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#### Abstract

In this paper, we extend the Chen and Moore determinants of quaternion Hermitian matrices to dual quaternion Hermitian matrices. We show the Chen determinant of dual quaternion Hermitian matrices is invariant under addition, switching, multiplication, and unitary operations at the both hand sides. We then show the Chen and Moore determinants of dual quaternion Hermitian matrices are equal to each other, and they are also equal to the products of eigenvalues. The characteristic polynomial of a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix is also studied.
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## 1 Introduction

Quaternions are extensions of complex numbers, introduced by the Irish mathematician Hamilton in 1843. A quaternion has three imaginary parts and the quaternion multiplication is noncommutative. Consequently, the determinant

[^0]of quaternion matrices is much more difficult than their real and complex counterparts since the quaternion numbers. Over the years, many determinants of quaternion matrices with different multiplication orders are proposed, such as the Cayley determinant, the Study determinant, the Moore determinant [14], the determinant and double determinant by Chen [3, 4], the column and row determinants by Kyrchei [7, 8], etc. See [1, 6, 10, 13] for more details.

In 1922, Eliakim Hastings Moore defined the determinant of quaternion Hermitian matrices [14], which is widely known as the Moore determinant. The Moore determinant retains some basic properties of determinants such as $\operatorname{Mdet}(A)=0$ if and only if $A$ is singular. For more results on the Moore determinant, please refer to [1, 8]. However, Moore determinant is not appliable for arbitrary non-Hermitian quaternion matrices. In 1991, Chen proposed the determinant and double determinant [3, 4]. In 2008 and 2012, Kyrchei proposed the column and row determinants $[7,8]$.

It was the British mathematician Clifford who introduced dual numbers and dual quaternions in 1873. A dual quaternion has two quaternions, which are the standard and dual parts of this dual quaternion, respectively. Recently, eigenvalues of dual quaternion Hermitian matrices were applied to dual unit gain graphs [5] and multi-agent formation control [15]. The latter problem is an important application area in robotics and control [17, 20]. To study more about the eigenvalues of dual quaternion Hermitian matrices, we need to determine the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix. One possible way to achieve this is to apply the Moore determinant to dual quaternion Hermitian matrices. In this paper, we study the Moore determinant of dual quaternion Hermitian matrices.

In the next section, we review some preliminary knowledge on dual quaternion numbers, the Moore determinant and its extension, the Chen determinant. In Section 3, we show that the Chen determinant of a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix is invariant under the addition, switching, multiplication, and unitary operations at the both hand sides, which is also equal to the product of eigenvalues of that matrix. Then in Section 4, we show that the Moore determinant and
the Chen determinant are equal for a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix. Thus, the Moore determinant of a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix is also equal to the product of eigenvalues of that matrix. Finally, we study the characteristic polynomial of a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix in Section 5.

## 2 Preliminary

### 2.1 Quaternions and dual quaternions

A quaternion number $q \in \mathbb{H}$ can be written as $q=q_{0}+q_{1} \mathbf{i}+q_{2} \mathbf{j}+q_{3} \mathbf{k}$. Here, $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ are imaginary units that satisfy $\mathbf{i}^{2}=\mathbf{j}^{2}=\mathbf{k}^{2}=\mathbf{i j k}=-1$ and $\mathbf{i j}=-\mathbf{j} \mathbf{i}=$ $\mathbf{k}$. Thus, the multiplication of quaternion numbers is not commutative. The conjugate of $q$ is $q^{*}=q_{0}-q_{1} \mathbf{i}-q_{2} \mathbf{j}-q_{3} \mathbf{k}$. We have $|q|=\sqrt{q_{0}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}+q_{3}^{2}}$.

A dual quaternion number $q \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}$ can be written as $q=q_{s}+q_{d} \epsilon$, where $q_{s}, q_{d} \in \mathbb{H}, q_{s}$ is the standard part of $q, q_{d}$ is the dual part of $q, \epsilon$ is the infinitesimal unit, $\epsilon \neq 0, \epsilon^{2}=0, \epsilon$ is commutative with quaternion numbers. If $q_{s} \neq 0$, then $q$ is called appreciable. The conjugate of $q$ is $q^{*}=q_{s}^{*}+q_{d}^{*} \epsilon$. We have

$$
|p|:=\left\{\begin{align*}
\left|p_{s}\right|+\frac{\left(p_{s}^{*} p_{d}+p_{d}^{*} p_{s}\right)}{2\left|p_{s}\right|} \epsilon, & \text { if } p_{s} \neq 0  \tag{1}\\
\left|p_{d}\right| \epsilon, & \text { otherwise. }
\end{align*}\right.
$$

If both $q_{s}$ and $q_{d}$ are real numbers, then $q=q_{s}+q_{d} \epsilon$ is called a dual number.
Proposition 2.1. Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2} \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}$ be two dual quaternion numbers. Then
(i) $\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right) \leq\left|q_{1}\right|$ and the equality holds if and only if $q_{1}$ is a nonnegative dual number.
(i) $\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}^{*}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1} q_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2} q_{1}\right)$.
(ii) $q_{1}+q_{1}^{*} \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}$.
(iii) $\left|q_{1} q_{2}\right|=\left|q_{1}\right|\left|q_{2}\right|$.
(iv) $\left|q_{1}+q_{2}\right| \leq\left|q_{1}\right|+\left|q_{2}\right|$.

A dual quaternion vector $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n}$ can be denoted as $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_{s}+\mathbf{x}_{d} \epsilon$. If $\mathbf{x}_{s} \neq \mathbf{0}$, we say $\mathbf{x}$ is appreciable. Otherwise, it is infinitesimal. If $\mathbf{x}$ is appreciable, then the 2 -norm of $\mathbf{x}$ is defined by $\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}}$. Otherwise, if $\mathbf{x}$ is infinitesimal, then $\|\mathrm{x}\|=\left\|\mathrm{x}_{d}\right\| \epsilon$.

