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#### Abstract

The Banach isometric conjecture asserts that a normed space with all of its $k$-dimensional subspaces isometric, where $k \geq 2$, is Euclidean. The first case of $k=2$ is classical, established by Auerbach, Mazur and Ulam using an elegant topological argument. We refine their method to arrive at a stable version of their result: if all 2-dimensional subspaces are almost isometric, then the space is almost Euclidean. Furthermore, we show that a 2-dimensional surface, which is not a torus or a Klein bottle, equipped with a near-monochromatic Finsler metric, is approximately Riemannian. The stability is quantified explicitly using the Banach-Mazur distance.


## 1. Introduction and results

In 1932, Banach made the following conjecture [2].
Conjecture 1.1. Let $V$ be a normed space over the reals, and $k \geq 2$ an integer smaller than $n=\operatorname{dim}(V)$. Suppose all linear $k$-dimensional subspaces of $V$ are isometric to each other. Then, $V$ must necessarily be a Hilbert space.

A seemingly more general conjecture, known to be equivalent to Conjecture 1.1 [18], is the following.

Conjecture 1.2. Let $V$ be a normed space over the reals, and $2 \leq k<\operatorname{dim} V$. If $K \subset V$ is a convex body, and all its $k$-dimensional sections through an interior point of $K$ are affinely equivalent, then $K$ is an ellipsoid.

Here two subsets of two respective affine spaces are affinely equivalent if one is the image of the other under an affine map.

This conjecture has been proven in various cases, starting with the work of Auerbach-Mazur-Ulam in 1935 [1], who proved it for $k=2$. Their proof is based on a topological obstruction - an idea later pushed further by Gromov [8] to settle the conjecture for even $k$, as well as for $\operatorname{dim} V \geq k+2$. Recently, Bor-Hernandez Lamoneda-Jimenez Desantiago-Montejano Peimbert 3] combined topological and convex-geometric ideas to prove the conjecture for $k=4 m+1$, with the possible exception of $n=133$; while for $k=3$, the conjecture has been confirmed by Ivanov, Mamaev, and Nordskova [11. A local version of Conjecture 1.1 for $k=2,3$ was established in [10, 12. Some results were also obtained for the Banach conjecture in complex normed spaces [8, 4].

[^0]1.1. Results. In this note, we initiate the study of stability in Banach's conjecture. Stability results in convex geometry concern themselves either with geometric inequalities, showing near-extremizers to be close to the extremizers; or with the geometric characterization of a certain type of convex body, showing e.g. that a convex body approximately satisfying a property exhibitied only by ellipsoids, must be close to an ellipsoid. This work studies the latter type of stability. Previous stability results of this type appeared in several works, such as Burger and Schneider [5], Groemer [7], Gruber [9] (see also references therein).

Loosely speaking, we wish to know if, when the linear $k$-dimensional sections of a convex body $K$ with 0 in its interior are approximately linearly/affinely equivalent, $K$ must be approximately an ellipsoid. To quantify the deviation from linear/affine equivalence, we use the Banach-Mazur distance.

Definition 1.3. Let $V, W$ be isomorphic Banach spaces (with possibly non-symmetric norms). The Banach-Mazur distance between them is defined by

$$
d_{B M}(V, W)=\inf \left\{\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\|: T \in \operatorname{GL}(V, W)\right\}
$$

One can identify (non-symmetric) normed spaces with their unit balls, which are convex bodies with the origin a fixed point in their interior. The Banach-Mazur distance yields a corresponding notion of distance on such pointed bodies.

Definition 1.4. Let $K \subset V, L \subset W$ be convex bodies with the origin in their interior. The linear Banach-Mazur distance is

$$
d_{B M}^{\operatorname{Lin}}(K, L)=\inf \{\lambda>0: K \subset T L \subset \lambda K, \text { for some } T \in \mathrm{GL}(W, V) .\}
$$

When affine maps are considered, we need to allow additional freedom in the choice of origin. Denote by $\overline{\mathrm{GL}}(W, V)$ the set of affine maps from $W$ to $V$.

Definition 1.5. Let $K \subset V, L \subset W$ be convex bodies with non-empty interior.
$d_{B M}(K, L)=\inf \{\lambda>0: K \subset T L \subset \lambda K+z$, for some $T \in \overline{\mathrm{GL}}(W, V), z \in V\}$.
Note that if the convex bodies $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are the unit balls of norms $\|\bullet\|_{K}$, resp $\|\bullet\|_{L}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have $d_{B M}(K, L) \leq d_{B M}^{\mathrm{Lin}}(K, L)=d_{B M}\left(\|\bullet\|_{K},\|\bullet\|_{L}\right)$ in general. Equality holds e.g. when both $K, L$ are centrally symmetric.

The Banach-Mazur distance is usually left in multiplicative form. Thus $K, L$ are affinely equivalent if and only if $d_{B M}(K, L)=1$, and $d_{B M}(K, L) \geq 1$ in general.

We can now formulate a quantitative version of the Banach conjecture. Denote by $\mathbb{E}^{n}$ the Euclidean $n$-dimensional space, and by $B^{n}$ the unit ball therein.
Conjecture 1.6. For any $\epsilon>0$ and $n>k \geq 2$ there is $\delta=\delta(n, k, \epsilon)>0$ such that the following holds. For any n-dimensional normed space $V$, possibly nonsymmetric, such that $d_{B M}(E, F)<1+\delta$ for all $k$-dimensional linear subspaces $E, F \subset V$, it must hold that $d_{B M}\left(V, \mathbb{E}^{n}\right)<1+\epsilon$.

As before, this is the linear case of the general affine conjecture.
Conjecture 1.7. For any $\epsilon>0$ and $n>k \geq 2$ there is $\delta=\delta(n, k, \epsilon)>0$ such that the following holds. For any convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with 0 in its interior, such that $d_{B M}(K \cap E, K \cap F)<1+\delta$ for all $k$-dimensional linear subspaces $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we must have $d_{B M}\left(K, B^{n}\right)<1+\epsilon$.

We prove Conjecture 1.7 for $k=2$ with an explicit stability estimate. In the following, $c>0$ denotes various universal constants that can be computed explicitly.

Theorem 1.8. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a convex body with 0 in its interior. Assume that $d_{B M}(K \cap E, K \cap F)<1+\delta$ for all linear 2 -dimensional subspaces $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $d_{B M}\left(K, B^{n}\right)<1+c n^{2 n^{2}} \delta^{1 / 6}$.

For Conjecture 1.6 with $k=2$ we obtain better stability.
Theorem 1.9. Let $V=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a normed space, not necessarily symmetric. Assume that $d_{B M}(E, F)<1+\delta$ for all 2 -dimensional subspaces $E, F \subset V$. Then $d_{B M}\left(V, \mathbb{E}^{n}\right)<1+c n^{2} \delta^{1 / 3}$.

In the special case of symmetric norms this can be stated as follows.
Corollary 1.10. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a centrally-symmetric convex body. Assume that $d_{B M}(K \cap E, K \cap F)<1+\delta$ for all linear 2 -dimensional subspaces $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $d_{B M}\left(K, B^{n}\right)<1+c n^{2} \delta^{1 / 3}$.

