

IMMERSIONS OF 3-MANIFOLDS INTO THE 5-SPACE, ALMOST CONTACT STRUCTURES, AND COMPLEX TANGENTS

MASATO TANABE

ABSTRACT. The Wu invariant is a regular homotopy invariant for immersions of oriented 3-manifolds into the 5-space. In this paper, we present new expressions and vanishing theorems for the invariant, from the viewpoint of almost contact structures and complex tangents. As an application, we determine the regular homotopy classes of inclusion maps of the surface singularity links of type ADE into the 5-sphere.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1959, Smale classified immersions of the m -sphere S^m into the n -space \mathbb{R}^n for $m < n$ up to regular homotopy [Sma59]. He gave a complete invariant, the Smale invariant, for the classification in the homotopy-theoretical way. His work incorporates Whitney–Graustein’s classification of plane curves (in this case the invariant is nothing but the intersection number, or the rotation number minus one) and Smale’s sensational result — eversibility of the 2-sphere in the 3-space (in this case the invariant always vanishes). Since then the invariant has been well studied by many researchers (e.g., [HM85, ES03, ES06, ET11, Kin15, NP15]).

Soon after Smale’s work, Hirsch generalized it to immersions between arbitrary manifolds M^m and N^n for $m < n$ [Hir59]. Their works are collectively referred to as the Smale–Hirsch theory today. Based on this widely applicable theory, in 1964, Wu studied immersions of an arbitrary oriented 3-manifold into the 5-space [Wu64]. Precisely, he gave a complete invariant of immersions up to regular homotopy, which consists of two components. As the first one, we meet an analogue of the Smale invariant — let us call it the *Smale-type invariant*. As the second one, we encounter an additional invariant which vanishes in the case that the manifold is the 3-sphere — it was named the *Wu invariant* in [SST02]. The determination of the regular homotopy class of a given immersion is reduced to the computation of these two invariants. However, it was quite difficult to compute the invariants and to interpret the geometry of immersions from Wu’s original work.

The attempt to resolve the difficulty appeared about 40 years later. In 2002, Saeki–Szűcs–Takase presented geometrically clear expressions of both invariants for immersions with trivial normal bundles [SST02]. Thanks to their work, the Smale-type invariant was made easily computable from certain (co)homological data. Since then it has been applied and generalized (e.g., [ST02, Juh05, GCN24]). On the other hand, to the author’s knowledge, there are few literatures which discuss the Wu invariant after their work (e.g., [ST02, Kas16]). The cause for it seems to be as follows: we notice that the Wu invariant depends on the choice of *parallelization* of the given 3-manifold, i.e., trivialization of its tangent bundle. Although every orientable 3-manifold is parallelizable, it is difficult to determine which one is nice in general. Accordingly, the concrete computation of the Wu invariant still remains difficult, even though it is crucial to determine the regular homotopy classes of immersions.

In this paper, we establish new expressions of the Wu invariant from the viewpoint of almost contact structures and complex tangents. As consequences, in each of the

following two cases, we show the vanishing theorem of the Wu invariant for certain immersions of almost contact 3-manifolds and certain parallelizations. Finally, we also present applications: our results tell us the regular homotopy classes of certain immersions of classical and central objects in topology — *surface singularity links*.

1.1. Result on almost contact structures. Our first result is stated as follows. This will be restated and proved in the context of *almost* contact structures (Theorem 4.1):

Main Theorem A. *Let (M^3, ξ) be a cooriented contact 3-manifold, (\mathbb{R}^5, η) the 5-space endowed with a contact structure, and*

$$f: (M^3, \xi) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^5, \eta)$$

a contact embedding. In addition, let τ be a parallelization of M^3 which consists of a normal to ξ and a trivialization of ξ . Then the Wu invariant $c_\tau(f) \in H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$ for τ vanishes.

The triviality of ξ as a 2-plane field is crucial to take a parallelization of M^3 , and is ensured by the result of Kasuya [Kas16, Theorem 1.3].

As application, we show the possibility to determine the regular homotopy classes of the inclusion maps for many kinds of the *link*, or more general, the *Milnor fiber boundary* of a surface singularity into the 5-space (Corollary 6.1). This is an immediate conclusion from Main Theorem A and the expression of the Smale-type invariant given in [SST02]. In particular, we succeed to determine the regular homotopy classes for types ADE.

1.2. Result on complex tangents. Our second result is stated as follows. This is shown to compare two type immersions of certain surface singularity links, and will be restated and proved in the context of *almost* contact structures (Theorem 5.1):

Main Theorem B. *Let (M^3, ξ) be a cooriented contact 3-manifold, (\mathbb{R}^4, J) the 4-space endowed with an almost complex structure, and*

$$g: M^3 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^4$$

an immersion. Assume that the distribution $dg(\xi) \subset T\mathbb{R}^4$ forms a complex line everywhere and $c_1(\xi) = 0$. In addition, let τ be a parallelization of M^3 which consists of a normal to ξ and a trivialization of ξ . Then, for the standard inclusion $j: \mathbb{R}^4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$, the Wu invariant $c_\tau(j \circ g) \in H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$ for τ vanishes.

The most basic example of Main Theorem B arises from the Whitney 2-sphere, which is the most simplest Lagrangian immersion $S^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{C}^2$. The boundary of its normal bundle defines an immersion of the surface singularity link of type A_1 . Furthermore, by ‘plumbing’ its copies, we obtain an immersion of a surface singularity link of type ADE into \mathbb{C}^2 . This type immersion is firstly studied by Kinjo [Kin15].

As application, we conclude the regular homotopicity for two type immersions of the surface singularity link of type ADE (Corollary 6.2). One immersion is the inclusion map into the 5-sphere (removed one point), and the other is Kinjo’s immersion. This result is also related to the results of [NP15].

1.3. Organization. In §2, we review the classification problem for immersions of 3-manifolds into the 5-space, in particular, the notion of Wu invariant. In §3, we recall the notions of contact structure and almost one. Here we also review surface singularity links. In §4 (resp. §5), we prove the almost versions of Main Theorem A (resp. B). In §6, we present two applications of Main Theorems A and B.

In this paper, by *manifold* and *map*, we always mean that of class C^∞ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank his supervisor, Professor Naohiko Kasuya, for helpful discussions, advices, and encouragements on this study. The author is also grateful to the members of Saturday Seminar for invaluable comments on this result. This work was supported by JST SPRING, Grant Number JPMJSP21119.

2. IMMERSIONS OF 3-MANIFOLDS INTO THE 5-SPACE

In this section, we review the regular homotopy classification of immersions of 3-manifolds into the 5-space, in particular, the study of Wu [Wu64] and Saeki–Szűcs–Takase [SST02]. We basically refer to [SST02] and [Juh05] for notations.

