

ON THE PRE-COMMUTATIVE ENVELOPES OF COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS

H. ALHUSSEIN^{1,2,3} AND P. KOLESNIKOV⁴

ABSTRACT. We prove that every nilpotent commutative algebra can be embedded into a pre-commutative (Zinbiel) algebra with respect to the anti-commutator operation. For finite-dimensional algebras, the nilpotency condition is necessary for a commutative algebra to have a pre-commutative envelope.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt Theorem (PBW-Theorem) for Lie algebras gave rise to a series of generalizations to various multiplication changing functors between varieties of algebras. Namely, suppose V and W are two (linear) operads governing the varieties of algebras called V -algebras and W -algebras, respectively. A morphism of operads $\omega : W \rightarrow V$ induces a functor from the variety of V -algebras to the variety of W -algebras: $B \mapsto B^{(\omega)}$, $B \in V$. Such functors are called multiplication changing ones (see, e.g., [1]). One of the most common examples is given by the morphism of operads $(-): \text{Lie} \rightarrow \text{As}$ such that $x_1x_2 \mapsto x_1x_2 - x_2x_1$. The corresponding functor between varieties $\text{As} \rightarrow \text{Lie}$ transforms an associative algebra A into its commutator Lie algebra $A^{(-)}$.

Hereinafter we do not distinguish notations for multilinear varieties of algebras and their governing operads. For an operad V and a nonempty set X , denote by $V\langle X \rangle$ the free V -algebra generated by X .

Every multiplication changing functor has left adjoint functor which sends an arbitrary W -algebra A to its universal enveloping V -algebra $U_\omega(A)$. If A is a W -algebra generated by a set X relative to defining relations $R \subset W\langle X \rangle$ then $U_\omega(A)$ is the V -algebra generated by the same set X relative to the relations $\omega(R) \subset V\langle X \rangle$.

The canonical homomorphism $i : A \rightarrow U_\omega(A)$ may not be injective in general. Moreover, $U_\omega(A)$ carries a natural ascending filtration relative to degrees in $i(A)$, and its associated graded algebra $\text{gr } U_\omega(A)$ is also a V -algebra. As it was proposed in [1], let us say the triple (V, W, ω) to have the PBW-property if i is injective and

$$\text{gr } U_\omega(A) \simeq U_\omega(A^{(0)})$$

as V -algebras, where $A^{(0)}$ stands for the W -algebra on the space A with trivial (zero) operations.

Many combinatorial and homological properties of V - and W -algebras are closely related if (V, W, ω) has the PBW-property, see, e.g. [1, 2].

A series of operation-transforming functors are related with so called dendriform splitting of varieties. The term “dendriform algebra” was introduced by J.-L. Loday [3] in the associative context, but it can be defined for an arbitrary variety (see, e.g., [4, 5]). Namely, for every multilinear variety V of algebras there is a variety denoted $\text{pre } V$. The defining identities of $\text{pre } V$ can be calculated by means of a routine procedure (called *splitting*) described in [4] or [5], see also [6].

In particular, for every $\text{pre } V$ -algebra A with operations $>$ and $<$ the same space A relative to the operation

$$ab = a > b + a < b, \quad a, b \in A, \tag{1.1}$$

is a V -algebra. Thus we have a morphism of operads $\varepsilon : V \rightarrow \text{pre } V$ which maps $x_1 x_2$ to $x_1 > x_2 + x_1 < x_2$. The corresponding operation-changing functor between varieties of algebras is also denoted ε , so that if $A \in \text{pre } V$ then $A^{(\varepsilon)} \in V$.

The corresponding left adjoint functor was previously studied for $V = \text{Lie}$ [7] and $V = \text{As}$ [8]. In both cases, the triple $(\text{pre } V, V, \varepsilon)$ has the PBW-property.

In this paper, we consider the triple $(\text{pre } \text{Com}, \text{Com}, \varepsilon)$, where Com is the variety of associative and commutative algebras. In this case, the operad $\text{pre } \text{Com}$ corresponds to the variety of *Zinbiel* algebras [3], linear spaces with one bilinear multiplication satisfying the identity

$$x(yz) = (xy)z + (yx)z. \quad (1.2)$$

(This operad is Koszul dual to the operad governing the class of Leibniz algebras, the term “Zinbiel” is motivated by this observation.)

The functor ε mentioned above is natural to denote $(+)$ is this particular case: every $\text{pre } \text{Com}$ -algebra Z turns into a commutative algebra relative to the operation

$$a * b = ab + ba, \quad a, b \in Z.$$

It turns out that the case $V = \text{Com}$ essentially differs from the cases $V = \text{Lie}$ or As . It is not hard to see that not every commutative algebra A embeds into its universal enveloping $U_{(+)}(A)$, so there is no hope for the PBW-property to hold for the triple $(\text{pre } \text{Com}, \text{Com}, (+))$.

It was shown in [9, 10] that a finite-dimensional pre-commutative algebra is nilpotent. On the other hand, it is easy to see that if a finite-dimensional commutative algebra A embeds into a pre-commutative algebra then A must be nilpotent. Our results, in particular, show the converse: every nilpotent commutative algebra A embeds into an appropriate pre-commutative algebra.

For a trivial algebra A (with zero multiplication) we compute the Gröbner–Shirshov basis of its universal enveloping Zinbiel algebra which may be considered as a “pre-algebra analogue” of the symmetric algebra of a linear space.

