LOCAL COHOMOLOGY WITH SUPPORT IN SCHUBERT VARIETIES

MICHAEL PERLMAN

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with local cohomology sheaves on generalized flag varieties supported in closed Schubert varieties, which carry natural structures as (mixed Hodge) \mathcal{D} -modules. We employ Kazhdan-Lusztig theory and Saito's theory of mixed Hodge modules to describe a general strategy to calculate the simple composition factors, Hodge filtration, and weight filtration on these modules. Our main tool is the Grothendieck-Cousin complex, introduced by Kempf, which allows us to relate the local cohomology modules in question to parabolic Verma modules over the corresponding Lie algebra. We show that this complex underlies a complex of mixed Hodge modules, and is thus endowed with Hodge and weight filtrations. As a consequence, strictness implies that computing cohomology commutes with taking associated graded with respect to both of these filtrations. We execute this strategy to calculate the composition factors and weight filtration for Schubert varieties in the Grassmannian, in particular showing that the weight filtration is controlled by the augmented Dyck patterns of Raicu-Weyman. As an application, upon restriction to the opposite big cell, we recover the simple composition factors and weight filtration on local cohomology with support in generic determinantal varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Overview.** A general problem in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry is to calculate the local cohomology sheaves $\mathscr{H}_Z^q(\mathcal{O}_X)$ associated to a smooth complex variety X and closed subvariety $Z \subseteq X$. In recent past, a great deal of progress has been made by taking advantage of deeper structure on these sheaves, namely that of holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules, where \mathcal{D}_X is the sheaf of algebraic differential operators on X. This strong finiteness property has facilitated numerous new calculations, especially in the presence of a group action. In particular, when X has finitely many orbits under the action of a linear algebraic group and Z is an orbit closure, the theory of equivariant \mathcal{D}_X -modules has provided a fruitful framework to investigate the modules $\mathscr{H}_Z^q(\mathcal{O}_X)$ (see [LW19]). A well-understood example is the case when X is a space of matrices, and Z is a determinantal variety (see [RW16, LR20, Per24]). More recently, the extra layer of Saito's theory of mixed Hodge modules [Sai90] has provided new insights as to how local cohomology detects and measures singularities of Z (see [MP19, MP22]).

Another context in which equivariant \mathcal{D}_X -modules appear is in geometric representation theory, for instance in the study of composition factor multiplicities of Verma modules over simple Lie algebras (see [HTT08]), which is the subject of the Kazhdan–Lusztig theorem. In particular, the Beilinson– Bernstein theorem [BB81] identifies, via the global sections functor, Borel equivariant \mathcal{D}_X -modules on flag varieties with highest weight modules over the corresponding Lie algebra. Here, intersection cohomology modules associated to Schubert cells correspond to irreducible representations with trivial central character, and (Verdier duals of) push-forwards of structure sheaves on Schubert cells correspond to Verma modules. In commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, we would refer to these geometric Verma modules as the local cohomology modules $\mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{O}_R}^q(\mathcal{O}_X)$ with support in the (locally

closed) Schubert cells O_w . Via the theory of mixed Hodge modules, the weight filtration on $\mathscr{H}^q_{O_w}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ induces the Jantzen filtration on the corresponding Verma module [BB93].

The purpose of this article is to reconcile the two theories above by calculating the local cohomology modules $\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^q(\mathcal{O}_X)$ with support in closed Schubert varieties $Z_w = \overline{O}_w$ in flag varieties X = G/P, where G is a complex simple linear algebraic group and P is a parabolic subgroup. Such modules are B-equivariant holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules, where B is a Borel subgroup with $B \subseteq P \subseteq G$. In particular, they are finite length, and have composition factors among $\mathcal{L}(Z_v, X)$, where $Z_v \subseteq Z_w$ and $\mathcal{L}(Z_v, X)$ is the intersection cohomology \mathcal{D}_X -module associated to the trivial local system on the Schubert cell O_v . These local cohomology modules are functorially endowed with structures as mixed Hodge modules, and in particular are endowed with two increasing filtrations of geometric origin: (1) the Hodge filtration $F_{\bullet}(\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^q(\mathcal{O}_X))$, and infinite by coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules, and (2) the weight filtration $W_{\bullet}(\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^q(\mathcal{O}_X))$, a finite filtration by holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules. In this work, we provide a framework to determine the Hodge and weight filtrations on $\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^q(\mathcal{O}_X)$ from those on the geometric (dual) Verma modules $\mathscr{H}_Q^q(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Our main result in this direction is Theorem 3.3, which we now summarize.

Let W^P be the relative Weyl group associated to P, and let ℓ denote the length function on W^P . As the Schubert cells $\{O_w\}_{w \in W^P}$ give an affine paving of X, the Grothendieck–Cousin complex provides a right resolution of \mathcal{O}_X in the category of \mathcal{D}_X -modules:

$$\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}: \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{0}_{O_{w \circ}(P)}(\mathcal{O}_{X}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\ell(v)=d-1} \mathscr{H}^{1}_{O_{v}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\ell(v)=1} \mathscr{H}^{d-1}_{O_{v}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{d}_{O_{e}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where e is the identity and $w_{\circ}(P)$ is the longest element in W^P , of length $d = d_X$. Therefore, for a Schubert variety Z_w , we have $\mathscr{H}^q_{Z_w}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathscr{H}^q_{Z_w}(\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet})$ for $q \ge 0$. Furthermore, using affineness of the inclusions of the Schubert cells, it can be shown that, for $0 \le q \le d$, we have (see Theorem 3.1):

(1.1)
$$\mathscr{H}^{0}_{Z_{w}}(\mathcal{GC}^{q}) = \bigoplus_{v \le w, \ \ell(v) = d-q} \mathscr{H}^{q}_{O_{v}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}), \text{ and } \mathscr{H}^{q}_{Z_{w}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}) = \mathcal{H}^{q}(\mathscr{H}^{0}_{Z_{w}}(\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}))$$

The complex \mathcal{GC}^{\bullet} was introduced by Kempf [Kem78] in his study of BGG resolutions of highest weight modules over simple Lie algebras. In particular, via the Beilinson–Bernstein theorem [BB81], the terms $\mathscr{H}_{O_y}^{d-\ell(y)}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ correspond to duals of parabolic Verma modules. Since $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{C}$, it follows that \mathcal{GC}^{\bullet} is a geometric realization of the dual of the BGG resolution of the trivial representation.

For $w \in W^P$, we write $\mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet} = \mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^0(\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet})$, which by the discussion above satisfies $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet}) = \mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^q(\mathcal{O}_X)$ for $q \geq 0$. The idea to use \mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet} to study local cohomology is not new. In previous work of Raben-Pedersen [RP05], the author uses \mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet} to investigate qualitative properties of $\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^q(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and local cohomological dimension in the case when X = G/B or $X = \operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$, though a clear picture is not obtained. The complex \mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet} has also been examined in positive characteristic, see [KL02, RP05, LRPT06]. Our approach to get at the cohomology of \mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet} is to enhance it to a complex in the category of mixed Hodge modules, and use *strictness* to obtain degeneration of the spectral sequences associated to the Hodge and weight filtrations. We summarize as follows.

Theorem. For $w \in W^P$ the complex \mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet} underlies a complex of mixed Hodge modules, and hence is endowed with a Hodge filtration $F_{\bullet}(\mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet})$ by coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules and a weight filtration $W_{\bullet}(\mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet})$ by holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules. Furthermore, taking cohomology commutes with taking associated graded with respect to these filtrations, i.e. for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \ge 0$ we have isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{gr}_p^F(\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H)) \cong \mathcal{H}^q(\operatorname{gr}_p^F(\mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet})), \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{gr}_p^W(\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H)) \cong \mathcal{H}^q(\operatorname{gr}_p^W(\mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet})).$$

In particular, in order to calculate the composition factors and weight filtration on $\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^q(\mathcal{O}_X)$, instead of working with the complicated complex \mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet} , one can study the cohomology of the complexes $\operatorname{gr}_p^W(\mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet})$, which are complexes of *semi-simple* \mathcal{D}_X -modules. Furthermore, via the Beilinson-Bernstein theorem, one can first take global sections and instead work with parabolic Verma modules and irreducible representations of the corresponding Lie algebra (see Corollary 3.7). The composition factors and weight filtrations on the parabolic Verma modules are known, and are dictated by inverse parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials (see [CC87, KT02]). Recent work of Davis-Vilonen [DV23, Theorem 1.1] implies that the global sections functor is also exact with respect to the Hodge filtration. After establishing the above framework in Sections 2 and 3, we carry out the following:

- In Section 4 we investigate the weight filtration on \mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet} in the case when X is the classical Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$. We employ Kazhdan–Lusztig theory and the known submodule lattice of the relevant parabolic Verma modules to obtain the composition factors and weight filtration on $\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H)$. Our results in this direction are stated in Section 1.2. Remarkably, the weight filtration is controlled by the augmented Dyck patterns of Raicu–Weyman [RW19], which also control the syzygies of scheme-theoretic thickenings of determinantal varieties [Hua20]. See Remark 4.9 for discussion of the connection between loc. cit. and our work.
- In Section 4.4 we restrict these calculations to the opposite big cell of the Grassmannian to recover the composition factors and weight filtration on local cohomology with support in determinantal varieties of generic matrices. This gives a new combinatorial interpretation of the composition factor formula [RW16] and the weight filtration formula [Per24].

At the end of Section 4.3 we discuss how one might approach a similar analysis for Schubert varieties in the remaining Hermitian symmetric spaces.

1.2. Statement of results for the Grassmannian. For positive integers k < n we consider the Grassmannian X = Gr(k, V) of k-dimensional subspaces of an n-dimensional complex vector space V. We fix a complete flag \mathcal{V}_{\bullet} of vector subspaces in V

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-1} \subset V_n = V,$$

with dim $V_i = i$. Given a partition $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ satisfying

(1.2)
$$n-k \ge a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \dots \ge a_k \ge 0,$$

we define the Schubert variety $Z_{\underline{a}} \subseteq X$ as the closed subset

$$Z_{\underline{a}} = \left\{ W \in X \mid \dim(V_{k+a_i+1-i} \cap W) \ge k+1-i \text{ for all } i \right\}.$$

It is the closure of the Schubert cell O_a . This variety has dimension

$$\dim Z_{\underline{a}} = |\underline{a}| = a_1 + \dots + a_k,$$

and given two partitions $\underline{a}, \underline{b}$ satisfying (1.2) we have

$$Z_{\underline{b}} \subseteq Z_{\underline{a}} \quad \iff \quad \underline{b} \subseteq \underline{a},$$

where the latter notation means that $b_i \leq a_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

We identify a partition $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k)$ with its Young diagram

$$\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \mid 1 \le i \le k, \ 1 \le j \le a_i\},\$$

which we picture as a left-justified collection of boxes, with a_i boxes in row *i*. For example, the partition $\underline{a} = (5, 4, 2, 2)$ corresponds to the following Young diagram:



A path P in \mathbb{Z}^2_+ is a collection of boxes

(1.3)
$$P = \{(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \cdots, (i_t, j_t)\}$$

satisfying the following for all $1 \le s \le t - 1$:

$$(i_{s+1}, j_{s+1}) = (i_s - 1, j_s)$$
 or $(i_{s+1}, j_{s+1}) = (i_s, j_s + 1)$

In other words, a path is a connected collection of boxes obtained by starting at some box (i_1, j_1) , and walking in any combination of North and East. We write |P| = t for the length of P, which is the number of boxes in P. A path P as in (1.3) is called a Dyck path if for some $d \ge 0$ we have

• $i_1 + j_1 = i_t + j_t = d$,

•
$$i_s + j_s \leq d$$
 for all $1 \leq s \leq t$.

In words, (i_1, j_1) and (i_t, j_t) lie on the same antidiagonal of \mathbb{Z}^2_+ , and no intermediate box (i_s, j_s) lies below this anti-diagonal. In this case, we say that P is a Dyck path of level d, and that (i_1, j_1) and (i_t, j_t) lie on the d-th antidiagonal. The following three paths are examples of Dyck paths:



The next two paths fail to be Dyck paths:



The left path fails the second condition of a Dyck path, and the right path fails the first condition. Observe that the properties of a Dyck path P imply that |P| is odd, $(i_2, j_2) = (i_1 - 1, j_1)$, and $(i_t, j_t) = (i_{t-1}, j_{t-1} + 1)$, i.e. a Dyck pattern starts by moving North and ends by moving East.

