,m}\right),\tag{6}$$

where X_m represents the mole fraction of collider m and $\tau_{VT}^{k,m}$ the relaxation time for oscillator k in a high dilution of m. The condition m = k indicates relaxation in pure gas k. Experimental data fitting lines in [40] are expressed as:

$$p_0 \tau_{\rm VT}^{k,m} = \exp\left(a(T^{-1/3} - b) - 18.42\right), \ [atm \cdot s],$$
(7)

with p_0 denoting total pressure in atm, and (a, b) representing the fitting parameter pair for a k-m mixture. Specifically, for air-dominated systems where vibrational energy is primarily stored in N₂(v) for E/N > 50 Td, it is pertinent to consider N₂, O₂, and O as major collision partners. For $m = N_2$, (a, b) = (220, 0.03) are directly obtained from [40]. For $m = O_2$, (a, b) = (162, 0.03) are inferred from experimental results at 2500 K [41]. The most efficient V-T relaxation pathway involves reactions with O(³P) atoms, characterized by a rate constant of $4.5 \times 10^{-15} (T/300)^{2.1}$ [cm³/s] [42], setting $\tau_{\rm VT}^{N_2,O}$ to $488.5/(p_0T^{1.1}X_O)$. Note that these $\tau_{\rm VT}^{k,m}$ parameters, are different from those in the phenomenological model by Castela et al. [4], underscoring the need for validation and refinement for more precise V-T relaxation predictions. The model's approach to slow heating via V-T relaxation, encapsulated in equations (2a), (2b), (4) and (5), consolidates all vibrational states of species k into a singular variable, e_{vib}^k . This aggregation significantly simplifies the species count, facilitating practicality in multi-dimensional simulations. However, users have the option to model each vibrational state explicitly along with their specific V-T relaxation reactions. In such scenarios, the slow gas heating term $\sum_k (-\dot{E}_{\text{vib}}^k + \dot{R}_{\text{VT}}^k)$ in equation (2a) and (2b) is unnecessary. Note that the operator-splitting solvers (5-13) listed in Table 1 utilize a model proposed by Flitti & Pancheshnyi [43] to describe gas heating. In this model, external power deposited into the system is distributed among gas heating, electron energy, and chemical energy. Similarly, ChemPlasKin incorporates this model option for gas temperature calculations, analogous to the formulas used in Lefkowitz et al. [9]. For constant volume configurations, the governing equation reads:

$$\rho c_v \frac{dT_{\text{gas}}}{dt} = -\sum_{k=1}^N \dot{\omega}_k u_k W_k + e N_e v_e E - \frac{3}{2} R T_e \dot{\omega}_e,\tag{8}$$

where e represents the elementary charge, N_e the electron number density, v_e the electron drift velocity under the electric field E, R the gas constant, and T_e the electron temperature. Employing this model requires the inclusion of reactions related to all vibrational states of species k, which significantly increases the number of equations that must be solved.

Accurately depicting a weakly ionized plasma necessitates accounting for the electron distribution function, f_e , which adheres to the Boltzmann equation in a six-dimensional phase space [6]:

$$\frac{\partial f_e}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_e \cdot \nabla f_e - \frac{e}{m} \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla_v f_e = \left(\frac{\partial f_e}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{coll}},\tag{9}$$

where \mathbf{v}_e represents electron velocity, e the elementary charge, m the electron mass, \mathbf{E} the electric field, and ∇_v the velocity gradient operator. The term on the right-hand side quantifies f_e 's collision-induced rate of change. Employing the widely used two-term approximation allows for the decomposition of equation (9), with components of f_e renormalized as probability distribution functions. The isotropic part, denoted F_0 , serves as the electron energy EEDF [6,44]. Reaction rate coefficients for collisions \mathcal{P} are derived from F_0 and cross-section σ_j by integrating over electron energy ϵ :

$$k_j = \sqrt{2e/m} \int_0^\infty \epsilon \sigma_j F_0 d\epsilon, \quad j \in \mathcal{P}.$$
 (10)

Furthermore, F_0 facilitates the calculation of electron temperature:

$$T_e = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^\infty \epsilon^{3/2} F_0 d\epsilon, \tag{11}$$

which is commonly featured in the rate coefficient expressions for recombination reactions involving electrons.

2.2 Heat loss model for pin-pin NRP discharges

NRP discharges produced by pin-pin electrodes typically result in ultrafast gas heating within an approximately constant volume, generating a weak shock wave and thermal energy loss due to gas expansion [45, 46]. Such hydrodynamic phenomena cannot be directly resolved within the limitations of an 0D framework, leading to potential overestimations of temperature increase if heat loss mechanisms are neglected. This discrepancy may remain negligible for scenarios involving low plasma power; however, it becomes increasingly significant with higher energy deposition and a greater number of nanosecond pulses. To enhance ChemPlasKin's ability to accurately model temperature dynamics, particularly for applications investigating plasma-assisted ignition delay times, we propose the following heat loss model.