Throughout this section, M^3 denotes an arbitrary oriented 3-manifold. As is well-known, M^3 is parallelizable, i.e., the tangent bundle TM^3 can be trivialized. We fix a parallelization $\tau: TM^3 \xrightarrow{\cong} M^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ of M^3 . Then for an immersion $f: M^3 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^n$, its differential $df: TM^3 \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^5$ is represented as the matrix-valued map

$$A_{\tau,f}: M^3 \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^5)^3, \quad x \mapsto [df_x(e_1) \quad df_x(e_2) \quad df_x(e_3)],$$

where e_i denotes the tangent vector on M^3 which corresponds to the i -th standard vector via τ . By orthonormalizing $A_{\tau,f}$, we have the map

$$\varphi_{\tau,f}: M^3 \rightarrow V_{5,3} \cong \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(2).$$

Now we recall the following fact.

Theorem 2.1 (Smale–Hirsch principle [Sma59, Hir59]). *For manifolds M and N such that $\dim M < \dim N$, let $\mathrm{Imm}(M, N)$ denote the space of all immersions of M into N , and $\mathrm{Mon}(TM, TN)$ the space of all fiberwise injective homomorphisms between the tangent bundles TM and TN . We endow them with C^∞ topology. Then the natural inclusion*

$$\mathrm{Imm}(M, N) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Mon}(TM, TN), \quad f \mapsto df$$

is weak homotopy equivalence.

Then we have the following: let $\mathrm{Imm}[M^3, \mathbb{R}^5]$ denote the set of all regular homotopy classes of immersions of M^3 into \mathbb{R}^5 , and $[M^3, V_{5,3}]$ the set of all homotopy classes of continuous maps from M^3 to $V_{5,3}$. Then the correspondence

$$\mathrm{Imm}[M^3, \mathbb{R}^5] \rightarrow [M^3, V_{5,3}], \quad [f] \mapsto [\varphi_{\tau,f}]$$

is a bijection.

Based on the above and additional homotopy-theoretical arguments, Wu concluded the following theorem: for an element $\chi \in H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$, let $\mathrm{Imm}[M^3, \mathbb{R}^5]_\chi$ denote the set of all regular homotopy classes of immersions of M^3 into \mathbb{R}^5 whose normal Euler class is χ .

Theorem 2.2 ([Wu64, Theorem 2], see also [Li82, SST02, Juh05]). *For any immersion $f: M^3 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^5$, its normal Euler class is of the form $2C \in H^2(M^3)$, where $C \in H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore, for any $\chi \in H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$, there is a bijection*

$$\mathrm{Imm}[M^3, \mathbb{R}^5]_\chi \rightarrow \Gamma_2(\chi) \times H^3(M^3; \mathbb{Z}) / (2\chi \smile H^1(M^3; \mathbb{Z})),$$

where

$$\Gamma_2(\chi) := \{C \in H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z}) \mid 2C = \chi\}.$$

2.1. Wu invariant. The Wu invariant is the first component of the bijection in Theorem 2.2. Before its definition, we note the proof of the former assertion in Theorem 2.2. This is given as follows: for an immersion $f: M^3 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^5$, its normal bundle is obtained as the pull-back of the natural $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ -bundle

$$\rho: \mathrm{SO}(5) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(2)$$

by $\varphi_{\tau,f}: M^3 \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(2)$. Applying the Gysin exact sequence, we see that the Euler class of ρ is of the form 2Σ , where Σ is a generator of $H^2(V_{5,3}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then, by the naturality of Euler classes, the normal Euler class χ of f coincides with $2(\varphi_{\tau,f})^*(\Sigma)$. It is nothing but that $(\varphi_{\tau,f})^*(\Sigma) \in \Gamma_2(\chi)$.

Definition 2.3 (Wu invariant [Wu64, Theorem 2], [SST02, Definition 4]). Let $f: M^3 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^5$ be an immersion and $\varphi_{\tau,f}: M^3 \rightarrow V_{5,3}$ the induced map. Then the *Wu invariant* of f for the fixed parallelization τ is defined as the class

$$c_{\tau}(f) := (\varphi_{\tau,f})^*(\Sigma) \in \Gamma_2(\chi),$$

where Σ denotes the generator of $H^2(V_{5,3}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ so that the Euler class of the natural $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ -bundle $\rho: \mathrm{SO}(5) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ coincides with 2Σ .

Clearly, the class $c_{\tau}(f)$ is invariant up to regular homotopy.

Remark 2.4. In [SST02], the class $c_{\tau}(f)$ is simply denoted by $c(f)$ under the contract that a parallelization is taken. It is important to specify which parallelization is taken, since the class $c_{\tau}(f)$ may vary by the choice of τ (see, e.g., below Definition 4 in [SST02, p.18]). In our main results, we will choose a certain parallelization introduced in §3 (Definition 3.13).

Remark 2.5. For the case that $\chi = 0$, Saeki–Szűcs–Takase gave a new expression of the Wu invariant, which is different to our main result. See [SST02, §3] for details.

2.2. Smale-type invariant. The second component of the bijection in Theorem 2.2 was given in a quite complicated way. After that, Saeki–Szűcs–Takase gave geometrically clear expression of the component for the case where $\chi = 0$ [SST02]. Also, Juhász generalized their expression for general χ [Juh05]. In below, we review only a part of [SST02, §5] which we will employ in our application. We refer to the original papers for more details.

Hereafter we consider a *connected and closed* 3-manifold M^3 . Let $TH_1(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$ denote the torsion subgroup of $H_1(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$:

Definition 2.6 (Smale-type invariant [SST02, Definitions 5 and 7]). Let $f: M^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ be an embedding with trivial normal bundle. Let X^4 be arbitrary compact oriented 4-manifold with $\partial X^4 = M^3$ and $\hat{f}: X^4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^6$ an embedding such that $\hat{f}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^5) = M^3$ and $\hat{f}|_{M^3} = f$. Then define

$$i(f) := \frac{3}{2}(\sigma(X^4) - \alpha(M^3)),$$

where $\sigma(X^4)$ denotes the signature of X^4 and $\alpha(M^3)$ denotes the dimension of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space $TH_1(M^3; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_2$.

In fact, the integer i (precisely, i_b in their notation) is defined for an arbitrary immersion $f: M^3 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^5$ with trivial normal bundle, and has additional terms. Furthermore, they has shown that i forms a well-defined regular homotopy invariant

$$i: \mathrm{Imm}[M^3, \mathbb{R}^5]_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let us call i the *Smale-type invariant*, because it coincides with the Smale invariant in the case that $M^3 = S^3$. The invariant Smale-type invariant forms the second component of the bijection in Theorem 2.2. Namely:

Theorem 2.7 ([SST02, Theorem 6]). *Let τ be a parallelization of M^3 . The correspondence*

$$(c_\tau, i): \text{Imm}[M^3, \mathbb{R}^5]_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_2(0) \times \mathbb{Z}$$

is a bijection, that is, the regular homotopy class of a given immersion with trivial normal bundle is determined by its Wu invariant and the Smale-type invariant.