The collection of $m \times n$ dual quaternion matrices is denoted by $\hat{\mathbb{H}}^{m \times n}$. A dual quaternion matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{m \times n}$ can be denoted as $A=A_{s}+A_{d} \epsilon$, where $A_{s}, A_{d} \in \mathbb{H}^{m \times n}$. The conjugate transpose of $A$ is $A^{*}=\left(a_{j i}^{*}\right)$. Let $A \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \hat{\mathbb{H}^{n \times r}}$. Then we have $(A B)^{*}=B^{*} A^{*}$.

Given a square dual quaternion matrix $A \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$, it is called invertible (nonsingular) if $A B=B A=I_{n}$ for some $B \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$, where $I_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Such $B$ is unique and denoted by $A^{-1}$. Square dual quaternion matrix $A$ is called Hermitian if $A^{*}=A$. Then $A$ is Hermitian if and only if both $A_{s}$ and $A_{d}$ are quaternion Hermitian matrices. Square dual quaternion matrix $A$ is called unitary if $A^{*} A=I_{n}$. Apparently, $A \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$ is unitary if and only if its column vectors form an orthonormal basis of $\hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n}$.

An $n \times n$ dual quaternion Hermitian matrix has exactly $n$ right eigenvalues, which are all dual numbers and also left eigenvalues [16]. We simply call them the eigenvalues of that matrix.

### 2.2 Quaternion determinants

A permutation $\sigma=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right\} \in S_{n}$ denotes a function $\sigma$ such that $\sigma(j)=i_{j}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, n$. We could also rewrite it as a two-line formulation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\
i_{1} & i_{2} & \cdots & i_{n-1} & i_{n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

A permutation cycle $\sigma=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ is a subset of a permutation whose elements trade places with one another. Namely, $\sigma\left(i_{j}\right)=i_{j+1}$ for $j=1, \ldots, k-1$ and $\sigma\left(i_{k}\right)=i_{1}$. A cycle of length $k$ is called a $k$-cycle. We could also rewrite the permutation cycle as a two-line formulation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
i_{1} & i_{2} & \cdots & i_{k-1} & i_{k}  \tag{2}\\
i_{2} & i_{3} & \cdots & i_{k} & i_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For instance, the permutation cycle $\sigma=(312)$ represents a permutation $\sigma(3)=$ $1, \sigma(1)=2$, and $\sigma(2)=3$. The sign of any $k$-cycle is $(-1)^{k-1}$. In fact, by implementing $k-1$ switches, i.e., $i_{1}$ with $i_{k}, i_{1}$ with $i_{k-1}, \ldots, i_{1}$ with $i_{2}$, sequentially, (2a) reduces to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
i_{1} & i_{2} & \cdots & i_{k-1} & i_{k} \\
i_{1} & i_{2} & \cdots & i_{k-1} & i_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which is corresponding to $\{1, \ldots, k\}$.
The cyclic decomposition of a permutation is to decompose the permutation as a product of disjoint cycles,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\left(n_{11} \cdots n_{1 l_{1}}\right)\left(n_{21} \cdots n_{2 l_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(n_{r 1} \cdots n_{r l_{r}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every permutation can be written as a product of disjoint cycles. For instance, $\{1,2,3\}=(1)(2)(3)$ and $\{2,1,3\}=(12)(3)$. The inverse permutation $\sigma^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\sigma$ such that $\sigma\left(\sigma^{-1}(i)\right)=i$ and $\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(i))=i$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. In the cycle form, the inverse permutation just reverses the direction of each cycle. Namely, $\sigma^{-1}=\left(i_{1}, i_{n}, i_{n-1}, \ldots, i_{2}\right)$. For more details on the permutation, we refer to [2].

Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be a quaternion Hermitian matrix in $\mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$ and $\sigma$ be a permutation of $S_{n}=\{1, \ldots, n\}$. In 1922, Eliakim Hastings Moore defined the Moore determinant for quaternion matrices [14] as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Mdet}(A)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}^{M}} s(\sigma) a_{\sigma} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the permutation cycle $\sigma$ is a product of disjoint cycles as in (3) and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{i 1}<n_{i j} \text { for all } j>1, i=1, \ldots, r, \text { and } n_{11}>n_{21}>\cdots>n_{r 1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$s(\sigma)$ denotes the sign of $\sigma$, and

$$
a_{\sigma}=\left(a_{n_{11}, n_{12}} a_{n_{12}, n_{13}} \cdots a_{n_{1 l_{1}}, n_{11}}\right)\left(a_{n_{21}, n_{22}} \cdots a_{n_{2 l_{2}}, n_{21}}\right) \cdots\left(\cdots a_{n_{r l}, n_{r 1}}\right)
$$

Denote $S_{n}^{M}$ as the set of permutations satisfying (5). When the matrix is a complex or real matrix, the Moore determinant (4) reduces to the ordinary
determinant. Following [3], we also denote $\sigma_{i}=\left(n_{i 1} \cdots n_{i l_{i}}\right)$,

$$
\left\langle\sigma_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle n_{i 1} \cdots n_{i l_{i}} n_{i 1}\right\rangle=a_{n_{i 1}, n_{i 2}} a_{n_{i 2}, n_{i 3}} \cdots a_{n_{i_{i}},}, n_{i 1} .
$$

Thus, $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{r}$ and $\langle\sigma\rangle=\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle$.
Let $\mathbf{a}_{. j}$ be the $j$ th column and $\mathbf{a}_{i}$. be the $i$ th row of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$ or $A \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$. Let $A_{. j}(\mathbf{a})$ be a matrix obtained from replacing the $j$ th column of $A$ by the column vector a, and $A_{i}$. (b) be a matrix result from replacing the $i$ th row of $A$ by the row vector $\mathbf{b}$. Denote by $A^{i j}$ a submatrix of $A$ obtained by deleting both the $i$ th row and the $j$ th column. Here, $A_{. j}(\mathbf{a})$ and $A_{i} .(\mathbf{b})$ may not be Hermitian matrices even if $A$ is Hermitian. Such matrices are almost Hermitian [6]. We say a matrix is $k$-almost Hermitian if it is self-adjoint except for the $k$-th row or column.