During the nanosecond pulse, the gas within the discharge kernel undergoes a rise in temperature and pressure under constant volume conditions. Once the plasma energy input ceases, the discharge kernel experiences an isentropic expansion from state 1 to state 2, described by:

$$\frac{T_2}{T_1} = \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\right)^{\gamma-1} = \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}},\tag{12}$$

where γ represents the heat capacity ratio. The propagation of the resulting weak shock wave, occurring on the microsecond timescale, rapidly equalizes the kernel pressure back to the ambient pressure p_2 . For practical code implementation, the temperature and density at the pulse's conclusion are adjusted to reflect T_2 and ρ_2 values within a single timestep, for given initial (p_1) and final (p_2) pressures. This model effectively modifies the gas temperature equation between constant volume and constant pressure conditions to mimic the isentropic process, a flexibility not typically offered by previous solvers listed in Table 1.

The heat loss model is augmented to account for radial thermal conduction from the hot discharge kernel to the ambient environment, described by:

$$\dot{q}_{loss} = -\lambda \frac{dT}{dr} \frac{A_{\rm dis}}{V_{\rm dis}} \approx -\lambda C_0 \frac{T - T_0}{r_{\rm dis}^2},\tag{13}$$

where \dot{q}_{loss} represents the heat loss in W/m³, λ the thermal conductivity, and r_{dis} the effective radius of the cylindrical discharge characterized by surface area A_{dis} and volume V_{dis} . Here, C_0 is a dimensionless empirical coefficient, typically set to 1.0, and T_0 denotes the ambient temperature. To simulate gas temperature dynamics during intervals without plasma energy input, the following equation is actually solved:

$$\rho c_p \frac{dT_{\text{gas}}}{dt} = -\sum_{k=1}^{N} \dot{\omega}_k h_k W_k + \sum_k \dot{R}_{\text{VT}}^k - \lambda C_0 \frac{T - T_0}{r_{\text{dis}}^2}.$$
 (14)

2.3 Code development

2.3.1 Electron Boltzmann equation (EBE) solver

To enhance performance in simulations, ChemPlasKin incorporates an EBE solver, named CppBOLOS, directly integrated into the Cantera library at the source level. This integration represents a central feature of ChemPlasKin's functionality. Among the available EBE solvers within the plasma community, none provides an open-source C++ implementation that employs the efficient two-term expansion method akin to Bolsig+ [6]. For instance, while MultiBolt [47] offers a C++ open-source version (v3.x), its multi-term model tends to be computationally intensive and not essential for a gas-plasma kinetics solver. Addressing this need, we developed a C++ version of BOLOS [12], an open-source Python solver that follows the algorithms specified in [6]. Now referred to as CppBOLOS, this solver's development process was significantly assisted by GPT-4 [48]. It is seamlessly integrated with Cantera, enabling dynamic solutions of the electron Boltzmann equation and real-time updates of the EEDF based on the temporal evolution of gas temperature, mixture composition, and reduced electric field. CppBOLOS accepts input cross-section data in the popular LXCat format [49], which ensures broad compatibility and simplifies data integration. The validation of CppBOLOS is briefly presented in Section 3.1.

2.3.2 Code architecture

Figure 1 illustrates the code architecture of ChemPlasKin, highlighting its streamlined approach to handling both plasma and neutral gas kinetics. Key to its design is the use of a unified YAML-type input file for all thermal properties and reactions. This choice facilitates ease of use and integration with existing datasets. ChemPlasKin



Figure 1: Code architecture of ChemPlasKin.

extends Cantera's reaction module to register inelastic electron-impact reactions classified as type of 'Boltzmann', as shown in the examples of Listing 1:

```
1 # Example 1: Vibrational excitation
2 - equation: Electron + N2 => Electron + N2
3 type: Boltzmann
4 process: N2 -> N2(v1)
5 duplicate: true
6 energy_transfer: {evib_N2: 0.291_eV}
7
8 # Example 2: Electronic excitation
9 - equation: Electron + 02 => Electron + 02(a1)
10 type: Boltzmann
11 process: 02 -> 02(a1)
12 energy_transfer: {e_th: 0.977_eV}
```

Listing 1: Examples of YAML configurations for Boltzmann type reactions. Vibrational states of N_2 are agregated and the vibrational energy is stored in evib_N2.