Following Raicu-Weyman [RW19] we define an augmented Dyck path as a pair $\tilde{P} = (P, B)$ where P is a Dyck path and B is a set of boxes, called the bullets in \tilde{P} . The set B is a disjoint union of two sets of boxes $B = B_{head} \sqcup B_{tail}$, where

(1.4)
$$B_{head} = \{(i_t, j_t + 1), (i_t, j_t + 2), \cdots, (i_t, j_t + u)\} \text{ for some } u \ge 0, \text{ and} \\ B_{tail} = \{(i_1 + 1, j_1), (i_1 + 2, j_1), \cdots, (i_1 + v, j_1)\} \text{ for some } v \ge 0.$$

In words, B_{head} is a connected collection of boxes obtained from starting in the box directly East of (i_t, j_t) , and taking some number of steps East. Similarly, B_{tail} is a connected collection of boxes obtained from starting in the box directly South of (i_1, j_1) , and taking some number of steps South.



Given a partition \underline{a} , an augmented Dyck pattern in \underline{a} is a collection

$$\mathbb{D} = (D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_r; \mathbb{B}),$$

where

- each $D_i \subseteq \underline{a}$ is a Dyck path and $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \underline{a}$ is a finite set of boxes;
- the sets D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_r and \mathbb{B} are pairwise disjoint;
- \mathbb{B} can be expressed as a union

$$(1.5) \qquad \qquad \mathbb{B} = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_n$$

in such a way that (D_i, B_i) is an augmented Dyck path for every $i = 1, \dots, r$.

We emphasize that the expression (1.5) need not be unique, and the sets B_i are not necessarily disjoint. We write $|\mathbb{D}|$ for the number of Dyck paths in \mathbb{D} (so we are not counting elements of \mathbb{B}). We often refer to augmented Dyck patterns simply as "Dyck patterns".

We define the support of \mathbb{D} by

(1.6)
$$\operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{D}) = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \cdots \cup D_r \cup \mathbb{B}.$$

If \underline{a} is a partition and \mathbb{D} is a Dyck pattern in \underline{a} , we write

(1.7)
$$\underline{a}^{\mathbb{D}} = \underline{a} \setminus \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{D})$$

We define a Dyck pattern $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_r; \mathbb{B})$ in <u>a</u> to be admissible if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $a^{\mathbb{D}}$ is a set of boxes corresponding to a partition,

- (2) (Covering Condition) for every $p \neq q$, if there exists a box $(i', j') \in D_q$ which is located directly North, Northwest, or West from a box $(i, j) \in D_p$, then every box located directly North, Northwest, or West from a box in D_p must also belong to D_p or D_q ,
- (3) there is no box in \mathbb{B} which is located directly North, Northwest, or West from a box in any Dyck path D_p .

The following are four examples of admissible Dyck patterns in $\underline{a} = (5, 4, 3, 2, 2)$:



The following three patterns are not admissible:



The covering condition is Rule II in [SZJ12, Section 3.1]. This condition may also be described in terms of boundary strip removals from skew diagrams [Bre02, Section 2].

We distinguish between Dyck patterns with bullets and those without bullets as follows:

(1.8) $Dyck^{\bullet}(\underline{a}) = \{ admissible augmented Dyck patterns in \underline{a} \},$

(1.9)
$$Dyck(\underline{a}) = \{ \mathbb{D} = (D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_r; \mathbb{B}) \in Dyck^{\bullet}(\underline{a}) \mid \mathbb{B} = \emptyset \}.$$

We write $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, \dots, D_r)$ when $\mathbb{B} = \emptyset$. The empty pattern $\mathbb{D} = \emptyset$ satisfies $\underline{a}^{\mathbb{D}} = \underline{a}$ and belongs to both $Dyck^{\bullet}(\underline{a})$ and $Dyck(\underline{a})$.

With these combinatorial definitions, we now prepare to state the main result. Let $d_X = \dim X = k(n-k)$. Given a partition <u>a</u> and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we consider the following sets of Dyck patterns:

(1.10)
$$\mathcal{A}(\underline{a};q) = \{ \mathbb{D} = (D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_r; \mathbb{B}) \in Dyck^{\bullet}(\underline{a}) \mid |D_i| \ge 3 \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, r, \ |\mathbb{B}| = q + |\underline{a}| - d_X \}.$$

For $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we consider the subset of $\mathcal{A}(\underline{a};q)$ consisting of patterns with $p-q-d_X$ paths:

(1.11)
$$\mathcal{A}_p(\underline{a};q) = \{ \mathbb{D} \in \mathcal{A}(\underline{a};q) \mid |\mathbb{D}| = p - q - d_X \}.$$

For the following statement, we write $\mathcal{L}(\underline{b}) = \mathcal{L}(Z_{\underline{b}}, X)$ for the intersection cohomology \mathcal{D}_X -module associated to the trivial local system on the Schubert cell $O_{\underline{b}}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Hodge modules $IC_{Z_{\underline{b}}}^H(k)$ provide a complete list of polarizable pure Hodge modules that may overlie $\mathcal{L}(\underline{b})$ (see Section 2.1). By the Beilinson–Bernstein theorem (see Section 3.4), the global sections functor is exact, and $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}(\underline{b}))$ is the irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -representation $L(\underline{b})$, whose highest weight is described in Section 4.1.

Theorem 1.1. With the notation above, we have the following expression in the Grothendieck group of \mathcal{D}_X -modules (resp. representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$) for $q \ge 0$:

$$\left[\mathscr{H}_{Z_{\underline{a}}}^{q}(\mathcal{O}_{X})\right] = \sum_{\underline{b}\in\mathcal{A}(\underline{a};q)} \left[\mathcal{L}(\underline{b})\right], \text{ and } \left[H_{Z_{\underline{a}}}^{q}(X,\mathcal{O}_{X})\right] = \sum_{\underline{b}\in\mathcal{A}(\underline{a};q)} \left[L(\underline{b})\right].$$

Furthermore, the following is true about the weight filtration W_{\bullet} for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\operatorname{gr}_p^W \mathscr{H}_{Z_{\underline{a}}}^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H) = \bigoplus_{\underline{b} \in \mathcal{A}_p(\underline{a};q)} IC_{Z_{\underline{b}}}^H((|\underline{b}| - p)/2)$$

We carry out a first example.

Example 1.2. Let X = Gr(4, 9) and $\underline{a} = (5, 4, 2, 2)$. There are eight elements of $Dyck^{\bullet}(\underline{a})$ for which all paths have length at least three:



The number of bullets determines the cohomological degree, after an initial shift by codimension, which is c = 7 in this example. The first four patterns belong to $\mathcal{A}(\underline{a}; 7)$, the fifth belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\underline{a}; 8)$, the sixth belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\underline{a}; 9)$, the seventh belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\underline{a}, 10)$, and the eighth belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\underline{a}; 12)$. By Theorem 1.1 we have the following in the Grothendieck group of \mathcal{D}_X -modules:

$$\left[\mathscr{H}_{Z_{\underline{a}}}^{7}(\mathcal{O}_{X})\right] = \left[\mathcal{L}(5,4,2,2)\right] + \left[\mathcal{L}(3,3,2,2)\right] + \left[\mathcal{L}(3,1,1,1)\right] + \left[\mathcal{L}(5,1,1,1)\right],$$
$$\left[\mathscr{H}_{Z_{\underline{a}}}^{8}(\mathcal{O}_{X})\right] = \left[\mathcal{L}(0)\right], \quad \left[\mathscr{H}_{Z_{\underline{a}}}^{9}(\mathcal{O}_{X})\right] = \left[\mathcal{L}(5,1,1,1)\right], \quad \left[\mathscr{H}_{Z_{\underline{a}}}^{10}(\mathcal{O}_{X})\right] = \left[\mathscr{H}_{Z_{\underline{a}}}^{12}(\mathcal{O}_{X})\right] = \left[\mathcal{L}(0)\right].$$

The levels of the weight filtration are given by the number of paths plus the number of bullets, after an initial shift of $c + d_X$, which is 27 in this example. Thus, the weights of the simple modules above are (from left to right): 27, 28, 28, 28, 30, 30, 32, 34.

Every Grassmannian has a unique Schubert divisor $Z_{\underline{a}}$, where \underline{a} is obtained by removing the bottom right box from the $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle. The following example illustrates the general behavior for Schubert divisors. We see that there is only one way to remove Dyck paths of lengths ≥ 3 such that the covering condition is satisfied, yielding only one nonzero local cohomology module.

Example 1.3. Set X = Gr(4, 9) and let $\underline{a} = (5, 5, 5, 4)$. There are four elements of $Dyck^{\bullet}(\underline{a})$ for which all paths have length at least three:



There is one local cohomology module, given as follows in the Grothendieck group of \mathcal{D}_X -modules:

$$\left[\mathscr{H}^{1}_{Z_{\underline{a}}}(\mathcal{O}_{X})\right] = \left[\mathcal{L}(5,5,5,4)\right] + \left[\mathcal{L}(5,5,3,3)\right] + \left[\mathcal{L}(5,2,2,2)\right] + \left[\mathcal{L}(1,1,1,1)\right].$$

The weight filtration is given by the number of paths in the pattern, after an initial shift by $c+d_X = 21$. From left to right, the weights of the composition factors are: 21, 22, 23, 24.

In the next example, we discuss the (rationally) smooth case.

Example 1.4. A Schubert variety $Z_{\underline{a}}$ is smooth if and only if \underline{a} is a rectangle [LW90, Theorem 5.3], in which case $Z_{\underline{a}}$ is isomorphic to a smaller Grassmannian. Observe that if \underline{a} is a rectangle with $c = \operatorname{codim}(Z_{\underline{a}}, X)$, then $\mathcal{A}(\underline{a}; c) = \{(\emptyset)\}$ and $\mathcal{A}(\underline{a}, c+j) = \emptyset$ for all $j \ge 1$. In particular, we recover the following well-known fact in the smooth case:

(1.12)
$$\mathscr{H}^{c}_{Z_{\underline{a}}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}) = \mathcal{L}(\underline{a}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{H}^{c+j}_{Z_{\underline{a}}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \ge 1.$$

The cohomological condition (1.12) is referred to as *rational smoothness*. If $Z_{\underline{a}}$ is rationally smooth, then by Theorem 1.1 it follows that \underline{a} does not have any inside corners, i.e. \underline{a} is a rectangle. In particular, we recover the well-known fact that a Schubert variety in the Grassmannian is rationally smooth if and only if it smooth (see [EHP14, Sections 4.3, 5.3] for a survey of rational smoothness properties of Schubert varieties in Hermitian symmetric spaces). Using notation from Section 1.1, we have that $Z_w \subseteq G/P$ is rationally smooth if and only if \mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet} is a resolution of $\mathcal{L}(Z_w, G/P)$.

We prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 4 and we use it to calculate composition factors and weight filtration on local cohomology with support in generic determinantal varieties in Section 4.4.

2. The Hodge-Theoretic Grothendieck-Cousin complex

In this section we review background on \mathcal{D} -modules, mixed Hodge modules, and local cohomology (Section 2.1), and we construct the Hodge-theoretic Grothendieck–Cousin complex (Section 2.2).

2.1. \mathcal{D} -modules, mixed Hodge modules, and local cohomology. Let X be a smooth complex variety of dimension d_X , with sheaf of algebraic differential operators \mathcal{D}_X . A \mathcal{D}_X -module is a sheaf of left modules over \mathcal{D}_X . We write MHM(X) for the category of algebraic mixed Hodge modules on X (see [HTT08, Section 8.3.3]), with bounded derived category D^b MHM(X).

Given an irreducible closed subvariety $Z \subseteq X$, we write $\mathcal{L}(Z, X)$ for the intersection cohomology \mathcal{D}_X module associated to the trivial local system on the regular locus $Z_{\text{reg}} \subseteq Z$ [HTT08, Definition 3.4.1], and we write IC_Z^H for the pure Hodge module associated to the trivial variation of Hodge structure on Z_{reg} [HTT08, Section 8.3.3(m13)], which has weight d_Z . For instance, we have $\mathcal{L}(X, X) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $IC_X^H = \mathcal{O}_X^H$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we write $IC_Z^H(k)$ for the k-th Tate twist of IC_Z^H [HTT08, Section 8.3.3(m5)], which is pure of weight $d_Z - 2k$. The modules $IC_Z^H(k)$ provide a complete list of polarizable pure Hodge modules that may overlie the \mathcal{D}_X -module $\mathcal{L}(Z, X)$ [HTT08, Section 8.3.3(m13)].

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism between complex varieties. We write the following for the corresponding direct and inverse image functors for mixed Hodge modules [Sai90, Section 4]

$$f_*: D^bMHM(X) \to D^bMHM(Y), \text{ and } f^!: D^bMHM(Y) \to D^bMHM(X)$$

If X and Y are smooth, and f is a closed immersion of codimension c, then $f^!\mathcal{O}_V^H = \mathcal{O}_X^H[c](-c)$.