In 1972, Dyson [6] presented an equivalent formulation of the Moore determinant for $k$-almost Hermitian matrices as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Mdet}(A)=a_{k k} \operatorname{Mdet}\left(A^{k k}\right)-\sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{n} a_{k i} \operatorname{Mdet}\left(A_{\cdot i}^{k k}\left(a_{\cdot k}\right)\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $k$ is the index in the $k$-almost Hermitian. If $A$ is Hermitian, then the above value remains the same for all $k=1, \ldots, n$. This formulation is quite useful for the numerical computation.

However, the Moore determinant is only applicable to Hermitian or almost Hermitian matrices. Several researchers have considered determinants of arbitrary quaternion matrices. In 1922, Study [18] proposed determinants of quaternion matrices through their injective algebra homomorphism with complex matrices. Specifically, let $A=A_{1}+A_{2} \mathbf{j}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Sdet}(A)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & -\bar{A}_{2} \\
A_{2} & \bar{A}_{1}
\end{array}\right]\right) .
$$

In 1991, Chen [3, 4] proposed a novel definition of quaternion determinant as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cdet}(A)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}^{C}}(-1)^{n-r} a_{\sigma}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the permutation cycle $\sigma$ is a product of disjoint cycles as in (3) and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{i 1}>n_{i j} \text { for all } j>1, i=1, \ldots, r, \text { and } n=n_{11}>n_{21}>\cdots>n_{r 1}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $S_{n}^{C}$ denotes the set of permutations satisfying (8) ( In the following, we call it the Chen determinant and denote it by Cdet. In (7), $n$ is fixed as the first element in the cycle $\sigma$.

In 2008 and also in 2012, Kyrchei [7, 8] generalized the first element in the Chen determinant to any index $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and proposed the column and row determinants of arbitrary quaternion matrices as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Krdet}_{i}(A)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}^{K}}(-1)^{n-r} a_{\sigma} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma=\left(i i_{k_{1}} i_{k_{1}+1} \cdots i_{k_{1}+l_{1}}\right)\left(i_{k_{2}} i_{k_{2}+1} \cdots i_{k_{2}+l_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(i_{k_{r}} i_{k_{r}+1} \cdots i_{k_{r}+l_{r}}\right),  \tag{10}\\
i_{k_{2}}<i_{k_{3}}<\cdots<i_{k_{r}} \text { and } i_{k_{t}}<i_{k_{t}+s} \text { for all } t=2, \ldots, r, s=1, \ldots, l_{t}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $S_{n}^{K}$ denotes the set of permutations satisfying (12). In the following, we call it the Kyrchei row determinant.

Similarly, the Kyrchei column determinant is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Kcdet}_{j}(A)=\sum_{\sigma \in \bar{S}_{n}^{K}}(-1)^{n-r} a_{\sigma}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sigma=\left(j_{k_{r}} j_{k_{r}+l_{r}} \cdots j_{k_{r}+1} j_{k_{r}}\right) \cdots\left(j_{k_{2}+l_{2}} \cdots j_{k_{2}+1} j_{k_{2}}\right)\left(j_{k_{1}+l_{1}} \cdots j_{k_{1}+1} j_{k_{1}} j\right),  \tag{12}\\
j_{k_{2}}<j_{k_{3}}<\cdots<j_{k_{r}} \text { and } j_{k_{t}}<j_{k_{t}+s} \text { for all } t=2, \ldots, r, s=1, \ldots, l_{t} .
\end{array}
$$

When $A$ is a quaternion Hermitian matrix, all Kyrchei row and column determinants are real numbers and are the same, which also coincide with the Moore determinant. Furthermore, the Kyrchei column and row determinants may derive the formulation of the inverse matrix by the classical adjoint matrix and could be connected to the solution of linear systems.

We now review the elementary row and column operations for quaternion matrices. Consider the three elementary row and column operations that can be used to transform a matrix (square or not) into a simple form and can facilitate determinant calculations. In the following definition, we focus on row operations, which are implemented by left multiplying the matrices. Column operations can be defined and used in a similar fashion, while the matrices that implement them act on the right.

Definition 2.2 (Elementary row and column operations). Given a quaternion matrix $A \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$ and $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Consider the following three elementary transformations.
(i) Switching of two rows. Let $P_{i j}=\left(a_{k l}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfy

$$
a_{k l}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } k=l \neq i \text { and } k=l \neq j ; \\ 1, & \text { if } k=i \text { and } l=j ; \\ 1, & \text { if } k=j \text { and } l=i ; \\ 0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Then by multiplying $P_{i j}$ on the left, we switch the $i$-th and the $j$-th rows of $A$. We refer $P_{i j}$ as a switching matrix.
(ii) Multiplication of a row by a scalar. Let $c \in \mathbb{H}$ be any quaternion number and $P_{i ; c}=\left(a_{k l}\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$ satisfy

$$
a_{k l}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } k=l \neq i ; \\ c, & \text { if } k=l=i ; \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $P_{i ; c} A$ multiplies the $i$-th row of $A$ by $c$ on the left. We refer $P_{i ; c}$ as a multiplication matrix.
(iii) Addition of a scalar multiple of one row to another row. Let $c \in \mathbb{H}$ be any quaternion number and $P_{i j ; c}=\left(a_{k l}\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$ satisfy

$$
a_{k l}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } k=l ; \\ c, & \text { if } k=j \text { and } l=i ; \\ 0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Then $P_{i j ; c} A$ adds the $j$-th row of $A$ by the $i$-th row of $A$ multiplied with $c$ one the left. We refer $P_{i j ; c}$ as an addition matrix.

For more properties and applications of quaternion determinants, people may check [9, 10, 12, 13].

We note that the Moore determinant, the Chen determinant, the Kyrchei determinant, and Definition 2.2 can be extended to dual quaternions without any difficulty. However, the study of properties of dual quaternion determinants may be different. Very recently, Ling and Qi [11] studied the determinant properties of dual complex matrices, and then introduced the concept of quasideterminant of dual quaternion matrices. Based upon these, they showed the quasi-determinant of a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix is equivalent to the product of the square of the magnitudes of all eigenvalues. In this paper, we focus on the determinants of dual quaternion Hermitian matrix that are equal to the product of eigenvalues, such as the Chen determinant and the Moore determinant.

