

Generalized thermodynamic relations for perfect spin hydrodynamics

Wojciech Florkowski* and Mykhailo Hontarenko†

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Jagiellonian University, PL-30-348 Kraków, Poland

(Dated: May 20, 2024)

Generalized thermodynamic relations are introduced into the framework of a relativistic perfect spin hydrodynamics. They allow for consistent treatment of spin degrees of freedom, including the use of spin tensors whose structure follows from microscopic calculations. The obtained results are important for establishing consistency between different formulations of spin hydrodynamics and form the basis for introducing dissipative corrections.

Keywords: relativistic hydrodynamics, thermodynamic relations, spin dynamics

Introduction — Recent measurements of non-zero spin polarization of hyperons [1–3] and vector mesons [4] produced in relativistic heavy-ion collisions have triggered broad interest in the spin polarization phenomena in strongly interacting matter, for a recent review see Ref. [5]. On the theory side, there exist several approaches to incorporate spin degrees of freedom into the framework of relativistic hydrodynamics [6–29]. The latter has become the main theoretical tool used to describe the spacetime evolution of strongly interacting matter produced in heavy-ion collisions [30–32], hence, the inclusion of spin dynamics in the hydrodynamics formalism seems to be an inevitable necessity.

A certain difficulty in developing the formalism of spin hydrodynamics is the fact that there are different formulations of this approach using different assumptions. The differences appear already at the basic level of relativistic thermodynamic relations and definitions of the fundamental macroscopic quantities such as the spin tensor.

In this work we critically reexamine thermodynamic relations used in perfect spin hydrodynamics of particles with spin $1/2$ and propose to introduce their generalized forms that can be used for large values of the spin polarization tensor, $\omega_{\mu\nu}$, and with kinetic-theory motivated forms of the spin tensor $S^{\lambda\mu\nu}$. In this way, we remove a gap between the works that use kinetic-theory concepts as the starting point [33, 34] and the works that use phenomenological expressions for the spin tensor and construct dissipative corrections using the positivity of the entropy production as the main physical ansatz [10, 16].

Interestingly, the new tensor forms of the thermodynamic relations proposed in this work include terms whose mathematical structure is typical for dissipative corrections. In our case their presence is not related to the entropy production but results from a richer description of the system that requires introduction of the spin polarization tensor (reminding us of the structure of relativistic magnetohydrodynamics). An important consequence of the fact that such terms appear at the perfect-fluid level is that they should be taken into account in theoretical constructions aiming at the development of dissipative spin hydrodynamics.

Notation and conventions — For the Levi-Civita tensor $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ we follow the convention $\epsilon^{0123} = -\epsilon_{0123} = +1$. The metric tensor is of the form $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$. Throughout the text we make use of natural units, $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$. The scalar product of two four-vectors a and b reads $a \cdot b = a^0 b^0 - \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$, where the three-vectors are denoted by bold font.

Scalar and tensor forms of thermodynamic relations — The fundamental thermodynamic relations used in standard relativistic hydrodynamics consist of the identity

$$\varepsilon + P = T\sigma + \mu n \quad (1)$$

and the first law of thermodynamics

$$d\varepsilon = Td\sigma + \mu dn. \quad (2)$$

Here ε , P , T , σ , μ and n are the local energy density, pressure, temperature, entropy density, baryon chemical potential, and baryon number density, respectively. The identity (1) is a direct consequence of the extensivity of energy, entropy and baryon number (they are all proportional to the system's volume). Equations (1) and (2) imply the Gibbs-Duhem relation

$$dP = \sigma dT + nd\mu. \quad (3)$$

In order to take into account dissipation effects, one usually rewrites Eqs. (1)–(2) in a tensor (four-vector) form. This is achieved by multiplication of Eqs. (1)–(3) by the local four-velocity of the fluid u^μ , which leads to the following expressions

$$S^\mu = \sigma u^\mu = P\beta^\mu - \xi N^\mu + \beta_\lambda T^{\lambda\mu}, \quad (4)$$

$$dS^\mu = -\xi dN^\mu + \beta_\lambda dT^{\lambda\mu}, \quad (5)$$

$$d(P\beta^\mu) = N^\mu d\xi - T^{\lambda\mu} d\beta_\lambda. \quad (6)$$

Here we have introduced common notation: $\beta^\mu = u^\mu/T$, $\beta = \sqrt{\beta^\lambda \beta_\lambda} = 1/T$, and $\xi = \mu/T$. The tensors N^μ and $T^{\lambda\mu}$ describe the baryon current and energy-momentum tensor for a perfect fluid, namely, $N^\mu = nu^\mu$ and $T^{\lambda\mu} =$

$(\varepsilon + P)u^\lambda u^\mu - Pg^{\lambda\mu} = \varepsilon u^\lambda u^\mu - P\Delta^{\lambda\mu}$, where the tensor $\Delta^{\lambda\mu} = g^{\lambda\mu} - u^\lambda u^\mu$ projects on the space orthogonal to flow.