2. DENDRIFORM SPLITTING AND ZINBIEL ALGEBRAS

Let V be a class of all algebras satisfying a given set Σ of multilinear identities (i.e., V is a variety defined by Σ). Each identity $f \in \Sigma$ is an element from the free non-associative (migmatic) algebra $M\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$ which is homogeneous of degree $n = \deg f$ and multilinear in the variables x_1, \dots, x_n . (For simplicity, we consider algebras with one binary product.)

For example, the class Perm is defined by two identities

$$(x_1 x_2) x_3 - x_1 (x_2 x_3), \quad x_1 (x_2 x_3) - x_2 (x_1 x_3),$$

these are left-commutative associative algebras also known as Perm -algebras [11].

Construct a class of algebras $\text{pre } V$ with two binary products as follows [6]. A linear space A equipped with two operations denoted $<$ and $>$ belongs to $\text{pre } V$ if and only if for every Perm -algebra P the space $P \otimes A$ equipped with multiplication

$$(p \otimes a)(q \otimes b) = pq \otimes (a > b) + qp \otimes (a < b), \quad p, q \in P, \quad a, b \in A,$$

belongs to the class V .

In particular, if $P = \mathbb{k}$ then it follows immediately from the definition that a $\text{pre } V$ -algebra A relative to the operation $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ given by (1.1) is an algebra from V .

The passage from a variety V to $\text{pre } V$ described above is equivalent to the procedure of *splitting* described in [4] in terms of Manin products for operads. The equivalence of these two approaches [6] was proved by means of the notion of a Rota–Baxter operator. This notion is also essential for our study.

Definition 2.1 (see, e.g., [12]). A linear operator R defined on an algebra A over a field \mathbb{k} is called a Rota-Baxter operator (RB-operator) of weight zero if it satisfies the relation

$$R(x)R(y) = R(R(x)y + xR(y)), \quad x, y \in A.$$

An algebra A with a Rota-Baxter operator is called a Rota-Baxter algebra (RB-algebra).

A Rota-Baxter operator is a formalization of integration. For example, if A is an arbitrary algebra over a field of characteristic zero, and $A[[t]]$ is the algebra of formal power series over A then the linear map

$$R : A[[t]] \rightarrow A[[t]],$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a_n}{n+1} t^{n+1},$$

is a Rota-Baxter operator. Similarly, if we restrict to the subalgebra $tA[[t]]$ of all series without free term then

$$R : \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n t^n \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n} t^{n+1}$$

is also a Rota-Baxter operator.

Proposition 2.2 ([4]). *Let A be an algebra from a variety V equipped with a Rota-Baxter operator $R : A \rightarrow A$. Then the same space A with new operations*

$$a > b = R(a)b, \quad a < b = aR(b),$$

for $a, b \in A$, is a pre V -algebra denoted A_R .

Example 2.3. Let $V = \text{Lie}$ be the class of Lie algebras. Then for every $(A, <, >) \in \text{pre Lie}$ the skew-symmetry of Lie algebras implies $a > b = -b < a$ for all $a, b \in A$. Hence, one operation is enough to describe the structure of a pre-Lie algebra. It follows from the Jacobi identity that the operation $>$ satisfies the identity

$$(x_1 > x_2) > x_3 - (x_2 > x_1) > x_3 - x_1 > (x_2 > x_3) + x_2 > (x_1 > x_3)$$

i.e., is left-symmetric, and the operation $<$ satisfies the opposite right-symmetric identity.

Example 2.4. Let $V = \text{Com}$ be the class of associative and commutative algebras. Then for every $(Z, <, >) \in \text{pre Com}$ the commutativity implies $a > b = b < a$ for all $a, b \in Z$. Again, one operation is enough to describe the structure of a pre-commutative algebra. It follows from the associativity of $P \otimes Z$, $P \in \text{Perm}$ that the operation $>$ satisfies the identity (1.2):

$$(x_1 > x_2) > x_3 + (x_2 > x_1) > x_3 - x_1 > (x_2 > x_3),$$

and the operation $<$ satisfies the opposite one.

Definition 2.5 ([3]). An algebra Z with one binary operation is said to be a Zinbiel algebra if

$$a(bc) = (ab)c + (ba)c.$$

for all $a, b, c \in Z$.

Hence, a Zinbiel algebra is the same as a pre-commutative algebra in terms of the operation $>$. Similarly, the class of all pre-associative algebras coincides with the variety of dendri-form algebras defined in [3].

As it was mentioned above, every pre-associative algebra Z turns into an associative and commutative algebra $Z^{(+)}$ with respect to anti-commutator.

Example 2.6. Let X be a nonempty set, X^* be the set of all (associative) words in the alphabet X (excluding the empty word), and let $F = \mathbb{k}X^*$ be the formal linear span of X^* (this is the semigroup algebra of the free semigroup generated by X). Define a product on F as follows:

$$(x_1 \dots x_n)(y_1 \dots y_{m+1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n,m}} \sigma(x_1 \dots x_n y_1 \dots y_m) y_{m+1}, \quad x_i, y_j \in X,$$

where $S_{n,m} \subset S_{n+m}$ is the set of all (n, m) -shuffle permutations from the symmetric group S_{n+m} , and $\sigma(u)$, $u \in X^{n+m}$, stands for the word obtained by corresponding permutation of letters.

Then F is a pre-commutative algebra, the corresponding $F^{(+)}$ is the well-known *shuffle algebra* structure on the tensor algebra of the space $\mathbb{k}X$.

The algebra from Example 2.6 is the free pre-commutative algebra generated by a set X [3], its basis consists of right-normed monomials

$$(\dots((x_1 x_2) x_3) \dots x_n) x_{n+1}, \quad x_i \in X, \quad i \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

The purpose of this paper was to determine if the nilpotence of a commutative algebra A is sufficient for A to be embeddable into an appropriate pre-commutative algebra. As a result, we obtain a more general sufficient condition, but start with the trivial case when A has zero multiplication. In this case, it is possible to compute an analogue of the PBW-basis of $U_{(+)}(A)$ by means of the Gröbner–Shirshov bases technique for non-associative algebras.