To accommodate the diverse range of rate constant expressions encountered in plasma kinetics, ChemPlasKin employs muParser library [50] for parsing any complex mathematical expressions not natively supported by Cantera. Listing 2 shows an example of $e^- + N_4^+$ recombination reaction, where the reaction rate is calculated as a function of electron temperature. The **energy_transfer** entry values enforce zero gas heating and the corresponding energy (3.8 eV) is stored in $e_{\text{vib}}^{N_2}$.

```
1 # Example 3: Recombination
2 - equation: Electron + N4+ => N2 + N2(C3)
3 type: PlasmaCustomExpr
4 energy_transfer: {e_th: -3.8_eV, evib_N2: 3.8_eV} # No gas heating
5 rateExpr: {A: 2.086e+19, Expr: Te^-0.5}
```

Listing 2: Example of YAML configurations for PlasmaCustomExpr type reactions.

For added convenience, an optional parser tool, parsePlasKin, is available to convert ZDPlaKin input mechanism files into the human-readable YAML format automatically. This feature is particularly beneficial given the prevalence of ZDPlaKin mechanisms published as supplementary materials in the field. Configuration parameters are set through the text files controlDict and chemPlasProperties, ensuring straightforward operation. The core of ChemPlasKin's simulation capabilities is encapsulated in plasmaReactor.h, where a single ordinary differential equation (ODE) system—encompassing equations (1, 2a, 2b 4)—is constructed and integrated within the main time loop of the master code. The ODEs are solved using the CVODE solver from the SUNDIALS suite [51, 52], which is efficiently utilized by Cantera for high-precision integration.

2.3.3 Code efficiency

ChemPlasKin's unified ODE system offers distinct advantages over operator-splitting techniques, by eliminating splitting errors and enabling much larger timesteps in the main time loop. Although it is suggested that splitting the plasma kinetics could expedite integration due to the higher stiffness during nanosecond pulses, such benefits become marginal during the much longer pulse intervals where plasma stiffness diminishes. Moreover, the plasma kinetics component of ChemPlasKin, akin to that solved by ZDPlasKin, encompasses a broad spectrum of timescales beyond just ultrafast electron-impact reactions. Last but not least, switching between ZDPlasKin and CHEMKIN/Cantera requires reinitialization of their respective ODE solvers at every step, adding extra computational overhead to the solvers in the initial transient to find suitable integration timesteps. Consequently, ChemPlasKin's unified approach not only simplifies the integration process but also enhances overall simulation efficiency compared to the operator-splitting method. To demonstrate this, we have also built a ZDPlasKin-Cantera solver consistent with previous counterparts and compared it with ChemPlasKin, as detailed in Section 3.2.

3 Code Validation

This section begins with a brief validation of CppBOLOS against Bolsig+, establishing the groundwork for subsequent evaluations. The subsequent five subsections are carefully structured to assess ChemPlasKin's performance across diverse simulation scenarios. We present comparisons of simulation results between literature sources, our equivalent Cantera-ZDPlasKin solver, and ChemPlasKin in Section 3.2. The capability of ChemPlasKin to predict ultrafast gas heating and radical species production is assessed in Section 3.3. The slow gas heating model is validated through experimental data in Section 3.4, while a practical application case of fuel reforming is examined in Section 3.5. Finally, the efficacy of the heat loss model is tested in Section 3.6. For the simulations discussed in Sections 3.3, 3.4, and 3.6, we utilize a rigorously validated detailed PAC mechanism involving 100 species and 964 reactions for a methane-air mixture, as developed by [11].

3.1 CppBOLOS

Figure 2 illustrates the comparison between CppBOLOS and Bolsig+ for mean electron energy and ionization rate in pure N₂ across a wide range of reduced electric fields (E/N). Additionally, relative differences compared to Bolsig+ are plotted, demonstrating satisfactory consistency except at very low E/N values. The large relative differences in ionization rate at low E/N are considered acceptable because the infinitesimally small absolute values have a negligible impact on the accurate prediction of the plasma kinetics system's evolution.



Figure 2: Comparison between CppBOLOS and Bolsig+ for pure N_2 at 300 K, using cross-section data from Phelps [49].

3.2 Plasma assisted $H_2/O_2/He$ ignition

Mao et al. [18] conducted numerical simulations of $H_2/O_2/He$ ignition assisted by hybrid NRP and DC discharges using the ZDPlasKin-CHEMKIN solver developed by Lefkowitz et al. [9]. As no openly available PAC solver exists for use as a benchmark, we integrated ZDPlasKin with Cantera to evaluate the computational efficiency of the popular operator-splitting technique, adhering to the strategy described in [9]. The Cantera-ZDPlasKin solver includes a Python wrapper of ZDPlasKin that facilitates efficient data communications between the two codes. The timestep in the main time loop is dynamically adjusted to accommodate the nanosecond timescale and exponential growth of electrons in NRP discharges, then gradually relaxed to 10^{-7} s during the pulse intervals, balancing accuracy with the computational cost of the operator-splitting method.