Next, we present several properties of the Chen determinant for quaternion Hermitian matrices [3, 4].

Proposition 2.3. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be a quaternion Hermitian matrix in $\mathbb{H}^{n \times n}, P_{i j}$ be a switching matrix, $P_{i ; \alpha}$ be a multiplication matrix, and $P_{i j ; \alpha}$ be an addition matrix. Then the following results hold.
(i) $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i j}^{*} A P_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)$;
(ii) $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{n ; \alpha} A\right)=\alpha \operatorname{Cdet}(A), \operatorname{Cdet}\left(A P_{n ; \alpha}\right)=\alpha \operatorname{Cdet}(A)$, and $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i ; \alpha}^{*} A P_{i ; \alpha}\right)=$ $\alpha^{*} \alpha \operatorname{Cdet}(A) ;$
(iii) $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i j ; \alpha}^{*} A P_{i j ; \alpha}\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)$;
(iv) For any unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$, we have $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(U^{*} A U\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)$;

Recall that an $n \times n$ quaternion Hermitian matrix has exactly $n$ right eigenvalues, which are all real numbers and also left eigenvalues [21]. We simply call them eigenvalues of that matrix. Recently, Qi and Luo [16] showed an $n \times n$
dual quaternion Hermitian matrix has exactly $n$ right eigenvalues, which are all dual real numbers and also left eigenvalues. As mentioned early, they are also simply called eigenvalues. In what follows, we show the Chen determinant of dual quaternion Hermitian matrices is equal to the product of these $n$ eigenvalues.

## 3 Properties of the Dual Quaternion Chen Determinant

In this section, we show that the results in Proposition 2.3 can be generalized to dual quaternion Hermitian matrices. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}{ }^{n \times n}$. Suppose $\sigma_{0}=\left(p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{s} k q_{1} \cdots q_{t}\right)$ is a cycle factor of the permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\sigma}_{0} & =\left(p_{1} q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k p_{s} \cdots p_{2}\right) \\
\sigma_{0}^{+} & =\left(k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{s}\right) \\
\bar{\sigma}_{0}^{+} & =\left(k p_{s} \cdots p_{2} p_{1} q_{t} \cdots q_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\sigma_{0}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sigma_{0}^{+}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{0}^{+}\right\rangle . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By direct computation, we have $\left\langle\sigma_{0}\right\rangle=a_{p_{1} p_{2}} \cdots a_{p_{s} k} a_{k q_{1}} \cdots a_{q_{t} p_{1}}$ and $\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{0}\right\rangle=a_{p_{1} q_{t}} \cdots a_{q_{1} k} a_{k p_{s}} \cdots a_{p_{2} p_{1}}$. Therefore, we have $\left\langle\sigma_{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{0}\right\rangle^{*}$. Similarly, we have $\left\langle\sigma_{0}^{+}\right\rangle=\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{0}^{+}\right\rangle^{*}$. Thus, both the right and left hand sides of (13) are dual numbers.

Furthermore, let $w_{1}=a_{p_{1} p_{2}} \cdots a_{p_{s} k}, w_{2}=a_{k q_{1}} \cdots a_{q_{t} p_{1}}$. By Proposition [2.1, we have $\operatorname{Re}\left(\left\langle\sigma_{0}\right\rangle\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(w_{1} w_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(w_{2} w_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\left\langle\sigma_{0}^{+}\right\rangle\right)$. Similarly, there is $\operatorname{Re}\left(\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{0}\right\rangle\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{0}^{+}\right\rangle\right)$. Thus, the real part of the right hand side of (13) is equal to that of the left hand side. Combining with the fact that both the right and left hand sides of (13) are dual numbers, we derive (13).

This completes the proof.
Lemma 3.2. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$, and $P_{i j}$ be a switching matrix. Then $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i j}^{*} A P_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)$.

Proof. If $i, j, n$ are mutually distinct, then we have $P_{i j}=P_{j n} P_{i n} P_{j n}$. Hence, it suffices to show $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{j n}^{*} A P_{j n}\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)$ for $j \neq n$. For any $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{r} \in$ $S_{n}^{C}$, denote the apostrophe of $\sigma$

$$
\sigma^{\prime}=\left.\sigma\right|_{n \longleftrightarrow j}
$$

as the permutation of $S_{n}$ derived from interchanging $n$ and $j$ in $\sigma$. Since the cycle structures of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ are the same, they have the same parity. Thus, we have

$$
\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{j n}^{*} A P_{j n}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}^{C}}(-1)^{n-r} a_{\sigma^{\prime}} .
$$

Consider the following two cases.
Case (a). Suppose that $n$ and $j$ are in the same cycle factor. Then there exist nonnegative integers $s, t$ such that $\sigma_{1}=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} j q_{1} \cdots q_{t}\right)$. Let $\bar{\sigma}_{1}=$ $\left(n q_{t} \cdots q_{1} j p_{s} \cdots p_{1}\right), \delta_{1}=\left(n q_{1} \cdots q_{t} j p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right), \bar{\delta}_{1}=\left(n p_{s} \cdots p_{1} j q_{t} \cdots q_{1}\right)$ be the first cycle factors of $\bar{\sigma}=\bar{\sigma}_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{r} \in S_{n}^{C}, \delta=\delta_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{r} \in S_{n}^{C}, \bar{\delta}=\bar{\delta}_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{r} \in$ $S_{n}^{C}$, respectively. Then by Lemma3.1, we have $\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\delta_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\delta}_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\delta_{1}\right\rangle+$ $\left\langle\bar{\delta}_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Here, the apostrophe of a cycle denotes the interchanging of $n$ and $j$. Thus, we have

$$
\langle\sigma\rangle+\langle\bar{\sigma}\rangle+\langle\delta\rangle+\langle\bar{\delta}\rangle=\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\delta^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\delta}^{\prime}\right\rangle .
$$

Namely, we have built the correspondence between the permutation terms in $S_{n}^{C}$ with the permutation terms of $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{j n}^{*} A P_{j n}\right)$.