Let X be smooth and let $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a locally closed subvariety of X. Given $\mathcal{M} \in D^b MHM(X)$, we define the local cohomology modules of \mathcal{M} with support in Z via

$$\mathscr{H}^q_Z(\mathcal{M}):=\mathcal{H}^q(i_*i^!\mathcal{M}),\quad q\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_X^H$ this endows each local cohomology module $\mathscr{H}_Z^q(\mathcal{O}_X)$ with a mixed Hodge module structure, which we denote by $\mathscr{H}_Z^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H)$. For more information, see [MP22, Section B].

Now assume that $Z \subseteq X$ is a closed subvariety of X, with complement $U = X \setminus Z$, and open immersion $j: U \to X$. Given $\mathcal{M} \in D^b MHM(X)$, there is an exact triangle [Sai90, (4.4.1)]

(2.1)
$$i_*i^!(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow j_*j^!(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

In particular, if \mathcal{M} is a mixed Hodge module, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^0_Z(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(j_*(\mathcal{M}|_U)) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^1_Z(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and isomorphisms

$$\mathscr{H}^q_Z(\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(j_*(\mathcal{M}|_U)), \text{ for } q \ge 2.$$

We write F_{\bullet} and W_{\bullet} for the Hodge and weight filtrations of $\mathscr{H}^{q}_{Z}(\mathcal{M})$.

2.2. Construction of the Grothendieck–Cousin complex.

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a smooth complex variety, and let $Z' \subsetneq Z$ be equidimensional closed subvarieties of X, with $c = \operatorname{codim}(Z, X)$.

(1) We have the following exact sequence of mixed Hodge modules:

$$0 \to \mathscr{H}^{c}_{Z}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \to \mathscr{H}^{c}_{Z\setminus Z'}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \to \mathscr{H}^{c+1}_{Z'}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \to \mathscr{H}^{c+1}_{Z}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \to \mathscr{H}^{c+1}_{Z\setminus Z'}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \to \cdots$$

(2) If $Z \setminus Z'$ is smooth and the inclusion $k : Z \setminus Z' \to X$ is affine, then $\mathscr{H}^q_{Z \setminus Z'}(\mathcal{O}^H_X) = 0$ for all q > c. In particular, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathscr{H}^{c}_{Z}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \to \mathscr{H}^{c}_{Z \setminus Z'}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \to \mathscr{H}^{c+1}_{Z'}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \to \mathscr{H}^{c+1}_{Z}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \to 0,$$

and there are isomorphisms

$$\mathscr{H}_Z^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H) \cong \mathscr{H}_{Z'}^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H), \text{ for all } q > c+1.$$

Proof. We set $U = X \setminus Z'$ with open immersion j, and we write $i' : Z' \to X$, $i : Z \to X$ for the natural inclusions. By (2.1) for i' = i and $\mathcal{M} = i_* i^! \mathcal{O}_X^H$ we obtain an exact triangle

$$i'_*i'^!i_*i^!\mathcal{O}^H_X \longrightarrow i_*i^!\mathcal{O}^H_X \longrightarrow j_*j^!i_*i^!\mathcal{O}^H_X \xrightarrow{+1}$$

Let f be the inclusion of Z' into Z. Since $i' = i \circ f$, we have $i'_* i'_! i_* i'_! = i'_* f'_! i_* i'_!$, which by adjunction of i' and i_* is equal to i'_*i'' . Let \tilde{j} be the inclusion of $Z \setminus Z'$ into Z, and let \tilde{i} be the inclusion of $Z \setminus Z'$ into U. By base change [Sai90, (4.4.3)] we have that $j_*j'i_*i' = k_*k'$. Therefore, the triangle above becomes

(2.2)
$$i'_*i'^!\mathcal{O}^H_X \longrightarrow i_*i^!\mathcal{O}^H_X \longrightarrow k_*k^!\mathcal{O}^H_X \xrightarrow{+1}$$

Since $\mathscr{H}_Z^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H) = 0$ for q < c and $\mathscr{H}_{Z'}^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H) = 0$ for q < c + 1, this completes the proof of (1). Since $Z \setminus Z'$ is smooth, we have $k^! \mathcal{O}_X^H = \mathcal{O}_{Z \setminus Z'}^H[c](-c)$, and since k is affine, we have that $k_* k^! \mathcal{O}_X^H$ only has cohomology in degree c. This proves (2).

Proposition 2.2. Let X be a smooth complex variety of dimension d, and let

$$X = Z_0 \supset Z_1 \supset \cdots \supset Z_d \supset Z_{d+1} = \emptyset$$

be a decreasing sequence of equidimensional closed subsets with $\operatorname{codim}(Z_i, X) = j$. If $Z_i \setminus Z_{i+1}$ is smooth and the inclusion $Z_j \setminus Z_{j+1} \subseteq X$ is affine for all $0 \leq j \leq d$, then there exists a complex

$$\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}_{\{Z\}}: \quad 0 \to \mathscr{H}^{0}_{Z_{0} \setminus Z_{1}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{H}) \to \mathscr{H}^{1}_{Z_{1} \setminus Z_{2}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{H}) \to \cdots \to \mathscr{H}^{d}_{Z_{d} \setminus Z_{d+1}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{H}) \to 0,$$

which is a right resolution of \mathcal{O}_X^H in the category of mixed Hodge modules. This complex is known as the Grothendieck-Cousin complex associated to the filtration $\{Z\}$.

Proof. By the assumptions on the subvarieties, we have that Lemma 2.1(2) applies to each inclusion $Z_j \subset Z_{j-1}$, so that we have $\mathscr{H}^i_{Z_j}(\mathcal{O}^H_X) \cong \mathscr{H}^i_{Z_{j-1}}(\mathcal{O}^H_X)$ for all i > j. Since $\mathscr{H}^i_X(\mathcal{O}^H_X) = 0$ for all i > 0, we conclude that, for all $0 \leq j \leq d$ we have $\mathscr{H}_{Z_i}^i(\mathcal{O}_X^H) = 0$ for i > j. In particular, $\mathscr{H}_{Z_i}^{j+1}(\mathcal{O}_X^H) = 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq d$. By Lemma 2.1(2), we obtain exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathscr{H}^{j}_{Z_{j}}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{j}} \mathscr{H}^{j}_{Z_{j} \setminus Z_{j+1}}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \xrightarrow{\beta^{j}} \mathscr{H}^{j+1}_{Z_{j+1}}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \to 0.$$

For $0 \leq j \leq d$ we set $\mathcal{GC}^{j}_{\{Z\}} = \mathscr{H}^{j}_{Z_{i} \setminus Z_{i+1}}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X})$, and we define $d^{j} : \mathcal{GC}^{j}_{\{Z\}} \to \mathcal{GC}^{j+1}_{\{Z\}}$ to be the composition of maps

$$d^j = \alpha^{j+1} \circ \beta^j.$$

By construction, the image of d^j is $\mathscr{H}^{j+1}_{Z_{j+1}}(\mathcal{O}^H_X)$, which is the kernel of d^{j+1} . Thus, $\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}_{\{Z\}}$ is exact in cohomological degrees $j \geq 1$. Since the kernel of β^0 is $\mathscr{H}^0_X(\mathcal{O}^H_X) = \mathcal{O}^H_X$, we conclude that $\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}_{\{Z\}}$ is a resolution of \mathcal{O}_X^H .

The Grothendieck–Cousin complex was introduced and studied in much greater generality in [Kem78]. In particular, Kempf considers more general filtrations by subvarieties and more general input sheaves. Proposition 2.2 shows that if we work with \mathcal{O}_X^H , then $\mathcal{GC}_{\{Z\}}^{\bullet}$ belongs to the category of mixed Hodge modules. In particular, it is endowed with a Hodge filtration F_{\bullet} and weight filtration W_{\bullet} .

3. The Grothendieck–Cousin complex of a Schubert variety

3.1. Lie algebras and Weyl groups. We let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra of rank n. We fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, and we write $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ for the root system of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{h} . We have the root space decomposition of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}\oplus igoplus_{lpha\in\Phi}\mathfrak{g}_lpha.$$

We denote by $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset \Phi$ a choice of simple roots, and we write $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi$ for the set of positive roots with respect to Δ . The standard Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} associated to this data is:

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{lpha \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_{lpha}.$$

A subset $I \subset \Delta$ determines a root system Φ_I via

$$\Phi_I := \Phi \cap \sum_{\alpha \in I} \mathbb{Z}^{\alpha}$$

and thus determines the following three subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} :

(3.1)
$$\mathfrak{l}_I = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_I} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \qquad \mathfrak{u}_I = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Phi_I} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \qquad \mathfrak{p}_I = \mathfrak{l}_I \oplus \mathfrak{u}_I.$$

We say \mathfrak{p}_I is a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , with Levi factor \mathfrak{l}_I and nilradical \mathfrak{u}_I . When the set I is understood, we will sometimes omit the subscript I and simply write $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$.

Let W denote the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} , generated by the simple reflections s_{α} , where $\alpha \in \Delta$. Given $w \in W$ we write $\ell(w)$ for the length of w, which is the length of the shortest word for w in the simple reflections. The group W has a unique longest element, which we will denote by w_{\circ} , with length $\ell(w_{\circ}) = |\Phi^+|$. Given a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_I = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$, we write $W_I = \langle s_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in I \rangle$ for the Weyl group of the Levi factor \mathfrak{l} , with longest element w_I . In each left coset $wW_I \in W/W_I$ there exists a unique element of minimal length. We consider the set of minimal length coset representatives:

(3.2)
$$W^{I} = \{ w \in W \mid \ell(ww) = \ell(w) + \ell(w') \text{ for all } w' \in W_{I} \}$$

Each $x \in W$ may be expressed uniquely as x = ww' where $w \in W^I$ and $w' \in W_I$. We write $w_{\circ}(I)$ for the longest element in W^I , which satisfies $w_{\circ}(I)w_I = w_{\circ}$ and $\ell(w_{\circ}(I)) = |\Phi^+ \setminus \Phi_I|$.

3.2. Generalized flag varieties and Schubert varieties. Let G be the complex simple linear algebraic group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and with Borel subgroup B corresponding to $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. For $I \subset \Phi$ we write $P = P_I$ for the parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_I$. We refer to G/B as the complete flag variety of G, and we call G/P the generalized flag variety associated to P. The dimension of G/P is dim $(G/P) = \ell(w_{\circ}(I))$.

Let T be the maximal torus of G associated to the fixed Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . With this notation, the Weyl group of G is $W = N_G(T)/T$. The group G has the Bruhat decomposition

$$G = \bigcup_{w \in W} BwB,$$

where the w in BwB is any representative in G of the class of w. Furthermore, G/P has Bruhat decomposition

$$G/P = \bigcup_{w \in W^I} BwP/P.$$

The sets BwP/P are the B-orbits on G/P, known as the Schubert cells. For $w \in W^I$ we set

$$(3.3) O_w = BwP/P \subseteq G/P.$$

The Zariski closure Z_w of O_w is the Schubert variety associated to $w \in W^I$ in G/P. It has dimension $\ell(w)$ and codimension $c(w) := \operatorname{codim}(Z_w, X)$. We say $v \leq w$ if $O_v \subseteq Z_w$.

3.3. Structure of the Grothendieck–Cousin complex. We let $X = G/P_I$ and $d = \dim X$. For $0 \leq j \leq d$ we consider the closed sets

$$Z_j = \bigcup_{\substack{v \in W^I \\ c(v)=j}} Z_v$$

The sets Z_j fit into a descending chain

$$X = Z_0 \supset Z_1 \supset \cdots \supset Z_d \supset Z_{d+1} = \emptyset,$$

where $Z_j \setminus Z_{j+1}$ is smooth and the inclusion $Z_j \setminus Z_{j+1} \subseteq X$ is affine. By Proposition 2.2, the mixed Hodge module \mathcal{O}_X^H admits a right resolution

$$\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}_{\{Z\}}: \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{0}_{Z_{0} \setminus Z_{1}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{H}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{1}_{Z_{1} \setminus Z_{2}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{H}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{d}_{Z_{d} \setminus Z_{d+1}}(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{H}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where we view $\mathscr{H}^{j}_{Z_i \setminus Z_{i+1}}(\mathcal{O}^H_X)$ as being in cohomological degree j. For $w \in W^I$ we define

(3.4)
$$\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}_{w} = \mathscr{H}^{0}_{Z_{w}} \big(\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}_{\{Z\}} \big).$$

The main result of this subsection is the following.

Theorem 3.1. The following is true about $\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}_{w}$.