The mixture has an initial composition of $H_2 : O_2 : He = 0.1667 : 0.0883 : 0.75$, and the adiabatic system is maintained at atmospheric pressure. The reduced electric field (E/N) for NRP discharges is set at 100 Td (1 Td = 10^{-21} Vm²), with a frequency of 30 kHz. DC discharges at an E/N of 20 Td are applied between the nanosecond pulses. The deposited plasma energy is fixed at 0.1 mJ/cm³ per pulse. To ensure consistency, ChemPlasKin operates with the Flitti & Pancheshnyi [43] model for gas temperature. The plasma kinetic mechanism used by [18], originally formatted for ZDPlasKin, has been automatically converted into YAML format compatible with ChemPlasKin.

Figure 3 compares these three solvers for their predictions of ignition delay times (IDT) for NRP and NRP/DC hybrid discharges assisted ignition across various initial temperatures. IDT is defined as the point of maximum temperature gradient during the pulse intervals in our simulations. Good agreement is achieved among the three solvers for both discharge types.



Figure 3: Ignition delay times (IDT) for NRP discharge assisted ignition and NRP/DC hybrid discharge assisted ignition. Results from ChemPlasKin are compared against those from Mao et al. [18] and our Cantera-ZDPlasKin solver.

The predictive capabilities of the solvers for electron and $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$ production over the first ten pulses in the hybrid NRP/DC discharge scenario are displayed in Figure 4. These results underscore the performance consistency of our solvers, particularly Cantera-ZDPlasKin, with those reported by Mao et al. [18].



Figure 4: Temporal evolution of electron and $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$ mole fractions during the first ten pulses of a hybrid discharge at 400 K.

A comparison of computational efficiency between ChemPlasKin and Cantera-ZDPlasKin was carried out for NRP discharge assisted ignition cases, as shown in Figure 5. ChemPlasKin achieved a three-fold speed-up. Note that in Figure 5, ChemPlasKin employed the same dynamic timestep settings in the main loop to ensure a fair comparison. In actual applications, ChemPlasKin can utilize much larger outer timesteps, as it is not constrained by operator-splitting errors.



Figure 5: Computational cost (clock time) comparison between ChemPlasKin and Cantera-ZDPlasKin corresponding to the NRP assisted ignition depicted in Figure 3. Single-thread execution at 2.3 GHz. Profiling results confirm that there is no data communication bottleneck in the Cantera-ZDPlasKin solver.

Note that many operator-splitting solvers listed in Table 1, which operate based on kinetic mechanisms developed in various studies, lack rigorous validation against experimental data at micro time scales, such as radical production and fast and slow gas heating during a single NRP discharge period. The following two subsections will present validation of ChemPlasKin that addresses this gap, similar to the approach taken by Cheng et al. [11].

3.3 Spark discharge in air

This test case aligns with Case A from [11], utilizing the experimental setup described in [53], where NRP spark discharges are generated between pin-pin electrodes. The gas temperature can be inferred from the rotational temperature of $N_2(C)$ for a duration of at least 17 ns [53]. The initial gas mixture temperature is set at 1500 K with a composition of $N_2 : O_2 : O = 77.4 : 18.6 : 4$, accounting for the thermal and chemical effects of preceding pulses. Figure 6 presents a comparison of the gas temperature evolution and $O(^{3}P)$ production as predicted by ChemPlasKin against experimental data.



Figure 6: Comparison of ChemPlasKin predictions with experimental data on the temporal evolution of (a) $O(^{3}P)$ production during and after a nanosecond pulse and (b) gas temperature, demonstrating good agreement. Experimental data from [53].

3.4 V-T relaxation in air

This validation case aligns with Case C from [11], utilizing the experimental setup detailed in [54]. The initial conditions of air are set at a temperature of 300 K and a pressure of 100 Torr. Between the pin-pin electrodes, the applied E/N is approximately 100 Td, with up to 50% of the plasma energy contributing to the vibrational excitation of N₂. In this scenario, the model's prediction of the rotational temperature, represented by the solid curve shows good agreement with the experimental data, as shown in Figure 7. Note that the simulation focuses solely on N₂ for the vibrational energy equation, under the assumption of isobaric conditions due to the minimal impact of fast gas heating in this context. The exclusion of heat loss from thermal diffusion in the model accounts for the observed discrepancies between calculated results and experimental measurements on the millisecond timescale.



Figure 7: ChemPlasKin predictions of gas temperature from VT-relaxation of $N_2(v)$ in pure air, showcasing a comparison against experimental measurements from [54].

3.5 Hydrogen oxidation with DBD discharges

This validation case compares ChemPlasKin's performance against both experimental and numerical results detailed in [32], which investigates the kinetics of plasma-assisted oxidation of H₂ in an undiluted lean H₂/O₂ system. In the referenced study, a preheated gas mixture is subjected to treatment in a dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor under constant pressure and temperature conditions. To approximate the filamentary nature of the discharges, it is assumed that each fluid particle encounters a total of 288 rectangular pulses at a constant E/N.