We deduce these results as a corollary of the following general theorem on fields of convex bodies. A continuous field of convex bodies over a manifold $\Sigma$ is a family of convex bodies with non-empty interior $K_{x} \subset T_{x} \Sigma, x \in \Sigma$, continuous with respect to the Hausdorff distance within each chart.

Theorem 1.11. Let $\Sigma$ be a closed surface which is not a torus or a Klein bottle. If a continuous field of convex bodies $\left(K_{x}\right)_{x \in \Sigma}$ satisfies $d_{B M}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)<1+\delta$ for all $x, y \in \Sigma$, then for all $x \in \Sigma, d_{B M}\left(K_{x}, B^{2}\right)<1+c \delta^{1 / 3}$.

Thus a near-monochromatic Finsler metric on $\Sigma$ is approximately Riemannian:
Corollary 1.12. Let $\Sigma$ be as in Theorem 1.11, and assume it is equipped with a $C^{0}$ Finsler structure such that $d_{B M}\left(T_{x} \Sigma, T_{y} \Sigma\right)<1+\delta$ for all $x, y \in \Sigma$. Then $d_{B M}\left(T_{x} \Sigma, \mathbb{E}^{2}\right)<1+c \delta^{1 / 3}$ for all $x \in \Sigma$.

The restriction on the topology of $\Sigma$ is necessary as one can easily construct monochromatic Finsler structures on the torus and the Klein bottle which are not Riemannian. It will be seen from the proof that the key required property is the non-existence of a field of tangent lines on the surface. We conjecture that Theorem 1.11, with some stability bounds, holds in greater generality, in particular for even dimensional spheres.
1.2. Ideas and plan of the proof. The proof is inspired by the proof of Auerbach-Mazur-Ulam of Conjecture 1.7 for $k=2$, $\operatorname{dim} V=3$, which we now recall using modern language. Letting $K_{x}=K \cap x^{\perp}$, we get a continuous field of convex bodies in the tangent planes of $S^{2}, x \mapsto K_{x} \subset T_{x} S^{2}$. A convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is either an ellipse, or has a finite group of affine symmetries. Fixing $x_{0} \in S^{2}$ and assuming that none of $K_{x}$ are ellipses, the affine maps Aff $\left(K_{x_{0}}, K_{x}\right)$ mapping $K_{x_{0}}$ to $K_{x}$ define a covering space over $S^{2}$, which must have a section, $x \mapsto g_{x}$, as $S^{2}$ is simply connected. Fixing a line $L \subset T_{x_{0}} S^{2}$, and letting $L_{x}$ be the linear line in $T_{x} S^{2}$ parallel to $g_{x}(L)$, we obtain a field $x \mapsto L_{x}$ of tangent lines on $S^{2}$, which is impossible. Thus all sections $K_{x}$ are ellipses. A separate geometric argument, showing that a convex body all of whose 2 -dimensional sections through an interior point are ellipses must be an ellipsoid, completes the proof.

As the proof of Auerbach-Mazur-Ulam, as do most other known cases of the Banach conjecture, has a topological obstruction at its heart, it is somewhat surprising that a stability result for $k=2$ can be obtained by following the scheme of proof outlined above.

The proof of Theorem 1.11 appears in section 3. We make use of an associated Riemannian structure on $\Sigma$ given by the Binet-Legendre ellipsoids $\mathcal{E}\left(K_{x}\right)$. We consider sets of approximate isometries $G_{\alpha}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)$, consisting of affine maps $g$ : $T_{x} \Sigma \rightarrow T_{y} \Sigma$ that preserve the Binet-Legendre ellipsoids, and map $K_{x}$ to $K_{y}$ up to an error $\alpha$ with respect to the Hausdorff distance. We observe that if the elements of $G_{\alpha}\left(K_{x}\right)$ form a fine net in the special orthogonal group, then $K_{x}$ must be close to an ellipse. Provided this is not the case, the key step is then to find a range of values $\alpha$ for which $G_{\alpha}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right)$, or more precisely a low-resolution version thereof, is fixed. This then allows to define a covering space consisting of approximate isometries in $G_{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(K_{x_{0}}, K_{x}\right)$, and proceed as before.

The corollaries of Theorem 1.11 to stability in the Banach conjecture are proved in section 4. To pass from Theorem 1.11 to Theorem 1.8, we prove a stable version of independent interest of the characterization of ellipsoids through planar sections mentioned above. For Theorem [1.9, we get better stability by utilizing instead a stable version of the von Neumann-Jordan theorem due to Passer.
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## 2. Preliminaries

For an ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$, we denote by $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ the Hausdorff distance with respect to the Euclidean metric for which $\mathcal{E}$ is a unit ball. Denote by cent $(K)$ the centroid (center of mass) of $K$. We say $K$ is centered if $\operatorname{cent}(K)=0$. A basic property of centered convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, which we will use repeatedly, is that $-K \subset n K$ [20, Lemma 2.3.3]. For a centered convex body $K$, the Binet-Legendre ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}(K) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, also called the elipsoid of inertia, is the dual ellipsoid of the unit ball in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{*}$ of the inner product

$$
\langle\phi, \psi\rangle_{K}=\frac{n+2}{\operatorname{vol}(K)} \int_{K} \phi(x) \psi(x) d \operatorname{vol}(x)
$$

where vol is an arbitrary Lebesgue measure on $V$. For general $K$, we set $\mathcal{E}(K):=$ $\mathcal{E}(K-\operatorname{cent}(K))+\operatorname{cent}(K)$.

Evidently, if $g \in \overline{\mathrm{GL}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ then $\mathcal{E}(g K)=g \mathcal{E}(K)$. If $K$ is an ellipsoid, $\mathcal{E}(K)=K$. Note that unlike the definition in [15], which uses a fixed origin, we use the centroid of $K$ as the center of the Binet-Legendre ellipsoid.

Lemma 2.1. Let $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be centered convex bodies. If $\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} K \subset L \subset \lambda_{2} K$, then

$$
\mathcal{E}(K) \subset \lambda_{1}^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \lambda_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathcal{E}(L), \quad \mathcal{E}(L) \subset \lambda_{1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \lambda_{2}^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \mathcal{E}(K)
$$

Furthermore, there are positive constants $a_{n}, b_{n}$ such that $a_{n} \mathcal{E}(K) \subset K \subset b_{n} \mathcal{E}(K)$. One can take explicitly $a_{n}=2^{-\frac{n}{2}-1} n^{-\frac{3 n}{4}-\frac{3}{2}}, b_{n}=2^{\frac{n}{2}+1} n^{\frac{3 n}{4}+\frac{3}{2}}$.