Case (b). Suppose that $n$ and $j$ are in two distinct cycle factors. Without loss of generality, let

$$
\sigma=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right) \cdots\left(j q_{1} \cdots q_{t}\right) \cdots, s, t \geq 0
$$

$j>\max _{i=1}^{s} p_{i}$, and $j>\max _{i=1}^{t} q_{i}$. Otherwise, if $j>\max _{i=1}^{s} p_{i}$ or $j>\max _{i=1}^{t} q_{i}$ does not hold, we can use the similar technique in Lemma 3.1 to get the corresponding permutations without changing the summation values.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\sigma}=\left(n p_{s} \cdots p_{1}\right) \cdots\left(j q_{1} \cdots q_{t}\right) \cdots, \\
& \delta=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right) \cdots\left(j q_{t} \cdots q_{1}\right) \cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\bar{\delta}=\left(n p_{s} \cdots p_{1}\right) \cdots\left(j q_{t} \cdots q_{1}\right) \cdots
$$

and $\Sigma, \bar{\Sigma}, \Delta, \bar{\Delta}$ be the cycles that interchanging $p_{1} \cdots p_{s}$ with $q_{1} \cdots q_{t}$ in $\sigma, \bar{\sigma}$, $\delta, \bar{\delta}$, respectively. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\sigma\rangle+\langle\bar{\sigma}\rangle+\langle\delta\rangle+\langle\bar{\delta}\rangle \\
= & {\left[\left\langle n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} n\right\rangle+\left\langle n p_{s} \cdots p_{1} n\right\rangle\right] \cdots\left[\left\langle j q_{1} \cdots q_{t} j\right\rangle+\left\langle j q_{t} \cdots q_{1} i\right\rangle\right] \cdots } \\
= & {\left[\left\langle j q_{1} \cdots q_{t} j\right\rangle+\left\langle j q_{t} \cdots q_{1} j\right\rangle\right] \cdots\left[\left\langle n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} n\right\rangle+\left\langle n p_{s} \cdots p_{1} n\right\rangle\right] \cdots } \\
= & \left\langle\Sigma^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\Delta^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\Delta}^{\prime}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the second equality follows from the fact that the numbers in the square brackets are dual numbers, which are communicative with dual quaternion numbers. Similarly, we have $\langle\Sigma\rangle+\langle\bar{\Sigma}\rangle+\langle\Delta\rangle+\langle\bar{\Delta}\rangle=\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\delta^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\delta}^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Thus, we have built the correspondence between the factors in $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i j}^{*} A P_{i j}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Cdet}(A)$.

Following this scheme, we could show $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i j}^{*} A P_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.3. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$, and $P_{n ; \alpha}$ be a multiplication matrix. Then $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{n ; \alpha} A\right)=\alpha \operatorname{Cdet}(A), \operatorname{Cdet}\left(A P_{n ; \alpha}\right)=$ $\alpha \operatorname{Cdet}(A)$, and $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i ; \alpha}^{*} A P_{i ; \alpha}\right)=\alpha^{*} \alpha \operatorname{Cdet}(A)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. Let $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{r} \in S_{n}^{C}$ be a permutation of $S_{n}$ satisfying (8) and $\sigma_{1}=$ $\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right)$. For the $n$-th row multiplication, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{n ; \alpha} A\right) & =\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}^{C}}(-1)^{n-r} \alpha a_{n p_{1}} a_{p_{1} p_{2}} \cdots a_{p_{s} n}\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle \\
& =\alpha\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}^{C}}(-1)^{n-r}\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\alpha \operatorname{Cdet}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, there exists $\bar{\sigma}_{1}=\left(n p_{s} \cdots p_{1}\right)$ such that $\bar{\sigma}=\bar{\sigma}_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{r} \in S_{n}^{C}$ and
$\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{1}\right\rangle \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}$. For the $n$-th column multiplication, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cdet}\left(A P_{n ; \alpha}\right) & =\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}^{C}}(-1)^{n-r} a_{n p_{1}} a_{p_{1} p_{2}} \cdots a_{p_{s} n} \alpha\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}^{C}}(-1)^{n-r}\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle \alpha\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}^{C}}(-1)^{n-r}\left[\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{1}\right\rangle\right] \alpha\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}^{C}}(-1)^{n-r}\left[\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{1}\right\rangle\right]\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle \\
& =\alpha \operatorname{Cdet}(A) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the third equality is true because we have repeated all permutations twice and the fourth equality is true since the number in the square bracket is a dual number.

For the $i$-th row and column multiplications, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i ; \alpha}^{*} A P_{i ; \alpha}\right) & =\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i n}^{*} P_{i ; \alpha}^{*} A P_{i ; \alpha} P_{i n}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Cdet}\left(\left(P_{i ; \alpha} P_{i n}\right)^{*} A\left(P_{i ; \alpha} P_{i n}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{n ; \alpha}^{*} A P_{n ; \alpha}\right) \\
& =\alpha^{*} \alpha \operatorname{Cdet}(A) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 3.4. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}{ }^{n \times n}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Cdet}\left(A_{n} \cdot\left(a_{k} \cdot\right)\right)=0, \quad \forall k=1, \ldots, n-1 .
$$

Furthermore, we have $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(A_{n} .\left(\alpha a_{k}.\right)\right)=0$. In other words, if we replace the $n$ th row of a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix by the $k$-th row (possibly multiplied by a dual quaternion number $\alpha$ on the left), then the Chen determinant of the result matrix is zero.

Proof. Let $s, t$ be nonnegative integers. We first divide all permutations in $S_{n}^{C}$ into three sets depending on the location of $k$ as follows.
(i) $S_{1}=\left\{\sigma_{1}(k): \sigma_{1}(k)=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right) \cdots\left(k q_{1} \cdots q_{t}\right) \cdots\right\}$;
(ii) $S_{2}=\left\{\sigma_{2}(k): \sigma_{2}(k)=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right) \cdots\left(u_{1} \cdots u_{l} k q_{1} \cdots q_{t}\right) \cdots\right\}$;
(iii) $S_{3}=\left\{\sigma_{3}(k): \sigma_{3}(k)=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} k q_{1} \cdots q_{t}\right) \cdots\right\}$.