Figure 8 presents a comparison of the H_2 oxidation rates derived from ChemPlasKin against the experimental and numerical results under varying gas temperatures. The agreement between ChemPlasKin's predictions and the reference results is generally good, with a larger discrepancy at higher temperatures, potentially attributed to the omission of thermal cracking residence time in our model. ChemPlasKin completes each data point presented in Figure 8 within approximately 45 seconds of single-threaded execution on a 2.3 GHz core.



Figure 8: Comparison of ChemPlasKin simulations with reference data on plasma-assisted oxidation rates of H₂ over a range of gas temperatures ($T_{\rm gas}$) for an H₂/O₂ mixture ($\phi = 0.01$) at 10 W of plasma power. Reference data from [32].

3.6 Heat loss model

The proposed heat loss model, detailed in Section 3.6, is validated focusing on the fast gas-heating phenomenon, thereby omitting the need for detailed plasma kinetics and slow gas heating. Figure 9 illustrates a typical scenario for applying the heat loss model, aligned with the test case described in Section 3.3. Here, NRP discharges at 10 kHz are generated between pin-pin electrodes, with each pulse delivering an energy input of 670 μ J. According to the phenomenological plasma model by [4], 20% of the pulse energy is allocated to fast gas-heating within a 10 ns duration. A horizontal 2D computational domain is defined, featuring the pulsed heating source at its center, modeled with an energy density spatial function $\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{erfc} (r^2/6.9 \times 10^{-8})^{2.5}$, where r denotes the radial distance from the discharge center and an effective discharge radius r_{dis} of 225 μ m is specified. The domain employs a non-reflective boundary condition, ensuring the pressure field near the discharge zone recovers the ambient level within microseconds [55].

The averaged temperature within $r_{\rm dis}$ in the 2D domain, solved using OpenFOAM [56], represents the discharge kernel temperature. In parallel, a 0D model, simply written as an independent Python code, utilizes the Cantera library to update thermal conductivity based on temperature changes. After applying ten heating pulses, Figure 10 displays the temperature comparison between the 2D and 0D models. The models demonstrate good overall agreement, with the 0D model's assumption of instantaneous isentropic expansion capturing the sharp temperature drop at each pulse's conclusion, as elaborated in the zoom-in subplot of Figure 10. Without incorporating the heat loss model, a conventional 0D chemistry-plasma model would likely predict a step-wise, monotonic temperature increase for NRP discharges, contrasting with the quasi-steady state indicated by the 2D model.

Following the standalone examination discussed previously, the impact of the heat loss model on gas temperature in ChemPlasKin, which incorporates detailed gas-plasma kinetics of a methane/air mixture [11], is presented in Figure 11. The discrepancy in temperature evolution with and without the heat loss model during the first ten pulses clearly demonstrates its potential influence on ignition delay time calculations. We acknowledge that further validation and improvement of this model are necessary, ideally through direct comparison with experimental data or high-fidelity multi-dimensional simulations.



Figure 9: Schematic of a 2D computational domain for simulating ultrafast heating effects from pin-pin generated NRP discharges using phenomenological model.



Figure 10: Comparison of temperature evolution within the discharge kernel across 10 NRP discharges between 2D and 0D phenomenological models. V-T relaxation heating not included. The red bars highlight the highest temperature for each nanosecond pulse in the 2D model. $C_0 = 1.0$ is employed in the 0D model.



Figure 11: Temperature evolution in a 0.0499CH₄/0.7505N₂/0.1996O₂ mixture with NRP discharge assisted ignition over the first ten pulses. Deposited plasma energy is 10 mJ/cm³ per pulse, with $C_0 = 1.0$.

4 Conclusions

This study describes ChemPlasKin, a freeware tool developed for simulating gas-plasma kinetic processes. ChemPlasKin integrates the electron Boltzmann equation solver CppBOLOS with the Cantera library, enabling the solution of neutral gas and plasma kinetics within a unified ODE system. Additionally, a supplementary heat loss model is proposed to enhance the accuracy of temperature predictions for nanosecond repetitively pulsed (NRP) discharges in configurations utilizing pin-pin electrodes.

To evaluate the computational efficiency of ChemPlasKin, we constructed a Cantera-ZDPlasKin PAC solver using the widely used operator-splitting method. In the test cases, this configuration achieved a threefold speedup, and we anticipate even faster performance with a relaxed outer timestep.