3. PRELIMINARIES

This section is devoted to recall and introduce key notions for our main results and applications. We first recall contact manifolds and their classical examples, surface singularity links (or more general, Milnor fiber boundaries). After that, we also explain almost contact manifolds and make certain conventions. See [EM02, Gei08, Sea06] for details.

3.1. Contact manifolds.

Definition 3.1 (contact manifold). Let M^{2m+1} be a $(2m+1)$ -manifold. A *contact structure* on M^{2m+1} is a maximally non-integrable hyperplane distribution $\xi \subset TM^{2m+1}$, i.e., if ξ is locally defined by a 1-form α as $\xi = \text{Ker } \alpha$, then the $(2m+1)$ -form $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^m$ nowhere vanishes. We call the pair (M^{2m+1}, ξ) a *contact manifold*. A contact manifold (M^{2m+1}, ξ) is also said to be *cooriented* if ξ is cooriented, or equivalently, ξ is globally defined by a 1-form.

Remark 3.2. For a contact manifold (M^{2m+1}, ξ) , a defining 1-form α of ξ induces the symplectic structure $d\alpha|_\xi$ on ξ . Notice that the conformal class of $d\alpha|_\xi$ depends only on ξ . Indeed, for any non-vanishing function f on M^{2m+1} , it holds that $d(f\alpha)|_\xi = f \cdot d\alpha|_\xi$.

The following are typical examples.

Example 3.3. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m, z)$ be the standard coordinate system on \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} . Then the 1-form

$$\alpha_{\text{std}} = dz + \sum_{i=1}^m x_i dy_i$$

defines a contact structure $\xi_{\text{std}} = \text{Ker } \alpha_{\text{std}}$ on \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} . The structure ξ_{std} is called the *standard contact structure* on \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} .

Example 3.4. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{m+1})$ be the standard coordinate system on \mathbb{R}^{2m+2} . Then the 1-form

$$\alpha_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (x_i dy_i - y_j dx_i)$$

defines a contact structure $\xi_0 = \text{Ker } \alpha_0$ on the $(2m+1)$ -sphere S_ε^{2m+1} for any radius $\varepsilon > 0$. The structure ξ_0 is called the *standard contact structure* on S_ε^{2m+1} . It can be represented as the complex tangency

$$\xi_0 = TS_\varepsilon^{2m+1} \cap J_0(TS_\varepsilon^{2m+1}),$$

where J_0 is the standard complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^{2m+2} = \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$.

Proposition 3.5 ([Gei08, Proposition 2.1.8]). *For any point $p \in S_\varepsilon^{2m+1}$, the two contact manifolds $(\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$ and $(S_\varepsilon^{2m+1} \setminus \{p\}, \xi_0)$ are contactomorphic, i.e., there is a diffeomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} \rightarrow S_\varepsilon^{2m+1} \setminus \{p\}$ satisfying that $d\varphi(\xi_{\text{std}}) = \xi_0$.*

Definition 3.6 (contact embedding). Let (M^{2m+1}, ξ) and (N^{2n+1}, η) be contact manifolds. An embedding $f: M^{2m+1} \hookrightarrow N^{2n+1}$ is said to be *contact* if it holds that

$$T(f(M^{2m+1})) \cap \eta|_{f(M^{2m+1})} = df(\xi).$$

3.2. Surface singularities. Let $h: (\mathbb{C}^3, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a holomorphic function-germ with isolated singularity at the origin. The germ h itself or its zero set $h^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ are called a *surface singularity*.

Definition 3.7 (surface singularity link). For a surface singularity h and a sufficiently small number $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, the transverse intersection $M^3 = h^{-1}(0) \cap S_\varepsilon^5$ is called the *link* of the singularity h .

The link $M^3 = h^{-1}(0) \cap S_\varepsilon^5$ is a connected and closed 3-manifold endowed with the natural orientation as intersection. This also admits the contact structure

$$\xi_{\text{can}} = TM^3 \cap J_0(TM^3),$$

which is called the *canonical contact structure*. Furthermore, the inclusion map of (M^3, ξ_{can}) into the standard contact 5-sphere (S_ε^5, ξ_0) forms a contact embedding.

For a given singularity, the link is often studied together with the Milnor fiber.

Definition 3.8 (Milnor fiber). For sufficiently small numbers $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$, the 4-manifold $X^4 = h^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\varepsilon^6 \subset B_\varepsilon^6$ with boundary is called the *Milnor fiber* of h .

Its boundary $\partial X^4 = h^{-1}(\delta) \cap S_\varepsilon^5 \subset S_\varepsilon^5$, the *Milnor fiber boundary* of h , is also a connected, closed, and oriented 3-manifold. This admits the contact structure

$$\xi'_{\text{can}} = T(\partial X^4) \cap J_0(T(\partial X^4)),$$

and the inclusion map of $(\partial X^4, \xi'_{\text{can}})$ into the standard contact 5-sphere (S_ε^5, ξ_0) forms a contact embedding.

Remark 3.9. We note that the contact manifolds (M^3, ξ_{can}) and $(\partial X^4, \xi'_{\text{can}})$ are mutually isotopic in S_ε^5 preserving their contact structures. Thus we often identify them. We also note that even if the singularity is non-isolated, the Milnor fiber boundary is a manifold.

Now we focus on the isolated singularities in Table 1, which is called *surface singularities of type ADE* or *simple singularities* in the sense of Arnol'd [AGZV85]. They are also well-known as *Kleinian singularities*, *du Val singularities*, or *rational double points*.

TABLE 1. Surface singularities of types ADE

type	$h(x, y, z)$
A_μ ($\mu \geq 1$)	$x^2 + y^2 + z^{\mu+1}$
D_μ ($\mu \geq 4$)	$x^2 + y^2z + z^{\mu-2}$
E_6	$x^2 + y^3 + z^4$
E_7	$x^2 + y^3 + yz^3$
E_8	$x^2 + y^3 + z^5$

For each of these singularities, a graph 4- (resp. 3-) manifold structure of the Milnor fiber (resp. link) is well understood via the minimal resolution of the singularity. The underlying graph is known as the *Dynkin diagram*. Using this structure, we can calculate their (co)homological data as in Table 2. We will use them to compute the Wu and Smale-type invariants of certain immersions (recall Definition 2.6).

TABLE 2. (Co)homological data of surface singularities of type ADE (M^3 and X^4 denote the link and the Milnor fiber, respectively)

type	$H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$	$\sigma(X^4)$	$\alpha(M^3)$
A_{2n-1} ($n \geq 2$)	\mathbb{Z}_{2n}	$-(2n-1)$	1
A_{2n} ($n \geq 1$)	\mathbb{Z}_{2n+1}	$-2n$	0
D_{2n-1} ($n \geq 3$)	\mathbb{Z}_4	$-(2n-1)$	1
D_{2n} ($n \geq 2$)	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$-2n$	2
E_6	\mathbb{Z}_3	-6	0
E_7	\mathbb{Z}_2	-7	1
E_8	0	-8	0

3.3. Almost contact manifolds. In our main result, we will use the following notion which is weaker than contact structure. We refer to [EM02, p.100, §10.1.B] for the definition.

Definition 3.10 (almost contact manifold). Let M^{2m+1} be a $(2m+1)$ -manifold. An *almost contact structure* on M^{2m+1} is the pair (ξ, ω) of a hyperplane distribution $\xi \subset TM^{2m+1}$ and a symplectic form ω on ξ valued in the line bundle TM^{2m+1}/ξ . We call the triplet $(M^{2m+1}; \xi, \omega)$ an *almost contact manifold*. In the case that ξ is cooriented, $(M^{2m+1}; \xi, \omega)$ is also said to be *cooriented*.

Example 3.11. Let (M^{2m+1}, ξ) be a contact manifold. On each point $x \in M^{2m+1}$, we have the hyperplane field ξ and the symplectic structure $d\alpha|_{\xi}$ for some defining 1-form α of ξ (Remark 3.2). Hence every contact structure on a manifold induces an almost contact structure, which is unique up to conformal equivalence.

Remark 3.12 (other definitions). An almost contact structure is defined by slightly different ways depending on the situation (e.g., [Gei08, Kas16]). In any case, every almost contact structure defines a section of the $SO(2m+1)/U(m)$ -bundle over M^{2m+1} associated to TM^{2m+1} (cf. Proposition 3.16 below). Moreover, in any case, the space of all almost contact structures is homotopy equivalent to the space of all such sections. We adopt Definition 3.10 for convenience of the proof of our main result.

The following notion will be used to state our main results.

Definition 3.13 (almost contact parallelization). Let $(M^3; \xi, \omega)$ be a cooriented almost contact manifold such that ξ is trivial as a 2-plane field. A parallelization $\tau: TM^3 \xrightarrow{\cong} M^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ of M^3 is said to be an *almost contact parallelization* if the following are satisfied via τ :

- (i) the constant vector field e_1 gives the coorientation of ξ ;
- (ii) the constant vector fields e_2 and e_3 span ξ , and (e_2, e_3) gives the orientation of ξ determined by ω .

Also, in §4, we will consider the following notion which is the counterpart to Definition 3.6 in almost contact structure. This is an example of a *formal contact embedding* (cf. [Gro86, EM02]).

Definition 3.14 (almost contact embedding). Let $(M^{2m+1}; \xi, \omega)$ and $(N^{2n+1}; \eta, \varpi)$ be almost contact manifolds. An embedding $f: M^{2m+1} \hookrightarrow N^{2n+1}$ is said to be *almost contact* if

$$Tf(M^{2m+1}) \cap \eta|_{f(M^{2m+1})} = df(\xi).$$

Remark 3.15. For an almost contact embedding $f: (M^{2m+1}; \xi, \omega) \hookrightarrow (N^{2n+1}; \eta, \varpi)$, the conformal class of ω and $f^*\varpi$ coincide. Equivalently, $(df(\xi), \omega)$ is a symplectic subspace field of $(\eta|_{f(M^{2m+1})}, \varpi')$, where ϖ' is a symplectic structure belonging to the same conformal class as ϖ .

We will employ the following fact for Main Theorem A. For a real vector space V of dimension $2m + 1$, let us call the pair (H, Ω) of a hyperplane $H \subset V$ and a symplectic form Ω on H a *symplectic hyperplane*. In the case that V is oriented and H is cooriented, (H, Ω) is said to be *positive* (or *negative*) if the orientation Ω^m on H and the coorientation on H form the orientation on V (or not). Let $\mathcal{C}_\pm(V)$ denote the space of all positive (or negative) symplectic hyperplanes (H, Ω) in V .

Proposition 3.16. *For any oriented real vector space V of dimension $2m + 1$, the space $\mathcal{C}_\pm(V)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathrm{SO}(2m + 1)/\mathrm{U}(m)$.*

Here the unitary subgroup $\mathrm{U}(m)$ is embedded into the special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(2m)$ as $\{A \in \mathrm{SO}(2m) \mid J_0 A = A J_0\}$, where J_0 denotes the standard complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^m = \mathbb{R}^{2m}$. Also $\mathrm{SO}(2m)$ is embedded into $\mathrm{SO}(2m + 1)$ standardly.

Remark 3.17 (low-dimensional cases). If $m = 1$, i.e., $\dim V = 3$, then we have that $\mathcal{C}_\pm(V) \simeq \mathrm{SO}(3)/\mathrm{SO}(2) \cong S^2$. Hence every symplectic hyperplane (H, Ω) in \mathbb{R}^3 is determined by only H and its orientation defined by Ω via this homotopy equivalence. In the case that $m = 2$, we describe the identification $\mathcal{C}_\pm(V) \simeq \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{U}(2)$ in below subsection.

3.4. Conventions. At the end of this section, we make conventions on homogeneous spaces $\mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{U}(2)$. In the proof of our main result, we refer to the following: let e_i denote the i -th standard vector of \mathbb{R}^5 .

Convention 1. We embed $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ into $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ in the lowest diagonal position, i.e.,

$$\{E_3\} \times \mathrm{SO}(2) \subset \mathrm{SO}(5).$$

We also identify $\mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ with $V_{5,3}$ via the induced map from the action of $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ on $V_{5,3}$ at $[e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3]$:

$$\mathrm{SO}(5) \rightarrow V_{5,3}, \quad [v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3 \ v_4 \ v_5] \mapsto [v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3].$$

Let $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_5)$ be the basis of $(\mathbb{R}^5)^*$ dual to (e_1, \dots, e_5) . Namely, ε_i is the i -th standard covector of $(\mathbb{R}^5)^*$. Also, let $t: \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^5)^*$ denote the linear isomorphism sending e_i to ε_i .

Convention 2. We embed $\mathrm{U}(2)$ into $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ in the lower diagonal position, i.e.,

$$\{1\} \times \mathrm{U}(2) \subset \mathrm{SO}(5).$$

Also, considering the standard orientation on \mathbb{R}^5 , we identify $\mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{U}(2)$ with $\mathcal{C}_\pm(\mathbb{R}^5)$ (up to homotopy equivalence) via the induced map from the action of $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ on $\mathcal{C}_\pm(\mathbb{R}^5)$ at $(\mathrm{Ker} \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \wedge \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 \wedge \varepsilon_5)$:

$$\mathrm{SO}(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\pm(\mathbb{R}^5), \quad [v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3 \ v_4 \ v_5] \mapsto (H, \Omega) = (\mathrm{Ker} t(v_1), t(v_2) \wedge t(v_3) + t(v_4) \wedge t(v_5)).$$

Here take the coorientation of H as $\pm v_1$ depending on the sign \pm . Notice that the 2-plane spanned by v_2 and v_3 is a symplectic subspace of (H, Ω) .

4. ON MAIN THEOREM A

The goal of this section is to show the following assertion. Recall the notion of almost contact parallelization (Definition 3.13).

Theorem 4.1 (cf. Main Theorem A). *Let $(M^3; \xi, \omega)$ be a cooriented almost contact 3-manifold, $(\mathbb{R}^5; \eta, \varpi)$ the 5-space endowed with an almost contact structure, and*

$$f: (M^3; \xi, \omega) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^5; \eta, \varpi)$$

an almost contact embedding. Then the Wu invariant $c_\tau(f) \in H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes for any almost contact parallelization τ .

Before the proof, here are three remarks on the above result.

(a) We notice that the triviality of ξ as a 2-plane field is ensured as follows. In general, a hyperplane field ξ in an almost contact structure has a complex structure compatible with the symplectic structure, and it is unique up to homotopy. Hence its 1st Chern class $c_1(\xi) = 0$ makes sense. Under it, the following holds:

Theorem 4.2 ([Kas16, Theorem 1.3]). *If a closed contact manifold (M^{2n-1}, ξ) is a contact submanifold of a cooriented contact manifold (N^{2n+1}, η) such that $H^2(N^{2n+1}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, then $c_1(\xi) = 0$.*

Notice that Theorem 4.2 holds also for almost contact manifolds. Applying this result to the case that $n = 2$, we have the triviality of ξ as a 2-plane field.

(b) Since the map f is embedding, its normal Euler class of $f: M^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ vanishes [MS74, Theorem 11.3]. Therefore the Wu invariant of f is a 2-torsion for any parallelization. However, it does not imply the Wu invariant vanishes.

(c) Theorem 4.1 is related to the following result:

Theorem 4.3 ([Kas16, Theorem 1.5]). *Let (M^3, ξ) be a closed cooriented contact 3-manifold with $c_1(\xi) = 0$. Then there is a contact structure η on \mathbb{R}^5 such that we can embed (M^3, ξ) in (\mathbb{R}^5, η) as a contact submanifold.*

In the proof of Theorem 4.3, such an embedding is constructed so that its Wu invariant vanishes for an almost contact parallelization.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we need the following preparation.

4.1. New expression of the Wu invariant. The crux of the proof of Theorem 4.1 is to consider the quotient map

$$\pi: \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(2) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{U}(2),$$

and the composition

$$\psi_{\tau, f} := \pi \circ \varphi_{\tau, f}: M^3 \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{U}(2)$$

to use Proposition 3.16.

Regarding it, we give a new expression of the Wu invariant as follows. Recall that $\Sigma \in H^2(\mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(2); \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the generator for the definition of the Wu invariant (Definition 2.3).

Proposition 4.4. *Let $f: M^3 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^5$ be an immersion and τ a parallelization of M^3 . Then the Wu invariant $c_\tau(f)$ for τ is equal to the class*

$$(\psi_{\tau, f})^*(\Sigma') \in H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where Σ' is the generator of $H^2(\mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{U}(2); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ chosen so that $\pi^(\Sigma') = \Sigma$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the induced map

$$\pi^*: H^2(\mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{U}(2); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(2); \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism. This can be shown by applying the Gysin exact sequence of $\pi: \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(2) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{U}(2)$, which forms an orientable sphere bundle with fiber $\mathrm{U}(2)/\mathrm{SO}(2) \cong S^3$. \square

Remark 4.5. As is well-known, there is a diffeomorphism $\mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{U}(2) \cong \mathbb{C}P^3$ [Gei08, §8.1]. Under this identification, the generator Σ' coincides with the Poincaré dual of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ up to sign.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let us consider the map

$$\varphi_{\tau,f} = [v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3] : M^3 \rightarrow V_{5,3} \cong \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(2)$$

induced from the differential of f and the parallelization τ , where $v_i(x) = df_x(e_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Here notice that $df(\xi)$ is spanned by v_2 and v_3 , and has the orientation $t(v_2) \wedge t(v_3)$ (the map $t: \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^5)^*$ is one defined the above of Convention 2). We also consider the quotient map $\pi: \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(2) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{U}(2)$ and the composition

$$\psi_{\tau,f} := \pi \circ \varphi_{\tau,f} : M^3 \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{U}(2).$$

On the other hand, we take the coorientation of η so that it is compatible to that of ξ . Then the vector field $v_1 = df(e_1)$ gives the coorientation of η on $f(M^3)$. Moreover, according to Remark 3.12, the almost contact structure (η, ϖ) is regarded as a map

$$(\eta, \varpi) : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\pm(\mathbb{R}^5)$$

(the sign depends on whether the coorientation and the orientation of η are compatible to the standard orientation on \mathbb{R}^5).

We see that the map $\psi_{\tau,f}$ is null-homotopic, precisely, $\psi_{\tau,f}$ is regarded as the restriction of (η, ϖ) to $f(M^3)$ as follows. The distribution $df(\xi) \subset (\eta, \varpi)|_{f(M^3)}$ forms a symplectic subspace field, and the 2-frame (v_2, v_3) is homotopic to a symplectic basis field of $(df(\xi), \varpi|_{df(\xi)})$ (recall Remark 3.17). Then for each $x \in M^3$, by the extension of symplectic basis, the symplectic structure $\varpi_{f(x)}$ on $\eta_{f(x)}$ has the form

$$t(v_2(x)) \wedge t(v_3(x)) + t(v_4(x)) \wedge t(v_5(x))$$

up to homotopy, where $v_4(x)$ and $v_5(x)$ are appropriate vectors. Also, recall that the vector field v_1 gives the coorientation of η . Hence, in the sense of Convention 2, the restriction $(\eta, \varpi)|_{f(M^3)}$ has the representative

$$[v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3 \ v_4 \ v_5]$$

up to homotopy. By the form of $\varphi_{\tau,f}$ and Convention 1, we find that the map $(\eta, \varpi)|_{f(M^3)}$ coincides with $\psi_{\tau,f}$. Then Theorem 4.4 completes the proof. \square

4.3. Example. A typical example arises from surface singularities. Recall §3.2.

Example 4.6. Let $h: (\mathbb{C}^3, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a surface singularity, and $M^3 \subset S_\varepsilon^5$ the link or the Milnor fiber boundary of h . Removing one point of $S_\varepsilon^5 \setminus M^3$, the inclusion of M^3 into S_ε^5 defines a contact embedding

$$f: (M^3, \xi_{\mathrm{can}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^5, \xi_{\mathrm{std}}).$$

Notice that $c_1(\xi_{\mathrm{can}}) = 0$ by Theorem 4.2.

5. ON MAIN THEOREM B

Let $j: \mathbb{R}^4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ denote the standard inclusion $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, 0)$. The goal of this section is to show the following assertion. Recall the notion of almost contact parallelization (Definition 3.13).

Theorem 5.1 (cf. Main Theorem B). *Let $(M^3; \xi, \omega)$ be a cooriented almost contact 3-manifold, (\mathbb{R}^4, J) the 4-space endowed with an almost complex structure, and*

$$g: M^3 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^4$$

an immersion. Assume that the distribution $dg(\xi) \subset T\mathbb{R}^4$ forms a complex line everywhere and $c_1(\xi) = 0$. Then the Wu invariant $c_\tau(j \circ g) \in H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes for any almost contact parallelization τ .

The author do not know whether the triviality of ξ as a 2-plane field is always ensured. The motivative examples are given after the proof.

To prove Theorem 5.1, we need the following preparation.

5.1. Another expression of the Wu invariant. Recall that we have the map

$$\varphi_{\tau, j \circ g}: M^3 \rightarrow \text{SO}(5)/\text{SO}(2)$$

induced from the differential of $j \circ g$ and the parallelization τ . On the other hand, we also have the map

$$\Phi_{\tau, g}: M^3 \rightarrow V_{4,3} \cong \text{SO}(4)$$

induced from the differential of g and the parallelization τ . Since the 5th column of the map $\varphi_{\tau, j \circ g}$ is constantly e_5 , the relationship between these maps is that

$$(\rho \circ \iota) \circ \Phi_{\tau, g} = \varphi_{\tau, j \circ g},$$

where $\iota: \text{SO}(4) \hookrightarrow \text{SO}(5)$ denotes the embeddeing in the *upper* diagonal position and $\rho: \text{SO}(5) \rightarrow \text{SO}(5)/\text{SO}(2)$ denotes the quotient map.

Regarding it, we give a new expression of the Wu invariant as follows: for a complex structure $J \in \text{O}(4)$ on the vector space \mathbb{R}^4 , we define a subset

$$\text{U}(2)_J = \{A \in \text{SO}(4) \mid JA = AJ\},$$

the unitary group for J . Notice that if J is the standard one J_0 , then $\text{U}(2)_J$ is nothing but (the embedded image of) the usual unitary group $\text{U}(2)$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $g: M^3 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^4$ be an immersion and τ a parallelization of M^3 . Then the Wu invariant $c_\tau(j \circ g)$ for τ is equal to the Poincaré dual*

$$(\Phi_{\tau, g})^*[\text{U}(2)_J]^* \in H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$$

for any complex structure J on \mathbb{R}^4 .

Proof. First, the induced map

$$(\rho \circ \iota)^*: H^2(\text{SO}(5)/\text{SO}(2); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\rho^*} H^2(\text{SO}(5); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H^2(\text{SO}(4); \mathbb{Z}),$$

which is regarded as $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$, is a surjection. Indeed, applying the Gysin exact sequence of the orientable $\text{SO}(2)$ -bundle ρ , we see that ρ^* is a surjection; since the 3-skeletons of $\text{SO}(4)$ and $\text{SO}(5)$ are the same via the inclusion ι , we see that ι^* is an isomorphism.

Second, the generator of $H^2(\text{SO}(4); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is equal to the Poincaré dual $[\text{U}(2)_J]^*$ for any J . It is verified as follows. Applying the Gysin exact sequence to the orientable S^3 -bundle

$$\text{SU}(2) \hookrightarrow \text{SO}(4) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \text{SO}(4)/\text{SU}(2) (\cong \mathbb{R}P^3),$$

we have that

$$(\pi_1)^*: H^2(\mathrm{SO}(4)/\mathrm{SU}(2); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathrm{SO}(4); \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, applying the Gysin exact sequence to the orientable S^1 -bundle

$$\mathrm{U}(2)/\mathrm{SU}(2) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)/\mathrm{SU}(2) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \mathrm{SO}(4)/\mathrm{U}(2) (\cong S^2),$$

we have that

$$(\pi_2)^*: H^2(S^2; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathrm{SO}(4)/\mathrm{SU}(2); \mathbb{Z})$$

is a surjection. Hence the generator of $H^2(\mathrm{SO}(4); \mathbb{Z})$ is

$$(\pi_1)^* \circ (\pi_2)^* [\mathrm{pt.}]^* = [(\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)^{-1}(\mathrm{pt.})]^* = [\mathrm{U}(2)]^*.$$

It is clear that $[\mathrm{U}(2)]^* = [\mathrm{U}(2)_J]^* \in H^2(\mathrm{SO}(4); \mathbb{Z})$.

Then we see that

$$c(j \circ g) = (\varphi_f)^*(\Sigma) = (\Phi_{\tau, g})^*(\rho \circ \iota)^*(\Sigma) = (\Phi_{\tau, g})^*[\mathrm{U}(2)_J]^*,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.3. Notice that the immersion $j \circ g$ has the trivial normal bundle. Hence the Wu invariant of $j \circ g$ is a 2-torsion of $H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$. This can be verified also from that $[\mathrm{U}(2)_J]^*$ is an element of $H^2(\mathrm{SO}(4); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 5.1. First of all, we may assume that $J = J_0$, where J_0 denotes the standard complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2$ or its reversion from the beginning by the following reason. It is possible to deform $J = J_1$ to J_0 by some homotopy J_t . Then the 2-plane field ξ is deformed to another 2-plane field ξ_0 by a homotopy ξ_t , which is defined by

$$dg(\xi_t) = dg(TM^3) \cap J_t(dg(TM^3)).$$

Also, the orientation of ξ induces an orientation of ξ_0 by the homotopy. Therefore we see that the given almost contact structure (ξ, ω) is deformed to new one (ξ_0, ω_0) (recall Remark 3.17). Then J_0 and (ξ_0, ω_0) satisfy the assumption of Theorem 5.1.

Let us consider the map

$$\Phi_{\tau, g} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 \end{bmatrix} : M^3 \rightarrow V_{4,3} \cong \mathrm{SO}(4)$$

induced from the differential of g and the parallelization τ , where $v_i(x) = dg_x(e_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We show that $\Phi_{\tau, g}$ does not meet with $\mathrm{U}(2)_{J_0}$.

Let $x \in M^3$. By the form of τ , the 2-plane spanned by $v_2(x)$ and $v_3(x)$ coincides with

$$dg_x(\xi_x) \subset T_{g(x)}\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{R}^4.$$

By assumption, this 2-plane is complex with respect to J_0 . Hence we observe that the 2-plane spanned by $v_3(x)$ and $v_4(x)$ is not complex with respect to J_0 . Now we assume that the point $x \in M^3$ satisfies that $\Phi_{\tau, g}(x) \in \mathrm{U}(2)_J$, i.e.,

$$J_0 \cdot \Phi_{\tau, g}(x) = \Phi_{\tau, g}(x) \cdot J_0.$$

Then we have that $v_4(x) = J_0 \cdot v_3(x)$ in either case of that

$$J_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This makes the contradiction to the earlier observation, which completes the proof. \square

5.3. **Examples.** The motivative example of Main Theorem B is presented as follows.

Example 5.4. For the 2-sphere $S^2 = \{(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y^2 = 1\}$, the map

$$w: S^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{C}^2, \quad w(x_1, x_2, y) = (1 + y\sqrt{-1})(x_1, x_2)$$

is known as the *Whitney 2-sphere* [Whi44]. This map has only one positively transverse double point $h_0(0, 0, \pm 1) = (0, 0)$, and is real analytic. Moreover, this is a totally real immersion under the standard complex structure J_0 on \mathbb{C}^2 .

We now consider the disk normal bundle of w . Since its Euler number is -2 , the total space is diffeomorphic to the disk cotangent bundle DT^*S^2 . Put $X^4 = DT^*S^2$ and $M^3 = \partial X^4 = UT^*S^2$. Considering a complex structure J' on X^4 as the complexification of S^2 , the 3-manifold M^3 has the contact structure $\xi = TM^3 \cap J'(TM^3)$. Then there is a holomorphic immersion

$$\hat{g}: (X^4, J') \looparrowright (\mathbb{C}^2, J_0),$$

and it defines the immersion

$$g = \hat{g}|_{M^3}: M^3 \looparrowright \mathbb{C}^2.$$

By construction, this immersion g satisfies that $dg(\xi) \subset T\mathbb{C}^2$ is complex. Also notice that (M^3, ξ) is contactomorphic to the link of A_1 -singularity endowed with the canonical contact structure ξ_{can} . Therefore it holds that $c_1(\xi) = c_1(\xi_{\text{can}}) = 0$ (see Example 4.6).

Example 5.5. Let G be one of the Dynkin diagrams of type ADE. The following immersions \hat{g}_G and g_G were defined by Kinjo [Kin15]. Let $X(G)$ (resp. $M(G)$) denote the 4- (resp. 3-) manifold constructed by plumbing copies of the disk bundle DT^*S^2 (resp. the circle bundle UT^*S^2) according to the graph G . We construct immersions $\hat{g}_G: X(G) \looparrowright \mathbb{C}^2$ and $g_G: M(G) \looparrowright \mathbb{C}^2$ as follows. First, we consider the union of 2-spheres embedded in some Euclidean space so that they intersect transversely according to the graph G . Then we construct a map

$$w_G: S^2 \cup \cdots \cup S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$$

such that w_G coincides with the Whitney 2-sphere w on each S^2 after some rotation and parallel shift, w_G is still totally real on each S^2 , and all S^2 's intersect transversely in \mathbb{C}^2 . Second, taking a regular neighborhood of w_G , we have immersions

$$\hat{g}_G: X(G) \looparrowright \mathbb{C}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad g_G = \hat{g}_G|_{M(G)}: M(G) \looparrowright \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Then we induce a complex structure J on $X(G)$ from the standard complex structure J_0 on \mathbb{C}^2 . We also define an almost contact structure ξ on $M(G)$ by $\xi = TM(G) \cap J(TM(G))$. By construction, the immersion \hat{g}_G is holomorphic and hence the immersion g_G satisfies that $dg_G(\xi) \subset T\mathbb{C}^2$ is complex.

Let X^4 (resp. M^3) denote the Milnor fiber (resp. link) of the ADE-singularity corresponding to the graph G , and ξ_{can} denote the canonical contact structure on M^3 . Now we claim that *two boundaries* $(M(G), \xi)$ and (M^3, ξ_{can}) are homotopic as almost contact manifolds. Here we note that $c_1(\xi_{\text{can}}) = 0$ (see Example 4.6). The claim is verified as follows. Considering the complex structure on X^4 as a complex submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 , the manifold X^4 has a spine S which is the transverse union $S^2 \cup \cdots \cup S^2$ associated with G consisting of totally real 2-spheres. Then we have an embedding

$$\alpha: X(G) \hookrightarrow X^4$$

such that $\alpha(S^2 \cup \cdots \cup S^2)$ coincides with S . Here notice that the complex structure on $X(G)$ induced from X^4 via α is homotopic to J as an almost complex structure. Moreover, it is possible to hold that X^4 deformation retracts to $\alpha(X(G))$ by an isotopy.

Consequently, the boundaries $(\alpha(M(G)), d\alpha(\xi))$ and (M^3, ξ_{can}) are homotopic as almost contact manifolds. Then it follows that $c_1(\xi) = c_1(\xi_{\text{can}}) = 0$.

6. APPLICATIONS

In the final section, we give two applications of Main Theorems A and B to immersions of surface singularity links, in particular, links of types ADE.

Here we recall Theorem 2.7. Then for a given immersion $f: M^3 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^5$ of an oriented 3-manifold M^3 , the determination of the regular homotopy class of f was reduced to the computation of the Wu and Smale-type invariants.

6.1. The inclusion maps of surface singularity links. We deduce the following assertion from Theorem 2.7 and Main Theorem A.

Corollary 6.1. *For a surface singularity $h: (\mathbb{C}^3, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ and a sufficiently small number $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, let $M^3 \subset S_\varepsilon^5$ denote the link of h . Then the regular homotopy class of the inclusion $F: M^3 \hookrightarrow S_\varepsilon^5$ is determined if both the signature $\sigma(X^4)$ of the Milnor fiber X^4 and the integer $\alpha(M^3)$ are known.*

Proof. We isotope M^3 to the boundary of the Milnor fiber X^4 , and denote also it by M^3 . Recalling Example 4.6, we define an embedding $f: M^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ by removing one point of $S_\varepsilon^5 \setminus M^3$. Since the regular homotopy class of f does not depend on the choice of removed point, the determination problem for the regular homotopy class of F is reduced to that for f .

By Theorem 2.7, it suffices to compute the Wu invariant and the Smale-type invariant. The former is already known by Main Theorem A. The latter is found as follows: consider the inclusion $\hat{F}: X^4 \hookrightarrow B_\varepsilon^6$ of the Milnor fiber. Removing the point of $S_\varepsilon^5 \setminus M^3$ as in the construction of f , we have an embedding $\hat{f}: X^4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^6$. Here notice that the normal bundle of f is trivial (it can be verified by a concrete observation). Hence the map \hat{f} satisfies the assumption of Definition 2.6. Therefore the Smale-type invariant can be computed from $\sigma(X^4)$ and $\alpha(M^3)$. \square

In particular, needed data $\sigma(X^4)$ and $\alpha(M^3)$ are known for types ADE (see Tables 2 and 3). Therefore, for links of these singularity types, we succeed to determine the regular homotopy class of the inclusion map. We note that these data have been studied also in general (e.g., [Nem99, GCN24] for $\sigma(X^4)$ and [A⁺03, NS12] for $\alpha(M^3)$).

TABLE 3. The Smale-type invariants of immersions f and $j \circ g_G$ for types ADE

type	$\sigma(X^4)$	$\alpha(M^3)$	$i(f) = i(j \circ g_G)$
A_{2n-1}	$-(2n-1)$	1	$-3n$
A_{2n}	$-2n$	0	$-3n$
D_{2n-1}	$-(2n-1)$	1	$-3n$
D_{2n}	$-2n$	2	$-3(n+1)$
E_6	-6	0	-9
E_7	-7	1	-12
E_8	-8	0	-12

6.2. Comparison of two immersions. We also consider the Kinjo's immersion defined in Example 5.5. We deduce the following assertion from Theorem 2.7 and Main Theorems A and B.

Corollary 6.2. *Fix a singularity of type ADE. Let M^3 be the link of the singularity and $M(G)$ the graph 3-manifold whose underlying graph is the corresponding Dynkin diagram. Identify these manifolds as almost contact manifolds (recall the argument in Example 5.5). Consider the embedding $f: M^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ in Example 4.6 and the immersion $g_G: M(G) \looparrowright \mathbb{C}^2$ in Example 5.5. Then the two immersions f and $j \circ g_G$ are mutually regularly homotopic.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.7, it suffices to show that each of their Wu invariants and Smale-type invariants coincides. The former is done by Main Theorems A and B. For, the Wu invariants of both f and $j \circ g_G$ vanish for arbitrary almost contact parallelization. The latter is also verified as follows: recall the immersion $\hat{g}_G: X(G) \looparrowright \mathbb{C}^2$, which is a filling of g_G . Since the spine of $X(G)$ is the union of some 2-spheres, we see that the immersion $j \circ \hat{g}_G: X(G) \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^5$ is regularly homotopic to an embedding. Also since the normal bundle of $j \circ \hat{g}_G$ is trivial, the embedding satisfies the assumption of Definition 2.6. Furthermore, the filling $X(G)$ is diffeomorphic to the Milnor fiber of the singularity. Recalling the argument in the proof of Corollary 6.1, it follows that the Smale-type invariant $i(j \circ g_G)$ coincides with that of $i(f)$ (see Table 3). \square

Remark 6.3. The following has been already known by [Kin15] and [NP15]: for each singularity of type A or D, two immersions $f \circ p, j \circ g_G \circ p: S^3 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^5$ are mutually regularly homotopic, where $p: S^3 \rightarrow M^3$ denotes the universal covering map. This is shown by computing their Smale invariants. Therefore our result is a refinement of their works.

We note that the same type immersion $g_G \circ p$ is defined also for the link of type E (all of E_6, E_7 , and E_8), but its Smale invariant have not been known yet.

REFERENCES

- [A⁺03] K. Aaslepp, M. Drawe, C. Hayat-Legrand, C. A. Sczesny, and H. Zieschang: *On the cohomology of Seifert and graph manifolds*, *Topology and its Applications* **127**(1)–(2) (2003), 3–32.
- [AGZV85] V. I. Arnol’d, S. M. Gusein-Zade, and A. N. Varchenko: *Singularities of Differentiable Maps I*, *Monograph in Math.* **82**, Birkhäuser, 1985.
- [ES03] T. Ekholm and A. Szűcs: *Geometric formulas for Smale invariants of codimension two immersions*, *Topology* **42**(1) (2003), 171–196.
- [ES06] T. Ekholm and A. Szűcs: *The group of immersions of homotopy $(4k-1)$ -spheres*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **38** (2006) 163–176.
- [ET11] T. Ekholm and M. Takase: *Singular Seifert surfaces and Smale invariants for a family of 3-sphere immersions*, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **43**(2) (2011), 251–266.
- [EM02] Y. Eliashberg and N. Mishachev: *Introduction to the h-principle*, *Grad. Stud. Math.* **48**, AMS, 2002.
- [Gei08] H. Geiges: *An introduction to Contact Topology*, *Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math.* **108**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2008.
- [GCN24] R. Giménez Conejero, G. Pintér: *Signature of the Milnor fiber of parametrized surfaces*, arXiv:2401.14951 [math.AG] (2024).
- [Gro86] M. Gromov: *Partial Differential Relations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [Hir59] M. W. Hirsch: *Immersions of manifolds*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1959), 242–276.
- [HM85] J. Hughes and P. Melvin: *The Smale invariant of a knot*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **60**(4) (1985), 615–627.
- [Juh05] A. Juhász: *A geometric classification of immersions of 3-manifolds into 5-space*, *Manuscripta Math.* **117**(1) (2005), 65–83.
- [Kas16] N. Kasuya: *An obstruction for codimension two contact embeddings in the odd dimensional Euclidean spaces*, *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan* **68**(2) (2016), 737–743.
- [Kin15] S. Kinjo: *Immersions of 3-sphere into 4-space associated with Dynkin diagrams of types A and D*, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **47**(4) (2015) 651–662.
- [Li82] B.-H. Li: *On classification of immersions of n -manifolds in $(2n-1)$ -manifolds*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **57** (1982), 135–142.

- [MS74] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Ann. of Math. Stud., **76**, Princeton Univ. Press., 1974.
- [Nem99] A. Némethi: *The signature of $f(x, y) + z^N = 0$* , London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **263** (1999), 131–149.
- [NP15] A. Némethi and G. Pinter: *Immersions associated with holomorphic germs*, Comment. Math. Helv. **90**(3) (2015), 513–541.
- [NS12] A. Némethi, Á. Szilárd: *Milnor Fiber Boundary of a Non-isolated Surface Singularity*, LNM **2037**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2012.
- [SST02] O. Saeki, A. Szűcs, and M. Takase: *Regular homotopy classes of immersions of 3-manifolds into 5-space*, Manuscripta Math. **108**(1) (2002), 13–32.
- [ST02] O. Saeki and M. Takase: *Spin structures and codimension two embeddings of 3-manifolds up to regular homotopy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354**(12) (2002), 5049–5061.
- [Sea06] J. Seade: *On the Topology of Isolated Singularities in Analytic Spaces*, Progress in Mathematics **241**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006.
- [Sma59] S. Smale: *The classification of immersions of spheres in euclidean spaces*, Ann. of Math. **69** (1959), 327–344.
- [Whi44] H. Whitney: *The self-intersections of a smooth n -manifold in $2n$ -space*, Ann. of Math. **45**(2) (1944), 220–246.
- [Wu64] W.-T. Wu: *On the immersion of C^∞ -3-manifolds in a Euclidean space*, Sci. Sinica **13** (1964), 335–336.

Email address: `tanabe.masato.i8@elms.hokudai.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY,
NORTH 10, WEST 8, KITA-KU, SAPPORO 060-0810, JAPAN