3. COMPOSITION–DIAMOND LEMMA FOR NON-ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

The Gröbner–Shirshov bases method for nonassociative algebras goes back to the paper by A. Kurosh [13], it is closely related with the general Knuth–Bendix algorithm. An essential advance in this technique for Lie algebras was obtained by A. Shirshov [14], for associative and commutative algebras the Gröbner bases technique is widely used after [15].

In this section, we recall the basics of the Gröbner–Shirshov bases method for non-associative algebras according to [16, Section 5].

Let \mathbb{k} be a field, X be a nonempty set, and let $M\langle X \rangle$ stand for the free non-associative algebra generated by X . Suppose the set X is equipped with a well order \leq , and let X^{**} denote the set of all non-associative words in the alphabet X (excluding the empty word). The set X^{**} is a linear basis of $M\langle X \rangle$, it inherits the order \leq on X in a way described below.

For any $u \in X^{**}$, denote by $|u|$ the length of u . Define the *weight* $\text{wt}(u)$ of $u \in X^{**}$ as follows: for $u = x \in X$ put $\text{wt}(u) = (1, x) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times X$, for $u = (u_1 u_2)$, put $\text{wt}(u) = (|u|, u_2, u_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times X^{**} \times X^{**}$. Extend the initial order \leq on X to the order on X^{**} by induction on the length:

$$u \leq v \iff \text{wt}(u) \leq \text{wt}(v) \quad (3.1)$$

lexicographically. That is, if $|u| < |v|$ then $u < v$, if $|u| = |v| = 1$ then this is just the order on X , if $|u| = |v| = l > 1$ then we present both $u = (u_1 u_2)$, $v = (v_1 v_2)$, where $|u_i|, |v_i| < l$, and then compare the factors, for which the order is already defined by induction. This is a monomial order, i.e.,

$$u \leq v \implies wu \leq wv, \quad uw \leq vw,$$

for all $u, v, w \in X^{**}$.

Every $0 \neq f \in M\langle X \rangle$ may be presented as $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i$, where each $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{k}$, $\alpha_i \neq 0$, $u_i \in X^{**}$, and $u_1 > u_2 > \dots > u_n$. The leading monomial \bar{f} of $f \neq 0$ is then u_1 . If $\alpha_1 = 1$, then f is said to be a monic polynomial.

Definition 3.1. Let $f, g \in M\langle X \rangle$ be monic polynomials. Assume there exists a word $u \in (X \cup \{\star\})^{**}$ (where \star is a formal new letter not in X) such that $w = \bar{f}$ is obtained from u by replacing \star with \bar{g} , i.e., $\bar{f} = u|_{\star=\bar{g}}$. Then the polynomial $(f, g)_u = f - u|_{\star=\bar{g}}$ is called

a *composition of inclusion* of f and g with respect to w . The word w as above is called an ambiguity.

Let $S \subseteq M\langle X \rangle$ be a nonempty set of monic polynomials relative to a monomial order \leq on X^{**} . A polynomial $h \in M\langle X \rangle$ is said to be *trivial modulo* (S, w) , where $w \in X^{**}$ is a fixed word, if there exist a finite number of $u_i \in (X \cup \{\star\})^{**}$ such that

$$h = \sum_i \alpha_i u_i|_{\star=s_i}, \quad s_i \in S, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{k},$$

where $u_i|_{\star=s_i} < w$ for all i . We denote this property of h as

$$h \equiv 0 \pmod{(S, w)}.$$

Definition 3.2. [14, 16, 17] A set S of monic polynomials from $M\langle X \rangle$ is called a *Gröbner–Shirshov basis* (GSB) if for every $f, g \in S$ we have $(f, g)_u \equiv 0 \pmod{(S, \bar{f})}$ provided that such a composition exists. In other words, all compositions of elements from S are *trivial*.

Theorem 3.3 (Composition–Diamond Lemma for non-associative algebras, [16]). *Let X^{**} be equipped with a well monomial order \leq . For a set $S \subseteq M\langle X \rangle$ of monic polynomials, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) S is a Gröbner–Shirshov basis in $M\langle X \rangle$.
- (ii) If $f \neq 0$, belongs to the ideal $I(S)$ of $M\langle X \rangle$ generated by S then $\bar{f} = u|_{\star=s}$ for some $s \in S$, $u \in (X \cup \{\star\})^{**}$.
- (iii) The set

$$\text{Irr}(S) = \{a \in X^{**} | a \neq u|_{\star=s}, \text{ for neither } s \in S, u \in (X \cup \{\star\})^{**}\}$$

is a linear basis of the algebra $M\langle X | S \rangle := M\langle X \rangle / I(S)$.

If a subset S of $M\langle X \rangle$ is not a Gröbner–Shirshov basis, then we can add to S all nontrivial compositions of polynomials from S , and by continuing this process (maybe infinitely) many times, we eventually obtain a Gröbner–Shirshov basis S^{comp} . Such a process is called the Shirshov algorithm.

Let X be a nonempty set equipped with a well order \leq . Let us extend this order to a monomial order on X^{**} as described by (3.1). Then the free pre-commutative algebra $F = F(X)$ is defined by the following family of relations:

$$a(bc) - (ab)c - (ba)c; \quad a, b, c \in X^{**}. \quad (3.2)$$

The leading monomial is $a(bc)$ since $|bc| > |c|$.