The C++ solver has been validated against experimental results across various aspects and timescales, including ultrafast gas heating and radical production, slow gas heating from V-T relaxation and fuel reforming involving hundreds of pulses. ChemPlasKin shows its capability as a versatile tool, particularly useful for PAC and fuel reforming. It can also be used purely as a plasma kinetics solver, akin to ZDPlasKin. Its development provides a resource for researchers seeking to explore gas-plasma kinetics without the need to invest in custom coding efforts. Continued validation and enhancement by the user community are encouraged. We also anticipate ChemPlasKin to be incorporated into CFD codes to enable high-fidelity simulations of fully-coupled plasma-assisted reacting flows, acknowledging that computational costs remain a significant consideration.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST). The authors would like to thank Seunghwan Bang and Dr. Ramses Snoeckx for useful discussion. The first author also thanks friends Renston, Vijay, and Alessandro for the great tunes and good times that helped lighten the load.

References

- Yiguang Ju and Wenting Sun. Plasma assisted combustion: Dynamics and chemistry. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, 48:21–83, June 2015.
- [2] Deanna A. Lacoste. Flames with plasmas. Proceedings of the Combustion Institute, 39(4):5405-5428, January 2023.
- [3] Yaolin Wang, Yanzhen Chen, Jonathan Harding, Hongyuan He, Annemie Bogaerts, and Xin Tu. Catalyst-free single-step plasma reforming of CH4 and CO2 to higher value oxygenates under ambient conditions. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 450:137860, December 2022.
- [4] Maria Luis Gracio Bilro Castela. Direct Numerical Simulations of plasma-assisted ignition in quiescent and turbulent flow conditions. phdthesis, Université Paris-Saclay, May 2016.
- [5] Nicolas Barléon, Lionel Cheng, Bénédicte Cuenot, and Olivier Vermorel. A phenomenological model for plasmaassisted combustion with NRP discharges in methane-air mixtures: PACMIND. *Combustion and Flame*, 253:112794, July 2023.
- [6] G. J. M. Hagelaar and L. C. Pitchford. Solving the Boltzmann equation to obtain electron transport coefficients and rate coefficients for fluid models. *Plasma Sources Science and Technology*, 14(4):722, October 2005.
- [7] S Pancheshnyi, B Eismann, GJM Hagelaar, and LC Pitchford. Computer code ZDPlasKin. University of Toulouse, LAPLACE, CNRS-UPS-INP, Toulouse, France, 2008.
- [8] R. J. Kee, F. M. Rupley, and J. A. Miller. Chemkin-II: A Fortran chemical kinetics package for the analysis of gas-phase chemical kinetics. Technical Report SAND-89-8009, Sandia National Lab. (SNL-CA), Livermore, CA (United States), September 1989.
- [9] Joseph K Lefkowitz, Peng Guo, Aric Rousso, and Yiguang Ju. Species and temperature measurements of methane oxidation in a nanosecond repetitively pulsed discharge. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 373(2048):20140333, August 2015. Publisher: Royal Society.
- [10] David G. Goodwin, Harry K. Moffat, Ingmar Schoegl, Raymond L. Speth, and Bryan W. Weber. Cantera: An Object-oriented Software Toolkit for Chemical Kinetics, Thermodynamics, and Transport Processes, 2022.
- [11] L. Cheng, N. Barleon, B. Cuenot, O. Vermorel, and A. Bourdon. Plasma assisted combustion of methane-air mixtures: Validation and reduction. *Combustion and Flame*, 240:111990, June 2022.
- [12] aluque. aluque/bolos, July 2023. original-date: 2014-06-10T08:17:00Z.
- [13] Igor V. Adamovich, Ting Li, and Walter R. Lempert. Kinetic mechanism of molecular energy transfer and chemical reactions in low-temperature air-fuel plasmas. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 373(2048):20140336, August 2015. Publisher: Royal Society.
- [14] Anthony C. DeFilippo and Jyh-Yuan Chen. Modeling plasma-assisted methane-air ignition using pre-calculated electron impact reaction rates. *Combustion and Flame*, 172:38–48, October 2016.
- [15] Nicholas Deak, Aurélie Bellemans, and Fabrizio Bisetti. Plasma-assisted ignition of methane/air and ethylene/air mixtures: Efficiency at low and high pressures. *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute*, 38(4):6551–6558, January 2021.