We show this lemma by proving that for any permutation $\sigma$ in the first two cases, there is a permutation $\bar{\sigma}$ in the same case and permutations $\sigma^{+}$and $\bar{\sigma}^{+}$ in the third case such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(\sigma)\langle\sigma\rangle+s(\bar{\sigma})\langle\bar{\sigma}\rangle=-s\left(\sigma^{+}\right)\left\langle\sigma^{+}\right\rangle-s\left(\bar{\sigma}^{+}\right)\left\langle\bar{\sigma}^{+}\right\rangle . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (i). Let $\sigma \in S_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\sigma}=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right) \cdots\left(k q_{t} \cdots q_{1}\right) \cdots, \\
\sigma^{+}=\left(n q_{1} \cdots q_{t} k p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right) \cdots, \quad \bar{\sigma}^{+}=\left(n q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right) \cdots .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\sigma\rangle+\langle\bar{\sigma}\rangle & =\left\langle n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} n\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} k\right\rangle \cdots+\left\langle n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} n\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle k q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k\right\rangle \cdots \\
& =\left\langle k p_{1} \cdots p_{s} n\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} k\right\rangle \cdots+\left\langle k p_{1} \cdots p_{s} n\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle k q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k\right\rangle \cdots \\
& =\left\langle k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} k p_{1} \cdots p_{s} n\right\rangle \cdots+\left\langle k q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k p_{1} \cdots p_{s} n\right\rangle \cdots \\
& =\left\langle n q_{1} \cdots q_{t} k p_{1} \cdots p_{s} n\right\rangle \cdots+\left\langle n q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k p_{1} \cdots p_{s} n\right\rangle \cdots \\
& =\left\langle\sigma^{+}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}^{+}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second and the fourth equalities follow from the fact that the last row of $A$ is replaced by the $k$-th row, and the third equality follows from the fact that $\left\langle k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} k\right\rangle+\left\langle k q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k\right\rangle$ is a dual number, which is communicative with dual quaternion numbers and can be moved to the beginning of the products. Furthermore, suppose $\sigma$ has $r$ distinct cycles. Then $s(\sigma)=s(\bar{\sigma})=(-1)^{n-r}$ and $s\left(\sigma^{+}\right)=s\left(\bar{\sigma}^{+}\right)=(-1)^{n-r+1}$. Thus, (14) holds.

Case (ii). Let $\sigma \in S_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\sigma}=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right) \cdots\left(u_{1} q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k u_{l} \cdots u_{2}\right) \cdots, \\
\sigma^{+}=\left(n q_{1} \cdots q_{t} u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{l} k p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right) \cdots,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\sigma^{+}=\left(n u_{l} \cdots u_{1} q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right) \cdots .
$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle u_{1} \cdots u_{l} k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} u_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle u_{1} q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k u_{l} \cdots u_{1}\right\rangle \\
=\left\langle k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{l} k\right\rangle+\left\langle k u_{l} \cdots u_{1} q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k\right\rangle . \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining this with the first case derives (14).
The union of all $\sigma^{+}$and $\bar{\sigma}^{+}$defined above is equal to $S_{3}$. In fact, for any permutation $\sigma \in S_{3}$, if $k \geq p_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$, then it is corresponding to a permutation in the first case. Otherwise, it is corresponding to a permutation in the second case.

Together, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cdet}\left(A_{n} \cdot\left(a_{k} \cdot\right)\right) & =\sum_{\sigma \in S_{1}} s(\sigma)\langle\sigma\rangle+\sum_{\sigma \in S_{2}} s(\sigma)\langle\sigma\rangle+\sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} s(\sigma)\langle\sigma\rangle \\
& =-\sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} s(\sigma)\langle\sigma\rangle+\sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} s(\sigma)\langle\sigma\rangle \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we can verify that $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(A_{n} \cdot\left(\alpha a_{k} \cdot\right)\right)=\alpha \operatorname{Cdet}\left(A_{n} \cdot\left(a_{k} \cdot\right)\right)=0$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.5. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$. Then we have $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(A_{\cdot n}\left(a_{\cdot k} \alpha\right)\right)=0$ for all $k=1, \ldots, n$ and $\alpha \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}$.

Proof. Consider the three cases $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ in the proof of Lemma 3.4. We now prove that for any permutation $\sigma \in S_{1} \cup S_{2}$, there is a permutation $\bar{\sigma}$ in the same case and permutations $\sigma^{+}, \bar{\sigma}^{+} \in S_{3}$ such that (14) holds.

Case (i). Let $\sigma \in S_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\sigma}=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right) \cdots\left(k q_{t} \cdots q_{1}\right) \cdots, \\
\sigma^{+}=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} k q_{1} \cdots q_{t}\right) \cdots, \quad \bar{\sigma}^{+}=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} k q_{t} \cdots q_{1}\right) \cdots .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\sigma\rangle+\langle\bar{\sigma}\rangle & =\left\langle n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} n\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} k\right\rangle \cdots+\left\langle n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} n\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle k q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} k\right\rangle \alpha \cdots\left\langle k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} k\right\rangle \cdots+\left\langle n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} k\right\rangle \alpha \cdots\left\langle k q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} k\right\rangle \alpha \cdots+\left\langle n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} k q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k\right\rangle \alpha \cdots \\
& =\left\langle n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} n\right\rangle \cdots+\left\langle n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} k q_{t} \cdots q_{1} n\right\rangle \cdots \\
& =\left\langle\sigma^{+}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}^{+}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second and the fourth equalities follow from the fact that the last column of $A$ is replaced by the $k$-th row multiplied by $\alpha$, and the third equality follows from the fact that $\left\langle k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} k\right\rangle+\left\langle k q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k\right\rangle$ is a dual number and is communicative with any dual quaternion numbers. Furthermore, suppose $\sigma$ has $r$ distinct cycles. Then $s(\sigma)=s(\bar{\sigma})=(-1)^{n-r}$ and $s\left(\sigma^{+}\right)=s\left(\bar{\sigma}^{+}\right)=(-1)^{n-r+1}$. Thus, (14) holds.