Proof. We follow [16]. We have

$$
\int_{L} \phi(x)^{2} d x \leq \int_{\lambda_{2} K} \phi(x)^{2} d x=\lambda_{2}^{n+2} \int_{K} \phi(x)^{2} d x
$$

As $\operatorname{vol}(L) \geq \lambda_{1}^{-n} \operatorname{vol}(K)$, we conclude that

$$
\langle\phi, \phi\rangle_{L} \leq \lambda_{1}^{n} \lambda_{2}^{n+2}\langle\phi, \phi\rangle_{K} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}(L) \subset \lambda_{1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \lambda_{2}^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \mathcal{E}(K)
$$

The second inclusion follows by symmetry.
For the second part, define $K_{s}=\frac{1}{2}(K+(-K))$. As $-K \subset n K$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{n} K_{s} \subset \frac{2}{n+1} K_{s} \subset K \subset 2 K_{s}
$$

and by the first part,

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(K_{s}\right) \subset 2^{\frac{n}{2}} n^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \mathcal{E}(K), \quad \mathcal{E}(K) \subset 2^{\frac{n}{2}+1} n^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathcal{E}\left(K_{s}\right)
$$

We make use of John's maximal volume ellipsoid $J$ of $K_{s}$, which satisfies $J \subset K_{s} \subset$ $\sqrt{n} J$ by John's theorem. Observe that $\mathcal{E}(J)=J$. By the first part, it holds that

$$
J \subset n^{\frac{n}{4}} \mathcal{E}\left(K_{s}\right), \quad \mathcal{E}\left(K_{s}\right) \subset n^{\frac{n+2}{4}} J
$$

As

$$
\frac{1}{n} J \subset \frac{1}{n} K_{s} \subset K \subset 2 K_{s} \subset 2 \sqrt{n} J
$$

we conclude that

$$
K \subset 2^{\frac{n}{2}+1} n^{\frac{3 n}{4}+\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}(K), \quad \mathcal{E}(K) \subset 2^{\frac{n}{2}+1} n^{\frac{3 n}{4}+\frac{3}{2}} K
$$

Definition 2.2. The Binet-Legendre distance between $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is

$$
d_{B L}(K, L)=\min \left\{d_{\mathcal{E}\left(g_{1} K\right)}\left(g_{1} K, g_{2} L\right): g_{1}, g_{2} \in \overline{\mathrm{GL}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \mathcal{E}\left(g_{1} K\right)=\mathcal{E}\left(g_{2} L\right)\right\}
$$

Lemma 2.3. $d_{B M}$ and $d_{B L}$ are equivalent distances on $\mathcal{K}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Explicitly, there is $\epsilon_{n}>0$ such that for $d_{B M}(K, L) \leq 1+\epsilon_{n}$ we have

$$
a_{n}\left(d_{B M}(K, L)-1\right) \leq d_{B L}(K, L) \leq b_{n}^{\prime}\left(d_{B M}(K, L)-1\right)
$$

where $b_{n}^{\prime}=(3 n+2) b_{n}\left(1+6 n \frac{b_{n}}{a_{n}}\right)$.
Furthermore, for $\epsilon<\epsilon_{n}$, if $d_{B M}(K, L)=1+\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{E}(K)=\mathcal{E}(L)=B^{n}$, then there is $T \in \mathrm{O}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(1+d_{n} \epsilon\right)^{-1} K \subset T L \subset\left(1+d_{n} \epsilon\right) K
$$

where $d_{n}=4 n \frac{b_{n}}{a_{n}}\left(1+6 n \frac{b_{n}}{a_{n}}\right)$.
Later we will use the larger value $d_{n}=c 4^{n} n^{3 n+8}$ for simplicity.
Proof. Write $a=a_{n}, b=b_{n}$. Assume $d_{B L}(K, L)=\epsilon$. We may assume that a Euclidean structure is fixed such that $\mathcal{E}(K)=\mathcal{E}(L)=B^{n}$, and $d_{B^{n}}(K, L)=\epsilon$. That is, $K \subset L+\epsilon B^{n}, L \subset K+\epsilon B^{n}$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $K \subset L+\frac{\epsilon}{a} L=\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{a}\right) L$, and similarly $L \subset\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{a}\right) K$. Thus $d_{B M}(K, L) \leq 1+\frac{\epsilon}{a}=1+\frac{d_{B L}(K, L)}{a}$.

In the other direction, assume $d_{B M}(K, L)=1+\epsilon$. We may assume $\mathcal{E}(K)=B^{n}$, and $K \subset L \subset(1+\epsilon) K+z$ for some $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. It holds that

$$
q:=\operatorname{cent}(L)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(L)} \int_{L} x d \operatorname{vol}(x)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(L)} \int_{L \backslash K} x d \operatorname{vol}(x)
$$

Since $K \subset(1+\epsilon) K+z$, we deduce that

$$
-z \in \epsilon K \Rightarrow z \in n \epsilon K
$$

In particular, $|z| \leq n b \epsilon$, and $\max _{L}|x| \leq|z|+(1+\epsilon) \max _{K}|x| \leq b(1+(n+1) \epsilon)$.

Consequently by Lemma 2.1, and since for $\epsilon<\frac{1}{n}$

$$
(1+\epsilon)^{n}-1=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{n(n-1) \cdots(n-j+1)}{j!} \epsilon^{j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n \epsilon)^{j}}{j!}=e^{n \epsilon}-1<2 n \epsilon
$$

it follows that for $\epsilon<\frac{1}{n+1}$,

$$
|q| \leq \frac{\operatorname{vol}(L \backslash K)}{\operatorname{vol}(L)} \max _{L \backslash K}|x| \leq \frac{\left((1+\epsilon)^{n}-1\right) \operatorname{vol}(K)}{\operatorname{vol}(K)} \max _{L}|x| \leq 4 n b \epsilon
$$

and so $\pm q \in \frac{4 n b}{a} \epsilon a B^{n} \subset \frac{4 n b}{a} \epsilon K$. Thus

$$
\left(1-4 \frac{n b}{a} \epsilon\right) K \subset L-q \subset(1+\epsilon) K+\frac{n b \epsilon}{a} a B^{n}+\frac{4 n b \epsilon}{a} a B^{n} \subset\left(1+\left(1+\frac{5 n b}{a}\right) \epsilon\right) K
$$

Denote $b_{n}^{\prime}=1+5 \frac{n b}{a}$. Then (for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small)

$$
\frac{1}{1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon} K \subset L-q \subset\left(1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right) K
$$

and it follows again by Lemma 2.1 that $\left(1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right)^{-n-1} B^{n} \subset \mathcal{E}(L-q) \subset(1+$ $\left.b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right)^{n+1} B^{n}$, and so we can choose a positive definite map $T$ with $T(\mathcal{E}(L-q))=B^{n}$ with the eigenvalues of $T$ satisfying $\left(1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right)^{-n-1} \leq \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \leq\left(1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right)^{n+1}$. Writing $T=\mathrm{Id}+S$, it follows that the Euclidean operator norm $\|S\| \leq 2 n b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon$ (for $\epsilon$ small enough). We similarly have $T^{-1}=\mathrm{Id}+S^{\prime}$ with $\left\|S^{\prime}\right\| \leq 2 n b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon$.