- [16] T. Hazenberg, J. van Dijk, and J. A. van Oijen. Chemical flux analysis of low-temperature plasma-enhanced oxidation of methane and hydrogen in argon. *Combustion and Flame*, 257:113037, November 2023.
- [17] Xingqian Mao and Qi Chen. Effects of Vibrational Excitation on Nanosecond Discharge Enhanced Methane–Air Ignition. AIAA Journal, 56(11):4312–4320, 2018. Publisher: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics _eprint: https://doi.org/10.2514/1.J057304.
- [18] Xingqian Mao, Qi Chen, Aric C. Rousso, Timothy Y. Chen, and Yiguang Ju. Effects of controlled nonequilibrium excitation on H2/O2/He ignition using a hybrid repetitive nanosecond and DC discharge. *Combustion and Flame*, 206:522–535, August 2019.
- [19] Xingqian Mao, Aric Rousso, Qi Chen, and Yiguang Ju. Numerical modeling of ignition enhancement of CH4/O2/He mixtures using a hybrid repetitive nanosecond and DC discharge. *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute*, 37(4):5545–5552, January 2019.
- [20] Yuan Wang, Peng Guo, Haitao Chen, and Zheng Chen. Numerical modeling of ignition enhancement by repetitive nanosecond discharge in a hydrogen/air mixture II: forced ignition. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 54(6):065502, November 2020. Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [21] Hongtao Zhong, Xingqian Mao, Aric C Rousso, Charles L Patrick, Chao Yan, Wenbin Xu, Qi Chen, Gerard Wysocki, and Yiguang Ju. Kinetic study of plasma-assisted n-dodecane/O2/N2 pyrolysis and oxidation in a nanosecond-pulsed discharge. *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute*, 38(4):6521–6531, January 2021.
- [22] Galia Faingold and Joseph K. Lefkowitz. A numerical investigation of NH3/O2/He ignition limits in a nonthermal plasma. *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute*, 38(4):6661–6669, January 2021.
- [23] Galia Faingold, Omer Kalitzky, and Joseph K. Lefkowitz. Plasma reforming for enhanced ammonia-air ignition: A numerical study. *Fuel Communications*, 12:100070, September 2022.
- [24] Hongtao Zhong, Xingqian Mao, Ning Liu, Ziyu Wang, Timothy Ombrello, and Yiguang Ju. Understanding non-equilibrium N2O/NOx chemistry in plasma-assisted low-temperature NH3 oxidation. *Combustion and Flame*, 256:112948, October 2023.
- [25] Xingqian Mao, Hongtao Zhong, Ning Liu, Ziyu Wang, and Yiguang Ju. Ignition enhancement and NOx formation of NH3/air mixtures by non-equilibrium plasma discharge. *Combustion and Flame*, 259:113140, January 2024.
- [26] Nan Liu, Qi Chen, Xianwu Jiang, Jie Chen, and Lidong Zhang. Kinetic roles of excited state species in the oxidation of n-pentane/air assisted by nanosecond-pulsed discharge. *Combustion and Flame*, 259:113142, January 2024.
- [27] Vyaas Gururajan and Fokion N. Egolfopoulos. Transient plasma effects on the autoignition of DME/O2/Ar and C3H8/O2/Ar mixtures. Proceedings of the Combustion Institute, 36(3):4165–4174, January 2017.
- [28] Ruzheng Zhang, Handong Liao, Jiuzhong Yang, and Bin Yang. Exploring chemical kinetics of plasma assisted oxidation of dimethyl ether (DME). Combustion and Flame, 225:388–394, March 2021.
- [29] Taaresh Sanjeev Taneja and Suo Yang. Comparing Low-Mach and Fully-Compressible CFD Solvers for Phenomenological Modeling of Nanosecond Pulsed Plasma Discharges with and without Turbulence. In AIAA SCITECH 2022 Forum. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2021. _eprint: https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/pdf/10.2514/6.2022-0976.