Theorem 3.4. *The set of all polynomials (3.2) is a Gröbner–Shirshov basis.*

Hence, the set $\text{Irr}(S)$ which consists of all right-normed monomials (2.1) is indeed a linear basis of the free pre-commutative algebra $F = M\langle X | S \rangle$.

4. UNIVERSAL PRE-COMMUTATIVE ENVELOPES OF COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS

Let A be an associative and commutative algebra. Denote by $*$ the multiplication in A . If A contains a non-zero idempotent $e = e * e$ then A cannot be embedded into an algebra of the form $Z^{(+)}$, where Z is a pre-commutative (Zinbiel) algebra. Indeed, if $\varphi : A \rightarrow Z^{(+)}$ is such an embedding and $x = \varphi(e)$, then $x = \varphi(e) = \varphi(e * e) = 2xx$. The identity (1.2) implies

$$x(xx) = 2(xx)x = xx,$$

so $xx = 2xx$ and $x = 0$, a contradiction.

Hence, the universal pre-commutative envelope $U = U_{(+)}(A)$ of a commutative algebra A does not necessarily contain A as a subalgebra of $U^{(+)}$.

Let us consider the simplest case when A is an algebra with trivial (zero) multiplication. Even in this case, finding the structure of $U_{(+)}(A)$ requires certain computations. We will find here an analogue of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt basis for the pre-commutative envelope of an algebra A such that $A * A = 0$ by means of the GSB method.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a basis of an algebra A such that $A^2 = 0$, and let \leq be a well order on X . Then the following polynomials form a GSB of the universal enveloping pre-commutative algebra $U_{(+)}(A)$:*

- (R1) $f_{abc} = a(bc) - (ab)c - (ba)c$, $a, b, c \in X^{**}$;
- (R2) $g_{xy} = xy + yx$, $x, y \in X$, $x < y$;
- (R2') $u_x = xx$, $x \in X$;
- (R3) $t_{axy} = (ax)y + (ay)x$, $x, y \in X$, $a \in X^{**}$, $x < y$, the length of a is even;
- (R3') $t_{axx} = (ax)x$, $x \in X$, $a \in X^{**}$, the length of a is even.

Proof. All compositions among the relations of type (R1) are trivial: they were considered in Theorem 3.4. Hence, we may consider other relations from S only with words of the form

$$a = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m] \in X^{**}, \quad z_i \in X,$$

where $[\dots]$ denotes left-normed bracketing: $[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m] = (((z_1 z_2) z_3) \dots) z_m$.

First, let us prove that (R3) and (R3') follow from the defining relations (R1), (R2), (R2') of $U_{(+)}(A)$. Suppose $a = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m]$ as above, and m is even. Proceed by induction on $m \geq 2$. If $m = 2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} ag_{xy} &= (z_1 z_2)(xy) + (z_1 z_2)(yx) \\ &= [z_1, z_2, x, y] + [z_1, x, z_2, y] + [x, z_1, z_2, y] + [z_1, z_2, y, x] + [z_1, y, z_2, x] + [y, z_1, z_2, x] \\ &= (ax)y + (ay)x + (g_{z_1 x z_2})y + (g_{z_1 y z_2})x, \end{aligned}$$

so $(ax)y + (ay)x$ follows from (R1), (R2), and (R2'). Suppose

$$ag_{xy} = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m](xy) + [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m](yx),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m](xy) &\equiv [x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, y] + [z_1, x, z_2, \dots, z_m, y] \\ &\quad + \dots + [z_1, z_2, \dots, x, z_m, y] + [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, x, y] \end{aligned}$$

modulo the relations (R1). All terms except the last one form the pairs like

$$[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2l}, x, z_{2l+1}, \dots, z_m, y] + [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2l}, z_{2l+1}, x, \dots, z_m, y], \quad l = 1, \dots, (m-2)/2,$$

each of them is a corollary of (R1), (R2), and (R2') by induction. Hence, $a(xy) + a(yx)$ and $(ax)y + (ay)x$ both belong to the ideal generated by (R1), (R2), and (R2').

Relations of the form (R3') are proved similarly.

Now denote by S the set of polynomials in the statement and prove that all their compositions are trivial.

The only potentially nontrivial compositions $(f, g)_u$ of inclusion are the following:

- (C1–2) $f = f_{axy}$, $g = g_{xy}$, $u = (a\star)$, $a \in X^{**}$ is left-normed;
- (C1–2') $f = f_{axx}$, $g = u_x$, $u = (a\star)$, $a \in X^{**}$ is left-normed;
- (C1–3) $f = f_{a(bx)y}$, $g = t_{bxy}$, $u = (a\star)$, $a, b \in X^{**}$ are left-normed;
- (C1–3') $f = f_{a(bx)x}$, $g = t_{bxx}$, $u = (a\star)$, $a, b \in X^{**}$ are left-normed.

Consider the composition (C1–2):

$$\begin{aligned}(f, g)_u &= f_{axy} - ag_{xy} = a(xy) - (ax)y - (xa)y - a(xy) - a(yx) \\ &= -(ax)y - (xa)y - (ay)x - (ya)x.\end{aligned}$$

Here $a = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m]$.