Case (ii). Let $\sigma \in S_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\sigma}=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right) \cdots\left(u_{1} q_{t} \cdots q_{1} k u_{l} \cdots u_{2}\right) \cdots, \\
\sigma^{+}=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} k q_{1} \cdots q_{t} u_{1} \cdots u_{l} n\right) \cdots,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\sigma^{+}=\left(n p_{1} \cdots p_{s} k u_{l} \cdots u_{1} q_{t} \cdots q_{1} n\right) \cdots .
$$

Combining (15) with the first case derives (14).
The rest of the proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.4 and we omit the details here.

This completes the proof.
Lemma 3.6. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}{ }^{n \times n}$, and $P_{i j ; \alpha}$ be an addition matrix. Then $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i j ; \alpha}^{*} A P_{i j ; \alpha}\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose $i<j$. Since $P_{i j ; \alpha}=P_{j n} P_{i n ; \alpha} P_{j n}$, we only need to show $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i n ; \alpha}^{*} A P_{i n ; \alpha}\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)$. By direct computation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i n ; \alpha}^{*} A P_{i n ; \alpha}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Cdet}(A)+\operatorname{Cdet}\left(A_{n \cdot}\left(\alpha^{*} a_{i} \cdot\right)\right)+\operatorname{Cdet}\left(A_{\cdot n}\left(a_{\cdot i} \alpha\right)\right)+\operatorname{Cdet}(B(\alpha)),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B(\alpha)=\left(b_{k l}(\alpha)\right)$ satisfies

$$
b_{k l}(\alpha)= \begin{cases}\alpha^{*} a_{i l}, & \text { if } k=n \text { and } l \neq n ; \\ a_{k i} \alpha, & \text { if } l=n \text { and } k \neq n ; \\ \alpha^{*} a_{i i} \alpha, & \text { if } k=l=n \\ a_{k l}, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

In the other words, $B(\alpha)=P_{n ; \alpha}^{*} B(1) P_{n ; \alpha}$. Thus, it follows from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 that $\operatorname{Cdet}(B(\alpha))=\alpha^{*} \alpha \operatorname{Cdet}(B(1))=0$. By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, we have $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(A_{n \cdot} \cdot\left(\alpha^{*} a_{i} \cdot\right)\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}\left(A_{\cdot n}\left(a_{\cdot i} \alpha\right)\right)=0$. Therefore, we have $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(P_{i n ; \alpha}^{*} A P_{i n ; \alpha}\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)$. This completes the proof.

Recently, Wang et al. [19] proposed the dual quaternion LU decomposition and the partial pivoting dual quaternion LU decomposition. Specifically, given a dual quaternion matrix $A \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$, there is a permutation matrix $P$, a unit lower triangular dual quaternion matrix $L \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$, and an upper triangular dual quaternion matrix $U \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$
P A=L U .
$$

Based on this formulation, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 3.7. Suppose $A \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$ is a dual quaternion unitary matrix. Then there exists a series of switching matrices and addition matrices $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{t}$ such that $P_{t} \cdots P_{1} A=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$, where $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n} \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\left|d_{1} d_{2} \cdots d_{n}\right|=1$.

Proof. Let $P A=L U$ be the partial pivoting dual quaternion $L U$ decomposition of $A$. Since $P, A$, and $L$ are all invertiable, $U$ is also invertiable. Let $U=U_{0} D$, where $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ and $d_{i}=u_{i i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then we have

$$
U_{0}^{-1} L^{-1} P A=D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) .
$$

Here, $U_{0}^{-1}$ and $L^{-1}$ are unit upper and lower triangular dual quaternion matrices, respectively. Thus, they are products of addition matrices.

Furthermore, by the quasi-determinant defined in Ling and Qi [11], we have $\operatorname{det}_{q}\left(U_{0}^{-1}\right)=1, \operatorname{det}_{q}\left(L^{-1}\right)=1, \operatorname{det}_{q}(P)=1, \operatorname{det}_{q}\left(A^{*} A\right)=\operatorname{det}_{q}\left(A^{*}\right) \operatorname{det}_{q}(A)=$ $\operatorname{det}_{q}(A)^{2}=1$, and

$$
\operatorname{det}_{q}\left(U_{0}^{-1} L^{-1} P A\right)=\operatorname{det}_{q}\left(U_{0}^{-1}\right) \operatorname{det}_{q}\left(L^{-1}\right) \operatorname{det}_{q}(P) \operatorname{det}_{q}(A)=1
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{det}_{q}(D)=\left|d_{1} d_{2} \cdots d_{n}\right|^{2}=1$. This completes the proof.
Based on the above lemmas, we are ready to show our main results.
Theorem 3.8. For any dual quaternion Hermitian matrix $A \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$ and any dual quaternion unitary matrix $U \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$, we have $\operatorname{Cdet}\left(U^{*} A U\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, there are switching matrices and addition matrices $P_{1}, \ldots$, $P_{t}$ such that $U=P_{1}^{-1} \cdots P_{t}^{-1} D, D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$, and $\left|d_{1} \cdots d_{n}\right|=1$. Since $P_{i j}^{-1}=P_{i j}, P_{i j ; \alpha}^{-1}=P_{i j ;-\alpha}, P_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, P_{t}^{-1}$ are also switching or addition matrices. Thus, we have

$$
\operatorname{Cdet}\left(U^{*} A U\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}\left(D^{*}\left(P_{t}^{-1}\right)^{*} \cdots\left(P_{1}^{-1}\right)^{*} A P_{1}^{-1} \cdots P_{t}^{-1} D\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)
$$

Here, the last equality follows directly from Proposition 2.3. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.9. Given a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix $A \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$ and any dual quaternion unitary matrix $U \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Cdet}(A)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Cdet}\left(A^{*} A\right)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2},
$$

where $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ are eigenvalues of $A$.
Proof. By [16], there exists a dual quaternion unitary matrix $U$ such that $U A U^{*}=\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ and all eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ are dual numbers. Combining this with Theorem 3.8, we have $\operatorname{Cdet}(A)=\operatorname{Cdet}\left(U^{*} A U\right)=$ $\operatorname{Cdet}(\Lambda)=\lambda_{n} \cdots \lambda_{1}$. Since dual numbers are communicative, the first conclusion is derived.