- [30] Taaresh Sanjeev Taneja, Praise Noah Johnson, and Suo Yang. Nanosecond pulsed plasma assisted combustion of ammonia-air mixtures: Effects on ignition delays and NOx emission. *Combustion and Flame*, 245:112327, November 2022.
- [31] Praise N. Johnson, Taaresh S. Taneja, and Suo Yang. Plasma-based global pathway analysis to understand the chemical kinetics of plasma-assisted combustion and fuel reforming. *Combustion and Flame*, 255:112927, September 2023.
- [32] Ramses Snoeckx, Daeyoung Jun, Bok Jik Lee, and Min Suk Cha. Kinetic study of plasma assisted oxidation of H2 for an undiluted lean mixture. *Combustion and Flame*, 242:112205, August 2022.
- [33] Ramses Snoeckx and Min Suk Cha. Kinetic study of plasma assisted oxidation of H2 for an undiluted rich mixture. *Combustion and Flame*, 250:112638, April 2023.
- [34] Seunghwan Bang, Ramses Snoeckx, and Min Suk Cha. Kinetic Study for Plasma Assisted Cracking of NH3: Approaches and Challenges. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 127(5):1271–1282, February 2023. Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- [35] Seunghwan Bang, Ramses Snoeckx, and Min Suk Cha. Temperature-Dependent Kinetics of Ozone Production in Oxygen Discharges. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, August 2023.
- [36] Mohammad Shahsavari, Alexander A. Konnov, Agustin Valera-Medina, and Mehdi Jangi. On nanosecond plasma-assisted ammonia combustion: Effects of pulse and mixture properties. *Combustion and Flame*, 245:112368, November 2022.
- [37] Colin A. Pavan and Carmen Guerra-Garcia. Modeling Flame Speed Modification by Nanosecond Pulsed Discharges to Inform Experimental Design. In AIAA SCITECH 2023 Forum, AIAA SciTech Forum. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, January 2023.
- [38] Yifan Qiu, Yifei Zhu, Yun Wu, Ningqiu Zhao, Zhenyang Li, Mai Hao, Boya Zhang, and Di Pan. Numerical investigation of the hybrid pulse–DC plasma assisted ignition and NOx emission of NH3/N2/O2 mixture. *Combustion and Flame*, 258:113078, December 2023.
- [39] Ziying Xin, Zhencao Zheng, Yong Hu, Ao Sun, Feiyang Zhao, and Wenbin Yu. Numerical modeling of plasma assisted ignition of CH4/O2/He mixture by the nanosecond repetitive pulsed surface dielectric barrier discharge. *Fuel*, 357:129975, February 2024.
- [40] Roger C. Millikan and Donald R. White. Systematics of Vibrational Relaxation. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 39(12):3209–3213, December 1963.
- [41] S. J. Colgan and B. P. Levitt. Vibrational relaxation of nitrogen by various collision partners at 2500°K. Transactions of the Faraday Society, 63(0):2898–2905, 1967. Publisher: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- [42] N. A. Popov. Fast gas heating in a nitrogen-oxygen discharge plasma: I. Kinetic mechanism. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 44(28):285201, June 2011.
- [43] A. Flitti and S. Pancheshnyi. Gas heating in fast pulsed discharges in N2–O2 mixtures. The European Physical Journal Applied Physics, 45(2):21001, February 2009. Number: 2 Publisher: EDP Sciences.
- [44] L. L. Alves, A. Bogaerts, V. Guerra, and M. M. Turner. Foundations of modelling of nonequilibrium lowtemperature plasmas. *Plasma Sources Science and Technology*, 27(2):023002, February 2018. Publisher: IOP Publishing.

- [45] Da A. Xu, Deanna A. Lacoste, and Christophe O. Laux. Schlieren Imaging of Shock-Wave Formation Induced by Ultrafast Heating of a Nanosecond Repetitively Pulsed Discharge in Air. *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science*, 42(10):2350–2351, October 2014. Conference Name: IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science.
- [46] Fabien Tholin and Anne Bourdon. Simulation of the hydrodynamic expansion following a nanosecond pulsed spark discharge in air at atmospheric pressure. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 46(36):365205, August 2013. Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [47] J. Stephens. A multi-term Boltzmann equation benchmark of electron-argon cross-sections for use in low temperature plasma models. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 51(12):125203, March 2018. Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [48] GPT-4.
- [49] S. Pancheshnyi, S. Biagi, M. Bordage, G. J. M. Hagelaar, W. Morgan, Arthur Phelps, and L. Pitchford. The LXCat project: Electron scattering cross sections and swarm parameters for low temperature plasma modeling. *Chemical Physics*, 398:148–153, April 2012.
- [50] muparser fast math parser library.
- [51] Alan C. Hindmarsh, Peter N. Brown, Keith E. Grant, Steven L. Lee, Radu Serban, Dan E. Shumaker, and Carol S. Woodward. SUNDIALS: Suite of nonlinear and differential/algebraic equation solvers. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, 31(3):363–396, September 2005.
- [52] David J. Gardner, Daniel R. Reynolds, Carol S. Woodward, and Cody J. Balos. Enabling New Flexibility in the SUNDIALS Suite of Nonlinear and Differential/Algebraic Equation Solvers. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, 48(3):31:1–31:24, September 2022.
- [53] D. L. Rusterholtz, D. A. Lacoste, G. D. Stancu, D. Z. Pai, and C. O. Laux. Ultrafast heating and oxygen dissociation in atmospheric pressure air by nanosecond repetitively pulsed discharges. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 46(46):464010, October 2013. Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [54] A. Montello, Z. Yin, D. Burnette, I. V. Adamovich, and W. R. Lempert. Picosecond CARS measurements of nitrogen vibrational loading and rotational/translational temperature in non-equilibrium discharges. *Journal* of Physics D: Applied Physics, 46(46):464002, October 2013. Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [55] Xiao Shao, Narjisse Kabbaj, Deanna A. Lacoste, and Hong G. Im. A computational study of a laminar methane–air flame assisted by nanosecond repetitively pulsed discharges. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 57(20):205201, February 2024. Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [56] H. G. Weller, G. Tabor, H. Jasak, and C. Fureby. A tensorial approach to computational continuum mechanics using object-oriented techniques. *Computer in Physics*, 12(6):620–631, November 1998.