Many formulations of relativistic spin hydrodynamics as their starting points choose an extension of Eqs. (1)–(3) that includes the spin polarization tensor $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$, the tensor spin chemical potential $\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = T\omega_{\alpha\beta}$, and the spin density tensor $S^{\alpha\beta}$. They read

$$\varepsilon + P = T\sigma + \mu n + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}S^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (7)$$

$$d\varepsilon = Td\sigma + \mu dn + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}dS^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (8)$$

$$dP = \sigma dT + nd\mu + \frac{1}{2}S^{\alpha\beta}d\Omega_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (9)$$

We note that $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$, $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$, and $S_{\alpha\beta}$ are all rank-2 antisymmetric tensors. Below we will use the following parametrization of the spin polarization tensor [9]

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta} = k_\alpha u_\beta - k_\beta u_\alpha + t_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (10)$$

where $t_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}u^\gamma\omega^\delta$, and the four-vectors k and ω are orthogonal to the flow vector u , namely, $k \cdot u = 0$ and $\omega \cdot u = 0$. By multiplying Eqs. (7)–(9) by u^μ , we obtain

$$S^\mu = P\beta^\mu - \xi N^\mu + \beta_\lambda T^{\lambda\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\alpha\beta}S^{\mu,\alpha\beta}, \quad (11)$$

$$dS^\mu = -\xi dN^\mu + \beta_\lambda dT^{\lambda\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\alpha\beta}dS^{\mu,\alpha\beta}, \quad (12)$$

$$d(P\beta^\mu) = N^\mu d\xi - T^{\lambda\mu}d\beta_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}S^{\mu,\alpha\beta}d\omega_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (13)$$

Here, we have introduced the spin tensor $S^{\mu,\alpha\beta}$ defined by the expression

$$S^{\mu,\alpha\beta} = u^\mu S^{\alpha\beta} \quad (14)$$

which is an analog of the perfect-fluid forms of N^μ and $T^{\lambda\mu}$ given below Eq. (6).

A direct consequence of Eq. (12) is that it implies the entropy conservation for a system that conserves baryon number, energy, linear momentum and spin, namely, the conservation laws $\partial_\mu N^\mu = 0$, $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\lambda} = 0$, and $\partial_\mu S^{\mu,\alpha\beta} = 0$ imply $\partial_\mu S^\mu = 0$. We note that the spin conservation is a direct consequence of using a symmetric energy-momentum tensor in the considered formalism. In general, only the total angular momentum is conserved, $\partial_\mu J^{\mu,\alpha\beta} = 0$ with $J^{\mu,\alpha\beta} = x^\alpha T^{\mu\beta} - x^\beta T^{\mu\alpha} + S^{\mu,\alpha\beta}$, which implies $\partial_\mu S^{\mu,\alpha\beta} = T^{\beta\alpha} - T^{\alpha\beta}$. Thus, the divergence of the spin tensor is determined by an antisymmetric part of the energy-momentum tensor that vanishes in our case.

The use of the expression (14) can be traced back to the very first model of a spinning fluid by Weyssenhoff and Raabe [35]. It was also used in Ref. [9], where the first formulation of relativistic hydrodynamics for particles with spin 1/2 was proposed. The form (14) has

been subsequently used in many works that followed the methods of Israel and Stewart (positivity of the entropy current) to construct the framework of dissipative spin hydrodynamics [10, 16, 36–39].

Although Eq. (14) has been used in numerous works, its form disagrees with expressions for the spin tensor obtained from the microscopic calculations [40] (and used in the spin hydrodynamics formulations that directly refer to kinetic theory [33, 41]). The latter usually lead to a more complex structures. As an extension of Eq. (14) we may consider the form

$$S^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = u^\lambda [A(k^\mu u^\nu - k^\nu u^\mu) + A_1 t^{\mu\nu}] + \frac{A_3}{2} (t^{\lambda\mu} u^\nu - t^{\lambda\nu} u^\mu + \Delta^{\lambda\mu} k^\nu - \Delta^{\lambda\nu} k^\mu), \quad (15)$$

where A, A_1 and A_3 are some scalar functions. In the case $A_3 = 0$, we reproduce Eq. (14). Moreover, for $A = A_1$ (with $A_3 = 0$) we find that the spin density tensor is proportional to the spin polarization tensor, namely $S^{\mu\nu} = A\omega^{\mu\nu}$ ¹.

The above discussion indicates an important problem encountered in the formulations of spin hydrodynamics — a transition from Eqs. (7)–(9) to Eqs. (11)–(13) obtained by the multiplication of Eqs. (7)–(9) by the flow vector u^μ is inconsistent with the use of a microscopically derived spin tensor as the latter contains parts orthogonal to u^μ . Consequently, the formulations of spin hydrodynamics that start from Eqs. (7)–(9) and use the spin tensor of the form (14) seem to be inconsistent with the formulations based on the kinetic-theory arguments. In this work we argue that the solution to the above problem lies in revising the thermodynamic relations (7)–(9). Our reasoning is supported by an analysis of a kinetic model presented below.

Insights from kinetic theory — Let us turn now to the discussion of a simple kinetic model that treats spin classically. It has been shown that for small polarization tensor the results obtained with such a model are consistent with the results obtained from the calculations using a semiclassical expansion of the Wigner function [41].