Assume m is an even number. Then

$$\begin{aligned}f_{axy} - ag_{xy} &= -(xa)y - (ya)x - t_{axy} = -(x[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m])y - (y[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m])x - t_{axy} \\ &\equiv - \sum_{\sigma \in S_{1, m-1}} (\sigma([x, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}, z_m])y + \sigma([y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}, z_m])x) \\ &= -[g_{x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m}, y] - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-2}{2}} [z_1, \dots, z_{2l}, x, z_{2l+1}, \dots, z_m, y] - [z_1, \dots, z_{2l}, z_{2l+1}, x, z_{2l+2}, \dots, z_m, y] \\ &\quad - [g_{y, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m}, x] - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-2}{2}} [z_1, \dots, z_{2l}, y, z_{2l+1}, \dots, z_m, x] - [z_1, \dots, z_{2l}, z_{2l+1}, y, z_{2l+2}, \dots, z_m, x] \\ &\equiv - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-2}{2}} [((a_{2l}x)z_{2l+1} + (a_{2l}z_{2l+1})x), z_{2l+2}, \dots, z_m, y] - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-2}{2}} [((a_{2l}y)z_{2l+1} + (a_{2l}z_{2l+1})y), z_{2l+2}, \dots, z_m, x] \\ &= - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-2}{2}} [t_{a_{2l}, x, z_{2l+1}, z_{2l+2}, \dots, z_m}, y] - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-2}{2}} [t_{a_{2l}, y, z_{2l+1}, z_{2l+2}, \dots, z_m}, x] \equiv 0 \pmod{S, a(xy)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $a_{2l} = [z_1, \dots, z_{2l}]$ is a left-normed word of even length.

Now assume m is an odd number. Then, similarly, rewrite the composition into left-normed form to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}f_{axy} - ag_{xy} &= -[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, x, y] - [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, y, x] - (x[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m])y - (y[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m])x \\ &\equiv -[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, x, y] - [x, z_1, \dots, z_m, y] - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} [a_l, x, z_{l+1}, \dots, z_m, y] \\ &\quad - [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, y, x] - [y, z_1, \dots, z_m, x] - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} [a_l, y, z_{l+1}, \dots, z_m, x] \\ &\equiv - \sum_{l=2}^m [a_l, x, z_{l+1}, \dots, z_m, y] - \sum_{l=2}^m [a_l, y, z_{l+1}, \dots, z_m, x] \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} [a_{2i}, x, z_{2i+1}, \dots, z_m, y] - [a_{2i}, z_{2i+1}, x, z_{2i+2}, \dots, z_m, y] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} [a_{2i}, y, z_{2i+1}, \dots, z_m, x] - [a_{2i}, z_{2i+1}, y, z_{2i+2}, \dots, z_m, x] \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} ([t_{a_{2i}, x, z_{2i+1}, z_{2i+2}, \dots, z_m}, y] + [t_{a_{2i}, y, z_{2i+1}, z_{2i+2}, \dots, z_m}, x]) \equiv 0 \pmod{S, a(xy)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, as above, $a_l = [z_1, \dots, z_l]$.

Therefore, all compositions of type (C1–2) are trivial. For (C1–2'), the same computations show triviality of such compositions.

To complete the proof, we need the following

Lemma 4.2. *Let $a = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m]$, $b = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k] \in X^{**}$, $m \geq 1$ is odd and $k \geq 2$ is even. Then there exist $u_i \in X^{**}$, $s_i \in S$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{k}$ such that*

$$ab = \sum_i \alpha_i u_i |_{\star=s_i},$$

where $u_i |_{\star=s_i} \leq ab$ for all i .

We will say ab is trivial if such a presentation exists.

Proof. For $m = 1$, $k = 2$ we have

$$ab = x_1(y_1 y_2) = f_{x_1 y_1 y_2} + g_{x_1 y_1 y_2}.$$

Assume $k > 2$ and the statement is true for $m = 1$ and for all words shorter than k . Then present $b = [b_{k-2}, y_{k-1}, y_k]$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= x_1((b_{k-2} y_{k-1}) y_k) \equiv [x_1, b_{k-2}, y_{k-1}, y_k] + [b_{k-2}, x_1, y_{k-1}, y_k] + [b_{k-2}, y_{k-1}, x_1, y_k] \\ &= [(x_1 b_{k-2}), y_{k-1}, y_k] + ((b_{k-2} x_1) y_{k-1} - (b_{k-2} y_{k-1}) x_1) y_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hereinafter \equiv means the reduction by means of the relations f_{abc} . The first summand in the right-hand side is trivial by induction, the second one is equal to $t_{b_{k-2} x_1 y_{k-1} y_k}$, so it is also trivial.

Next, assume $m > 1$ and $k = 2$. Then present $a = [a_{m-2}, x_{m-1}, x_m]$, a_{m-2} is of odd length, and write

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= [a_{m-2}, x_{m-1}, x_m](y_1 y_2) \\ &\equiv [(a_{m-2} x_{m-1}), x_m, y_1, y_2] + [(a_{m-2} x_{m-1}), y_1, x_m, y_2] + [y_1, (a_{m-2} x_{m-1}), x_m, y_2] \\ &= t_{(a_{m-2} x_{m-1}), x_m, y_1} + [(y_1 (a_{m-2} x_{m-1})), x_m, y_2] \end{aligned}$$

The second summand is trivial by induction (the case $m = 1$), hence, the entire expression is trivial.