We deduce that $L^{\prime}=T(L-q)$ has $\mathcal{E}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=B^{n}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\prime} & \subset T\left(1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right) K=K+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon K+S\left(1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right) K \\
& \subset K+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon b B^{n}+b\left(1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right) S\left(B^{n}\right) \\
& \subset K+\left(b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon b+2 n b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon b\left(1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right)\right) B^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & \subset\left(1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right) T^{-1} L^{\prime} \\
& \subset L^{\prime}+\left(b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon b+2 n b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon b\left(1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right)\right) B^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $d_{B L}(K, L) \leq d_{B^{n}}\left(K, L^{\prime}\right) \leq(3 n+1) b_{n}^{\prime} b \epsilon$.
In particular, since

$$
L^{\prime} \subset\left(1+\frac{b}{a}\left(b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon+2 n b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\left(1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right)\right)\right) K, \quad K \subset\left(1+\frac{b}{a}\left(b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon+2 n b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\left(1+b_{n}^{\prime} \epsilon\right)\right)\right) L^{\prime}
$$

setting $d_{n}:=4 n b_{n}^{\prime} \frac{b}{a}$ establishes the last claim of the lemma.
Corollary 2.4. If $d_{B M}\left(K, B^{n}\right)<1+\epsilon$ and $\operatorname{cent}(K)=0$ then $\left(1+d_{n} \epsilon\right)^{-1} \mathcal{E}(K) \subset$ $K \subset\left(1+d_{n} \epsilon\right) \mathcal{E}(K)$, and consequently $d_{B M}^{\mathrm{Lin}}\left(K, B^{n}\right)<1+d_{n} \epsilon$.

Given an ellipse $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we equip $\partial \mathcal{E}$ with the angular distance function $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ induced by the Euclidean structure for which it is a unit ball. Given two ellipses $\mathcal{E}_{i} \subset E_{i}, i=1,2$, where $E_{i}$ are 2-dimensional linear spaces, denote by $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}\right)$ the set of affine maps $E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ mapping $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{E}_{2}$. If $E_{1}=E_{2}=E, \mathcal{E}_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{2}=\mathcal{E}$, we write $\mathrm{O}(\mathcal{E})=\mathrm{O}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$, and define $\mathrm{SO}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{E})$ to be the orientation-preserving subgroup. Note that $\operatorname{SO}(\mathcal{E})$ is a circle with distance function $d(g, h)=d_{\mathcal{E}}(g x, h x)$,
which is independent of the choice of $x \in \partial \mathcal{E}$. For general $\mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}, \mathrm{O}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}\right)$ is the disjoint union of two circles, and we define a metric on it by

$$
d\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
d_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}\left(g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}, \mathrm{Id}\right), & g_{1}^{-1} g_{2} \in \operatorname{SO}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}\right), \\
\infty, & g_{1}^{-1} g_{2} \notin \operatorname{SO}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Our main technical tool will be the subset of $\lambda$-approximate isometries of convex bodies, defined as follows.

Definition 2.5. Let $\lambda>0$, and let $E, F$ be linear spaces of equal dimension. For two convex bodies $K \subset E, L \subset F$ we set $G_{\lambda}(K, L)=\{g \in \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{E}(K), \mathcal{E}(L))$ : $\left.d_{\mathcal{E}(L)}(L, g K)<\lambda\right\}$.

We write $G_{\lambda}(K):=G_{\lambda}(K, K) \subset \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{E}(K))$. We can then also define the subset $G_{\lambda}^{+}(K)=G_{\lambda}(K) \cap \operatorname{SO}(\mathcal{E}(K))$.

The following is immediate from definitions.
Lemma 2.6. If $g \in G_{\lambda}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$ then $g^{-1} \in G_{\lambda}\left(K_{2}, K_{1}\right)$. If $g_{j} \in G_{\lambda_{j}}\left(K_{j}, K_{j+1}\right)$ for $j=1,2$ then $g_{2} g_{1} \in G_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}\left(K_{1}, K_{3}\right)$.

We say that a set $A \subset S^{1}$ is an $\epsilon$-net if any closed $\epsilon$-interval intersects $A$.
The following technical lemma is a stable version of the basic fact that a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is either an ellipse, or has a finite group of symmetries.
Proposition 2.7. For all $\epsilon>0$, if $\alpha, \beta>0$ satisfy $\frac{1}{a_{2}} \alpha+\frac{b_{2}}{a_{2}} \beta \leq \epsilon$ and $G_{\alpha}^{+}(K)$ is $a \beta$-net in $\mathrm{SO}(\mathcal{E}(K))$ then $d_{B M}\left(K, B^{2}\right)<1+\epsilon$.

We will later use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\epsilon)=\frac{a_{2}}{2} \epsilon, \quad \beta(\epsilon)=\frac{a_{2}}{2 b_{2}} \epsilon, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\alpha=\alpha(\epsilon), \beta=\beta(\epsilon)$ satisfy the assumption of the proposition.
Proof. Fix a Euclidean structure $|\bullet|$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ whose unit ball is $\mathcal{E}(K)$. Assume $p \in K$ satisfies $|p|=\max \{|x|: x \in K\}$. By Lemma 2.1, $|p| \leq b_{2}$. Denoting $D=|p| \mathcal{E}(K)$, we have $K \subset D$. On the other hand, since $G_{\alpha}^{+}(K)$ is a $\beta$-net, it holds that

$$
D \subset K+2|p| \sin \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \mathcal{E}(K)+\alpha \mathcal{E}(K) \subset\left(1+\frac{2 b_{2}}{a_{2}} \sin \frac{\beta}{2}+\frac{1}{a_{2}} \alpha\right) K,
$$

so that $d_{B M}\left(K, B^{2}\right)=d_{B M}(K, D)<1+\frac{1}{a_{2}} \alpha+\frac{b_{2}}{a_{2}} \beta$.
To pass from Theorem 1.11 to Theorem 1.9 we make use of the von NeumannJordan constant, which we now recall.
Definition 2.8. The von Neumann-Jordan constant $C_{N J}(V)$ of a normed space $V$ is the least $M \geq 1$ such that for all non-zero $x, y \in V$,

$$
\frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{\|x+y\|^{2}+\|x-y\|^{2}}{2\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right)} \leq M
$$

The celebrated von Neumann-Jordan theorem [13] asserts that $C_{N J}(V)=1$ implies $V$ is Euclidean. The opposite direction is trivial, asserting simply the validity of the parallelogram identity in Euclidean space.

We will need a stable version of these facts, comparing the von Neumann-Jordan constant with the Banach-Mazur distance to Euclidean space. The fact that nearEuclidean normed spaces satisfy an approximate parallelogram identity appeared in (14.

Lemma 2.9 (Kato-Maligranda-Takahashi [14, Theorem 5). For any normed space $V$ it holds that $C_{N J}(V) \leq d_{B M}\left(V, \mathbb{E}^{n}\right)^{2}$.

A stable version of the von Neumann-Jordan theorem was established by Passer.
Theorem 2.10 (Passer [19], Theorem 1.2). For an $n$-dimensional, symmetric normed space $V$ it holds that $d_{B M}\left(V, \mathbb{E}^{n}\right) \leq 1+c n^{2}\left(C_{N J}(V)-1\right)$.

Here as before $c>0$ is an explicit universal constant.

## 3. Fields of convex bodies on a surface

We now prove Theorem 1.11. It will be more convenient to work with the following equivalent formulation.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\Sigma$ be a smooth closed surface which is not a torus or a Klein bottle. For any $\delta>0$ and any continuous field of convex bodies $\left(K_{x}\right)_{x \in \Sigma}, K_{x} \subset T_{x} \Sigma$, such that $d_{B M}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)<1+\delta$ for all $x, y \in \Sigma$, there must exist $x \in \Sigma$ such that $d_{B M}\left(K_{x}, B^{2}\right)<1+c \delta^{1 / 3}$.

Here and in the proof, $c, C$ are explicit universal constants which assume different values in distinct appearances, whose values we omit for simplicity.

Proof. We denote by $d$ the distance function on various circles appearing in the proof.

Let $\left(K_{x}\right)$ be as above, and assume that $d_{B M}\left(K_{x}, B^{2}\right) \geq 1+\epsilon$, for all $x$. We may assume that $\operatorname{cent}\left(K_{x}\right)=0$ for all $x$.

Step 1: choose parameters $\alpha_{3}, \beta_{0}$ such that $G_{\alpha_{3}}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)$ does not contain a pair of elements $g_{1}, g_{2}$ with $d\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in\left[\beta_{0} / 2, \beta_{0}\right]$.

Denote $\alpha_{0}=\alpha(\epsilon), \beta_{0}^{\prime}=\beta(\epsilon)$ as in eq. (11). Let $\beta_{0} \leq \beta_{0}^{\prime}$ be the largest real of the form $\beta_{0}=\frac{2 \pi}{B_{0}}$ with $B_{0} \geq 3$ an integer. By Proposition 2.7. $G_{\alpha_{0}}^{+}\left(K_{x}\right)$ is not a $\beta_{0}$-net. Note that $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0} \in[c \epsilon, C \epsilon]$.

Denote $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{0} /\left(2 B_{0}\right)$, so that $c \epsilon^{2} \leq \alpha_{1} \leq C \epsilon^{2}$. It follows that $G_{\alpha_{1}}^{+}\left(K_{x}\right)$ does not contain elements $g$ with $d(g, \mathrm{Id}) \in\left[\beta_{0} / 2, \beta_{0}\right]$ : if $g$ were such an element, then the iterates $g^{j} \in G_{\alpha_{0}}^{+}\left(K_{x}\right)$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, 2 B_{0}$ form a $\beta_{0}$-net, in contradiction.

Denote $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1} / 2, \beta_{1}=\beta_{0} / 2$. It follows that the distance between $g_{1}, g_{2} \in$ $G_{\alpha_{2}}\left(K_{x}\right)$ cannot lie in $\left[\beta_{1}, \beta_{0}\right]$, or else $\left(g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}\right)^{ \pm 1} \in G_{\alpha_{1}}^{+}\left(K_{x}\right) \cap\left[\beta_{1}, \beta_{0}\right]$.

Using Lemma [2.3, we let $\delta^{\prime}=b_{2}^{\prime} \delta$ be the upper bound on $d_{B L}(K, L)$ when $d_{B M}(K, L) \leq 1+\delta$. Assume $\alpha_{3}:=\alpha_{2}-\delta^{\prime}>8 B_{0} \delta^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow \delta^{\prime}<\frac{1}{8 B_{0}+1} \alpha_{2}$, which holds when $\delta<C \epsilon^{3}$, as we will henceforth assume to arrive at a contradiction. It follows in particular that $\alpha_{3}>\delta^{\prime}$, and so $G_{\alpha_{3}}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)$ is non-empty. Furthermore, $G_{\alpha_{3}}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)$ does not contain a pair of elements $g_{1}, g_{2}$ with $d\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in\left[\beta_{1}, \beta_{0}\right]$ : fixing $h \in \mathrm{O}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(K_{x}\right), \mathcal{E}\left(K_{y}\right)\right)$ such that $d_{B L}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)=d_{\mathcal{E}\left(K_{y}\right)}\left(h K_{x}, K_{y}\right)$, we would otherwise have elements $\hat{g}_{1}:=g_{1} h^{-1}, \hat{g}_{2}:=g_{2} h^{-1} \in G_{\alpha_{3}+\delta^{\prime}}\left(K_{y}\right)=G_{\alpha_{2}}\left(K_{y}\right)$, with $d\left(\hat{g}_{1}, \hat{g}_{2}\right) \in\left[\beta_{1}, \beta_{0}\right]$, in contradiction.

Step 2: find a range of parameters $\alpha$ for a fixed point $x_{0} \in \Sigma$ for which $G_{\alpha}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right)$ has the same $\beta_{1}$-clusters.

By a $\beta$-cluster in $A \subset S^{1}$ we understand a maximal subset of $A$ of diameter at most $\beta$.

Recall that $G_{\alpha_{3}}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right) \subset \mathrm{O}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(K_{x}\right), \mathcal{E}\left(K_{y}\right)\right)$, and the latter disjoint union of two circles is equipped with a metric.

It follows by construction that any two distinct $\beta_{1}$-clusters in $G_{\alpha_{3}}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)$ are disjoint, and moreover separated by a distance of at least $\beta_{0}$. Thus given $g \in G_{\alpha_{3}}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)$, we define $[g]$ to be the unique $\beta_{1}$-cluster containing $g$. Furthermore, for $\alpha \leq \alpha_{3}$ and $0<\eta<\alpha$, if $Z \subset G_{\alpha-\eta}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)$ is a $\beta_{1}$-cluster, and $h \in G_{\eta}\left(K_{y}, K_{z}\right)$, write $h[Z]:=[h \circ g] \subset G_{\alpha}\left(K_{x}, K_{z}\right)$, where $g \in Z$ can be arbitrary.

The number of $\beta_{1}$-clusters in $G_{\alpha}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)$ is a non-decreasing function of $\alpha \in$ $\left(0, \alpha_{3}\right]$, which is bounded from above by $2 B_{0}$. Given $0<\alpha<\alpha^{\prime} \leq \alpha_{3}$, there is a natural injective map from the $\beta_{1}$-clusters of $G_{\alpha}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)$ into the $\beta_{1}$-clusters of $G_{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)$, given by set inclusion.

Fix $x_{0} \in \Sigma$. Since $\alpha_{3}>8 B_{0} \delta^{\prime}$, we can find $\gamma_{0} \in\left[\delta^{\prime}, \alpha_{3}-3 \delta^{\prime}\right]$ such that the number of $\beta_{1}$-clusters in $G_{\alpha}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right)$ is constant for $\alpha \in\left[\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{0}+3 \delta^{\prime}\right]$. Putting $\gamma_{1}=$ $\gamma_{0}+3 \delta^{\prime}$, the $\beta_{1}$-clusters of $G_{\alpha}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right)$ are therefore naturally identified across the range $\alpha \in\left[\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}\right]$.

Step 3: construct a covering space of $\Sigma$ out of the $\beta_{1}$-clusters for $K_{x_{0}}$.
For all $x \in \Sigma$, choose $h_{x} \in \mathrm{O}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right), \mathcal{E}\left(K_{x}\right)\right)$ such that $d_{\mathcal{E}\left(K_{x}\right)}\left(h_{x} K_{x_{0}}, K_{x}\right)<$ $\frac{3}{2} \delta^{\prime}$. The map $Z \mapsto h_{x}[Z]$ gives an embedding of the set of $\beta_{1}$-clusters in $G_{\gamma_{0}}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right)$ into the set of $\beta_{1}$-clusters in $G_{\gamma_{1}}\left(K_{x_{0}}, K_{x}\right)$. Furthermore, the image $C_{x}$ of this embedding is independent of the choice of $h_{x}$ : if $\hat{h}_{x}$ is another such map, and $g \in G_{\gamma_{0}}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right)$, then $g^{\prime}=\hat{h}_{x}^{-1} h_{x} g \in G_{\gamma_{1}}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right)$. Recall by construction that we may find $g^{\prime \prime} \in G_{\gamma_{0}}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right)$ with $d\left(g^{\prime}, g^{\prime \prime}\right)<\beta_{1}$. It then follows that $d\left(h_{x} g, \hat{h}_{x} g^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $d\left(\hat{h}_{x} g^{\prime}, \hat{h}_{x} g^{\prime \prime}\right)<\beta_{1}$, and so $\hat{h}_{x} g^{\prime \prime}$ and $h_{x} g$ define the same $\beta_{1}$-cluster in $G_{\gamma_{1}}\left(K_{x_{0}}, K_{x}\right)$, proving the claim. Let $m$ be the number of elements (clusters) in $C_{x}$, which is the same for all $x$ by construction.

Denote by $p: \widehat{C} \rightarrow \Sigma$ the bundle with fiber $C_{x}$ over $x \in \Sigma$. Our next goal will be topologize $\widehat{C}$ so as to make it a covering space of $\Sigma$. We will next describe a natural identification of the fibers of $p$ over small subsets of $\Sigma$.

Fix any Riemannian metric on $\Sigma$, and denote by $B_{r}(z)$ an open ball of radius $r$ centered at $z$ in this metric. Take $r_{0}$ small enough so that $B_{r_{0}}(z)$ can be identified with an open subset of $T_{z} \Sigma$ through the exponential map, for all $z \in \Sigma$. For $x, y \in$ $B_{r_{0}}(z), x=\exp _{z}(\xi), y=\exp _{z}(\eta)$, we let $\bar{u}_{x y}: T_{z} \Sigma \rightarrow T_{z} \Sigma$ be the unique positive definite map, with respect to the inner product determined by $d_{\xi} \exp ^{-1}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(K_{x}\right)\right)$, mapping $d_{\xi} \exp ^{-1}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(K_{x}\right)\right)$ to $d_{\eta} \exp ^{-1}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(K_{y}\right)\right)$.

Thus $u_{x y}:=d_{\eta} \exp \circ \bar{u}_{x y} \circ d_{\xi} \exp ^{-1} \in \operatorname{SO}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(K_{x}\right), \mathcal{E}\left(K_{y}\right)\right)$. Decreasing $r_{0}$ further, we can achieve $u_{x y} \in G_{\delta^{\prime} / 4}^{+}\left(K_{x}, K_{y}\right)$ for all $x, y \in B_{r_{0}}(z)$ by the continuity of $x \mapsto K_{x}$.

Choose $h_{x} \in G_{\delta^{\prime}}\left(K_{x_{0}}, K_{x}\right)$. Now if $Z$ is a $\beta_{1}$-cluster in $G_{\gamma_{0}}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right)$, then $h_{x}[Z]$ is a $\beta_{1}$-cluster in $C_{x}$, while $u_{x y} \circ h_{x}[Z]$ is a $\beta_{1}$-cluster in $C_{y}$, and we define the bijection $b_{x y}: p^{-1}(x) \rightarrow p^{-1}(y)$ by setting $b_{x y}\left(h_{x}[Z]\right)=u_{x y} \circ h_{x}[Z]$, for all $Z$. One readily checks that this correspondence is independent of the choice of $h_{x}$, and that $b_{y x^{\prime}} \circ b_{x y}=b_{x x^{\prime}}$ for all $x, y, x^{\prime} \in B_{r_{0}}(z)$.

This now allows us to topologize $\widehat{C}$ : Taking $U \subset B_{r_{0}}(z)$ open, $p^{-1}(U)$ is, by the above, the disjoint union of $m$ copies $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{m}$ of $U$. The collection of all such $U_{j}$ is a basis of the topology of $\widehat{C}$. With this topology, $p: \widehat{C} \rightarrow \Sigma$ is an $m$-sheeted covering map. Moreover, $\widehat{C}$ naturally inherits a smooth structure from $\Sigma$ such that $p$ is a local diffeomorphism.

The bundle $p^{*} \widehat{C}$ over $\widehat{C}$ trivially admits a section $y \mapsto J_{y}$. Recall that $J_{y} \subset$ $G_{\gamma_{1}}\left(K_{x_{0}}, K_{p(y)}\right)$.

Step 4: find a continuous section. We next choose a continuous field of closed intervals $\widehat{C} \ni y \mapsto I_{y} \subset \mathrm{O}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right), \mathcal{E}\left(K_{p(y)}\right)\right)$ of length $3 \beta_{1}$ such that $J_{y} \subset I_{y}$. This can be done as follows. Choose a finite cover of $\widehat{C}$ by $r_{0}$-balls $U_{j}=B_{r_{0}}\left(z_{j}\right)$. Let $\rho_{j}$ be a subordinate partition of unity. In each $U_{j}$, one can easily choose a field of $3 \beta_{1^{-}}$ intervals $\widehat{I_{y}^{j}}$ for $y \in U_{j}$ such that $J_{y} \subset \widehat{I}_{y}^{j}$, and $u_{p(y) p(z)}\left(\widehat{I}_{y}^{j}\right) \subset \mathrm{O}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right), \mathcal{E}\left(K_{p(z)}\right)\right)$ is independent of $y$, if $r_{0}$ is sufficiently small. Then define $I_{y}:=\sum_{j} \rho_{j}(y) \widehat{I_{y}^{j}}$, namely the weighted mean of the intervals $\widehat{I}_{y}^{j}$ with weights $\rho_{j}(y)$, which is well defined since all of the intervals $\widehat{I}_{y}^{j}$ are contained in a fixed interval $I_{y}^{\prime}$ of length $2 \beta_{1}+\beta_{1}+2 \beta_{1}=5 \beta_{1}<2 \pi$ containing $J_{y}$, and so the averaging is carried out inside $I_{y}^{\prime}$. Define $P_{y}$ as the center of $I_{y}$.

Thus $P_{y}$ is a continuous global section over $\widehat{C}$ of the principal $\mathrm{O}(2)$-bundle with fiber $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(K_{x_{0}}\right), \mathcal{E}\left(K_{p(y)}\right)\right) \subset \overline{\mathrm{GL}}\left(T_{x_{0}} \Sigma, T_{y} \widehat{C}\right)$ over $y \in \widehat{C}$, which readily implies that $\widehat{C}$ admits a continuous field of tangent lines: taking any line $L \subset T_{x_{0}} \Sigma, P_{y} L$ defines such a field by parallel-shifting the lines to pass through the origin. However $\chi(\widehat{C})=m \chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$, a contradiction.

This contradiction means that one cannot have $\delta<C \epsilon^{3}$, concluding the proof.

Proof of Corollary 1.12. Denote by $K_{x} \subset T_{x} \Sigma$ the unit ball of the Finsler structure. By Theorem 1.11 $d_{B M}\left(K_{x}, B^{2}\right)<1+c \delta^{1 / 3}$ for all $x \in \Sigma$.

The assignment $x \mapsto \operatorname{cent}\left(K_{x}\right)$ defines a vector field over $\Sigma$, which must vanish somewhere by the Poincaré-Hopf theorem since by assumption $\chi(\Sigma) \neq 0$. Taking $z$ a point where $\operatorname{cent}\left(K_{z}\right)=0$, we deduce by Corollary 2.4 that $d_{B M}^{\operatorname{Lin}}\left(K_{z}, B^{2}\right)<$ $1+c \delta^{1 / 3}$. As $d_{B M}^{\operatorname{Lin}}\left(K_{x}, K_{z}\right)<1+\delta$ for all $x$, the conclusion follows.

## 4. The stable Banach conjecture for planar sections

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let $K$ be the unit ball of $V$. Let $F \subset V$ be a 3-dimensional subspace. By Theorem 1.11 $d_{B M}\left(K \cap E, B^{2}\right) \leq 1+c \delta^{1 / 3}$ for all planes $E \subset F$

Fix a Euclidean structure on $F$, and denote by $S(F)$ the unit sphere. For a 2-dimensional subspace $\theta^{\perp} \subset F$ with $\theta \in S(F)$, define $p(\theta):=\operatorname{cent}\left(K \cap \theta^{\perp}\right) \in \theta^{\perp}$. As $p(\theta)$ defines a continuous vector field over $S(F)$, it must vanish somewhere. Let $E_{0} \subset F$ be a plane such that $\operatorname{cent}\left(K \cap E_{0}\right)=0$.

By Corollary 2.4, there is an absolute constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\left(1+c \delta^{1 / 3}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left(K \cap E_{0}\right) \subset K \cap E_{0} \subset\left(1+c \delta^{1 / 3}\right) \mathcal{E}\left(K \cap E_{0}\right)
$$

In particular, $K \cap E_{0}$ is nearly centrally-symmetric:

$$
-\left(K \cap E_{0}\right) \subset\left(1+c \delta^{1 / 3}\right)\left(K \cap E_{0}\right)
$$

By assumption, it holds for any other linear plane $E \subset V$ that $d_{B M}^{\operatorname{Lin}}\left(K \cap E, K \cap E_{0}\right)<$ $1+\delta$, and it easily follows that $-(K \cap E) \subset\left(1+c \delta^{1 / 3}\right)(K \cap E)$ for all $E$. Therefore, $-K \subset\left(1+c \delta^{1 / 3}\right) K$.

Let $K_{s}=\frac{1}{2}(K+(-K))$ be the Minkowski symmetrization of $K$. It follows readily that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+c \delta^{1 / 3}\right)^{-1} K_{s} \subset K \subset\left(1+c \delta^{1 / 3}\right) K_{s} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows by the above that $d_{B M}\left(K_{s} \cap E, B^{2}\right)<1+c \delta^{1 / 3}$ for all linear planes $E$. By Lemma 2.9, the von Neumann-Jordan constant of each section $K_{s} \cap E$ satisfies
$C_{N J}\left(K_{s} \cap E\right)<1+c \delta^{1 / 3}$. Consequently, $C_{N J}\left(K_{s}\right)<1+c \delta^{1 / 3}$. By Theorem 2.10,

$$
d_{B M}\left(K_{s}, B^{n}\right)=d_{B M}^{\mathrm{Lin}}\left(K_{s}, B^{n}\right)<1+c n^{2} \delta^{1 / 3}
$$

and by eq. (2), $d_{B M}^{\mathrm{Lin}}\left(K, B^{n}\right)<1+c n^{2} \delta^{1 / 3}$.
For the proof of Theorem 1.8 we will need a stable version of the simple geometric fact proved in [1] (see also [6, Lemma 16.12]), asserting that if the 2-dimensional sections of a convex body through a fixed interior point are all ellipses, then the body is an ellipsoid.

Proposition 4.1. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a convex body with $0 \in \operatorname{int}(K)$ such that for all 2-dimensional linear planes $E$, $d_{B M}\left(K \cap E, B^{2}\right)<1+\epsilon$. Then $d_{B M}\left(K, B^{n}\right)<$ $1+C_{n} \sqrt{\epsilon}$, where $C_{n}=c n^{2 n^{2}}$.

Proof. We prove the statement with $C_{n}^{\prime}=c^{n} d_{n-1} d_{n-2} \cdots d_{2}$ replacing $C_{n}$, as $C_{n}^{\prime}<$ $C_{n}$. We proceed by induction on $n$, with the base $n=2$ being trivial.

First consider the map $\operatorname{Gr}_{n-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \ni H \mapsto \operatorname{cent}(K \cap H) \in H$. This is a global section of the vector bundle $\gamma^{\perp}$ with fiber $H$ over $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}=\mathbb{P}\left(\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{*}\right)$, which has full Stiefel-Whitney class $w\left(\gamma^{\perp}\right)=1+a+\cdots+a^{n-1}$, where $a \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{p} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is the generator (see e.g. [17, chapter 4]). It follows that any global section must vanish somewhere, and we fix a hyperplane $H_{0}$ such that $\operatorname{cent}\left(K \cap H_{0}\right)=0$. Denote $\mathcal{E}_{0}=\mathcal{E}\left(K \cap H_{0}\right)$.

By the induction hypothesis, $d_{B M}\left(K \cap H_{0}, B^{n-1}\right)<1+C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}$. By Corollary 2.4

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{0} \subset K \cap H_{0} \subset\left(1+d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}\right) \mathcal{E}_{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since any chord of $\mathcal{E}_{0}$ through the origin is bisected by it, it follows that if $\left[A, A^{\prime}\right]$ is a chord of $K \cap H_{0}$ through the origin, then for any Euclidean norm one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{|A|}{\left|A^{\prime}\right|} \leq 1+d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be the affine hyperplanes supporting $K$ and parallel to $H_{0}$. Assume $H_{1}$ is at least as far from the origin as $H_{2}$ (with respect to any norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n} / H_{0}$ ). Fix $z \in \partial K \cap H_{1}$.

Now let $E$ be any linear 2-dimensional plane through $z$, and define $L=E \cap H_{0}$. Denote $\mathcal{E}_{E}:=\mathcal{E}(K \cap E)$, $p_{E}:=\operatorname{cent}\left(\mathcal{E}_{E}\right)=\operatorname{cent}(K \cap E)$. We will use the Euclidean structure on $E$ with unit ball $\mathcal{E}_{E}-p_{E}$. Note that by Corollary 2.4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+c \epsilon)^{-1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{E}-p_{E}\right) \subset K \cap E-p_{E} \subset(1+c \epsilon)\left(\mathcal{E}_{E}-p_{E}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L_{i}=H_{i} \cap E, i=1,2$ be the lines in $E$ which are supporting lines of $K \cap E$ and are parallel to $L$. As the distance between $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ is at least the diameter of $(1+c \epsilon)^{-1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{E}-p_{E}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(L_{1}, 0\right) \geq 1-c \epsilon \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will repeatedly make use of the following simple fact: If two concentric discs $B_{1}, B_{2}$ of radii $1-c \epsilon$, resp. $1+C \epsilon$ are given, and $L$ is a line, then for $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Length}\left(L \cap\left(B_{2} \backslash B_{1}\right)\right)<c \sqrt{\epsilon} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Orient $L$ arbitrarily. Denote $\left[A, A^{\prime}\right]=K \cap L,\left[A_{E}, A_{E}^{\prime}\right]=\mathcal{E}_{E} \cap L$. By eq. (4),

$$
\left|\frac{A+A^{\prime}}{2}-0\right| \leq c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}
$$

By eq. (7), $\left|A-A_{E}\right| \leq c \sqrt{\epsilon}$, and $\left|A^{\prime}-A_{E}^{\prime}\right| \leq c \sqrt{\epsilon}$. Consequently, setting $0_{E}:=$ $\left(A_{E}+A_{E}^{\prime}\right) / 2$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|0_{E}-0\right| \leq c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z_{E}$ be the touching point on $\partial \mathcal{E}_{E}$ of a translate of $L$ that is on the same side of $L$ as $L_{1}$. By eq. (7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z-z_{E}\right| \leq c \sqrt{\epsilon} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $[z, w]$ be the chord in $K \cap E$ defined by the line through $z, 0$. Let $\left[z_{E}, w_{E}\right]$ be the chord in $\mathcal{E}_{E}$ defined by the line through $z_{E}, 0_{E}$. Let $p$ be the midpoint of $[z, w]$, and note that the midpoint of $\left[z_{E}, w_{E}\right]$ is $p_{E}$.

We next claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w-w_{E}\right| \leq c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting by $w^{\prime}$ the intersection of the ray $R$ from $z$ to $w$ with $\partial \mathcal{E}_{E}$, it holds by eq. (77) that $\left|w-w^{\prime}\right| \leq c \sqrt{\epsilon}$. Next, from eq. (6) we conclude that the angle formed by the ray $R_{E}$ from $z_{E}$ to $0_{E}$ with $\partial \mathcal{E}_{E}$ lies in $\left[\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$. From eqs. (8), (9), and (6) we deduce that the angle between $R$ and $R_{E}$ is at most $c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}$. As $\operatorname{dist}\left(R \cap \mathcal{E}_{E}, R_{E} \cap \mathcal{E}_{E}\right) \leq c \sqrt{\epsilon}$, we conclude that $\left|w_{E}-w^{\prime}\right| \leq c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}$, and so $\left|w-w_{E}\right| \leq\left|w-w^{\prime}\right|+\left|w^{\prime}-w_{E}\right| \leq c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}$, showing eq. (10).

We deduce from eqs. (9), (10) that also $\left|p-p_{E}\right| \leq c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}$.
From (51) it follows that $K \cap E$ is close to an ellipse with a center at $p$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{E}-p\right) \subset K \cap E-p \subset\left(1+c d_{n-1} C_{n-1} \sqrt{\epsilon}\right)\left(\mathcal{E}_{E}-p\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now let $\mathcal{E}$ be the unique ellipsoid with center at $p$ such that both $z$ and $\partial \mathcal{E}_{0}$ lie on $\partial \mathcal{E}$. It follows from eqs. (11), (3), (9), (6) that

$$
\left(1+c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{E}-p\right) \subset(\mathcal{E}-p) \cap E \subset\left(1+c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}\right)\left(\mathcal{E}_{E}-p\right)
$$

and thus also

$$
\left(1+c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}(\mathcal{E}-p) \subset K-p \subset\left(1+c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon}\right)(\mathcal{E}-p)
$$

Taking $C_{n}^{\prime}=c d_{n-1} C_{n-1}^{\prime}$ completes the induction and the proof.
Proof of Theorem 1.8. From Theorem 1.11 it follows that $d_{B M}\left(K \cap E, B^{2}\right)<$ $1+c \delta^{1 / 3}$ for all linear planes $E$. We then deduce from Proposition 4.1 that $d_{B M}\left(K, B^{n}\right)<1+c n^{2 n^{2}} \delta^{1 / 6}$, concluding the proof.

## References

1. H Auerbach, S Mazur, and S Ulam, On a characteristic property of the ellipsoïde, Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik 42 (1935), 45-48.
2. S Banach, Théorie des opérations linéaires. monografie matematyczne, 1, polskie towarzystwo matematyczne, warszawa, 1932.[5, Théorème sur les ensembles de première catégorie. Fund. Math 16 (1930), 395-398.
3. Gil Bor, Luis Hernández Lamoneda, Valentín Jiménez-Desantiago, and Luis Montejano, On the isometric conjecture of Banach, Geometry \& Topology 25 (2021), no. 5, 2621-2642.
4. Javier Bracho and Luis Montejano, On the complex Banach conjecture, J. Convex Anal. 28 (2021), no. 4, 1211-1222 (English).
5. Thomas Burger and Rolf Schneider, On convex bodies close to ellipsoids, Journal of Geometry 47 (1993), 16-22.
6. Herbert Busemann, The geometry of geodesics, reprint of the 1955 original ed., Mineola, NY: Dover Publications, 2005 (English).
7. H Groemer, Stability theorems for ellipsoids and spheres, Journal of the London Mathematical Society 49 (1994), no. 2, 357-370.
8. ML Gromov, On a geometric hypothesis of Banach, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat 31 (1967), 1105-1114.
9. Peter M Gruber, Stability of Blaschke's characterization of ellipsoids and Radon norms, Discrete \& Computational Geometry 17 (1997), 411-427.
10. Sergei Ivanov, Monochromatic Finsler surfaces and a local ellipsoid characterization, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 146 (2018), no. 4, 1741-1755.
11. Sergei Ivanov, Daniil Mamaev, and Anya Nordskova, Banach's isometric subspace problem in dimension four, Inventiones mathematicae 233 (2023), no. 3, 1393-1425.
12. Sergei Ivanov, Daniil Mamaev, and Anya Nordskova, Local Kakutani's ellipsoid characterization, 2023.
13. P. Jordan and J. von Neumann, On inner products in linear, metric spaces, Ann. Math. (2) 36 (1935), 719-723 (English).
14. Mikio Kato, Lech Maligranda, and Yasuji Takahashi, On James and Jordan-von Neumann constants and the normal structure coefficient of Banach spaces, Studia Mathematica 144 (2001), no. 3, 275-295.
15. Vladimir S Matveev and Marc Troyanov, The Binet-Legendre metric in Finsler geometry, Geometry \& Topology 16 (2012), no. 4, 2135-2170.
16. Completeness and incompleteness of the Binet-Legendre metric, European Journal of Mathematics 1 (2015), no. 3, 483-502.
17. John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff, Characteristic classes, Texts Read. Math., vol. 32, New Delhi: Hindustan Book Agency, 2005 (English).
18. Luis Montejano, Convex bodies all whose sections (projections) are equal, European congress of mathematics. Proceedings of the 8th congress, 8ECM, Portorož, Slovenia, June 20-26, 2021, Berlin: European Mathematical Society (EMS), 2023, pp. 857-883 (English).
19. Benjamin Passer, An approximate version of the Jordan von Neumann theorem for finitedimensional real normed spaces, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 63 (2015), no. 1, 68-77.
20. Rolf Schneider, Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory, no. 151, Cambridge university press, 2014.
Email address: gautamaish@gmail.com
Faculty of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 3200003, ISRAEL

Email address: faifmand@tauex.tau.ac.il
School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 6997801, Israel


[^0]:    MSC classification: 52A21, 46C15, 57R15.
    GA was supported by ISF grant 1468/19 and NSF-BSF grant DMS-2247834. DF was supported by ISF grant No. 1750/20.