In the classical treatment of spin [43, 44], one introduces the internal angular momentum tensor $s^{\alpha\beta}$ defined in terms of the particle's four-momentum p (with $p^\mu p_\mu = m^2$ being the particle mass squared) and spin four-vector s

$$s^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{m}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}p_\gamma s_\delta. \quad (16)$$

Equation (16) implies that $s^{\alpha\beta} = -s^{\beta\alpha}$ and $s^{\alpha\beta}p_\beta = 0$. The spin four-vector is orthogonal to four-momentum

¹ Recently, the spin equation of state of the form $S^{\mu\nu} = A\omega^{\mu\nu}$ has been analysed and excluded [42] as leading to unstable behavior of rest frame modes in the first-order [36, 37] and second-order [38, 39] dissipative spin hydrodynamics.

$s \cdot p = 0$, hence we can write $s^\alpha = 1/(2m) \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} p_\beta s_{\gamma\delta}$. In the particle's rest frame (PRF), where $p^\mu = (m, 0, 0, 0)$, the four-vector s^α has only space components, $s^\alpha = (0, \mathbf{s}_*)$, with the normalization $|\mathbf{s}_*| = \mathfrak{s}$. For particles with spin $1/2$ we use the value of the Casimir operator $\mathfrak{s}^2 = 1/2(1 + 1/2) = 3/4$.

The basic object used in the kinetic theory is the phase-space distribution function $f(x, \mathbf{p})$. For particles with spin, $f(x, \mathbf{p})$ is generalized to a spin dependent distribution $f(x, \mathbf{p}, s)$. In local equilibrium, the spin dependent distribution functions for particles (+) and antiparticles (−) have the form ²

$$f^\pm(x, p, s) = \exp(-p_\mu \beta^\mu \pm \xi + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} s^{\alpha\beta}). \quad (17)$$

where β^μ , ξ and $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ are functions of space and time coordinates x and play the same role as β^μ , ξ and $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ defined above. By integrating the equilibrium distribution functions over momentum and spin degrees of freedom, one obtains the macroscopic currents and tensors

$$N^\mu = \int dP dS p^\mu [f^+(x, p, s) - f^-(x, p, s)], \quad (18)$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \int dP dS p^\mu p^\nu [f^+(x, p, s) + f^-(x, p, s)], \quad (19)$$

$$S^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = \int dP dS p^\lambda s^{\mu\nu} [f^+(x, p, s) + f^-(x, p, s)]. \quad (20)$$

Here we have introduced the integration measures in momentum, $dP = d^3p/((2\pi)^3 E_p)$, and spin space [41]

$$dS = \frac{m}{\pi \mathfrak{s}} d^4s \delta(s \cdot s + \mathfrak{s}^2) \delta(p \cdot s), \quad (21)$$

with the normalization $\int dS = 2$ that reflects two possible orientations of the spin $1/2$.

In addition to N^μ , $T^{\mu\nu}$, and $S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}$, we introduce the entropy current using the standard Boltzmann definition [45]

$$S^\mu = - \int dP dS p^\mu [f^+ (\ln f^+ - 1) + f^- (\ln f^- - 1)], \quad (22)$$

which directly leads to the formula [46]

$$S^\mu = T^{\mu\alpha} \beta_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} S^{\mu,\alpha\beta} - \xi N^\mu + \mathcal{N}^\mu, \quad (23)$$

where we have defined the particle four-current

$$\mathcal{N}^\mu = \coth \xi N^\mu. \quad (24)$$

² Herein, we restrict our considerations to the classical Boltzmann statistics.

Generalized thermodynamics — We reach now the key moment of our discussion. It is important to realize that Eq. (23) has exactly the same structure as Eq. (11) except that the term $P\beta^\mu$ in Eq. (11) is replaced by \mathcal{N}^μ . Obviously, these two tensors agree in the spinless case. Moreover, they also agree if only linear corrections in the spin polarization tensor are included, as the spin effects in both N^μ and \mathcal{N}^μ start with the quadratic terms in k and ω . However, in general we have $P\beta^\mu \neq \mathcal{N}^\mu$. Thus, Eq. (23) represents a generalization of the standard thermodynamic relation (11) to the case including the spin degrees of freedom.

Starting from the definition (24), one can derive two additional relations

$$dS^\mu = -\xi dN^\mu + \beta_\lambda dT^{\lambda\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} dS^{\mu,\alpha\beta}, \quad (25)$$

$$d\mathcal{N}^\mu = N^\mu d\xi - T^{\lambda\mu} d\beta_\lambda + \frac{1}{2} S^{\mu,\alpha\beta} d\omega_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (26)$$

Equation (25) has the same form as Eq. (12), however, with the spin tensor (14) replaced by the formula (15). Equation (26) agrees with Eq. (13) only if we can again set $P\beta^\mu = \mathcal{N}^\mu$. Equation (25) also shows that the entropy conservation is a direct consequence of three other conservation laws: for baryon number, energy, linear momentum, and spin.

A set of Eqs. (23), (25), and (26) represents our first important result. For spin polarized media, it should replace the set of Eqs. (11)–(13). Strictly speaking, Eq. (23) was derived for the first time in Ref. [46], however, in the subsequent papers only the terms linear in $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ were included that resulted in neglecting all the products (contractions) of the tensors $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ and $S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}$. One should emphasize that Eq. (23) holds for any values of the spin polarization tensor. Equation (25) was used earlier in the works that derived the form of the dissipative corrections in spin hydrodynamics. However, in this series of investigations, to maintain the products of $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ and $S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}$ in the formalism, it was assumed that $S^{\lambda,\alpha\beta}$ was of the form (14) with $S^{\alpha\beta}$ being of the zeroth order in $\omega^{\alpha\beta}$. These assumptions contradict the microscopic results which suggest the form (15) with $A_3 \neq 0$. We conclude this part of our discussion with the statement that Eqs. (23), (25), and (26) should be used with at least second order corrections in ω to include the spin degrees of freedom in a non-trivial and consistent way ³.