Finally, assume $m > 1$, $k > 2$, and the lemma is true for all words a , b such that either a shorter than m or for b shorter than k . Then present $a = a_{m-1} x_m$, where a_{m-1} is of even length, $b = [y_1, \dots, y_k]$, calculate $(a_{m-1} x_m)[y_1, \dots, y_k]$, and re-arrange the summands to get

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= (a_{m-1} x_m)[y_1, \dots, y_k] \equiv \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=j}^k [y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, a_{m-1}, y_j, \dots, y_{i-1}, x_m, y_i, \dots, y_k] \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{k/2-1} \sum_{i=2p+1}^k [y_1, \dots, y_{2p}, a_{m-1}, y_{2p+1}, \dots, y_{i-1}, x_m, y_i, \dots, y_k] \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=0}^{k/2-1} \sum_{i=2p+2}^k [y_1, \dots, y_{2p}, y_{2p+1}, a_{m-1}, y_{2p+2}, \dots, y_{i-1}, x_m, y_i, \dots, y_k]. \quad (4.1) \end{aligned}$$

The first group of summands in the right-hand side of (4.1) may be presented as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p=0}^{k/2-1} [u_{p,2p}, x_m, y_{2p+1}, \dots, y_k] + [u_{p,2p}, y_{2p+1}, x_m, y_{2p+2}, \dots, y_k] \\ & \quad + [u_{p,2p+2}, x_m, y_{2p+3}, \dots, y_k] + [u_{p,2p+2}, y_{2p+3}, x_m, y_{2p+4}, \dots, y_k] + \dots \\ & \quad + \dots + [u_{p,k-2}, x_m, y_{k-1}, y_k] + [u_{p,k-2}, y_{k-1}, x_m, y_k] \\ & = [t_{[u_{p,2p}x_my_{2p+1}, y_{2p+2}, \dots, y_k]} + t_{[u_{p,2p+2}x_my_{2p+3}, y_{2p+4}, \dots, y_k]} + \dots + t_{[u_{p,k-2}x_my_{k-1}, y_k]}] \equiv 0 \pmod{S, ab}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_{p,i} = [y_1, \dots, y_{2p}, a_{m-1}, y_{2p+1}, \dots, y_i]$. All summands in the second group contain factors of the form

$$[y_1, \dots, y_{2p+1}]a_{m-1}$$

which are trivial by induction (the length of a_{m-1} is even). \square

Proceed to the compositions of type (C1–3). Consider $f_{a(bx)y}, t_{bxy} \in S$, the length of b is even. Then for $w = (a\star)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_{a(bx)y}, t_{bxy})_w &= f_{a(bx)y} - at_{bxy} = a((bx)y) - (a(bx))y - ((bx)a)y - a((bx)y) - a((by)x) \\ &= -((ab)x)y - ((ba)x)y - ((bx)a)y - ((ab)y)x - ((ba)y)x - ((by)a)x \end{aligned}$$

Suppose m is even. Then both ab, ba are linear combinations of words which have even length. If $ab + ba = \sum_{j \geq 0} \alpha_j u_j$, $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{k}$, then

$$((ab)x)y + ((ba)x)y + ((ab)y)x + ((ba)y)x \equiv \sum_{j \geq 0} \alpha_j t_{u_j, xy} \equiv 0 \pmod{S, a((bx)y)}.$$

The remaining terms in the composition are $((by)a)x + ((bx)a)y$. They both contain factors $(bx)a$ or $(by)a$ that are trivial by Lemma 4.2.

Suppose m is an odd number. Then, modulo Lemma 4.2, the remaining terms of the composition are

$$h = [b, a, x, y] + [b, x, a, y] + [b, a, y, x] + [b, y, a, x].$$

Let us rewrite h as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & [b, a, x, y] + [b, x, a, y] + [x, b, a, y] + [b, a, y, x] + [b, y, a, x] + [y, b, a, x] - [x, b, a, y] - [y, b, a, x] \\ & \equiv (ba)(xy) + (ba)(yx) - [(xb, a, y] - [(yb), a, x] = (ba)u_{xy} - [(xb, a, y] - [(yb), a, x]. \end{aligned}$$

All summands are trivial by Lemma 4.2, and all monomials here are smaller than $a((bx)y)$ since b is a non-empty word.

In a similar way, the composition (C1–3') is also trivial. \square

Corollary 4.3. *If A is a linear space with an ordered basis X then the set*

$$\{[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n] \mid x_i \in X, n \geq 1, x_1 > x_2, x_3 > x_4, \dots\}$$

(with no restrictions on x_n if n is odd) is a linear basis of the algebra $U_{(+)}(A)$ if A is considered as an algebra with zero multiplication.

In other words, the universal pre-commutative envelope of a trivial algebra A is isomorphic as a linear space to

$$T(A \wedge A) \otimes (A \oplus A \wedge A),$$

where $T(A \wedge A)$ is the tensor algebra of the space $A \wedge A$.

The following example shows that structure of $U_{(+)}(A)$ essentially depends on the multiplication in A even if $A^n = 0$ for some $n \geq 3$.

Example 4.4. Suppose A is a commutative nilpotent algebra with a multiplication $*$, and let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a basis of A such that $x_i * x_j = x_{i+j}$ or zero, if $i + j > n$. Namely, $A \simeq \mathbb{k}[t]/(t^{n+1})$, $x_i = t^i + (t^{n+1})$. Then the defining relations of $U_{(+)}(A)$ are

- (1) $a(bc) = (ab)c + (ba)c$, $a, b, c \in X^{**}$;
- (2) $x_i x_j + x_j x_i = x_{i+j}$, $i + j \leq n$, $x_i, x_j \in X$;
- (3) $x_i x_j + x_j x_i = 0$, $i + j > n$, $x_i, x_j \in X$.