The second conclusion follows from $\Lambda^{*}=\Lambda, A^{*} A=U^{*} \Lambda^{2} U$ and Theorem 3.8. This completes the proof.

## 4 The Moore Determinant and the Eigenvalues

Theorem 4.1. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Mdet}(A)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
\operatorname{Mdet}(A)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ are the eigenvalues of $A$.
Proof. Let $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{r} \in S_{n}^{M}$ be a permutation in the Moore determinant. For each $i=1, \ldots, r$, denote

$$
\sigma_{i}=\left(p_{i 1} \cdots p_{i s_{i}} k_{i} q_{i 1} \cdots q_{i t_{i}}\right)
$$

where $p_{i 1}$ and $k_{i}$ are the minimal and maximal integers in $\sigma_{i}$, respectively. Denote $\bar{\sigma}_{i}=\left(p_{i 1} q_{i t_{i}} \cdots q_{i 1} k_{i} p_{i s_{i}} \cdots p_{i 2}\right), \sigma_{i}^{+}=\left(k_{i} q_{i 1} \cdots q_{i t_{i}} p_{i 1} \cdots p_{i s_{i}}\right)$, and $\bar{\sigma}_{i}^{+}=$ $\left(k_{i} p_{i s_{i}} \cdots p_{i 1} q_{i t_{i}} \cdots q_{i 1}\right)$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\left\langle\sigma_{i}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sigma_{i}^{+}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{i}^{+}\right\rangle \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{1}\right\rangle\right) \cdots\left(\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{r}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \left(\left\langle\sigma_{1}^{+}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{1}^{+}\right\rangle\right) \cdots\left(\left\langle\sigma_{r}^{+}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{r}^{+}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \left(\left\langle\sigma_{i_{1}}^{+}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{i_{1}}^{+}\right\rangle\right) \cdots\left(\left\langle\sigma_{i_{r}}^{+}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{i_{r}}^{+}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}$ are obtained by sorting $k_{i}$ in the descending order and the second equality holds since the dual numbers are communicative. Let $s_{j} \in\left\{\sigma_{j}, \bar{\sigma}_{j}\right\}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Then $\sigma=s_{1} \cdots s_{r} \in S_{n}^{M}$ is a permutation in the Moore determinant. Similarly, let $s_{j}^{+} \in\left\{\sigma_{i_{j}}^{+}, \bar{\sigma}_{i_{j}}^{+}\right\}$for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Then $\sigma^{+}=s_{1}^{+} \cdots s_{r}^{+} \in S_{n}^{C}$ is a permutation in the Chen determinant. Furthermore, those cycle factors are obtained by reversing or switching the original cycle factor. Reversing a cycle factor derives the inverse or conjugate of the corresponding permutation, which does not change the sign. Switching the cycle factors does not change
the corresponding permutation. Thus, the signs of all permutations above are the same. By going through all permutations in the Moore determinant, we have $\operatorname{Mdet}(A)=\operatorname{Cdet}(A)$.

The second conclusion of this theorem holds directly from equation (16) and Theorem 3.9. This completes the proof.

Kyrchei [7, 8] showed that the Kyrchei row and column determinants of a quaternion Hermitian matrix are equal to the Moore determinant. We could also extend this result to dual quaternion Hermitian matrices and show the Kyrchei row and column determinants of a quaternion Hermitian matrix are equal to the Moore determinants, which are also equal to the products of the eigenvalues.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose $A \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$ is a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ are its eigenvalues. Then $\operatorname{Mdet}(A)=0$ if and only if $A_{s}$ is singular and $A$ has at least one zero or two infinitesimal eigenvalues; namely, there is $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\lambda_{i}=0$ or $\lambda_{i, s}=\lambda_{j, s}=0$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$
\operatorname{Mdet}(A)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i s}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^{n} \lambda_{i s} \lambda_{j d} \epsilon
$$

On one hand, if there is $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\lambda_{i}=0$ or $\lambda_{i, s}=\lambda_{j, s}=0$, we can check that $\operatorname{Mdet}(A)=0$ directly. On the other hand, if $\operatorname{Mdet}(A)=0$, then there is $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\lambda_{j s}=0$ and $\prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^{n} \lambda_{i s} \lambda_{j d}=0$. Thus, either $\lambda_{j d}=0$ or there is $i \neq j$ such that $\lambda_{i s}=0$. This completes the proof.

## 5 The Characteristic Polynomial

Suppose $A \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}^{n \times n}$ is a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix and $\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}$ is an arbitrary dual number. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\lambda)=\operatorname{Mdet}(\lambda I-A) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ are eigenvalues of $A$. Then (17) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\lambda)=\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda-\lambda_{2}\right) \cdots\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The later definition is also used in Qi and Cui [15]. It was shown in [15] that all eigenvalues of $A$ are also roots of the characteristic polynomial. The reverse does not hold in general. In fact, a dual number $\lambda$ is a root of $p(\lambda)$ either if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $A$ or $\lambda_{s}$ is a multiple eigenvalue of $A_{s}$. However, the reverse holds true when all eigenvalues of $A_{s}$ are single.

Corollary 5.1. Suppose all eigenvalues of $A_{s}$ are single. Then a dual number is the root of the characteristic polynomial (17) if and only if it is an eigenvalue of $A$.

Very recently, Ling and Qi [11] proposed a characteristic polynomial by the quasi-determinant of dual quaternion matrices. In their definition, there is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{q}(\lambda)=\operatorname{det}_{q}(\lambda I-A)=\left|\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}\left|\lambda-\lambda_{2}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right|^{2} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may verify that $p_{q}(\lambda)=|p(\lambda)|^{2}$. In other words, the sign of the determinant is lost in the quasi-determinant. Furthermore, it was shown in [11 that all eigenvalues of $A$ are also roots of the characteristic polynomial (19). However, the reverse does not hold, even if all eigenvalues of $A_{s}$ are single.
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