(1) For all $0 \le j \le d$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{j}(\mathcal{GC}_{w}^{\bullet}) = \mathscr{H}_{Z_{w}}^{j}(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{H}).$$

(2) $\mathcal{GC}_{u}^{j} = 0$ for j < c(w), and for $j \ge c(w)$ we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{GC}_w^j = igoplus_{\substack{v \leq w \ c(v) = j}}^{\mathcal{H}_{O_v}^j}(\mathcal{O}_X^H)$$

(3) For $u < v \le w$ with j = c(v) = c(u) - 1, the differential in $\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}_{w}$ induces a nonzero morphism: $\mathscr{H}^{j}_{O}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{j+1}_{O}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}).$

We note that part (3) is not formal: its proof uses the fact that Schubert varieties are normal. In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we first need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. For $0 \le j \le d$ we have

$$\mathscr{H}^{j}_{Z_{j}\setminus Z_{j+1}}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{v \in W^{I} \\ c(v)=j}} \mathscr{H}^{j}_{\mathcal{O}_{v}}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}).$$

Proof. Let $k^j : Z_j \setminus Z_{j+1} \hookrightarrow X$, $i_v : O_v \hookrightarrow X$, and $i_v^j : O_v \hookrightarrow Z_j \setminus Z_{j+1}$. The variety $Z_j \setminus Z_{j+1}$ is the disjoint union of closed smooth subvarieties O_v over $v \in W^I$ with c(v) = j. Thus,

$$\mathcal{O}_{Z_j \setminus Z_{j+1}}^H = \bigoplus_{\substack{v \in W^I \\ c(v)=j}} i_{v*}^j \mathcal{O}_{O_v}^H.$$

Since $\mathscr{H}_{Z_j \setminus Z_{j+1}}^j(\mathcal{O}_X^H) = k_*^j \mathcal{O}_{Z_j \setminus Z_{j+1}}^H[j](-j)$ and $\mathscr{H}_{O_v}^j(\mathcal{O}_X^H) = i_{v*} \mathcal{O}_{O_v}^H[j](-j)$, we obtain the desired result using $k_*^j \circ i_{v*}^j = i_{v*}$. Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since $\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}_{\{Z\}}$ is a resolution of \mathcal{O}^{H}_{X} , to prove (1) and (2) it suffices to show that

$$\mathscr{H}^{0}_{Z_{w}}(\mathscr{H}^{j}_{Z_{j}\setminus Z_{j+1}}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X})) = \bigoplus_{\substack{v \leq w \\ c(v) = j}} \mathscr{H}^{j}_{O_{v}}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}).$$

and $\mathscr{H}^{i}_{Z_{w}}(\mathscr{H}^{j}_{Z_{j}\setminus Z_{j+1}}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X})) = 0$ for i > 0. By Lemma 3.2 we have that

$$\mathscr{H}^{j}_{Z_{j}\setminus Z_{j+1}}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{v \in W^{I} \\ c(v)=j}} \mathscr{H}^{j}_{O_{v}}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X}).$$

Let $v \in W^I$ with c(v) = j. If $v \leq w$, then $O_v \cap Z_w = O_v$. Let $\beta : O_v \hookrightarrow Z_w, \alpha : O_v \hookrightarrow X, \gamma : Z_w \hookrightarrow X$, so that $\alpha = \gamma \circ \beta$. By base change [Sai90, (4.4.3)] we have $\gamma_* \gamma^! \alpha_* \alpha^! = \gamma_* \beta_* \alpha^! = \alpha_* \alpha^!$. Thus, there is a Grothendieck spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^p \big(\mathscr{H}_{O_v}^q (\mathcal{O}_X^H) \big) \implies \mathscr{H}_{O_v \cap Z_w}^{p+q} (\mathcal{O}_X^H) = \mathscr{H}_{O_v}^{p+q} (\mathcal{O}_X^H).$$

Since $\mathscr{H}_{O_v}^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H) \neq 0$ only if q = j, this spectral sequence has only one nonzero column on the E_2 -page, and has only one nonzero row on the E_{∞} -page. Thus, the spectral sequence degenerates at E_2 , so we have $\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^0(\mathscr{H}_{O_v}^j(\mathcal{O}_X^H)) = \mathscr{H}_{O_v}^j(\mathcal{O}_X^H)$, and $\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^i(\mathscr{H}_{O_v}^j(\mathcal{O}_X^H)) = 0$ for i > 0. On the other hand, if $v \nleq w$, then $O_v \cap Z_w = \emptyset$, so by base change we obtain a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathscr{H}^p_{Z_w} \big(\mathscr{H}^q_{O_v} (\mathcal{O}^H_X) \big) \implies 0.$$

Since $\mathscr{H}_{O_v}^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H) \neq 0$ only if q = j, this spectral sequence is degenerate, yielding $\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^i(\mathscr{H}_{O_v}^j(\mathcal{O}_X^H)) = 0$ for all i, j, which completes the proof of (1) and (2).

It suffices to prove (3) in the case $w = w_{\circ}(I)$ is the longest element of W^{I} so that $\mathcal{GC}_{w}^{\bullet} = \mathcal{GC}_{\{Z\}}^{\bullet}$, and after restricting to the following open set

$$U = X \setminus \bigcup_{x \not\ge u} Z_x.$$

We note that O_u is closed in U. By construction of $\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}_{\{Z\}}$, the map from $\mathscr{H}^j_{O_v}(\mathcal{O}^H_U)$ to $\mathscr{H}^{j+1}_{O_u}(\mathcal{O}^H_U)$ in $\mathcal{GC}^{\bullet}_{\{Z\}}|_U$ fits into the following exact sequence (see Lemma 2.1):

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{j}_{\overline{O}_{v}}(\mathcal{O}_{U}^{H}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{j}_{O_{v}}(\mathcal{O}_{U}^{H}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{j+1}_{O_{u}}(\mathcal{O}_{U}^{H}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{j+1}_{\overline{O}_{v}}(\mathcal{O}_{U}^{H}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since Z_v is normal [RR85, Theorem 3], its singular locus must have dimension $\leq \ell(v) - 2$, and by equivariance it must be a union of Schubert varieties. Since $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$, it follows that \overline{O}_v is smooth in U, so we have $\mathscr{H}_{\overline{O}_v}^{j+1}(\mathcal{O}_U^H) = 0$. As $\mathscr{H}_{O_u}^{j+1}(\mathcal{O}_U^H) \neq 0$, we conclude that the map $\mathscr{H}_{O_v}^j(\mathcal{O}_U^H) \to \mathscr{H}_{O_u}^{j+1}(\mathcal{O}_U^H)$ is surjective, and therefore the desired map is nonzero.

Since \mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet} is a complex of mixed Hodge modules, it is endowed with a Hodge filtration F_{\bullet} and a weight filtration W_{\bullet} . The next result gives our main tool to get information about the mixed Hodge module structure on local cohomology.

Theorem 3.3. Let $w \in W^I$, let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $q \ge 0$. The following is true about the Hodge and weight filtrations on local cohomology with support in Z_w :

$$\operatorname{gr}_p^F(\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H)) \cong \mathcal{H}^q(\operatorname{gr}_p^F(\mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet})), \text{ and } \operatorname{gr}_p^W(\mathscr{H}_{Z_w}^q(\mathcal{O}_X^H)) \cong \mathcal{H}^q(\operatorname{gr}_p^W(\mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet})).$$

Proof. Since morphisms in the category of mixed Hodge modules are strict with respect to the Hodge and weight filtrations [HTT08, Section 8.3.3(m4)], the spectral sequences associated to these filtrations are degenerate (see [PS08, Lemma-Definition A.35]).

3.4. Parabolic Verma modules, *P*-orbits, and localization. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} , and let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_I$ be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} with Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ and adjoint group $P = P_I$. Let $\operatorname{mod}_P(\mathfrak{g}, \chi_0)$ denote the category of *P*-equivariant finitely-generated $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules with trivial central character (see [HTT08, Section 11.5]). We write $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$.

For $w \in W^I$ we let F_w denote the finite dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{l} -representation with highest weight $ww_I\rho - \rho$, where w_I is the longest element in W_I . We view F_w as a representation of \mathfrak{p} , with \mathfrak{u} acting trivially. The parabolic Verma module (sometimes called generalized Verma module) with highest weight $ww_I\rho - \rho$ is the left $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module

$$(3.5) M_I(w) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} F_w$$

The module $M_I(w)$ is a quotient of the Verma module $M_{\emptyset}(w)$, and thus has unique simple quotient L(w), the irreducible representation of \mathfrak{g} with highest weight $ww_I\rho - \rho$. We define the BGG dual of $M_I(w)$ as $N_I(w) = M_I(w)^{\vee}$ (see [Hum08, Section 3.2]). The module $N_I(w)$ is dual to $M_I(w)$ in the sense that they have the same composition factors, multiplicities of factors, and the submodule lattice of $N_I(w)$ is dual to that of $M_I(w)$ (see [Hum08, Section 3.2] Theorem]).

We now state the Beilinson–Bernstein theorem [BB81] for trivial central characters. Consider the natural left action of P on the complete flag variety G/B, with orbits

(3.6)
$$G/B = \bigcup_{w \in W^I} \mathbb{O}_w, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbb{O}_w = \bigcup_{z \in W_I} O_{wz},$$

where O_y denotes the *B*-orbit of yB in G/B. Here, O_{ww_I} is dense in \mathbb{O}_w , so that $\overline{O}_{ww_I} = \overline{\mathbb{O}}_w = Z_w$ in G/B. For $w \in W^I$, we define the $\mathcal{D}_{G/B}$ -modules:

(3.7)
$$\mathcal{N}_w = \mathscr{H}^{c(w)}_{\mathbb{O}_w}(\mathcal{O}_{G/B}), \quad \mathcal{M}_w = \mathbb{D}\mathcal{N}_w, \quad \mathcal{L}_w = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{O}_w, G/B).$$

For the following statements, we write $\operatorname{mod}_P(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$ for the category of *P*-equivariant coherent $\mathcal{D}_{G/B}$ modules (see [LW19, Section 2.1] or [HTT08, Section 11.5]), and we write $\operatorname{mod}_B(\mathcal{D}_{G/P})$ for the category of *B*-equivariant coherent $\mathcal{D}_{G/P}$ -modules.

Theorem 3.4. The following is true about $\operatorname{mod}_P(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$.

(1) The global sections functor $\Gamma(G/B, -)$ induces an equivalence of categories

 $\operatorname{mod}_P(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}) \cong \operatorname{mod}_P(\mathfrak{g}, \chi_0).$

(2) Furthermore, given $w \in W^I$ we have

$$\Gamma(G/B, \mathcal{N}_w) \cong N_I(w), \quad \Gamma(G/B, \mathcal{M}_w) \cong M_I(w), \quad \Gamma(G/B, \mathcal{L}_w) \cong L(w)$$

Proof. The equivalence of categories in (1) is a special case of the Beilinson–Bernstein theorem [BB81] (see [HTT08, Theorem 11.5.3] for a textbook account). For the first two isomorphisms in (2), see [CC87, (3.27) Lemma] and subsequent discussion. The final isomorphism follows from [BB81, Proposition] (alternatively, [HTT08, Prop.12.3.2], noting that $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{O}_w, G/B) = \mathcal{L}(O_{ww_I}, G/B)$).

For the remainder of the subsection we write π^* for the (un-shifted) pullback functor of \mathcal{D} -modules [HTT08, Section 1.3] and we write π_* for the direct image functor of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O} -modules.

Theorem 3.5. Consider the natural projection $\pi : G/B \to G/P$. Then π^* is exact and induces an equivalence of categories

$$\operatorname{mod}_B(\mathcal{D}_{G/P}) \cong \operatorname{mod}_P(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}).$$

Furthermore, given $w \in W^I$ we have

$$\pi^*\mathscr{H}^{c(w)}_{O_w}(\mathcal{O}_{G/P}) \cong \mathcal{N}_w, \quad \pi^*\big(\mathbb{D}\big(\mathscr{H}^{c(w)}_{O_w}(\mathcal{O}_{G/P})\big)\big) \cong \mathcal{M}_w, \quad \pi^*\mathcal{L}(O_w, G/P) \cong \mathcal{L}_w.$$

Proof. Since π is smooth, the functor π^* is exact [HTT08, Proposition 1.5.13]. By [LW19, Proposition 4.5(b)], the functor π^* induces the desired equivalence of categories.

It follows from (3.6) that $\pi^{-1}(O_w) = \mathbb{O}_w$, so base change [HTT08, Theorem 1.7.3] yields the first two isomorphisms. The third isomorphism follows from the first and the equivalence of categories.

Combining Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.6. The following is true about $\operatorname{mod}_B(\mathcal{D}_{G/P})$.