In order to get a GSB, we have to add the following nonassociative polynomials:

$$x_i x_j = \frac{j}{i+j} x_{i+j}, \quad i + j \leq n, \quad x_i, x_j \in X;$$

$$x_i x_j = 0, \quad i + j > n, \quad x_i, x_j \in X.$$

Indeed, since $x_i(x_1 x_1) = \frac{1}{2} x_i x_2$ and $x_i(x_1 x_1) = (x_i x_1 + x_1 x_i) x_1 = x_{i+1} x_1$, we have $x_i x_2 = 2x_{i+1} x_1$. By induction on $j \geq 2$, assume that $x_i x_j = j x_{i+j-1} x_1$ for all i , then

$$x_i x_{j+1} = x_i(x_j x_1 + x_1 x_j) = x_{i+j} x_1 + x_{i+1} x_j = x_{i+j} x_1 + j x_{i+j} x_1 = (j+1) x_{i+j} x_1.$$

Next,

$$x_{i+j} = x_i x_j + x_j x_i = j x_{i+j-1} x_1 + i x_{i+j-1} x_1 = (i+j) x_{i+j-1} x_1.$$

Therefore, $x_i x_j = j x_{i+j-1} x_1 = \frac{j}{i+j} x_{i+j}$ for all i, j such that $i + j \leq n$.

Finally, suppose $i + j > n$ and $j + 1 \leq n$, then

$$x_i(x_j x_1) = \frac{1}{j+1} x_i x_{j+1}, \quad x_i(x_j x_1) = (x_i x_j + x_j x_i) x_1 = 0$$

Hence, $x_i x_{j+1} = x_{j+1} x_i = 0$. Similarly, it can be obtained that $x_i x_n = x_n x_i = 0$ for $i + 1 < n$.

Therefore, in this particular case we have $U_{(+)}(A)^{(+)} \simeq A$ in contrast to the case when A has zero multiplication.

5. EMBEDDING OF NILPOTENT ALGEBRAS INTO ZINBIEL ALGEBRAS

The main purpose of this section is to prove that a nilpotent commutative algebra embeds into its universal enveloping Zinbiel algebra although there is no PBW-property.

Let us say that an algebra A has a positive filtration if there is a descending chain of subspaces

$$A = F^1 A \supset F^2 A \supset \dots \supset F^n A \supset F^{n+1} A \supset \dots$$

such that $F^i A \cdot F^j A \subseteq F^{i+j} A$ and $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} F^n A = 0$.

For example, if A is a nilpotent algebra then such a filtration exists: $F^i A = A^i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$

For every algebra with a positive filtration one may construct its associated graded algebra in the ordinary way:

$$\text{gr } A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} F^n A / F^{n+1} A, \quad (a + F^{i+1} A)(b + F^{j+1} A) = ab + F^{i+j+1} A,$$

for $a \in F^i A$, $b \in F^j A$. The linear space A is naturally isomorphic to the space $\text{gr } A$. If the isomorphism preserves multiplication then we say the filtered algebra A is graded.

Theorem 5.1. *For every commutative algebra A with a positive filtration there exists a Zinbiel algebra B such that A is a subalgebra of $B^{(+)}$.*

Proof. First, choose a basis X of the space A agreed with the filtration, i.e.,

$$X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots,$$

where $\bigcup_{i \geq k} X_i$ is a basis of $F^k A$ for $k \geq 1$. Denote by $*$ the multiplication in A . If $x \in X_k$ and $y \in X_m$ then $x * y$ belongs to the linear span of $X_{k+m} \cup X_{k+m+1} \cup \dots$, so there is a unique (finite) presentation

$$x * y = (x * y)_{k+m} + (x * y)_{k+m+1} + \dots,$$

where $(x * y)_i$ is in the linear span of X_i .

Next, consider the set

$$\hat{X} = \{x_i^{(k)} \mid x \in X_k, k \geq 1, i \geq k\}$$

and construct the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[\hat{X}]$. This algebra is graded: the degree function of a monomial is given by

$$\deg x_{i_1}^{(k_1)} x_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \dots x_{i_m}^{(k_m)} = i_1 + \dots + i_m. \quad (5.1)$$

Consider the set \hat{S} of the following elements in $\mathbb{k}[\hat{X}]$:

$$s_l(x, y) = \sum_{i+j=l} x_i^{(k)} y_j^{(m)} - \sum_{p=m+k}^l (x * y)_l^{(p)}$$

where $x \in X_k, y \in X_m, k, m \geq 1, l \geq k + m$.

Since all polynomials in \hat{S} are homogeneous relative to the degree function (5.1), the algebra $\hat{A} = \mathbb{k}[\hat{X}]/(\hat{S})$ inherits the grading:

$$\hat{A} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \hat{A}_n,$$

where \hat{A}_n is spanned by the images of all monomials u such that $\deg u = n$.

It was shown in [18] that, in noncommutative setting, the set \hat{S} is a Gröbner basis in $\mathbb{k}[\hat{X}]$ relative to a certain ordering of monomials. In the commutative case, the same statement remains valid. In particular, every linear form (a nontrivial linear combination of elements from X) is nonzero in \hat{A} .

Finally, consider the algebra of formal power series (without constant terms) $t\hat{A}[[t]]$ equipped with the following Rota–Baxter operator:

$$R : \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n t^n \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} f_n t^n, \quad f_n \in \hat{A}. \quad (5.2)$$

Define the linear mapping

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow t\hat{A}[[t]]$$

as follows: for $x \in X_k$, let

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{i \geq k} i x_i^{(k)} t^i.$$

This is an injective map since the set \hat{X} is linearly independent in \hat{A} .