Generalized scalar thermodynamic relations — The arguments presented above indicate that the generalized

³ With only linear terms in $\omega^{\mu\nu}$ included, we also obtain a consistent description, however, with a rather trivial treatment of thermodynamic relations which reduce to a spinless case. Then, the spin dynamics is determined by the hydrodynamic background defined by standard hydrodynamic relations.

tensor thermodynamic relations include currents and tensors that contain parts orthogonal to the flow vector u^μ . Such terms typically appear in dissipative hydrodynamics, however, in the spin hydrodynamics that may appear at the perfect-fluid (entropy conserving) level.

It becomes clear now, that such orthogonal corrections cannot appear if one starts from Eqs. (7)–(9) and multiplies them by u^μ . Hence, a natural question arises, if there exists an analog of such scalar thermodynamic relations that is valid in the case of arbitrary $\omega^{\mu\nu}$ and for microscopically motivated spin tensor of the form (15).

In this case the baryon current N^μ has the structure ⁴

$$N^\mu = \bar{n}u^\mu + n_t t^\mu, \quad (27)$$

where

$$t^\mu = t^{\mu\nu} k_\nu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\nu u_\alpha \omega_\beta. \quad (28)$$

The four-vector t is orthogonal to the vectors u, k and ω . In the local rest frame (LRF), where $u^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, one finds that $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{k} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}$. The current \mathcal{N}^μ can be obtained from Eq. (24) that always holds for the Boltzmann statistics. In analogy to Eq. (27) we find

$$S^\mu = \bar{\sigma}u^\mu + \sigma_t t^\mu. \quad (29)$$

The scalar functions \bar{n} and $\bar{\sigma}$ depend on ξ, T, k^2 and ω^2 . In the spinless case, they reduce to standard densities depending only on T and μ . The ‘‘transverse’’ components (those with the subscript t) do not appear in the spinless case as they are multiplied by t^μ that vanishes in the limit $k, \omega \rightarrow 0$.

The energy-momentum decomposition reads

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \bar{\varepsilon}u^\mu u^\nu - \bar{P}\Delta^{\mu\nu} + P_k k^\mu k^\nu + P_\omega \omega^\mu \omega^\nu + P_t (t^\mu u^\nu + t^\nu u^\mu), \quad (30)$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon}$ and \bar{P} depend also on ξ, T, k^2 and ω^2 . Finally, the form of the spin tensor is given by (15), which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\alpha\beta}S^{\mu,\alpha\beta} &= u^\mu(Ak^2 - A_1\omega^2) + A_3t^\mu \\ &\equiv \bar{s}u^\mu + s_t t^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The use of the above decompositions in (23) leads (after comparing the terms multiplying u^μ and t^μ) to two equations

$$\bar{\varepsilon} + \coth\xi \bar{n}T = T\bar{\sigma} + \mu\bar{n} + \bar{s}T, \quad (32)$$

$$P_t + \coth\xi n_t T = T\sigma_t + \mu n_t + s_t T. \quad (33)$$

In the spinless case, all terms in Eq. (33) vanish, while Eq. (32) reduces to Eq. (1) – the term $\coth\xi \bar{n}T$ becomes equal to the equilibrium pressure of spinless particles.

In this way we arrive at our second main point. Our analysis shows that Eq. (7) is not an appropriate starting point for introducing thermodynamics of spin polarized media. We need at least two scalar equations, Eqs. (32) and (33), to introduce mutual relations between functions describing densities of various physical quantities.

Summary and conclusions — In this work we have introduced generalized thermodynamic relations into the framework of a relativistic perfect spin hydrodynamics. They allow for a consistent treatment of spin degrees of freedom, including the use of spin tensors whose structure follows from microscopic calculations. The obtained results are important for establishing consistency between different formulations of spin hydrodynamics. They also form the correct starting point for introducing dissipative corrections. In the future investigations, the explicit results obtained herein may serve as a reference point for comparisons among other approaches aiming at the construction of the entropy current in spin hydrodynamics, in particular, those that directly refer to the underlying quantum field theory [47].

Acknowledgements — This work was supported in part by the Polish National Science Centre Grant No. 2022/47/B/ST2/01372.

* wojciech.florkowski@uj.edu.pl

† mykhailo.hontarenko@student.uj.edu.pl

- [1] L. Adamczyk *et al.* (STAR), Global Λ hyperon polarization in nuclear collisions: evidence for the most vortical fluid, *Nature* **548**, 62 (2017), arXiv:1701.06657 [nucl-ex].
- [2] J. Adam *et al.* (STAR), Global polarization of Λ hyperons in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, *Phys. Rev. C* **98**, 014910 (2018), arXiv:1805.04400 [nucl-ex].
- [3] J. Adam *et al.* (STAR), Polarization of Λ ($\bar{\Lambda}$) hyperons along the beam direction in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 132301 (2019), arXiv:1905.11917 [nucl-ex].
- [4] S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE), Evidence of Spin-Orbital Angular Momentum Interactions in Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 012301 (2020), arXiv:1910.14408 [nucl-ex].
- [5] T. Niida and S. A. Voloshin, Polarization phenomenon in heavy-ion collisions, (2024), arXiv:2404.11042 [nucl-ex].
- [6] F. Becattini and L. Tinti, The Ideal relativistic rotating gas as a perfect fluid with spin, *Annals Phys.* **325**, 1566 (2010), arXiv:0911.0864 [gr-qc].
- [7] F. Becattini, Hydrodynamics of fluids with spin, *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **8**, 801 (2011).
- [8] D. Montenegro, L. Tinti, and G. Torrieri, Ideal relativistic fluid limit for a medium with polarization, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 056012 (2017), [Ad-

⁴ Explicit calculations supporting the discussed decompositions are given in the supplemental materials where the results of the calculations including second-order corrections in $\omega^{\mu\nu}$ are given.

- dendum: *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 079901 (2017), [arXiv:1701.08263 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] W. Florkowski, B. Friman, A. Jaiswal, and E. Speranza, Relativistic fluid dynamics with spin, *Phys. Rev.* **C97**, 041901 (2018), [arXiv:1705.00587 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [10] K. Hattori, M. Hongo, X.-G. Huang, M. Matsuo, and H. Taya, Fate of spin polarization in a relativistic fluid: An entropy-current analysis, *Phys. Lett. B* **795**, 100 (2019), [arXiv:1901.06615 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] N. Weickgenannt, X.-L. Sheng, E. Speranza, Q. Wang, and D. H. Rischke, Kinetic theory for massive spin-1/2 particles from the Wigner-function formalism, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 056018 (2019), [arXiv:1902.06513 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [12] S. Bhadury, W. Florkowski, A. Jaiswal, A. Kumar, and R. Ryblewski, Relativistic dissipative spin dynamics in the relaxation time approximation, *Phys. Lett. B* **814**, 136096 (2021), [arXiv:2002.03937 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [13] S. Li, M. A. Stephanov, and H.-U. Yee, Nondissipative Second-Order Transport, Spin, and Pseudogauge Transformations in Hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 082302 (2021), [arXiv:2011.12318 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [14] N. Weickgenannt, E. Speranza, X.-l. Sheng, Q. Wang, and D. H. Rischke, Generating Spin Polarization from Vorticity through Nonlocal Collisions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 052301 (2021), [arXiv:2005.01506 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [15] S. Bhadury, W. Florkowski, A. Jaiswal, A. Kumar, and R. Ryblewski, Dissipative Spin Dynamics in Relativistic Matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 014030 (2021), [arXiv:2008.10976 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [16] K. Fukushima and S. Pu, Spin hydrodynamics and symmetric energy-momentum tensors – A current induced by the spin vorticity $\vec{\omega}$, *Phys. Lett. B* **817**, 136346 (2021), [arXiv:2010.01608 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] S. Shi, C. Gale, and S. Jeon, From chiral kinetic theory to relativistic viscous spin hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. C* **103**, 044906 (2021), [arXiv:2008.08618 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [18] D. Montenegro and G. Torrieri, Linear response theory and effective action of relativistic hydrodynamics with spin, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 036007 (2020), [arXiv:2004.10195 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] N. Weickgenannt, E. Speranza, X.-l. Sheng, Q. Wang, and D. H. Rischke, Derivation of the nonlocal collision term in the relativistic Boltzmann equation for massive spin-1/2 particles from quantum field theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 016022 (2021), [arXiv:2103.04896 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [20] A. D. Gallegos, U. Gürsoy, and A. Yarom, Hydrodynamics of spin currents, *SciPost Phys.* **11**, 041 (2021), [arXiv:2101.04759 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] M. Hongo, X.-G. Huang, M. Kaminski, M. Stephanov, and H.-U. Yee, Relativistic spin hydrodynamics with torsion and linear response theory for spin relaxation, *JHEP* **11**, 150, [arXiv:2107.14231 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [22] R. Singh, M. Shokri, and S. M. A. T. Mehr, Relativistic hydrodynamics with spin in the presence of electromagnetic fields, *Nucl. Phys. A* **1035**, 122656 (2023), [arXiv:2202.11504 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [23] J. Hu, Relativistic first-order spin hydrodynamics via the Chapman-Enskog expansion, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 076009 (2022), [arXiv:2111.03571 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [24] D. She, A. Huang, D. Hou, and J. Liao, Relativistic viscous hydrodynamics with angular momentum, *Sci. Bull.* **67**, 2265 (2022), [arXiv:2105.04060 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [25] N. Weickgenannt, D. Wagner, E. Speranza, and D. H. Rischke, Relativistic second-order dissipative spin hydrodynamics from the method of moments, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 096014 (2022), [arXiv:2203.04766 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [26] D. Wagner, N. Weickgenannt, and D. H. Rischke, Lorentz-covariant nonlocal collision term for spin-1/2 particles, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 116021 (2022), [arXiv:2210.06187 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [27] A. Kumar, D.-L. Yang, and P. Gubler, Spin alignment of vector mesons by second-order hydrodynamic gradients, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 054038 (2024), [arXiv:2312.16900 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [28] N. Weickgenannt and J.-P. Blaizot, Chiral hydrodynamics of expanding systems, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 056012 (2024), [arXiv:2311.15817 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [29] D. Wagner, M. Shokri, and D. H. Rischke, On the damping of spin waves, (2024), [arXiv:2405.00533 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [30] W. Florkowski, *Phenomenology of Ultra-Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions* (2010).
- [31] C. Gale, S. Jeon, and B. Schenke, Hydrodynamic Modeling of Heavy-Ion Collisions, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28**, 1340011 (2013), [arXiv:1301.5893 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [32] A. Jaiswal and V. Roy, Relativistic hydrodynamics in heavy-ion collisions: general aspects and recent developments, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2016**, 9623034 (2016), [arXiv:1605.08694 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [33] W. Florkowski, B. Friman, A. Jaiswal, R. Ryblewski, and E. Speranza, Spin-dependent distribution functions for relativistic hydrodynamics of spin-1/2 particles, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 116017 (2018), [arXiv:1712.07676 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [34] W. Florkowski, A. Kumar, and R. Ryblewski, Thermodynamic versus kinetic approach to polarization-vorticity coupling, (2018), [arXiv:1806.02616 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [35] J. Weyssenhoff and A. Raabe, Relativistic dynamics of spin-fluids and spin-particles, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **9**, 7 (1947).
- [36] G. Sarwar, M. Hasanujjaman, J. R. Bhatt, H. Mishra, and J.-e. Alam, Causality and stability of relativistic spin hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 054031 (2023), [arXiv:2209.08652 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [37] A. Daher, A. Das, and R. Ryblewski, Stability studies of first-order spin-hydrodynamic frameworks, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 054043 (2023), [arXiv:2209.10460 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [38] X.-Q. Xie, D.-L. Wang, C. Yang, and S. Pu, Causality and stability analysis for the minimal causal spin hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 094031 (2023), [arXiv:2306.13880 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [39] A. Daher, W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski, and F. Taghinavaz, Stability of low-momentum rest frame modes in first- and second-order spin hydrodynamics, (2024), [arXiv:2403.04711 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [40] S. R. De Groot, *Relativistic Kinetic Theory. Principles*

- and Applications*, edited by W. A. Van Leeuwen and C. G. Van Weert (1980).
- [41] W. Florkowski, A. Kumar, and R. Ryblewski, Relativistic hydrodynamics for spin-polarized fluids, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **108**, 103709 (2019), [arXiv:1811.04409 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [42] A. Daher, W. Florkowski, and R. Ryblewski, Stability constraint for spin equation of state, (2024), [arXiv:2401.07608 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [43] M. Mathisson, Neue mechanik materieller systemes, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **6**, 163 (1937).
- [44] M. Mathisson, Republication of: New mechanics of material systems, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **42**, 1011 (2010).
- [45] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics, Part 1*, Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 5 (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1980).
- [46] W. Florkowski, A. Kumar, R. Ryblewski, and R. Singh, Spin polarization evolution in a boost invariant hydrodynamical background, *Phys. Rev. C* **99**, 044910 (2019), [arXiv:1901.09655 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [47] F. Becattini, A. Daher, and X.-L. Sheng, Entropy current and entropy production in relativistic spin hydrodynamics, *Phys. Lett. B* **850**, 138533 (2024), [arXiv:2309.05789 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [48] C. Cercignani and G. M. Kremer, *The Relativistic Boltzmann Equation: Theory and Applications*, Progress in Mathematical Physics (Birkhäuser Basel, 2002).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

Tensor Decomposition of the Equilibrium Currents

1. Baryon current

To derive Eq. (27) and find explicit forms of the coefficients \bar{n} and n_t , we start with Eq. (18) and use the expression (17) for the spin dependent equilibrium distribution functions. Expanding the exponential function up to the second order terms in the spin polarization tensor $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
N^\mu &= 2 \sinh \xi \int dP p^\mu e^{-p \cdot \beta} \int dS \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} s^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{8} (\omega_{\alpha\beta} s^{\alpha\beta}) (\omega_{\sigma\gamma} s^{\sigma\gamma}) + \dots \right] \\
&= 2 \sinh \xi \int dP p^\mu e^{-p \cdot \beta} \left[2 + \frac{1}{8} \int dS (\omega : s) (\omega : s) \right] \\
&= 4 \sinh \xi \int dP p^\mu e^{-p \cdot \beta} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\mathfrak{s}^2}{12} \omega^{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \right) + \frac{\mathfrak{s}^2}{6m^2} p^\alpha p^\beta \omega^\gamma{}_\alpha \omega_{\beta\gamma} \right] \\
&= 4 \sinh \xi \left(1 + \frac{\mathfrak{s}^2}{12} \omega : \omega \right) \underbrace{\int dP p^\mu e^{-\beta \cdot p}}_{Z^\mu} + \frac{2\mathfrak{s}^2 \sinh \xi}{3m^2} \underbrace{\int dP p^\mu p^\alpha p^\beta e^{-\beta \cdot p} \omega^\gamma{}_\alpha \omega_{\beta\gamma}}_{Z^{\mu\alpha\beta}}. \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the notation $\omega : s = \omega_{\alpha\beta} s^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\omega : \omega = \omega^{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta} = 2(k^2 - \omega^2)$. The integral over the spin degrees of freedom is done according to the rules given in Ref. [41]. We have underlined the integrals that define the tensors Z^μ and $Z^{\mu\alpha\beta}$, whose explicit forms are taken from Ref. [48] and divided by $(2\pi)^3$, since our integration measure dP includes this extra factor in the denominator. The intermediate steps of the calculations include the contractions

$$u^\alpha u^\beta u^\nu \omega^\gamma{}_\alpha \omega_{\beta\gamma} = -k^2 u^\nu \tag{35}$$

and

$$(g^{\mu\alpha} u^\beta + g^{\mu\beta} u^\alpha + g^{\beta\alpha} u^\mu) \omega^\gamma{}_\alpha \omega_{\beta\gamma} = 2\omega^\mu{}_\gamma k^\gamma - 2k^2 u^\mu + 2\omega^2 u^\mu = (2\omega^2 - 4k^2) u^\mu + 2t^\mu, \tag{36}$$

which leads to the decomposition

$$N^\mu = (n_0 + n_2^k + n_2^\omega) u^\mu + n_t t^\mu. \tag{37}$$

Here the coefficients n_0, n_2^k, n_2^ω , and n_t have form:

$$n_0 = \frac{2 \sinh \xi}{\pi^2} z^2 T^3 K_2(z), \quad n_2^k = -\frac{2 \mathfrak{s}^2 \sinh \xi}{3\pi^2} z T^3 K_3(z) k^2, \quad n_2^\omega = -\frac{\mathfrak{s}^2 \sinh \xi}{3\pi^2} z T^3 [z K_2(z) + 2K_3(z)] \omega^2, \tag{38}$$

$$n_t = -\frac{2 \mathfrak{s}^2 \sinh \xi}{3\pi^2} z T^3 K_3(z), \tag{39}$$

where $z = m/T$ and $K_n(z)$'s are the modified Bessel functions of the second type. The coefficients n_0 describes the baryon density of a relativistic spinless gas.

2. Particle current \mathcal{N}^μ

The baryon current is the difference of the particle and antiparticle currents, $N^\mu = N_+^\mu - N_-^\mu$. The particle current \mathcal{N}^μ is the sum $\mathcal{N}^\mu = N_+^\mu + N_-^\mu$. Since we consider Boltzmann statistics in this work, N^μ and \mathcal{N}^μ are related as in Eq. (24). We note that throughout this work we consider $\mu \neq 0$ ($\xi \neq 0$).

3. Energy-momentum tensor

When considering the energy-momentum tensor, we start with the definition (19) and use expressions for the tensors $Z^{\mu\nu}$ and $Z^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ given in Ref. [48]

$$T^{\mu\nu} = 4 \cosh \xi \left(1 + \frac{\mathfrak{s}^2}{12} \omega : \omega \right) \underbrace{\int dP p^\mu p^\nu e^{-\beta \cdot p}}_{Z^{\mu\nu}} + \frac{2 \mathfrak{s}^2 \cosh \xi}{3m^2} \omega^\gamma \omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \underbrace{\int dP p^\mu p^\nu p^\alpha p^\beta e^{-\beta \cdot p}}_{Z^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}}. \quad (40)$$

The main part of algebra involves the contraction $Z^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \omega^\gamma \omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, which contains three terms:

$$\begin{aligned} & (g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} + g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} + g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\mu\beta}) \omega^\gamma \omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -g^{\mu\nu} (\omega : \omega) + 2\omega_\gamma^{(\mu} \omega^{\nu)\gamma} \\ & = (4\omega^2 - 2k^2) g^{\mu\nu} - (2k^2 + 2\omega^2) u^\mu u^\nu - 2(k^\mu k^\nu + \omega^\mu \omega^\nu) + 4u^{(\mu} t^{\nu)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (g^{\mu\nu} u^\alpha u^\beta + g^{\mu\alpha} u^\nu u^\beta + g^{\alpha\nu} u^\mu u^\beta + g^{\mu\beta} u^\alpha u^\nu + g^{\beta\alpha} u^\nu u^\mu + g^{\beta\nu} u^\mu u^\alpha) \omega^\gamma \omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\ & = -k^2 g^{\mu\nu} + (2\omega^2 - 6k^2) u^\mu u^\nu + 4u^{(\mu} t^{\nu)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u^\mu u^\nu u^\alpha u^\beta \omega^\gamma \omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -k^2 u^\mu u^\nu. \quad (41)$$

Here the small round brackets denote symmetrization of the indices. In this way we arrive at Eq. (30),

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_2^k + \varepsilon_2^\omega) u^\mu u^\nu - (P_0 + P_2^k + P_2^\omega) \Delta^{\mu\nu} + P_k k^\mu k^\nu + P_\omega \omega^\mu \omega^\nu + P_t (t^\mu u^\nu + t^\nu u^\mu), \quad (42)$$

where:

$$\varepsilon_0 = \frac{2 \cosh \xi}{\pi^2} z^2 T^4 [z K_3(z) - K_2(z)], \quad (43)$$

$$\varepsilon_2^k = -\frac{2 \mathfrak{s}^2 \cosh(\xi)}{3\pi^2} z T^4 [z K_2(z) + 5K_3(z)] k^2, \quad \varepsilon_2^\omega = -\frac{\mathfrak{s}^2 \cosh(\xi)}{3\pi^2} z T^4 [z K_2(z) + (z^2 + 10)K_3(z)] \omega^2, \quad (44)$$

$$P_0 = \frac{2 \cosh \xi}{\pi^2} z^2 T^4 K_2(z), \quad (45)$$

$$P_2^k = -\frac{4 \mathfrak{s}^2 \cosh \xi}{3\pi^2} z T^4 K_3(z) k^2, \quad P_2^\omega = -\frac{\mathfrak{s}^2 \cosh \xi}{3\pi^2} z T^4 [z K_2(z) + 4K_3(z)] \omega^2, \quad (46)$$

$$P_t = \frac{2 \mathfrak{s}^2 \cosh \xi}{3\pi^2} z T^4 [K_3(z) - z K_4(z)], \quad P_k = P_\omega = -\frac{2 \mathfrak{s}^2 \cosh \xi}{3\pi^2} z T^4 K_3(z). \quad (47)$$

Obviously, the quantities ε_0 and P_0 correspond to the energy density and pressure of spinless particles, respectively. We also have $P_0 = \coth \xi n_0 T$, which is the relativistic version of the Clapeyron equation.

4. Spin tensor

Finally, we consider the spin tensor $S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}$ and again express it in terms of the tensors Z :

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{\lambda,\mu\nu} &= 2 \cosh \xi \int dP p^\lambda e^{-p \cdot \beta} \int dS s^{\mu\nu} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} s^{\alpha\beta} \right] \\
&= 2 \cosh \xi \int dP p^\lambda e^{-p \cdot \beta} \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \int dS s^{\mu\nu} s^{\alpha\beta} \\
&= \frac{4\mathfrak{g}^2}{3m^2} \cosh \xi \left[\underbrace{z^2 T^2 \omega^{\mu\nu}}_{Z^\lambda} \int dP p^\lambda e^{-\beta \cdot p} + \omega^\nu{}_\alpha \underbrace{\int dP p^\lambda p^\alpha p^\mu e^{-\beta \cdot p}}_{Z^{\lambda\alpha\mu}} - \omega^\mu{}_\alpha \underbrace{\int dP p^\lambda p^\alpha p^\nu e^{-\beta \cdot p}}_{Z^{\lambda\alpha\nu}} \right]. \quad (48)
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain the final form, we need the explicit expression for $Z^{\lambda\alpha\mu} \omega^\nu{}_\alpha - Z^{\lambda\alpha\nu} \omega^\mu{}_\alpha$. In this case, it is useful to introduce the tensor

$$t^{\lambda\mu\nu} = \omega^{\nu\lambda} u^\mu - \omega^{\mu\lambda} u^\nu + g^{\lambda\mu} k^\nu - g^{\lambda\nu} k^\mu. \quad (49)$$

Then, the spin tensor $S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}$ can be written as

$$S^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = A_1 u^\lambda \omega^{\mu\nu} + A_2 u^\lambda u^{[\mu} k^{\nu]} + \frac{1}{2} A_3 t^{\lambda\mu\nu} \quad (50)$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{2\mathfrak{g}^2 \cosh \xi}{3\pi^2} z T^3 [z K_2(z) + 2K_3(z)], \quad A_2 = \frac{4\mathfrak{g}^2 \cosh \xi}{3\pi^2} z^2 T^3 K_4(z), \quad A_3 = -\frac{4\mathfrak{g}^2 \cosh \xi}{3\pi^2} z T^3 K_3(z). \quad (51)$$

Which is consistent with the decomposition used in Ref. [46]. Moreover, with $A = A_1 - A_2/2 - A_3$ we reproduce Eq. (15).

5. Entropy current

Starting from the formula

$$S^\mu = T^{\mu\alpha} \beta_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} S^{\mu,\alpha\beta} - \xi N^\mu + \mathcal{N}^\mu \quad (52)$$

and using the orthogonality conditions $t \cdot u = k \cdot u = \omega \cdot u = 0$ as well as the contractions

$$\frac{1}{T} T^{\mu\alpha} u_\alpha = \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}}{T} u^\mu + \frac{P_t}{T} t^\mu \quad (53)$$

and

$$-\xi N^\mu + \mathcal{N}^\mu = (\coth(\xi) - \xi) N^\mu = (\coth(\xi) - \xi) \bar{n} u^\mu + (\coth(\xi) - \xi) n_t t^\mu \quad (54)$$

we find

$$\frac{1}{2} S^{\mu,\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{s} u^\mu + s_t t^\mu. \quad (55)$$

The forms of \bar{s} and \bar{s}_t are given in (31). Then, we have the next form of entropy current

$$S^\mu = \bar{\sigma} u^\mu + \sigma_t t^\mu. \quad (56)$$

Where coefficients hold:

$$\bar{\sigma} = \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}}{T} + (\coth \xi - \xi) \bar{n} - \bar{s}, \quad \sigma_t = \frac{P_t}{T} + (\coth \xi - \xi) n_t - s_t, \quad (57)$$

which agrees with Eqs. (32) and (33).