(1) The global sections functor $\Gamma(G/P, -)$ induces an equivalence of categories

 $\operatorname{mod}_B(\mathcal{D}_{G/P}) \cong \operatorname{mod}_P(\mathfrak{g}, \chi_0).$

(2) Furthermore, given $w \in W^I$ we have

$$\Gamma(G/P, \mathscr{H}^{c(w)}_{O_w}(\mathcal{O}_{G/P})) \cong N_I(w), \quad \Gamma(G/P, \mathbb{D}(\mathscr{H}^{c(w)}_{O_w}(\mathcal{O}_{G/P}))) \cong M_I(w), \quad \Gamma(G/P, \mathcal{L}_w) \cong L(w).$$

Proof. We follow the strategy described in [BB85, footnote pg. 14] (see also [HP96, Proposition 2.14]). Let $\pi: G/B \to G/P$ be the projection. For $\mathcal{M} \in \text{mod}_B(\mathcal{D}_{G/P})$ we have that $\mathbb{R}^j \pi_*(\pi^*\mathcal{M}) = 0$ for j > 0 (see [HP96, Proposition 2.14(a)]). By Theorem 3.4(1) we have that the Leray–Serre spectral sequence

$$H^{i}(G/P, \mathbb{R}^{j}\pi_{*}(\pi^{*}\mathcal{M})) \implies H^{i+j}(G/B, \pi^{*}\mathcal{M}),$$

degenerates to yield an isomorphism $\Gamma(G/P, \mathcal{M}) \cong \Gamma(G/B, \pi^*\mathcal{M})$ as $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. By Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 this proves both (1) and (2).

For $w \in W^I$ we consider the global Grothendieck–Cousin complex $GC_w^{\bullet} = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{GC}_w^{\bullet})$:

$$(3.8) \qquad GC_w^{\bullet}: \quad 0 \longrightarrow N_I(w) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{v \le w \\ c(v) = c(w) + 1}} N_I(v) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{v \le w \\ c(w) = \ell(w_I) - 1}} N_I(v) \longrightarrow N_I(e) \longrightarrow 0,$$

We define the Hodge filtration F_{\bullet} and weight filtration W_{\bullet} on $N_I(w)$ via (see [DV23, Theorem 1.1]):

(3.9)
$$F_p(N_I(w)) = \Gamma(F_p(\mathscr{H}_{O_w}^{c(w)}(\mathcal{O}_X))), \text{ and } W_p(N_I(w)) = \Gamma(W_p(\mathscr{H}_{O_w}^{c(w)}(\mathcal{O}_X))).$$

We endow $M_I(w)$ with filtrations in similar manner. The weight filtration on $M_I(w)$ is called the Jantzen filtration (see [BB93,Hum08]). Similarly, we define Hodge and weight filtrations on the global local cohomology modules for $q \ge 0$:

(3.10)
$$F_p(H^q_{Z_w}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) = \Gamma(F_p(\mathscr{H}^q_{Z_w}(\mathcal{O}_X))), \text{ and } W_p(H^q_{Z_w}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) = \Gamma(W_p(\mathscr{H}^q_{Z_w}(\mathcal{O}_X))).$$

By Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.6, and [DV23, Theorem 1.1] we obtain:

Corollary 3.7. (1) For $w \in W^I$ we have that the morphisms in GC_w^{\bullet} are strict with respect to the Hodge and weight filtrations. In particular,

$$\operatorname{gr}_p^F(H^q_{Z_w}(X,\mathcal{O}_X)) \cong \mathcal{H}^q(\operatorname{gr}_p^F(GC_w^{\bullet})), \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{gr}_p^W(H^q_{Z_w}(X,\mathcal{O}_X)) \cong \mathcal{H}^q(\operatorname{gr}_p^W(GC_w^{\bullet}))$$

(2) For $u < v \le w$ with j = c(v) = c(u) - 1, the differential in GC_w^{\bullet} induces a nonzero morphism

$$N_I(v) \to N_I(u).$$

(3) For $v \leq w$ the multiplicity of L(v) as a composition factor of $\operatorname{gr}_p^W(H^q_{Z_w}(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$ is equal to the multiplicity of $\mathcal{L}(O_v, X)$ as a composition factor of $\operatorname{gr}_p^W(\mathscr{H}^q_{Z_w}(\mathcal{O}_X^H))$.

We will not pursue the Hodge filtration further in this work.

4. CALCULATIONS FOR THE GRASSMANNIAN

Let X = Gr(k, n) be the Grassmannian of k-dimensional subspaces of an n-dimensional complex vector space, so that $d_X = k(n - k)$. We prove Theorem 1.1 and apply it in Section 4.4 to calculate local cohomology with support in determinantal varieties. We freely use notation from Section 1.2.

4.1. The Grassmannian and minimal length coset representatives. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with simple roots $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$, where $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$. Let $I = \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_k\}$ and let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_I$. We write $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and P for the groups corresponding to \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{p} , so that $X \cong G/P$.

The set of minimal length cos representatives of W/W_I is:

(4.1)
$$W^{I} = \{ (i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n}) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n} \mid i_{1} < \cdots < i_{k}, \quad i_{k+1} < \cdots < i_{n} \},\$$

where \mathfrak{S}_n is the symmetric group on n elements. In our notation, the identity e is represented by $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, and the longest element $w_{\circ}(I)$ is represented by $(n - k + 1, \dots, n, 1, \dots, n-k)$. To each $w = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in W^I$ we associate a Young diagram $\underline{a} = \underline{a}(w)$:

$$a_1 = i_k - k, \quad a_2 = i_{k-1} - (k-1), \quad \cdots, \quad a_k = i_1 - 1,$$

i.e. $a_j = i_{k+1-j} - (k+1-j)$ for $1 \le j \le k$ and $a_j = 0$ for j > k. For instance, we have

$$e \longleftrightarrow (0, \cdots, 0)$$
, and $w_{\circ}(I) \longleftrightarrow (n-k, \cdots, n-k)$.

We obtain a bijection between W^I and the set

(4.2)
$$\mathcal{R}_{k,n} = \{\underline{a} \mid n-k \ge a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_k \ge 0\}.$$

We think of $\mathcal{R}_{k,n}$ as the set of Young diagrams that fit into a $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle.

Given $w \in W^I$ with $\underline{a} = \underline{a}(w)$ we write $O_{\underline{a}} = O_w$ and $Z_{\underline{a}} = Z_w$ for the corresponding Schubert cell and Schubert variety. We have dim $Z_{\underline{a}} = |\underline{a}| = \ell(w)$. We write $M(\underline{a}) = M_I(w)$, $N(\underline{a}) = N_I(w)$, $L(\underline{a}) = L_I(w)$, so that $M(\underline{a})$ and $L(\underline{a})$ have highest weight

(4.3)
$$\lambda_{\underline{a},n} := w w_I \rho - \rho = (-a_k^c, -a_{k-1}^c, \cdots, -a_1^c \mid (a^c)_1', (a^c)_2', \cdots, (a^c)_{n-k}'),$$

where $\underline{a}^c = (n - k - a_k, \dots, n - k - a_1)$ is the complementary partition of \underline{a} in $\mathcal{R}_{k,n}$ and $(\underline{a}^c)'$ is the conjugate partition to \underline{a}^c . We use the notation $\lambda_{a,n}$ to emphasize the dependence on n.

4.2. Properties of the parabolic Verma modules. Let $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{R}_{k,n}$. We freely identify the modules $N(\underline{a}), M(\underline{a}), L(\underline{a})$ with their \mathcal{D}_X -module theoretic counterparts via Corollary 3.6, i.e.

$$N(\underline{a}) \longleftrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{c(\underline{a})}_{O_{\underline{a}}}(\mathcal{O}_X), \quad M(\underline{a}) \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{D}\big(\mathscr{H}^{c(\underline{a})}_{O_{\underline{a}}}(\mathcal{O}_X)\big), \quad L(\underline{a}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{L}(O_{\underline{a}}, X),$$

and we recall that $M(\underline{a})$ and $N(\underline{a})$ are endowed with weight filtrations W_{\bullet} via this equivalence.

A Loewy filtration on a finite length $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module M is a filtration of minimal length such that the subquotients are semi-simple. Two examples of Loewy filtrations are the socle and radical filtrations (see [Hum08, Section 8.14]). We say that M is rigid if the socle and radical filtrations coincide, in which case there is a unique Loewy filtration on M. For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ we write

(4.4)
$$\mathcal{Z}_p(\underline{a}) = \{ \mathbb{D} \in Dyck(\underline{a}) \mid |\mathbb{D}| = p + |\underline{a}| - 2d_X \}.$$

We emphasize that elements of $\mathcal{Z}_p(\underline{a})$ may have Dyck paths of length one, and they have no bullets.

Theorem 4.1. The modules $M(\underline{a})$ and $N(\underline{a})$ are rigid, and the weight filtration W_{\bullet} is the unique Loewy filtration. For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ the levels of the weight filtration are given by

$$\operatorname{gr}_p^W(M(\underline{a})) = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{D}\in\mathcal{Z}_{-p}(\underline{a})} L(\underline{a}^{\mathbb{D}}), \text{ and } \operatorname{gr}_p^W(N(\underline{a})) = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{D}\in\mathcal{Z}_p(\underline{a})} L(\underline{a}^{\mathbb{D}}).$$

In particular, each composition factor of $M(\underline{a})$ and $N(\underline{a})$ appears with multiplicity one.

Proof. The composition factors of $M(\underline{a})$ (and hence $N(\underline{a})$) are described in [CIS88, Theorem 1.3]. The authors also show that the socle, radical, and ℓ -adic weight filtrations coincide and are the unique Loewy filtration [CIS88, Corollary 1.7]. The Loewy levels are interpreted in terms of inverse parabolic Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials in [Irv90, Corollary 7.1.3]. For the Dyck pattern interpretation of these inverse Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials, see [SZJ12, Corollary 1] (see also [SZJ12, Section 3.1]). In [KT02, Theorem 1.4] it is shown that these inverse Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials also describe the weight filtration on $N(\underline{a})$ (and hence $M(\underline{a})$). Thus, the weight filtration is the unique Loewy filtration. We have normalized the shift on W_{\bullet} so that $L(\underline{a})$ lives in weight $p = 2d_X - |\underline{a}|$ of $N(\underline{a})$.

We remark that the original combinatorial description of the relevant Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials may be found in [LS81].

Example 4.2. Let $\underline{a} = (3, 2, 2)$. The following example works equally well for any k, n for which $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{R}_{k,n}$. For concreteness, let k = 3 and n = 6, so that $d_X = 9$. The elements of $Dyck(\underline{a})$ are:



It follows from Theorem 4.1 that the weight filtration on $N(\underline{a})$ is given by:

$$gr_{11}^{W}(N(\underline{a})) = L(3,2,2), \qquad gr_{12}^{W}(N(\underline{a})) = L(2,2,2) \oplus L(3,2,1),$$

$$gr_{13}^{W}(N(\underline{a})) = L(3,0,0) \oplus L(2,2,1) \oplus L(1,0,0), \qquad gr_{14}^{W}(N(\underline{a})) = L(2,0,0).$$
and for each order of $M(a)$ are the same and $gr_{14}^{W}(M(a)) = gr_{14}^{W}(N(\underline{a}))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The composition factors of $M(\underline{a})$ are the same and $\operatorname{gr}_p^{W}(M(\underline{a})) = \operatorname{gr}_{-p}^{W}(N(\underline{a}))$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. \diamond

For a larger example, see [Hua20, Example 2.19] for composition factors of N(5, 4, 2, 1) when k = 4, n = 9, noting that loc. cit. uses the complementary convention for partitions. In particular, the simple L(2,2) (shown in the bottom right) has weight p = 32, which is maximal in N(5,4,2,1).

Given $\mathbb{D} \in Dyck(\underline{a})$, we write $v_a^{\mathbb{D}}$ for any vector v in $M(\underline{a})$ such that the class of v in a quotient of $M(\underline{a})$ is a highest weight vector for $L(\underline{a}^{\mathbb{D}})$. Such vectors are called primitive vectors.

Theorem 4.3. Let \mathbb{D} , $\mathbb{D}' \in Dyck(a)$. The following is true about M(a).

- (1) If $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{D}'$ then $v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}'} \in U(\mathfrak{g})v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}}$. (2) If $\mathbb{D} = \{(x, y)\}$ and $v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}'} \in U(\mathfrak{g})v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}}$, then $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{D}'$.

We emphasize that the converse to (1) is false in general, see [SZ12, Theorem 5.18] or [BE86]. For instance, in Example 4.2, a primitive vector for L(2,0,0) generates a primitive vector for L(1,0,0). In the terminology of [SZ12], the Dyck pattern corresponding to L(1,0,0) is a "path with bridges".

Proof. The best reference for this information is obtained via *super duality* which relates parabolic Verma modules over an infinite-dimensional general linear Lie algebra to Kac modules over an infinitedimensional general linear Lie superalgebra. Said imprecisely, super duality identifies parabolic Verma modules $M_I(\lambda)$ of highest weight $\lambda = (\lambda_1 | \lambda_2)$ with Kac modules $K(\lambda^{\sharp})$ with highest weight $\lambda^{\sharp} = (\lambda_1 | \lambda_2)$, where λ'_2 is the transpose of the partition λ_2 , though in order for things to work out nicely, one needs to work over infinite dimensional analogues of the general linear Lie (super)algebras. In [SZ12], the authors use super duality and parabolic Kazhdan–Lusztig theory (e.g. [CIS88] and [Irv90]) to calculate the composition factors and Loewy filtrations of the Kac modules over the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}$. In [SZ12, Section 5] the authors calculate the submodule lattice of a Kac module. We will relate their work via super duality to our setting for use in our calculations. We follow the treatment of super duality in [SZ12, Section 3.3] to summarize the information we need. Once we have set up notation, Theorem 4.3 will follow readily from [SZ12, Theorem 5.18].

For each $r \ge n-k$ we consider the general linear Lie algebra \mathfrak{gl}_{k+r} with the parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p}_{k+r} with Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_k \times \mathfrak{gl}_r$. Let <u>a</u> be as above, and consider the parabolic Verma module $M^{k+r}(\lambda_{\underline{a}})$ over \mathfrak{gl}_{k+r} with highest weight (see Section 4.1)

$$\lambda_{\underline{a},n} = (-a_k^c, -a_{k-1}^c, \cdots, -a_1^c \mid (a^c)_1', (a^c)_2', \cdots, (a^c)_r'),$$

so that $M^n(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}) = M(\underline{a})$. We consider the irreducible \mathfrak{gl}_{k+r} -module $L^{k+r}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n})$ of highest weight $\lambda_{\underline{a},n}$, so that $L^n(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}) = L(\underline{a})$. Taking the limit as $r \to \infty$, we obtain $\mathfrak{gl}_{k+\infty}$ and the modules $M^{k+\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n})$, $L^{k+\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n})$. Write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathfrak{p}_{k+r}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathfrak{p}_{k+\infty}}$ for the corresponding parabolic categories \mathcal{O} with integral weights. Similar to Theorem 4.1, $M^{k+\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n})$ has composition factors $L^{k+\infty}(-b_k, -b_{k-1}, \cdots, -b_1|b'_1, b'_2, \cdots)$, where $\underline{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ is obtained from \underline{a}^c by adding an admissible Dyck pattern with no bullets (over an infinite dimensional space, it is more convenient to work with \underline{a}^{c} rather than \underline{a}). We have an exact truncation functor $\operatorname{tr}_{n-k} : \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{int}}^{\mathfrak{p}_{k+\infty}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{int}}^{\mathfrak{p}_n}$ sending $M^{k+\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n})$ to $M(\underline{a})$ and $L^{k+\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n})$ to $L(\underline{a})$. In

particular, $\operatorname{tr}_{n-k} L^{k+\infty}(-b_k, -b_{k-1}, \cdots, -b_1 | b'_1, b'_2, \cdots)$ is nonzero if and only if $\underline{b} \in \mathcal{R}_{k,n}$, in which case $\operatorname{tr}_{n-k} L^{k+\infty}(-b_k, -b_{k-1}, \cdots, -b_1 | b_1', b_2', \cdots) = L(n-k-b_k, \cdots, n-k-b_1) = L(\underline{b}^c).$

For $s \geq r$ we consider the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{k|s}$ with parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_{k|s}$ and with Kac module $K^{k|s}(\lambda_{a,n}^{\sharp})$ and irreducible module $L^{k|r}(\lambda_{a,n}^{\sharp})$, where

$$\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp} = (-a_k^c, -a_{k-1}^c, \cdots, -a_1^c \mid a_1^c, a_2^c, \cdots, a_k^c, 0^{s-k}).$$

We write $\mathfrak{gl}_{k\mid\infty}$, $\mathfrak{p}_{k\mid\infty}$, $K^{k\mid\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp})$, and $L^{k\mid\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp})$ for their limits, and we write $\mathcal{O}_{int}^{\mathfrak{p}_{k\mid\infty}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{int}^{\mathfrak{p}_{k\mid\infty}}$ for the corresponding parabolic categories \mathcal{O} . There is an exact truncation functor $\operatorname{tr}_s : \mathcal{O}_{int}^{\mathfrak{p}_{k\mid\infty}} \to \mathcal{O}_{int}^{\mathfrak{p}_{k\mid\infty}}$ sending $M^{k\mid\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp})$ to $M^{k\mids}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp})$ and $L^{k\mid\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp})$ to $L^{k\mids}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp})$.

As a consequence of super duality (see [SZ12, Theorem 3.13]), there is an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathfrak{p}_{k+\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathfrak{p}_{k|\infty}}$ identifying the pairs

$$M^{k+\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}) \longleftrightarrow K^{k|\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp}), \qquad L^{k+\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}) \longleftrightarrow L^{k|\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp})$$

In particular, the composition factors of $K^{k|\infty}(\lambda_{a,n}^{\sharp})$ are given by $L^{k|\infty}(-b_k, \cdots, -b_1|b_1, \cdots, b_k)$, where $\underline{b} = (b_1, \cdots, b_k)$ is obtained from \underline{a}^c by adding an admissible Dyck pattern with no bullets. In [SZ12, Section 5] this combinatorics is described in terms of "left paths" associated to the "weight diagram" of $\lambda_{a,n}^{\sharp}$. To aid the reader with the translation, using the notation of [SZ12, Section 5], we have $S(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp}) = (-a_k^c + k - 1, -a_{k-1}^c + k - 2, \cdots, -a_2^c + 1, -a_1^c), \text{ so the weight diagram } D_{\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp}} \text{ has only } \times \text{'s } n \in \mathbb{C}$ and \emptyset 's, and does not have >'s nor <'s. With this setup, a "left move" is the same as a Dyck path supported on a^c , and a "left path" is an admissible Dyck pattern supported on a^c with no bullets. In the language of [SZ12, Theorem 5.18], a "subpath" of a left path corresponds to a subpattern $\mathbb{D}' \subseteq \mathbb{D}$.

Given $\mathbb{D} \in Dyck(\underline{a})$ we write $v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}}$ for any vector in $M(\underline{a})$ that becomes a highest weight vector for $L(\underline{a}^{\mathbb{D}})$ in a quotient of $M(\underline{a})$, and we write $v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D},\infty}$ for any vector in $M^{k+\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n})$ that becomes a highest weight vector for $L^{k+\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a}^{\mathbb{D}},n})$ in a quotient of $M^{k+\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n})$. Similarly, we write ${}^{\sharp}v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D},\infty}$ for any vector in $K^{k|\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp})$ that becomes a highest weight vector for $L^{k|\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a}^{\mathbb{D}},n}^{\sharp})$ in a quotient of $K^{k|\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp})$.

With this setup, we now proceed with the proof of Theorem 4.3. (1) It suffices to show that $v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}'} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}}$ in $M(\underline{a})$ when $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{D}'$ and $|\mathbb{D}'| = |\mathbb{D}| + 1$. Since the socle filtration on $M^{k+\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n})$ is determined by the number of paths in the Dyck pattern, it suffices to prove that $v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}',\infty} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}_{k+\infty})v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D},\infty}$ in $M^{k+\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n})$. By super duality, this is equivalent to ${}^{\sharp}v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}',\infty} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}_{k|\infty}) {}^{\sharp}v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D},\infty}$ in $K^{k|\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp})$, which follows from [SZ12, Theorem 5.18].

(2) Suppose that $\mathbb{D} = \{(x,y)\}$ and that $v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}'} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}}$ in $M(\underline{a})$. By super duality we have that ${}^{\sharp}v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}',\infty} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}_{k\mid\infty}) {}^{\sharp}v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D},\infty}$ in $K^{k\mid\infty}(\lambda_{\underline{a},n}^{\sharp})$. We induct on $|\mathbb{D}'|$. If $|\mathbb{D}'| = 2$, then since $\mathbb{D} = \{(x,y)\}$ is a "path without bridges", it follows from [SZ12, Theorem 5.18] that $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{D}'$. If $|\mathbb{D}'| > 2$, then by inductive hypothesis there exists \mathbb{D}'' with $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{D}''$, ${}^{\sharp}v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}',\infty} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}_{k|\infty})^{\sharp}v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D},\infty}$, ${}^{\sharp}v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}',\infty} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}_{k|\infty})^{\sharp}v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}',\infty}$, and $|\mathbb{D}''| = |\mathbb{D}'| - 1$. Then by [SZ12, Theorem 5.18] we have that either $\mathbb{D}'' \subseteq \mathbb{D}'$ or \mathbb{D}' is obtained from \mathbb{D}'' by replacing a path $P \neq \{(x, y)\}$ in \mathbb{D}'' with two paths. In either case, we have that $\{(x, y)\} \in \mathbb{D}'$. \Box

Let $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \mathcal{R}_{k,n}$ with $\underline{b} = \underline{a}^{(\{(x,y)\})}$, where (x, y) is a corner of \underline{a} . By [BE86], there is a unique (up to nonzero scalar) nonzero map $\phi_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}} : N(\underline{a}) \to N(\underline{b})$. In particular, $\phi_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}$ appears in the global Grothendieck– Cousin complex (Corollary 3.7), and thus is strict with respect to the weight filtration W_{\bullet} .

Corollary 4.4. Let \underline{a} and \underline{b} with $\underline{b} = \underline{a}^{(\{(x,y)\})}$, where (x, y) is a corner of \underline{a} . Let $\phi_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}$ be the natural map from $N(\underline{a})$ to $N(\underline{b})$. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and \underline{c} be such that $L(\underline{c})$ is a composition factor of both $\operatorname{gr}_p^W N(\underline{a})$ and $\operatorname{gr}_p^W N(\underline{b})$, so that $\underline{c} = \underline{a}^{\mathbb{D}'} = \underline{b}^{\mathbb{D}}$ where \mathbb{D}' is an \underline{a} -admissible Dyck pattern with $|\mathbb{D}'| = p + |\underline{a}| - 2d_X$, and \mathbb{D} is a \underline{b} -admissible Dyck pattern $|\mathbb{D}| = p + |\underline{b}| - 2d_X$.

- (1) If $\mathbb{D}' = \mathbb{D} \cup (\{(x, y)\})$ then $(\operatorname{gr}_p^W \phi_{\overline{b}}^{\underline{a}})(L(\underline{a}^{\mathbb{D}'})) \cong L(\underline{b}^{\mathbb{D}}).$
- (2) If $\mathbb{D}' \neq \mathbb{D} \cup (\{(x,y)\})$ then $(\operatorname{gr}_p^W \phi_{\overline{b}}^{\overline{a}})(L(\underline{a}^{\mathbb{D}'})) = 0.$

Proof. We prove the dual statement for the natural map $\psi_a^{\underline{b}}: M(\underline{b}) \to M(\underline{a})$.

(1) The image of $\psi_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}$ is the submodule of $M(\underline{a})$ generated by $v_{\underline{a}}^{\{(x,y)\}}$. If \mathbb{D}' is <u>a</u>-admissible, then by Theorem 4.3(1) we have that $\psi_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}(v_{\underline{b}}^{\mathbb{E}}) = v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{D}'}$ for some \mathbb{E} with $\underline{b}^{\mathbb{E}} = \underline{c}$. Since $L(\underline{c})$ is a composition factor of $M(\underline{b})$ with multiplicity one, we conclude that $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{D}$, as required.

(2) If $\mathbb{D}' \neq \mathbb{D} \cup (\{(x, y)\})$ and $\psi_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}(v_{\underline{b}}^{\mathbb{D}}) \neq 0$, then $\psi_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}(v_{\underline{b}}^{\mathbb{D}}) = v_{\underline{a}}^{\mathbb{E}'}$ where $\{(x, y)\} \in \mathbb{E}'$ by Theorem 4.3(2). Since $\mathbb{D}' \neq \mathbb{E}'$ and $L(\underline{c})$ has multiplicity one as a composition factor of $M(\underline{a})$, we obtain an contradiction.

4.3. Cohomology of the Grothendieck–Cousin complex. We fix $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{R}_{k,n}$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\underline{b} \subseteq \underline{a}$, and let $\mathbb{D} \in Dyck(\underline{b})$. We define the following set of boxes

$$\mathcal{I}(\underline{a},\underline{b},\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ (i,j) \in \underline{a}/\underline{b} \mid \underline{b} \cup (i,j) \text{ is a partition, } \mathbb{D} \cup \{(i,j)\} \in Dyck(\underline{b} \cup (i,j)) \right\}$$

For all $J \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D})$ we have that $\underline{b} \cup J$ is a partition and $\mathbb{D} \cup J \in Dyck(\underline{b} \cup J)$.

Proof. Let $J \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D})$. If $\underline{b} \cup (i, j)$ is a partition for all $(i, j) \in J$, then no box in J lies directly North, South, East, nor West of another. Thus, $b \cup J$ is a partition as well. Since no box in J lies directly North, South, East, nor West of another, and $\mathbb{D} \cup \{(i, j)\}$ is an admissible Dyck pattern for all $(i, j) \in J$, it follows that $\mathbb{D} \cup J$ is an admissible Dyck pattern. \square

Let $GC_{\underline{a}}^{\bullet}$ denote the global Grothendieck–Cousin complex for \underline{a} , so that for $j, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

(4.5)
$$GC_{\underline{a}}^{j} = \bigoplus_{\underline{b} \subseteq \underline{a}, \ |\underline{b}| = d_{X} - j} N(\underline{b}), \qquad \operatorname{gr}_{p}^{W}(GC_{\underline{a}}^{j}) = \bigoplus_{\underline{b} \subseteq \underline{a}, \ |\underline{b}| = d_{X} - j} \bigoplus_{\mathbb{D} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}(\underline{b})} L(\underline{b}^{\mathbb{D}}).$$

Using Corollary 4.4 we break $\operatorname{gr}_p^W GC_{\underline{a}}^{\bullet}$ into a direct sum of Koszul subcomplexes.

Theorem 4.6. Given $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define

2

$$\mathcal{Y}_p(\underline{a};q) = \left\{ (\underline{b}, \mathbb{D}) \middle| \begin{array}{l} b \subseteq \underline{a} \text{ and } q = d_X - |\underline{b}|, \\ \mathbb{D} \in Dyck(\underline{b}), \\ |D| \ge 3 \text{ for all } D \in \mathbb{D} \text{ and } |\mathbb{D}| = p - q - d_X \end{array} \right\}$$

The following is true about the complex $\operatorname{gr}_p^W GC_a^{\bullet}$.

(1) We have that $\operatorname{gr}_p^W GC_a^{\bullet}$ is a direct sum of subcomplexes

$$\operatorname{gr}_{p}^{W}\left(GC_{\underline{a}}^{\bullet}\right) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq q \leq |\underline{a}|} \bigoplus_{(\underline{b}, \mathbb{D}) \in \mathcal{Y}_{p}(\underline{a}; q)} \mathcal{K}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D}),$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D})$ is a complex concentrated in degrees $q - |\mathcal{I}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D})|, \cdots, q$, with

$$\mathcal{K}(\underline{a},\underline{b},\mathbb{D})_j = \bigoplus_{J \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\underline{a},\underline{b},\mathbb{D}), |J|=q-j} L((\underline{b} \cup J)^{\mathbb{D} \cup J}), \quad q - |\mathcal{I}(\underline{a},\underline{b},\mathbb{D})| \le j \le q,$$

(2) For $0 \le q \le d_X$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{q}\left(\operatorname{gr}_{p}^{W}\left(GC_{\underline{a}}^{\bullet}\right)\right) = \bigoplus_{\substack{(\underline{b},\mathbb{D})\in\mathcal{Y}_{p}(\underline{a};q)\\\mathcal{I}(\underline{a},\underline{b},\mathbb{D})=\emptyset}} L(\underline{b}^{\mathbb{D}})$$

We note that all summands of $\mathcal{K}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D})$ are isomorphic to $L(\underline{b}^{\mathbb{D}})$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq j \leq d_X$ and let $N(\underline{x})$ be a summand of $GC_{\underline{a}}^j$. Let $\mathbb{X} \in Dyck(\underline{x})$ with $|\mathbb{X}| = p - j - d_X$, so that $L(\underline{x}^{\mathbb{X}})$ is a composition factor of $\operatorname{gr}_p^W N(\underline{x})$. Let $J \subseteq \underline{x}$ be the set of boxes in \underline{x} corresponding to paths of length one in \mathbb{X} . Set $\underline{b} = \underline{x} \setminus J$ and $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{X} \setminus J$. Then $(\underline{b}, \mathbb{D}) \in \mathcal{Y}_p(\underline{a}; j + |J|)$. Thus, $L(\underline{x}^{\mathbb{X}})$ is a summand of $\mathcal{K}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D})_j$, and there is no other pair $(\underline{b}', \mathbb{D}') \in \mathcal{Y}_p(\underline{a}; j + |J|)$ for which $L(\underline{x}^{\mathbb{X}})$ is a summand of $\mathcal{K}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}', \mathbb{D}')_j$.

By Corollary 3.7, the morphism $\partial^j : GC_{\underline{a}}^j \to GC_{\underline{a}}^{j+1}$ induces a nonzero morphism from $N(\underline{x})$ to $N(\underline{x} \setminus k)$ for all $k \in J$ (and such a morphism is unique up to a nonzero scalar [BE86]). By Corollary 4.4 it follows that ∂^j maps $L(\underline{x}^{\mathbb{X}})$ diagonally to

$$\bigoplus_{k\in J} L((\underline{x}\setminus k)^{\mathbb{X}\setminus k})$$

This proves (1). To see (2), note that $\mathcal{K}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D})$ is a Koszul complex (see [Hua20, Lemma 3.6]) and hence is exact unless it has only one term, which is equivalent to $\mathcal{I}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D}) = \emptyset$.

Example 4.7. Let X = Gr(2, 4) and $\underline{a} = (2, 1)$. Then $GC_{\underline{a}}^{\bullet}$ is the complex

$$GC_{\underline{a}}^{\bullet}: \qquad 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow N\left(\square\right) \longrightarrow N\left(\square\right) \oplus N\left(\square\right) \longrightarrow N\left(\square\right) \longrightarrow N\left(0\right) \longrightarrow 0$$

In the following table, the column indices are the cohomological degree and the row indices indicate the levels of the weight filtration:

	1	2	3	4
5	$L\left(\square\right)$			
6	$L(\square) \oplus L(\square) \oplus L(0)$	$L(\Box)\oplus L(\Box)$		
7	$L(\Box)$	$L\left(\square\right)\oplus L\left(\square ight)$	$L(\Box)$	
8			$L\left(0 ight)$	$L\left(0 ight)$

To create the sets $\mathcal{Y}_p(\underline{a}; q)$ we select all composition factors of $N(\underline{b})$ in cohomological degree q that were obtained by removing Dyck patterns for which all paths have length ≥ 3 (including the empty

pattern):

$$\mathcal{Y}_{5}(\underline{a};1) = \left\{ \left(\square, \emptyset \right) \right\}, \quad \mathcal{Y}_{6}(\underline{a};1) = \left\{ \left(\square, \square \right) \right\}, \quad \mathcal{Y}_{6}(\underline{a};2) = \left\{ \left(\square, \emptyset \right), \left(\square, \emptyset \right) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{7}(\underline{a};3) = \left\{ \left(\square, \emptyset \right) \right\}, \quad \mathcal{Y}_{8}(\underline{a};4) = \left\{ \left((0), \emptyset \right) \right\}.$$

Therefore, we obtain direct sum decompositions:

$$gr_{5}^{W}(GC_{\underline{a}}^{\bullet}) = \mathcal{K}\left(\underline{a}, \square, \emptyset\right),$$

$$gr_{6}^{W}(GC_{\underline{a}}^{\bullet}) = \mathcal{K}\left(\underline{a}, \square, \square\right) \oplus \mathcal{K}\left(\underline{a}, \square, \emptyset\right) \oplus \mathcal{K}\left(\underline{a}, \square, \emptyset\right),$$

$$gr_{7}^{W}(GC_{\underline{a}}^{\bullet}) = \mathcal{K}\left(\underline{a}, \square, \emptyset\right), \qquad gr_{8}^{W}(GC_{\underline{a}}^{\bullet}) = \mathcal{K}\left(\underline{a}, (0), \emptyset\right).$$

For each pair $(\underline{b}, \mathbb{D})$ as above, we have $\mathcal{I}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D}) = \emptyset$ if and only if $(\underline{b}, \mathbb{D})$ is one of the following:

$$\left(\square, \emptyset\right)$$
 and $\left(\square, \square\right)$

These correspond to the Koszul complexes with one term. In particular, we obtain a non-split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L\left(\square \right) \longrightarrow H^1_{Z_{\underline{a}}}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow L(0) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and $H^q_{Z_a}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $q \neq 1$. We note that $Z_{(0)}$ is the singular locus of $Z_{\underline{a}}$.

We provide a combinatorial bijection relating Theorem 4.6 to Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.8. There is a bijection between the sets

$$\{(\underline{b}, \mathbb{D}) \in \mathcal{Y}_p(\underline{a}; q) \mid \mathcal{I}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D}) = \emptyset\} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{A}_p(\underline{a}; q),$$

given by sending $(\underline{b}, \mathbb{D} = (D_1, \cdots, D_{p-q-d_X}))$ to

$$\mathbb{D}' = (D_1, \cdots, D_{p-q-d_X}; \mathbb{B}),$$

where \mathbb{B} is the set of boxes in $\underline{a}/\underline{b}$.

Proof. We note that the number of paths and bullets in \mathbb{D}' is correct. To show that the map is well-defined, we need to verify that $\mathbb{D}' \in Dyck^{\bullet}(\underline{a})$. First, $\underline{a}^{\mathbb{D}'} = \underline{b}^{\mathbb{D}}$ is a partition, as \mathbb{D} is \underline{b} -admissible. Since \mathbb{D} is a Dyck pattern, we have that $(D_1, \dots, D_{p-q-d_X})$ satisfies the covering condition. Since the support of \mathbb{D} is contained in \underline{b} , and the support of \mathbb{B} is $\underline{a}/\underline{b}$, it follows that no box of \mathbb{B} is located directly North, Northwest, nor West from a box in \mathbb{D} . Therefore, the map is well-defined.

The map is clearly injective, as \mathbb{B} completely determines \underline{b} , and $(D_1, \dots, D_{p-q-d_X})$ completely determines \mathbb{D} . To show surjectivity, let $\mathbb{D}' = (D_1, \dots, D_{p-q-d_X}; \mathbb{B}) \in \mathcal{A}_p(\underline{a}; q), \underline{b} = \underline{a} \setminus \mathbb{B}$, and $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, \dots, D_{p-q-d_X})$. We need to show that $\mathcal{I}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D}) = \emptyset$. All boxes of $\underline{a}/\underline{b}$ belong to the heads or tails of paths in \mathbb{D} . Thus, for every box (i, j) in \mathbb{B} such that $\underline{b} \cup (i, j)$ is a partition, there exists a path $D \in \mathbb{D}$ such that (i, j) belongs directly to the head or tail of D. In particular, the covering condition fails for D and (i, j). Thus, (i, j) does not belong to $\mathcal{I}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D})$, and thus $\mathcal{I}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \mathbb{D}) = \emptyset$. \square

22

 \diamond

Remark 4.9. Via super duality (see [SZ12, Section 3.3] or the proof of Theorem 4.3 above), Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to [Hua20, Theorem 3.7]. Indeed, over infinite-dimensional Lie (super)algebras, our complex $GC_{\underline{a}}^{\bullet}$ is identified with the complex $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_{\underline{a}^c})$ in [Hua20]. This explains the appearance of the augmented Dyck patterns of Raicu–Weyman in both works. Our argument above is closely modeled after Huang's. There are two advantages to our treatment, however. Firstly, from our point of view, it is clear that the weight filtration is functorial and that $GC_{\underline{a}}^{\bullet}$ is a filtered complex, whereas it is not immediate that the Loewy filtration in loc. cit. is compatible with the morphisms in $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_{\underline{a}^c})$. Secondly, strictness of the weight filtration for $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_{a^c})$.

Remark 4.10. One could attempt to carry out a similar argument for the remaining Hermitian pairs:

$$(\mathsf{B}_n,\mathsf{B}_{n-1}), (\mathsf{C}_n,\mathsf{A}_{n-1}), (\mathsf{D}_n,\mathsf{A}_{n-1}), (\mathsf{D}_n,\mathsf{D}_{n-1}), (\mathsf{E}_6,\mathsf{D}_5), (\mathsf{E}_7,\mathsf{E}_6).$$

The corresponding parabolic Verma modules are again rigid and multiplicity-free [CIS88], and the composition factors are described by parabolic Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials [CC87] (see also [Boe88, Bre09]). Unfortunately, the submodule lattices are not known in full generality, so that we do not currently have analogues of Theorem 4.3 for all Hermitian pairs. One may use our techniques in any setting where the relevant parabolic Verma modules have Loewy length at most three (because then the submodule lattice is determined by the Loewy filtration), which covers the cases (B_n, B_{n-1}) and (D_n, D_{n-1}). In the cases (E_6, D_5), (E_7, E_6), many of the parabolic Verma modules have Loewy length at most three (see [CIS88, Tables 7.1, 7.2]), so our techniques are applicable to some of the Schubert varieties. In the major cases (C_n, A_{n-1}), (D_n, A_{n-1}), the weight filtrations are dictated by shifted Dyck patterns [Bre09]. We expect a combinatorial formula for local cohomology in these cases similar to ours, via "augmented shifted Dyck patterns". Formulating and proving such a result would allow one to calculate the weight filtration on local cohomology with support in determinantal varieties of symmetric matrices and Pfaffian varieties of skew-symmetric matrices as in Section 4.4, upon restricting to the opposite big cell. The composition factors are known [RW16], but the Hodge and weight filtrations are not.

4.4. Application to determinantal varieties. Let $\mathscr{X} = \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be the space of $m \times n$ matrices over the complex numbers with $m \ge n$, and for $0 \le p \le n$ let $\mathscr{Z}_p \subseteq \mathscr{X}$ denote the determinantal variety of matrices of rank $\le p$. In recent past, a great deal of information has been learned about the local cohomology modules $H^j_{\mathscr{Z}_p}(\mathscr{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}})$, see [RWW14, RW14, Rai16, RW16, LW19, LR20, PR21, Per24].

In this section, we use Theorem 1.1 to recover the composition factors and weight filtration on $H^{j}_{\mathscr{Z}_{p}}(\mathscr{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}})$, obtained in full generality in [RW16] and [Per24]. We set $D_{p} = \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{Z}_{p}, \mathscr{X})$ for the intersection cohomology \mathcal{D} -module associated to the trivial local system on $\mathscr{Z}_{p} \setminus \mathscr{Z}_{p-1}$.

Theorem 4.11. The following is true about the local cohomology modules $H^{j}_{\mathscr{X}_{p}}(\mathscr{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}})$:

(1) [RW16, Main Theorem] We have the following in the Grothendieck group of \mathcal{D} -modules on \mathscr{X} :

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} \left[H^j_{\mathscr{Z}_p}(\mathscr{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}}) \right] \cdot q^j = \sum_{s=0}^p [D_s] \cdot q^{(n-p)^2 + (n-s) \cdot (m-n)} \cdot \binom{n-s-1}{p-s}_{q^2}$$

(2) [Per24, Theorem 1.1] Each copy of D_s in $H^j_{\mathscr{Z}_p}(\mathscr{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}})$ underlies a pure Hodge module of weight mn + p - s + j.

Let $X = \operatorname{Gr}(n, m + n)$ and let $U \subseteq X$ be the opposite big cell with respect to the fixed flag \mathcal{V}_{\bullet} . Then $U \cong \mathscr{X}$ and via this identification we have that $Z_{\underline{p}}|_U = \mathscr{Z}_p$, where $\underline{p} = (m^p, p^{n-p})$ is the partition obtained from the $n \times m$ rectangle by removing the bottom-right $(n - p) \times (m - p)$ rectangle (see [LR08, Chapter 5]). For instance, when m = 5 and n = 4, the following Young diagrams correspond to Schubert varieties in $\operatorname{Gr}(4, 9)$ that restrict to the determinantal varieties in $\mathbb{C}^{5\times 4}$:



Furthermore, via this identification, we have that

(4.6)
$$\mathcal{L}(O_{\underline{p}}, X)|_{U} = D_{p}, \quad IC^{H}_{Z_{\underline{p}}}|_{U} \cong IC^{H}_{\mathscr{X}_{p}}, \quad \mathscr{H}^{j}_{Z_{\underline{p}}}(\mathcal{O}^{H}_{X})|_{U} \cong H^{j}_{\mathscr{X}_{p}}(\mathscr{X}, \mathcal{O}^{H}_{\mathscr{X}}).$$

We observe that the only elements of $Dyck^{\bullet}(\underline{p})$ consisting of paths of length ≥ 3 are obtained by removing hooks supported on the inside corner of \underline{p} . For instance, continuing the above example, we obtain the following elements of $Dyck^{\bullet}(\underline{2})$ when m = 5 and n = 4:



In particular, by Theorem 1.1, the multiplicity of D_s in $H_{\mathscr{Z}_p}^{(m-p)(n-p)+j}(\mathscr{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}})$ is the number of ways to remove p-s hooks from \underline{p} with j bullets. Since said hooks must start and end on the same antidiagonal, and $m \ge n$, there will always be a $(p-s) \times (m-n)$ rectangle of bullets in rows $s+1, \cdots, p$ of \underline{p} and columns $n+1, \cdots, m$ (these are the bullets depicted in the fifth columns of the patterns above). Thus, we may reduce to the case m = n, and assume that \underline{p} and its Dyck patterns are symmetric with respect to the operation of transposing the diagram.

Let m = n and let $0 \le p \le n$. Let $\mathbb{D} \in Dyck^{\bullet}(\underline{p})$ consist of paths of length ≥ 3 and set $\underline{s} = \underline{p}^{\mathbb{D}}$ for $0 \le s \le p$. The union of the support of the paths in \mathbb{D} will contain all boxes (x, y) such that $s + 1 \le x, y \le p + 1$ (except the box (p + 1, p + 1), that lies just outside the inside corner of \underline{p}). Due to the covering condition for Dyck patterns, the number of bullets in row s + i must be less than or equal to the number of bullets in row s + i + 1 for $1 \le i \le p - 1$. Thus, there is a partition shape of bullets in rows $s + 1, \dots, p$, and the transpose partition of bullets in columns $s + 1, \dots, p$.

Here is a picture when m = n = 9, p = 4, and s = 1:



The partition formed by the bullets is (4,3,1). We conclude that, for m = n, the multiplicity of D_s in $H_{\mathscr{Z}_p}^{(n-p)^2+2j}(\mathscr{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}})$ is the number of partitions of size j that fit inside a $(p-s) \times (n-p-1)$ rectangle, which is the coefficient of q^j in the Gaussian binomial coefficient $\binom{n-s-1}{p-s}_q$.

Putting it all together, we obtain for $m \ge n$ the following multiplicity formula:

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} \left[H^j_{\mathscr{Z}_p}(\mathscr{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}}) : D_s \right] \cdot q^j = q^{(m-p)(n-p)+(p-s)(m-n)} \cdot \binom{n-s-1}{p-s}_{q^2}$$

Since $(m-p)(n-p) + (p-s)(m-n) = (n-p)^2 + (n-s)(m-n)$, we recover Theorem 4.11(1).

By Theorem 1.1, the weight of $D_s = \mathcal{L}(\underline{p}^{\mathbb{D}})|_U$ in $H^j_{\mathscr{Z}_p}(\mathscr{X}, \mathcal{O}^H_{\mathscr{X}})$ is $mn + |\mathbb{D}| + j = mn + p - s + j$, recovering Theorem 4.11(2).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Sam Evens, András Lőrincz, Claudiu Raicu, and Vic Reiner for advice and useful conversations regarding this project.

References

- [BB81] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein, Localisation de g-modules, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 292 (1981), no. 1, 15–18.
- [BB93] _____, A proof of Jantzen conjectures, I. M. Gelfand Seminar, Adv. Soviet Math., vol. 16, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 1–50.
- [Boe88] Brian D. Boe, Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for Hermitian symmetric spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 309 (1988), no. 1, 279–294.
- [BES88] Brian D. Boe, Thomas J. Enright, and Brad Shelton, Determination of the intertwining operators for holomorphically induced representations of Hermitian symmetric pairs, Pacific J. Math. 131 (1988), no. 1, 39–50.

- [BE86] Brian D. Boe and Thomas J. Enright, Determination of the intervining operators for holomorphically induced representations of SU(p,q), Mathematische Annalen **275** (1986), no. 3, 401–404.
- [BB85] Walter Borho and J-L Brylinski, *Differential operators on homogeneous spaces. III*, Inventiones Mathematicae **80** (1985), no. 1, 1–68.
- [Bre02] Francesco Brenti, Kazhdan-Lusztig and R-polynomials, Young's lattice, and Dyck partitions, Pacific J. Math. 207 (2002), no. 2, 257–286.
- [Bre09] ____, Parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for Hermitian symmetric pairs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), no. 4, 1703–1729.
- [CC87] Luis G. Casian and David H. Collingwood, The Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for generalized Verma modules, Math. Z. 195 (1987), no. 4, 581–600.
- [CIS88] David H. Collingwood, Ronald S Irving, and Brad Shelton, Filtrations on generalized Verma modules for Hermitian symmetric pairs, J. Reine Angew. Math. 383 (1988), 54–86.
- [DV23] Dougal Davis and Kari Vilonen, Unitary representations of real groups and localization theory for Hodge modules, arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.13215 (2023).
- [EHP14] Thomas J. Enright, Markus Hunziker, and W. Andrew Pruett, Diagrams of Hermitian type, highest weight modules, and syzygies of determinantal varieties, Symmetry: representation theory and its applications, Progr. Math., vol. 257, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2014, pp. 121–184.
- [HP96] Martin P. Holland and Patrick Polo, K-theory of twisted differential operators on flag varieties, Inventiones Mathematicae 123 (1996), no. 1, 377–414.
- [HTT08] Ryoshi Hotta, Kiyoshi Takeuchi, and Toshiyuki Tanisaki, D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 236, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008. Translated from the 1995 Japanese edition by Takeuchi.
- [Hua20] Hang Huang, Syzygies of Determinantal Thickenings, arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.02690 (2020).
- [Hum08] James E Humphreys, Representations of Semisimple Lie Algebras in the BGG Category O, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 94, AMS, 2008.
- [Irv90] Ronald S. Irving, A Filtered Category \mathcal{O}_S and Applications, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 83, no. 419, 1990.
- [KL02] Masaki Kashiwara and Niels Lauritzen, Local cohomology and D-affinity in positive characteristic, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 335 (2002), no. 12, 993–996 (English, with English and French summaries).
- [KT02] Masaki Kashiwara and Toshiyuki Tanisaki, Parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and Schubert varieties, J. Algebra 249 (2002), no. 2, 306–325.
- [Kem78] George Kempf, The Grothendieck-Cousin complex of an induced representation, Adv. in Math. 29 (1978), no. 3, 310–396.
- [LR08] V. Lakshmibai and K. N. Raghavan, Standard monomial theory, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 137, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Invariant theoretic approach; Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, 8.
- [LW90] V. Lakshmibai and J. Weyman, Multiplicities of points on a Schubert variety in a minuscule G/P, Adv. Math. 84 (1990), no. 2, 179–208.
- [LS81] Alain Lascoux and Marcel-Paul Schützenberger, Polynômes de Kazhdan & Lusztig pour les grassmanniennes, Young tableaux and Schur functors in algebra and geometry (Toruń, 1980), Astérisque, vol. 87-88, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1981, pp. 249–266 (French).
- [LRPT06] Niels Lauritzen, Ulf Raben-Pedersen, and Jesper Funch Thomsen, Global F-regularity of Schubert varieties with applications to D-modules, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (2006), no. 2, 345–355.
 - [LR20] András C. Lőrincz and Claudiu Raicu, Iterated local cohomology groups and Lyubeznik numbers for determinantal rings, Algebra Number Theory 14 (2020), no. 9, 2533–2569.
 - [LW19] András C. Lőrincz and Uli Walther, On categories of equivariant D-modules, Adv. Math. 351 (2019), 429–478.
 - [MP19] Mircea Mustață and Mihnea Popa, Hodge ideals, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 262 (2019), no. 1268.
 - [MP22] _____, Hodge filtration on local cohomology, Du Bois complex and local cohomological dimension, Forum Math. Pi 10 (2022), Paper No. e22, 58.

- [Per24] Michael Perlman, Mixed Hodge structure on local cohomology with support in determinantal varieties, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 1 (2024), 331–358.
- [PR21] Michael Perlman and Claudiu Raicu, Hodge ideals for the determinant hypersurface, Selecta Mathematica New Series 27 (2021), no. 1, 1–22.
- [PS08] Chris A. M. Peters and Joseph H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [RP05] Ulf Raben-Pedersen, Local Cohomology of Schubert Varieties, Ph.D. Thesis, Aarhus University (2005).
- [Rai16] Claudiu Raicu, Characters of equivariant D-modules on spaces of matrices, Compos. Math. 152 (2016), no. 9, 1935–1965.
- [RW14] Claudiu Raicu and Jerzy Weyman, Local cohomology with support in generic determinantal ideals, Algebra Number Theory 8 (2014), no. 5, 1231–1257.
- [RW16] _____, Local cohomology with support in ideals of symmetric minors and Pfaffians, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **94** (2016), no. 3, 709–725.
- [RW19] _____, Syzygies of determinantal thickenings and representations of the general linear Lie superalgebra, Acta Math. Vietnam. 44 (2019), no. 1, 269–284.
- [RWW14] Claudiu Raicu, Jerzy Weyman, and Emily E. Witt, Local cohomology with support in ideals of maximal minors and sub-maximal Pfaffians, Adv. Math. 250 (2014), 596–610.
 - [RR85] S. Ramanan and A. Ramanathan, Projective normality of flag varieties and Schubert varieties, Invent. Math. 79 (1985), no. 2, 217–224.
 - [Sai90] Morihiko Saito, Mixed Hodge modules, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 26 (1990), no. 2, 221–333.
 - [SZJ12] Keiichi Shigechi and Paul Zinn-Justin, Path representation of maximal parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 216 (2012), no. 11, 2533–2548.
 - [SZ12] Yucai Su and Ruibin Zhang, Generalised Jantzen filtration of Lie superalgebras I, Journal of the European Mathematical Society 14 (2012), no. 4, 1103–1133.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA - TWIN CITIES *Email address*: mperlman@umn.edu