The commutative algebra $t\hat{A}[[t]]$ equipped with the Rota–Baxter operator (5.2) is a Zinbiel algebra: $B = t\hat{A}[[t]]_R$. It is straightforward to check that φ is a homomorphism of algebras. Indeed, let $x \in X_k, y \in X_m$, then

$$R(\varphi(x))\varphi(y) = \sum_{i \geq k} x_i^{(k)} t^i \sum_{j \geq m} j y_j^{(m)} t^j = \sum_{l \geq k+m} \sum_{i+j=l} j x_i^{(k)} y_j^{(m)} t^l,$$

and, similarly,

$$\varphi(x)R(\varphi(y)) = \sum_{l \geq k+m} \sum_{i+j=l} i x_i^{(k)} y_j^{(m)} t^l,$$

so

$$R(\varphi(x))\varphi(y) + \varphi(x)R(\varphi(y)) = \sum_{l \geq k+m} \sum_{i+j=l} l x_i^{(k)} y_j^{(m)} t^l = \sum_{l \geq k+m} l \left(\sum_{p=k+m}^l (x * y)_l^{(p)} \right) t^l.$$

On the other hand,

$$\varphi(x * y) = \sum_{p \geq k+m} \varphi((x * y)^{(p)}) = \sum_{p \geq k+m} \sum_{l \geq p} l(x * y)_i^{(p)} t^l = \sum_{l \geq k+m} \sum_{p=k+m}^l l(x * y)_i^{(p)} t^l.$$

Hence, $R(\varphi(x))\varphi(y) + \varphi(x)R(\varphi(y)) = \varphi(x * y)$ as required. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Every commutative algebra with positive filtration embeds into its universal enveloping Zinbeil algebra.*

Proof. Suppose $i : A \rightarrow U_{(+)}(A)$ is the canonical homomorphism from A to its universal enveloping Zinbiel algebra. Then for every Zinbiel algebra B and for every homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B^{(+)}$ there exists a unique homomorphism $\psi : U_{(+)}(A) \rightarrow B$ of Zinbiel algebras such that $\psi(i(a)) = \varphi(a)$ for every $a \in A$. If i was not injective then so is φ , but at least one injective φ exists by Theorem 5.1. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose A is a finite-dimensional commutative algebra. Then algebra A is embedded into a Zinbiel algebra if and only if A is nilpotent.*

Proof. If A is nilpotent then use Theorem 5.1 applied to the standard positive filtration. Conversely, if A is not nilpotent then it contains a non-zero idempotent, e.g., lifted from the identity element of $A/\text{rad}(A)$. The presence of an idempotent prevents an embedding of A into a pre-commutative algebra. \square

Acknowledgments. The study was supported by a grant from the Russian Science Foundation No. 23-71-10005, <https://rscf.ru/project/23-71-10005/>. The authors are grateful to V. Yu. Gubarev for discussions and useful comments. The second author acknowledges the hospitality of UAEU where an essential part of the work was done.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Mikhalev, I. P. Shestakov, PBW-pairs of varieties of linear algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, 42(2), 667–687 (2014).
- [2] V. Dotsenko, P. Tamaroff, Endofunctors and Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorems, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, no. 16, 12670–12690 (2021).
- [3] J.-L. Loday, Dialgebras, In: Loday J.-L., Frabetti A., Chapoton F., Goichot F. (Eds), *Dialgebras and related operads*, Springer-Verl., Berlin, 7–66 (2001). (Lectures Notes in Math., vol. 1763).
- [4] C. Bai, O. Bellier, L. Guo, X. Ni, Splitting of operations, Manin products, and Rota-Baxter operators, *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, no. 3, 485–524 (2013).
- [5] V. Gubarev, P. Kolesnikov, Embedding of dendriform algebras into Rota–Baxter algebras, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 11(2), 226–245 (2013).
- [6] V. Gubarev, P. Kolesnikov, Operads of decorated trees and their duals, *Comm. Math. Universitatis Carolinae*, 55(4), 421–445 (2014).
- [7] L. A. Bokut, Y. Chen, Y. Li, Gröbner–Shirshov bases for Vinberg–Koszul–Gerstenhaber right symmetric algebras, *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)*, 166(5), 603–612 (2010).
- [8] P. Kolesnikov, Gröbner–Shirshov bases for pre-associative algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, 45(12), 5283–5296 (2017).
- [9] A. Dzhamalidaev, K. Tulenbaev, Nilpotency of Zinbiel algebras, *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, 11(2), 195–213 (2005).
- [10] D. Towers, Zinbiel algebras are nilpotent, *J. Algebra Appl.* 22(8), Paper No. 2350166 (2023).
- [11] F. Chapoton, Un endofoncteur de la catégorie des opérades, In: Loday J.-L., Frabetti A., Chapoton F., Goichot F. (Eds), *Dialgebras and related operads*, Springer-Verl., Berlin, 105–110 (2001). (Lectures Notes in Math., vol. 1763).
- [12] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.*, 10, 731–742 (1960).
- [13] A. G. Kurosh, Nonassociative free algebras and free products of algebras, *Mat. Sb., New. Ser.* 20(62), 239–262 (1947).

- [14] A. I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for Lie algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.*, 3 (1962) 292-296 (in Russian). English translation: *SIGSAM Bull.*, 33 (2)(1999), 3-6.
- [15] B. Buchberger, An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations, *Aequat. Math.*, 4, 374–383 (1970).
- [16] L. A. Bokut, Y. Chen, Gröbner–Shirshov bases and their calculation *Bull. Math. Sci.*, 4, 325–395 (2014).
- [17] L. A. Bokut, Imbeddings into simple associative algebras, *Algebra Logika*, 15, 117–142 (1976).
- [18] G. M. Bergman, D. J. Britten, F. W. Lemire, Embedding rings in completed graded rings 3. Algebras over general k , *Journal of Algebra*, 84(1), 42–61 (1983).

¹NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA.

²SIBERIAN STATE UNIVERSITY OF TELECOMMUNICATION AND INFORMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA.

³NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA.

⁴SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA.