MERGING THE *N*-HYPERIDEALS AND *J*-HYPERIDEALS IN ONE FRAME

M. ANBARLOEI

ABSTRACT. The notions of N-hyperideals and J-hyperideals as two new classes of hyperideals were recently defined in the context of Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings. These concepts are created on the basis of the intersection of all n-ary prime hyperideals and the intersection of all maximal hyperideals, respectively. Despite being vastly different in many aspects, they share numerous similar properties. The aim of this research work is to merge them under one frame called n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals where the function δ assigns to each hyperideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring a hyperideal of the same hyperring. We give various properties of n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals and use them to characterize certain classes of hyperrings such as hyperintegral domains and local hyperrings. Moreover, we introduce the notions of (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hypereideals and weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hypereideals.

1. INTRODUCTION

Although two of the most important structures in commutative algebra, namely prime and primary ideals, differ significantly in various aspects, they share several similar properties. The question was whether a unified approach to studying these two structures is possible. In [14], Dongsheng presented the concept of δ -primary ideals unifing the prime and primary ideals under one frame in a commutative ring. Furthermore, Fahid and Dongsheng put two concepts of 2-absorbing ideals and 2-absorbing primary ideals in one frame called 2-absorbing δ -primary ideals in [15].

Hyperstructures are algebraic structures that have at least one multi-valued operation, known as a hyperoperation. The idea of the algebraic hyperstructures goes back to Marty's research work on hypergroups presented during the 8^{th} Congress of the Scandinavian Mathematicians in 1934. Since then, numerous papers and books concerning this topic have been written. They can be seen in [8, 9, 10, 25, 27, 29]. *n*ary hypergroups as an extension of the notion of a hypergroup in the sense of Marty were defined in [11]. For more study on *n*-ary structures refer to [17, 18, 19]. The notion of (m, n)-hyperrings was presented by Mirvakili and Davvaz in [23]. Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings as a subclass of (m, n)-hyperrings were given in [22]. Some review of the hyperrings can be found in [7, 20, 22, 24, 26]. Ameri and Norouzi defined *n*ary prime and *n*-ary primary hyperideals in Krasner (m, n)-hyperring in [1] and Hila et al. generalized these concepts and studied (k, n)-absorbing and (k, n)-absorbing primary hyperideals in [16]. Also, Davvaz et al. defined weakly (k, n)-absorbing and weakly (k, n)-absorbing primary hyperideals in [13]. As a recent study, the concept of *N*-hyperideals was introduced in [5] and some properties of them have

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 16Y20, 16Y99, 20N20.

Key words and phrases. n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal, (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal, weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

M. ANBARLOEI

been investigated analogous with prime hyperideals. Let G be a commutative Krasner (m, n)-hyperring. A hyperideal A of G is called an n-ary N-hyperideal if $g(x_1^n)$ is in A for $x_1^n \in G$ such that x_i is not in the intersection of the all n-ary prime hyperideals of G for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n)$ is in A. The notion of J-hyperideals as an extension of N-hyperideals was defined in [3]. A hyperideal A of G refers to an n-ary J-hyperideal if $g(x_1^n) \in A$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that x_i is not in the intersection of the all maximal hyperideals of G for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \in A$. The two structures have been treated differently and their properties were independently proven in the mentioned references. Despite their differences in many aspects, they share several similar properties. It is therefore essential to explore the possibility of a unified approach to studying these two structures.

In this paper, we aim to introduce the notion of $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals unifying the N-hyperideals and J-hyperideals under one frame. This method effectively demonstrates the similarities and relationships between the two structures. The paper is orgnized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the necessary background, and we fix notation. In Section 3, we define n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals as a frame containing the concepts of N-hyperideals and J-hyperideals. However, Example 3.3 shows that an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal may not be an *n*-ary *N*-hypeideal. We give equivalent characterizations for an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals in Theorem 3.4. It is shown that an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring is contained in $\delta(0)$ in Theorem 3.10. We conclude that if G admits an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal, then there exists an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G such that there is no $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal containing it in Theorem 3.21. Moreover, we examine n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals under various contexts of constructions such as cartesian products, homomorphic images, localizations. The penultimate section is dedicated to the notion of (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals. Some properties concerning it are investigated. The final section deals with issues surrounding the notion of weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals as an extension of the (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals. We finish this section with a copy of Nakayama, s lemma for a kind of weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hypereideals. In this paper, all the hyperrings used are commutative Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings with scalar identity.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give definitions and notations that will be used in this paper. An *n*-ary hyperoperation "f" on nonempty set G is a mapping of G^n into the family of all non-empty subsets of G. If "f" is an *n*-ary hyperoperation on G, then (G, f) is called an *n*-ary hypergroupoid. We can extend the *n*-ary hyperoperation on G to non-empty subsets of G as follows. For $G_1, \dots, G_n \subseteq G$, then

$$f(G_1^n) = f(G_1, ..., G_n) = \bigcup \{ f(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \mid a_i \in G_i, i = 1, ..., n \}.$$

Let us use the notation a_i^j instead of the sequence a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j . Then we have $f(a_1, \dots, a_i, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_j, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_n) = f(a_1^i, b_{i+1}^j, c_{j+1}^n)$ and $f(a_1, \dots, a_i, \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{j-i}, \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{$

 c_{j+1}, \cdots, c_n = $f(a_1^i, b^{(j-i)}, c_{j+1}^n)$ The above notation is the empty symbol where j < i. Let f be an *n*-ary hyperoperation. Then *r*-ary hyperoperation $f_{(l)}$ for

$$r = l(n-1)+1$$
 is given by $f_{(l)}(a_1^r) = \underbrace{f(f(\dots, f(f(a_1^n), a_{n+1}^{2n-1}), \dots), a_{r-n+1}^r)}_l$. An *n*-ary

hypergroupoid (G, f) is commutative if $f(a_1^n) = f(a_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(n)})$ for all $a_1^n \in G$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$. An *n*-ary semihypergroup is an *n*-ary hypergroupoid (G, f), which is associative, that is $f(a_1^{i-1}, f(a_i^{n+i-1}), a_{n+i}^{2n-1}) = f(a_1^{j-1}, f(a_j^{n+j-1}), a_{n+j}^{2n-1})$ for $1 \le i < j \le n$ and $a_1^{2n-1} \in G$. If the equation $a \in f(a_1^{i-1}, x_i, a_{i+1}^n)$ in an *n*-ary hypergroupoid (G, f)has a solution $x_i \in G$ for all $a_1^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^n, a \in G$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then (G, f) is called an *n*-ary quasihypergroup. An *n*-ary hypergroup is an *n*-ary semihypergroup that is an *n*-ary quasihypergroup. A non-empty subset H of an *n*-ary hypergroup G is called an n-ary subhypergroup of G if (H, f) is an n-ary hypergroup [22].

Definition 2.1. [22] An algebraic hyperstructure (G, f, g), or simply G, is said to be a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) (G, f) is a canonical *m*-ary hypergroup, that is
 - (i) there exists a unique $e \in G$, such that for each $a \in G$, $f(a, e^{(m-1)}) =$ ${a};$

 - (ii) for all $a \in G$ there exists a unique $a^{-1} \in G$ with $e \in f(a, a^{-1}, e^{(m-2)})$; (iii) if $a \in f(a_1^m)$, then $a_i \in f(a, a^{-1}, ..., a_{i-1}^{-1}, x_{i+1}^{-1}, ..., a_m^{-1})$ for all $i \in f(a_1^m)$, then $a_i \in f(a_1^m)$, $a_i \in f(a_i^m)$, $a_i \in$ $\{1,\cdots,m\}.$
- (2) (G,g) is a *n*-ary semigroup; (3) $g(a_1^{i-1}, f(b_1^m), a_{i+1}^n) = f(g(a_1^{i-1}, b_1, a_{i+1}^n), ..., g(a_1^{i-1}, b_m, a_{i+1}^n))$ for every $a_1^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^n, b_1^m \in G$, and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$; (4) $g(0, a_2^n) = g(a_2, 0, a_3^n) = ... = g(a_2^n, 0) = 0$ for all $a_2^n \in G$.

We assume throughout this paper that G is a commutative Krasner (m, n)hyperring with scalar identity 1_G , that is $g(a, 1_G^{(n-2)}) = a$ for all $a \in G$. If (R, f, g) is a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring such that $\emptyset \neq R \subseteq G$, then R is

called a subhyperring of G. If $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq G$ such that (A, f) is an m-ary subhypergroup of (G, f) and $g(a_1^{i-1}, A, a_{i+1}^n) \subseteq I$ for $a_1^n \in R$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then A is said to be a hyperideal of G. Let A be a hyperideal of G. Then the set $G/A=\{f(a_1^{i-1},A,a_{i+1}^n)~|~a_1^{i-1},a_{i+1}^n\in G\}$ is a Krasner (m,n) -hyperring with mary hyperoperation and n-hyperoperation f and g, respectively [1].

Definition 2.2. [1] Let $a \in G$. Then $\langle a \rangle$ denotes the hyperideal generated by a and defined by $\langle a \rangle = g(G, a, 1^{(n-2)}) = \{g(r, a, 1_C^{(n-2)}) \mid r \in G\}.$

Definition 2.3. [1] A hyperideal A of G refers to a maximal hyperideal if $A \subseteq$ $B \subseteq G$ for every hyperideal B of G implies that B = A or B = G.

Max(G) denotes the set of all maximal hyperideal of G. Jacobson radical of G. being denoted by J(G), is the intersection of all maximal hyperideals of G. If G does not have any maximal hyperideal, then we define J(G) = G. Moreover, if G has just one maximal hyperideal, then G is called local.

Definition 2.4. [1] Let $a \in G$. The element is invertible if there exists $b \in G$ with $1_G = g(a, b, 1_G^{(n-2)})$.

Definition 2.5. [22] Let (G_1, f_1, g_1) and (G_2, f_2, g_2) be two Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings. A mapping $\psi: G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ is called a homomorphism if for all $x_1^m \in G_1$ and $y_1^n \in G_1$ we have

(i) $\psi(f_1(x_1, ..., x_m)) = f_2(\psi(x_1), ..., \psi(x_m)),$

(ii)
$$\psi(g_1(y_1, ..., y_n)) = g_2(\psi(y_1), ..., \psi(y_n)),$$

(iii) $\psi(1_{G_1}) = 1_{G_2}$.

Definition 2.6. [6] Assume that $M \neq \emptyset$. Then (M, h, k) is an (m, n)-hypermodule over G, if

- (i) (M,h) is a canonical *m*-ary hypergroup.
- (ii) The map

$$k:\underbrace{G\times\ldots\times G}_{}\times M\longrightarrow P^*(M)$$

statisfied the following conditions:

(1) $k(a_1^{n-1}, h(x_1^m)) = h(k(a_1^{n-1}, x_1), ..., k(a_1^{n-1}, x_m))$ (2) $k(a_1^{i-1}, f(b_1^m), a_{i+1}^{n-1}, x) = h(k(a_1^{i-1}, b_1, r_{i+1}^{n-1}, x), ..., k(r_1^{i-1}b_m, r_{i+1}^{n-1}, x))$ (3) $k(a_1^{i-1}, g(a_i^{i+n-1}), a_{i+m}^{n+m-2}, x) = k(a_1^{n-1}, k(a_m^{n+m-2}, x))$ (4) $0 = k(r_1^{i-1}, 0, r_{i+1}^{n-1}, x).$

3. *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals

A hyperideal expansion δ of G is a fuction which assigns to each hyperideal A of G a hyperideal $\delta(A)$ of G such that $A \subseteq \delta(A)$, and $B \subseteq C$ for hyperideals B, C of G implies $\delta(B) \subseteq \delta(C)$. For example, the functions $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_G$ and δ_M from the set of all hyperideals of G to the same set, defined by $\delta_0(A) = A, \delta_1(A) = rad(A), \delta_G(A) = G$ and $\delta_M(A) = \bigcap_{A \subseteq B \in Max(G)} B$, are hyperideal expansions of G. In [2], the *n*-ary prime and *n*-ary primary hyperideals were put in a frame called *n*-ary δ -primary hyperideals. In this section, we introduce and study the notion of *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals in a commutative Krasner (m, n)-hyperring G which unify the *n*-ary N-hyperideals and *n*-ary J-hyperideals.

Definition 3.1. Let A be a proper hyperideal of G and δ a hyperideal expansion of G. A refers to an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal if whenever $x_1^n \in G$ with $g(x_1^n) \in A$ and $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $x_i \in A$.

Example 3.2. Consider the hyperring $([\alpha, \infty) \cup \{0\}, +, \cdot)$ where $\alpha \ge 1$, " \cdot " is the usual multiplication and "+" is defined by

$$a + b = \begin{cases} [a, \infty) \cup \{0\} & \text{if } a = b \neq 0. \\ b + a = \{a\} & \text{if } b = 0, \\ \{\min\{a, b\}\} & \text{if } a \neq 0, b \neq 0 \text{ and } a \neq b \end{cases}$$

Note that $([\alpha, \infty) \cup \{0\}, +, \cdot)$ is a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring with $f(a_1^m) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i$ and $g(b_1^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n b_i$ for all $a_1^m, b_1^n \in [\alpha, \infty) \cup \{0\}$. The hyperideal 0 is an *n*-ary $\delta_1(0)$ -hyperideal of $[\alpha, \infty) \cup \{0\}$.

The next example shows that *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals and *n*-ary *N*-hyperideals are different notions.

Example 3.3. Note that $(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}, f, g)$ is a commutative Krasner (m, n)-hyperring in which f and g are usual addition and multiplication, respectively. Consider $\delta(I) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \mid g(x, 3\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}, 1^{(n-2)}_{\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}}) \subseteq I\}$. Let $A = 2\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ for every hyperideal I of G. Then A is an n-ary $\delta(0_{\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}})$ -hyperideal. However, A is not an n-ary N-hyperideal.

Our first theorem gives a characterization of $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals.

4

Theorem 3.4. Let A be a proper hyperideal of G. Then we have the following equivalent statements:

- (i) A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G;
- (ii) $A = E_x$ where $E_x = \{y \in G \mid g(x, y, 1^{(n-2)}) \in A\}$ for each $x \notin \delta(0)$;
- (iii) $g(A_1^n) \subseteq A$ for hyperideals A_1^n of G such that $g(A_1^{i-1}, 1_G, A_{i+1}^n) \bigcap (G \delta(0)) \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ implies that $A_i \subseteq A$

Proof. (i) \Longrightarrow (ii) Let A be an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. We always have $A \subseteq E_x$ for each $x \in G$. Suppose that $y \in E_x$ for $x \notin \delta(0)$. This means $g(x, y, 1^{(n-2)}) \in A$. Since A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G and $x = g(x, 1^{(n-1)}) \notin \delta(0)$, we get the result that $y \in A$ and so $A = E_x$.

(ii) \Longrightarrow (iii) Let $g(A_1^n) \subseteq A$ for hyperideals A_1^n of G and $g(A_1^{i-1}, 1_G, A_{i+1}^n) \bigcap (G - \delta(0)) \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $g(A_1^{i-1}, 1_G, A_{i+1}^n) \bigcap (G - \delta(0)) \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists $x_j \in A_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $j \neq i$ such that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, 1_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$. Since $g(g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n), A_i, 1^{(n-2)}) = g(x_1^{i-1}, A_i, x_{i+1}^n) \subseteq A$, we have $A_i \subseteq E_{g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n)}$. Now, by (ii) we get $A_i \subseteq A$.

(iii) \Longrightarrow (i) Let $g(x_1^n) \in A$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$. Put $A_i = \langle x_i \rangle$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $g(x_1^n) \in g(A_1^n) \subseteq A$ and $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \in g(A_1^{i-1}, 1_G, A_{i+1}^n) \bigcap (G - \delta(0))$, we get the result that $A_i \subseteq A$. This means that $x_i \in A$. Hence A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals, as desired. \Box

Theorem 3.5. Assume that A_1^{n-1} , A and B are some hyperideals of G such that $g(A_1^{n-1}, 1_G) \bigcap (G - \delta(0)) \neq \emptyset$. Then:

- (i) If A and B are n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals of G that $g(A_1^{n-1}, A) = g(A_1^{n-1}, B)$, then A = B.
- (ii) If $g(A_1^{n-1}, A)$ is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G, then $g(A_1^{n-1}, A) = A$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $g(A_1^{n-1}, A) = g(A_1^{n-1}, B)$ for hyperideals A_1^{n-1}, A and B of G. Then we have $g(A_1^{n-1}, B) \subseteq A$. Since A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G and $g(A_1^{n-1}, 1_G) \bigcap (G - \delta(0)) \neq \emptyset$, we get the result that $B \subseteq A$ by Theorem 3.4. Similarly, we obtain $A \subseteq B$ and so A = B.

(ii) Let $g(A_1^{n-1}, A)$ be an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of *G* for hyperideals A_1^{n-1}, A of *G*. Since $g(A_1^{n-1}, A) \subseteq g(A_1^{n-1}, A)$ and $g(A_1^{n-1}, 1_G) \bigcap (G - \delta(0)) \neq \emptyset$, we have $A \subseteq g(A_1^{n-1}, A)$. Since $g(A_1^{n-1}, A) \subseteq A$, we get $g(A_1^{n-1}, A) = A$. \Box

Proposition 3.6. Let δ and γ be two hyperideal expansions of G such that $\gamma(0) \subseteq \delta(0)$. Then every *n*-ary $\gamma(0)$ -hyperideal of G is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

Theorem 3.7. Assume that R is a non-empty subset of G. If A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G such that $R \nsubseteq A$, then $E_R = \{x \in G \mid g(x, R, 1_G^{(n-2)}) \subseteq A\}$ is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

Proof. It is clear that $E_R \neq G$. Suppose that $g(x_1^n) \in E_R$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then we conclude that $g(g(x_i, r, 1_G^{(n-2)}), g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n), 1^{(n-2)}) = g(g(x_1^n), r, 1^{(n-2)}) \in A$ for each $r \in R$. Since A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal and $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$, we obtain $g(x_i, r, 1^{(n-2)}) \in A$ which implies $x_i \in E_R$. Thus E_R is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

Theorem 3.8. If $\{A_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a non-empty set of n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals of G, then so is $\bigcap_{i \in J} A_j$.

Proof. Assume that $g(x_1^n) \in \bigcap_{j \in J} A_j$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since A_j is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G and $g(x_1^n) \in A_j$ for all $j \in J$, we obtain $x_i \in A_j$ which means $x_i \in \bigcap_{j \in J} A_j$.

Recall from [3] that a proper hyperideal A of G is an *n*-ary *J*-hyperideal if $g(x_1^n) \in A$ for x_1^n and $x_i \notin J(G)$ imply that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i-1}^n) \in A$.

Theorem 3.9. Let $\delta(0)$ be a maximal hyperideal of G and A be an n-ary J-hyperideal of G. Then A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

Proof. Assume that $g(x_1^n) \in A$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that $x_i \notin A$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $g(g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n), x_i, 1_G^{(n-2)}) \in A$ and $g(x_i, 1_G^{(n-1)}) \notin A$, we conclude that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \in J(G)$. Since $\delta(0)$ is a maximal hyperideal of G, $J(G) \subseteq \delta(0)$ and so $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \in \delta(0)$. Thus A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ - hyperideal of G. \Box

Theorem 3.10. Let A be an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. Then A is contained in $\delta(0)$.

Proof. Suppose that A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G such that it is not contained in $\delta(0)$. Let $x \in A$ but $x \notin \delta(0)$. Since A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G, $g(x, 1_G^{(n-1)}) \in A$ and $g(x, 1_G^{(n-1)}) \notin \delta(0)$, we get $1 \in A$ which is a contradiction. Thus A is contained in $\delta(0)$.

Recall from [1] that a proper hyperideal A of G is an n-ary prime hyperideal if for hyperideals A_1^n of G, $g(A_1^n) \subseteq A$ implies that $A_i \subseteq A$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In Lemma 4.5 in [1], it was shown that a proper hyperideal A of G is an n-ary prime hyperideal if for $x_1^n \in G$, $g(x_1^n) \in A$ implies that $x_i \in A$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Remark 3.11. $\delta(0)$ is an *n*-ary prime hyperideal of G if and only if $\delta(0)$ is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

Theorem 3.12. Assume that A is an n-ary prime hyperideal of G with $\delta(A) = A$. Then A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G if and only if $A = \delta(0)$.

Proof. (\Longrightarrow) Since $0 \in A$, we have $\delta(0) \subseteq \delta(A) = A$. Since A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G, the inclusion $A \subseteq \delta(0)$ holds by 3.10. Thus $A = \delta(0)$.

 (\Leftarrow) Let $A = \delta(0)$ and $g(x_1^n) \in A$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$. Since A is an n-ary prime hyperideal of G and $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin A$, we get $x_i \in A$. Consequently, A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

Theorem 3.13. Let A be an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G such that $\delta(A) = A$. If there is no $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal containing A properly, then $A = \delta(0)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show the hyperideal A is prime. Assume that $g(x_1^n) \in A$ for $x_1^n \in G$ but $x_i \notin A$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. By Theorem 3.7, $E_{x_i} = \{x \in G \mid g(x, x_i, 1_G^{(n-2)}) \subseteq A\}$ is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G as A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal and $x_i \notin A$. Since there is no $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal containing A properly, we get $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \in E_{x_i} = A$. Since A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G, we can continue the process and get $x_j \in A$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then A is an n-ary prime hyperideal of G. Now, by Theorem 3.12, we get the result that $A = \delta(0)$. \Box

A commutative Krasner (m, n)-hyperring G is said to be an n-ary hyperintegral domain if $g(x_1^n) = 0$ for $x_1^n \in G$ implies that $x_i = 0$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ [1].

Remark 3.14. If G is an *n*-ary hyperintegral domain, then 0 is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

Proposition 3.15. If G is a commutative Krasner (m, n)-hypering which is not an *n*-ary hyperintegral domain and $\delta(0) = 0$, then G has no $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

Proof. Let $\delta(0) = 0$. Assume that $g(x_1^n) \in \delta(0)$ for $x_1^n \in G$. Since G is not an n-ary hyperintegral domain, we get $x_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ which means $\delta(0)$ is not an n-ary prime hyperideal. Therefore $\delta(0)$ is not an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G by Remark 3.11. Now, assume that $A \neq 0$ is an arbitrary hyperideal of G. If A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G, then A is containd in $\delta(0)$ by Theorem 3.10 which means A = 0, a contradiction. Consequently, G has no $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

In view of Remark 3.14 and Proposition 3.15, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.16. Let $\delta(0) = 0$. Then G is an *n*-ary hyperintegral domain if and only if 0 is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

Recall from [1] that the radical of the hyperideal A of G, denoted by rad(A), is the intersection of all prime hyperideals G containing A. If the set of all prime hyperideals containing A is empty, then we define rad(A) = G. Moreover, a proper hyperideal A of G is an n-ary primary hyperideal if $x_1^n \in G$ and $g(x_1^n) \in A$ imply either $x_i \in A$ or $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \in rad(A)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Theorem 3.17. Let A be an n-ary primary hyperideal of G. If rad(A) is containd in $\delta(0)$, then A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

Proof. Assume that A is an n-ary primary hyperideal of G. Let $g(x_1^n) \in A$ for $x_1^n \in G$ but $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This means $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin rad(A)$ and so $x_i \in A$ as A is an n-ary primary hyperideal of G. Hence A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

As an immediate consequence of the previous theorem, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.18. If A is an n-ary prime hyperideal of G such that A is contained in $\delta(0)$, then A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

In [1], it was shown that if $x \in rad(A)$ then there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(x^{(r)}, 1_G^{(n-r)}) \in A$ for $r \leq n$, or $g_{(l)}(x^{(r)}) \in A$ for r = l(n-1) + 1.

Theorem 3.19. Let 0 be an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. If $rad(\delta(0)) = \delta(0)$, then rad(0) is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof. Let } g(x_1^n) \in \textit{rad}(0) \text{ for } x_1^n \in G \text{ such that } g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0) = \\ \textit{rad}(\delta(0)) \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}. \text{ Then we conclude that there exists } r \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text{such that } g(g(x_1^n)^{(r)}, 1_G^{(n-r)}) = 0 \text{ for } r \leq n, \text{ or } g_{(l)}(g(x_1^n)^{(r)}) = 0 \text{ for } r = l(n-1)+1. \\ \text{Let } g(g(x_1^{(r)}, 1_G^{(n-r)}), \cdots, g(x_n^{(r)}, 1_G^{(n-r)})) = g(g(x_1^n)^{(r)}, 1_G^{(n-r)}) = 0 \text{ for some } r \leq n. \\ \text{Since } g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \textit{rad}(\delta(0)), \text{ we get the result that} \\ g(g(x_1^{(r)}, 1_G^{(n-r)}), \cdots, g(x_{i-1}^{(n-r)}, 1_G^{(n-r)}), 1_G, g(x_{i+1}^{(r)}, 1_G^{(n-r)}), \cdots, g(x_n^{(r)}, 1_G^{(n-r)}) \\ &= g(g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, 1_{i+1}^n)^{(r)}, 1_G^{(n-r)}) \\ \notin \delta(0) \end{array}$

for all $r \leq n$ and $g_{(l)}(g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n)^{(r)}) \notin \delta(0)$ for r = l(n-1) + 1. Since 0

is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G, we have $g(x_i^{(r)}, 1_G^{(n-r)}) = 0$ which means $x_i \in rad(0)$. By using a similar argument, one can easily complete the proof where r = l(n-1) + 1.

A proper hyperideal A of G is said to be an n-ary δ -primary hyperideal if $g(x_1^n) \in A$ for $x_1^n \in G$ implies that $x_i \in A$ or $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i-1}^n) \in \delta(A)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ [2].

Theorem 3.20. Let A be a proper hyperideal of G.

- (i) If A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G, then A is an n-ary δ -primary hyperideal.
- (ii) Let δ²(0) ⊆ δ(0). Then A is an n-ary δ(0)-hyperideal of G if and only if A is an n-ary δ-primary hyperideal and it is contained in δ(0).

Proof. (i) Let A be an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. Assume that $g(x_1^n) \in A$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(A)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Therefore $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$ as $\delta(0) \subseteq \delta(A)$. Since A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal, we get $x_i \in A$. Thus A is an n-ary δ -primary hyperideal.

(ii) (\Longrightarrow) Let A be an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. By (i) and Theorem 3.10, we are done.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that A is an n-ary δ -primary hyperideal and it is contained in $\delta(0)$. Suppose that $g(x_1^n) \in A$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that $x_i \notin A$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since A is an n-ary δ -primary hyperideal and $A \subseteq \delta(0)$, we conclude that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \in \delta(A) \subseteq \delta^2(0)$ which means $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \in \delta(0)$. Consequently, A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

Theorem 3.21. If G admits an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal, then there exists an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G such that there is no $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal containing it. Moreover, if $\delta(A) = A$ for every n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal A that there is no $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal containing it, then $\delta(0)$ is an n-ary prime hyperideal of G.

Proof. Let A be an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. Assume that Σ is the set of all n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals of G. From $A \in \Sigma$ it follows that $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. Σ is a parially ordered set with respect to set inclusion relation. Assume that $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots$ is some chain in Σ . Clearly, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ is a hyperideal of G. Assume that $g(x_1^n) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$ for some $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$. This means that there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(x_1^n) \in A_t$. Since A_t is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G and $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$, we have $x_i \in A_t \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$. Therefore $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ is an upper bound of the mentioned chain. By Zorn's lemma, there is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal \mathbb{A} which is maximal in Σ . Hence we conclude that $\mathbb{A} = \delta(0)$ by Theorem 3.13. Thus $\delta(0)$ is an n-ary prime hyperideal of G by Remark 3.11. \Box

The concept of Krasner (m, n)-hyperring of fractions was defined in [4]. Let S a non-empty subset of G. S refers to an n-ary multiplicative subset of G, if $1 \in S$ and $g(x_1^n) \in S$ for $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$. Suppose that δ is a hyperideal expansion of a G, S is an n-ary multiplicative subset of G and A a hyperideal of G. Then δ_S is a hyperideal expansion of $S^{-1}G$ with $\delta_S(S^{-1}A) = S^{-1}(\delta(A))$ [5]. S is said to be an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -multiplicative subset of G if $G - \delta(0) \subseteq S$ and $g(x_1^{n-1}, x) \in S$ for all $x \in S$ and $g(x_1^{n-1}, 1_G) \in G - \delta(0)$.

Theorem 3.22. Let A be an n-ary $\delta(0_G)$ -hyperideal of (G, f, g) and S an n-ary multiplicative subset of G such that $S \cap A = \emptyset$. Then $S^{-1}A$ is an n-ary $\delta_S(0_{S^{-1}G})$ -hyperideals of $(S^{-1}G, H, K)$.

Proof. Suppose that $K(\frac{x_1}{s_1}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{s_n}) \in S^{-1}A$ for $\frac{x_1}{s_1}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{s_n} \in S^{-1}G$ such that $K(\frac{x_1}{s_1}, \dots, \frac{x_{i-1}}{s_{i-1}}, \frac{1_G}{1_G}, \frac{x_{i+1}}{s_{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{s_n}) \notin \delta_S(0_{S^{-1}G})$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Therefore $\frac{g(x_1^n)}{g(s_1^n)} \in S^{-1}A$ which implies $g(x_1^{i-1}, g(s, x_i, 1_G^{(n-2)}), x_{i+1}^n) = g(s, g(x_1^n), 1_G^{(n-2)}) \in A$ for some $s \in S$. Since A is an n-ary $\delta(0_G)$ -hyperideal of G and $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0_G)$, we get the result that $g(s, x_i, 1_G^{(n-2)}) \in A$. Hence we have $\frac{x_i}{s_i} = \frac{g(x_i, s_i, 1_G^{(n-2)})}{g(s_i, s_i, 1_G^{(n-2)})} = K(\frac{x_i}{s_i}, \frac{s}{s}, \frac{1_G}{1_G}^{(n-2)}) \in S^{-1}A$. Thus we conclude that $S^{-1}A$ is an n-ary $\delta_S(0_{S^{-1}G})$ -hyperideals of $(S^{-1}G, H, K)$.

Theorem 3.23. Let A be a hyperideal of G. Then A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G if and only if G - A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -multiplicative subset of G.

Proof. (\Longrightarrow)Let A be an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. Therefore A is contained in $\delta(0)$ by Theorem 3.10. Then we have $G - \delta(0) \subseteq G - A$. Let $x \in G - A$ and $g(x_1^{n-1}, 1_G) \in G - \delta(0)$. Suppose that $g(x_1^{n-1}, x) \in A$. Hence we get $x \in A$ as A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G and $g(x_1^{n-1}, 1_G) \notin \delta(0)$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $g(x_1^{n-1}, x) \in G - A$ which means G - A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -multiplicative subset of G.

(⇐=) Let G - A be an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -multiplicative subset of G for some hyperideal A of G. Assume that $g(x_1^n) \in A$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $x_i \notin A$, then we get $g(x_1^{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}^n) \notin A$ as G - A is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -multiplicative subset of G and $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \in G - \delta(0)$. This is a contradiction. Thus $x_i \in A$. Consequently, A is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. \Box

Theorem 3.24. Let A be a hyperideal of G and S an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -multiplicative subset of G such that $S \cap A = \emptyset$. Then there exists an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal B such that $A \subseteq B$ and $B \cap S = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let Φ be the set of all hyperideals of G that any hyperideal has an empty intersection with S. By the hypothesis, $A \in Φ$ and so $Φ \neq Ø$. Φ is a partially ordered set with respect to set inclusion relation. Then there exists a maximal element B in Φ by Zorn's Lemma. We assume that B is not an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G and look for a contradiction. The assumption means that $g(x_1^n) \in B$ for $x_1^n \in G$ and neither $x_i \in B$ nor $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \in \delta(0)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Put $E_{g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n)} = \{x \in G \mid g(x, g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i-1}^n), 1_G^{(n-2)}) \in B\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $B \subsetneq E_{g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n)}$, we conclude that $E_{g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n)} \cap S \neq Ø$ by the maximality B. Let $t \in E_{g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n)} \cap S$. Then $g(x_1^{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}^n) = g(t, g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n), 1_G^{(n-2)}) \in B$. On the other hand, since S is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -multiplicative subsete of G, $t \in S$ and $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \in G - \delta(0)$, we get the result $g(x_1^{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}^n) = g(t, g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n), 1_G^{(n-2)}) \in S$. This implies that $g(x_1^{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}^n) \in G \cap S$ and so $B \cap S \neq Ø$. This is a contradiction because $B \in Φ$. Consequently, B is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

In following theorem, we discuss hyperrings of which every proper hyperideal is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

Theorem 3.25. We have the following equivalent statements:

- (i) G is a local Krasner (m, n)-hyperring with maximal hyperideal $\delta(0)$.
- (ii) Every proper principal hyperideal of G is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.
- (iii) Every proper hyperideal of G is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

Proof. (i) \Longrightarrow (ii) Let $\langle x \rangle$ be a proper hyperideal of G for $x \in G$. Assume that $g(x_1^n) \in \langle x \rangle$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $\delta(0)$ is the only maximal hyperideal of G and $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$, we conclude that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n)$ is invertible. Then there exists $y \in R$ such that $1_G = g(y, g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n), 1_G^{(n-2)})$. Hence we have $x_i = g(x_i, 1_G^{(n-1)}) = g(x_i, g(y, g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n), 1_G^{(n-2)}) = g(y, g(x_1^n), 1_G^{(n-2)}) \in \langle x \rangle$. This shows that $\langle x \rangle$ is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

(ii) \Longrightarrow (iii) Assume that A is a hyperideal of G. Let $g(x_1^n) \in A$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$. By (ii), $\langle g(x_1^n) \rangle$ is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. From $g(x_1^n) \in \langle g(x_1^n) \rangle$ it follows that $x_i \in \langle g(x_1^n) \rangle$ as $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$. Since $\langle g(x_1^n) \rangle \subseteq A$, we obtain $x_i \in A$ which implies A is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

(iii) \implies (i) Assume that A is an arbitrary hyperideal of G. Hence A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G by (iii). Therefore we conclude that A is contained in $\delta(0)$ by Theorem 3.10. Thus $\delta(0)$ is the only maximal hyperideal of G and so G is local. \Box

Suppose that (G_1, f_1, g_1) and (G_2, f_2, g_2) are two Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings. Let δ and γ be hyperideal expansions of G_1 and G_2 , respectively. Then the hyperring homomorphism $\psi : G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ is said to be a $\delta\gamma$ -homomorphism if $\delta(\psi^{-1}(A_2)) = \psi^{-1}(\gamma(A_2))$ for the hyperideal A_2 of G_2 . It is remarkable that $\gamma(\psi(A_1) = \psi(\delta(A_1)))$ for $\delta\gamma$ -epimorphism ψ and for hyperideal A_1 of G_1 with $Ker(\psi) \subseteq A_1$ [2]. Every homomorphism $\psi : G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ is a $\delta_1\gamma_1$ -homomorphism.

Theorem 3.26. Assume that G_1 and G_2 are two Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings and δ and γ are hyperideal expansions of G_1 and G_1 , respectively, such that $\psi : G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ is a $\delta\gamma$ -homomorphism.

- (i) If ψ is a monomorphism and A₂ is an n-ary γ(0_{G2})-hyperideal of G₂, then ψ⁻¹(A₂) is an n-ary δ(0_{G1})-hyperideal of G₁.
- (ii) If ψ is an epimorphism and A_1 is an n-ary $\delta(0_{G_1})$ -hyperideal of G_1 such that $Ker(\psi) \subseteq A_1$, then $\psi(A_1)$ is an n-ary $\gamma(0_{G_2})$ -hyperideal of G_2 .

Proof. (i) Let $g_1(x_1^n) \in \psi^{-1}(A_2)$ for $x_1^n \in G_1$ such that $g_1(x_1^{i-1}, 1_{G_1}, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0_{G_1})$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This means that $\psi(g_1(x_1^n)) = g_2(\psi(x_1), \dots, \psi(x_n)) \in A_2$. Since ψ is a monomorphism and $g_1(x_1^{i-1}, 1_{G_1}, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0_{G_1})$, we conclude that $g_2(\psi(x_1), \dots, \psi(x_{i-1}), 1_{G_2}, \psi(x_{i+1}), \dots, \psi(x_n)) = \psi(g_1(x_1^{i-1}, 1_{G_1}, x_{i+1}^n)) \notin \gamma(0_{G_2})$. Since A_2 is an *n*-ary $\gamma(0_{G_2})$ -hyperideal of G_2 , we get $\psi(x_i) \in A_2$ which means $x_i \in \psi^{-1}(A_2)$. Thus $\psi^{-1}(A_2)$ is an *n*-ary $\delta(0_{G_1})$ -hyperideal of G_1 .

(ii) Let $g_2(y_1^n) \in \psi(A_1)$ for $y_1^n \in G_2$ such that $g_2(y_1^{i-1}, 1_{G_2}, y_{i+1}^n) \notin \gamma(0_{G_2})$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since ψ is an epimorphism, then there exist $x_1^n \in G_1$ such that $\psi(x_1) = y_1, \dots, \psi(x_n) = y_n$. Thus $\psi(g_1(x_1^n)) = g_2(\psi(x_1), \dots, \psi(x_n)) = g_2(y_1^n) \in$ $\psi(A_1)$. Since $Ker(\psi)$ is contained in A_1 , we have $g_1(x_1^n) \in A_1$. Since A_1 is an *n*-ary $\delta(0_{G_1})$ -hyperideal of G_1 and $g_1(x_1^{i-1}, 1_{G_1}, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0_{G_1})$, we get the result that $x_i \in A_1$ which implies $y_i = \psi(x_i) \in \psi(A_1)$. This shows that $\psi(A_1)$ is an n-ary $\gamma(0_{G_2})$ -hyperideal of G_2 . Let A be a hyperideal of G. The projection map $\pi : G \longrightarrow G/A$, defined by $a \mapsto f(a, A, 0^{(m-2)})$ is a homomorphism by Theorem 3.2 in [1].

Corollary 3.27. Let A and B be hyperideals of G such that $A \subseteq B$. If B is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G, then B/A is an *n*-ary $\delta_q(0)$ -hyperideal of G/A.

Proof. Follows by applying Theorem 3.26 (ii) to the epimorphism $\pi: G \longrightarrow G/A$.

Corollary 3.28. Assume that B/A is an *n*-ary $\delta_q(0)$ -hyperideal of G/A where A and B are hyperideals of G with $A \subseteq B$.

- (i) If $A \subseteq \delta(0)$, then B is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.
- (ii) If A is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G, then so is B.

Proof. (i) Let $g(x_1^n) \in B$ for $x_1^n \in G$ such that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n) \notin \delta(0)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. So $g(f(x_1, A, 0^{(m-2)}), \dots, f(x_n, A, 0^{(m-2)})) = f(g(x_1^n), A, 0^{(m-2)}) \in B/A$. Since B/A is an *n*-ary $\delta_q(0)$ -hyperideal of G/A and $g(f(x_1, A, 0^{(m-2)}), \dots, f(x_{i-1}, A, 0^{(m-2)}), f(1_G, A, 0^{(m-2)}), f(x_{i+1}, A, 0^{(m-2)}),$

$$g(f(x_1, A, 0^{(m-2)}), \dots, f(x_{i-1}, A, 0^{(m-2)}), f(1_G, A, 0^{(m-2)}), f(x_{i+1}, A, 0^{(m-2)}))$$

$$= f(g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_G, x_{i+1}^n), A, 0^{(m-2)})$$

$$\notin \delta_q(0),$$

$$g(f(x_1, A, 0^{(m-2)}), f(x_1, A, 0^{(m-2)}))$$

we get the result that $f(x_i, A, 0^{(m-2)}) \in B/A$ which means $x_i \in B$. This implies that B is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

(ii) Since A is an *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G, we conclude that A is contained in $\delta(0)$ by Theorem 3.10. Therefore the claim is clear by (i).

Assume that (G_1, f_1, g_1) and (G_2, f_2, g_2) are two commutative Krasner (m, n)hyperrings such that 1_{G_1} and 1_{G_2} are scalar identities of G_1 and G_2 , respectively. Then $(G_1 \times G_2, f_1 \times f_2, g_1 \times g_2)$ endowed with the following *m*-ary hyperoperation $f_1 \times f_2$ and *n*-ary operation $g_1 \times g_2$ is a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring.

$$f_1 \times f_2\Big((a_1, b_1), \cdots, (a_m, b_m)\Big) = \Big\{(a, b) \mid a \in f_1(a_1^m), b \in f_2(b_1^m)\Big\}$$
$$g_1 \times g_2\Big((x_1, y_1), \cdots, (x_n, y_n)\Big) = \Big(g_1(x_1^n), g_2(y_1^n)\Big),$$
for all $a_1^n, x_1^m \in G_1$ and $b_1^n, y_1^m \in G_2$ [12].

Theorem 3.29. Let δ_1 and δ_2 be hyperideal expansions of the Krasner (m, n)-hyperring G_1 and G_2 , respectively, such that $\delta(0_{G_1 \times G_2}) = \delta_1(0_{G_1}) \times \delta_2(0_{G_2})$ and A_1 a proper hyperideal of G_1 . If $A_1 \times G_2$ is an n-ary $\delta(0_{G_1 \times G_2})$ -hyperideal of $G_1 \times G_2$, then A_1 is an n-ary $\delta_1(0_{G_1})$ -hyperideal of G_1 .

Proof. Assume that $g_1(x_1^n) \in A_1$ for $x_1^n \in G_1$. This means that

$$g_1 \times g_2((x_1, 1_{G_2}), \cdots, (x_n, 1_{G_2})) = (g_1(x_1^n), 1_{G_2}) \in A_1 \times G_2.$$

Since $A_1 \times G_2$ is an *n*-ary $\delta(0_{G_1 \times G_2})$ -hyperideal of $G_1 \times G_2$, we conclude that either $(x_i, 1_{G_2}) \in A_1 \times G_2$ or

$$g_1 \times g_2\Big((x_1, 1_{G_2}), \cdots, (x_{i-1}, 1_{G_2}), (1_{G_1}, 1_{G_2})(x_{i+1}, 1_{G_2}), \cdots, (x_n, 1_{G_2})\Big)$$

= $\Big(g_1(x_1^{i-1}, 1_{G_1}, x_{i+1}^n), 1_{G_2}\Big)$
 $\in \delta(0_{G_1 \times G_2})$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This implies that $x_i \in A_1$ or $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_{G_1}, x_{i+1}^n) \in \delta_1(0_{G_1})$. Consequently, A_1 is an *n*-ary $\delta_1(0_{G_1})$ -hyperideal of G_1 .

M. ANBARLOEI

4. (s, n)-Absorbing $\delta(0)$ -Hyperideals

In this section, we present the concept of (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals as a generalization of the *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals and a subclass of the (s, n)-absorbing δ -primary hyperideals.

Definition 4.1. Suppose that δ is a hyperideal expansion of G and $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. A proper hyperideal A of G is said to be an (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal if $g(x_1^{sn-s+1}) \in A$ for $x_1^{sn-s+1} \in G$, then either $g(x_1^{(s-1)n-s+2}) \in A$ or a g-product of (s-1)n-s+2 of x_i 's, other than $g(x_1^{(s-1)n-s+2})$, is in $\delta(0)$.

Obviously, every *n*-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal is an (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal and every (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal is an (s, n)-absorbing δ -primary hyperideal. However, these are different notions.

Example 4.2. Let us consider the hyperring $(G = [0, a], +, \cdot)$ where $0 < a \le 1$, "+" is defined by

$$p + q = \begin{cases} [0, p], & \text{if } p = q \\ \{\max\{p, q\}\} & \text{if } p \neq q \end{cases}.$$

and "•" is the usual multiplication on real numbers. Then ([0, a], f, g) is a Krasner (m, n)-hyperrin such that $f(p_1^m) = p_1 + \cdots + p_m$ and $g(q_1^n) = q_1 \cdots q_n$ for all $p_1^m, q_1^n \in [0, a]$. In the hyperring 0 is an (s, n)-absorbing δ_1 -hyperideal. Now, let a = 1. Then A = [0, 0.5] is a (2, 2)-absorbing δ_1 -primary hyperideal. However, it is not (2, 2)-absorbing $\delta_1(0)$ -hyperideals. Take $0.6, 0.9 \in G$. We have $g(0.6, 0.9, 0.9) \in A$, but neither $g(0.9^{(2)}, 1_G)$ and $g(0.6, 0.9, 1, 1_G)$ are in A nor in $\delta_1(0)$.

Theorem 4.3. Let A be an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. Then A is a (2, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

Proof. Let $g(x_1^{2n-1}) \in A$ for $x_1^{2n-1} \in G$. Since A is an n-ary $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G, we conclude that $g(x_1^n) \in A$ or $g(x_{n+1}^{2n-1}) \in \delta(0)$. In the second case, we get $g(x_i, x_{n+1}^{2n-1}) \in \delta(0)$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ because $\delta(0)$ is a hyperideal of G. Thus A is (2, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G.

Theorem 4.4. Let A be an (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. Then A is a (k, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal for k > n.

Proof. Let $g(x_1^{(s+1)n-(s+1)+1}) \in A$ for $x_1^{(s+1)n-(s+1)+1} \in G$. Let us consider $g(x_1^{n+2}) = x$. Since A is (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal, we get the result that $g(x, \dots, x_{(s+1)n-(s+1)+1}) \in A$ or a g-product of sn - s + 1 of the x_i 's except $g(x, \dots, x_{(s+1)n-(s+1)+1})$ is in $\delta(0)$. This means that $g(x_i, x_{n+3}^{(s+1)n-(s+1)+1}) \in \delta(0)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots n+2\}$ which means A is an (s+1, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal. This shows that A is a (k, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal for k > n.

5. Weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals

In this section, we aim to discuss some of the fundamental results concerning weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals as an expansion of the (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals.

Definition 5.1. Assume that δ is a hyperideal expansion of G and $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. A proper hyperideal A of G is called a weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal if

 $x_1^{sn-t+1} \in G$ and $0 \neq g(x_1^{sn-s+1}) \in A$ imply either $g(x_1^{(s-1)n-s+2}) \in A$ or a *g*-product of (s-1)n-s+2 of x_i 's, other than $g(x_1^{(s-1)n-s+2})$, is in $\delta(0)$.

Example 5.2. Cosider Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (G/B, f, g) where $G = \mathbb{Z}_3[X, Y, Z]$, $B = \langle X^3 Y^3 Z^3 \rangle$ and f, g are ordinary addition and ordinary multiplication, respectively. In the hyperring, A = rad(B/B) is not a weakly (1,3)-absorbing $\delta_1(0_{G/B})$ -hyperideal of G/B because $0 \neq 2XYZ + B = (2X + B)(Y + B)(Z + B) \in A$ but none of the elements (2X + B), (Y + B) and (Z + B) are not in $\delta_1(0_{G/B})$.

Proposition 5.3. Assume that δ and γ are hyperideal expansions of G and A is an (s, n)-absorbing $\gamma(0)$ -hyperideal of G. Then A is a weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\gamma o \delta(0)$ -hyperideal if and only if A is an (s, n)-absorbing $\gamma o \delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

Proof. (⇒) Let A be a weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\gamma o\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. Suppose that $g(x_1^{sn-s+1}) \in A$ for $x_1^{sn-s+1} \in G$. If $g(x_1^{sn-s+1}) \neq 0$, we have $g(x_1^{(s-1)n-s+2}) \in A$ or a g-product of (s-1)n-s+2 of x_i 's, other than $g(x_1^{(s-1)n-s+2})$, is in $\gamma o\delta(0)$ as A is a weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\gamma \circ \delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G. Let us assume that $g(x_1^{sn-s+1}) = 0 \in A$ such that $g(x_1^{(s-1)n-s+2}) \notin A$. Since A is an (s, n)-absorbing $\gamma(0)$ -hyperideal of G, we get the result that a g-product of (s-1)n-s+2 of x_i 's, other than $g(x_1^{(s-1)n-s+2})$, is in $\gamma(0)$. Since $\gamma(0) \subseteq \gamma o\delta(0)$, we conclude that the g-product of (s-1)n-s+2 of x_i 's is in $\gamma o\delta(0)$. Thus A is an (s, n)-absorbing $\gamma o\delta(0)$ -hyperideal.

 (\Leftarrow) It is straightforward.

We say that a proper hyperideal A of G is called a strongly weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal if $0 \neq g(A_1^{sn-s+1}) \subseteq A$ for any hyperideal A_1^{sn-n+1} of G implies $g(A_1^{(s-1)n-s+2}) \subseteq A$ or a g-product of (s-1)n-s+2 of A_i 's, other than $g(A_1^{(s-1)n-s+2})$, is a subset of $\delta(0)$. Moreover, we say that $(x_1^{s(n-1)+1})$ is an (s, n)- $\delta(0)$ -zero of a weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal A if $g(x_1^{s(n-1)+1}) = 0$, $g(x_1^{(s-1)n-s+2}) \notin A$ and all g-products of (s-1)n-s+2 of x_i 's, except $g(x_1^{(s-1)n-s+2})$ are not in $\delta(0)$. Note that the notation $x_1, \dots, \hat{x_i}, \dots, x_{sn-s+1}$ indicates that i-th term is excluded from the sequence x_1^{sn-s+1} .

Theorem 5.4. Let $(x_1^{s(n-1)+1})$ be an (s,n)- $\delta(0)$ -zero of a strongly weakly (s,n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal A. Then for $u \in \{1, \dots, (s-1)n-s+2\}$ and $i_1, \dots, i_u \in \{1, \dots, s(n-1)+1\}$, $g(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_{i_1}}, \dots, \widehat{x_{i_2}}, \dots, \widehat{x_{i_u}}, \dots, x_{s(n-1)+1}, A^{(u)}) = 0$

Proof. We use the induction on u. Suppose that u = 1. Now we show that $g(x_1, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_1}} \cdots, x_{s(n-1)+1}, A) = 0$. Let $g(x_1, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_1}} \cdots, x_{s(n-1)+1}, A) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $g(x_2^{s(n-1)+1}, A) \neq 0$. Then we obtain $g(x_2^{s(n-1)+1}, a) \neq 0$ for some $a \in A$. Since $0 \neq g(x_2^{sn-s+1}, f(x_1, a, 0^{(m-2)})) = f(g(x_1^{s(n-1)+1}), g(x_2^{s(n-1)+1}, a), 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq A$ and A is a strongly weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal of G, we get the result that $\delta(0)$ contains a g-product containing a of the terms (s-1)n - s + 2 of x_i 's. Then we may assume that $f(g(x_1, x_3^{s(n-1)+1}), g(a, x_3^{s(n-1)+1}), 0^{(m-2)}) = g(x_3^{s(n-1)+1}, f(x_1, a, 0^{(m-2)})) \subseteq \delta(0)$. Thus $g(x_1, x_3^{s(n-1)+1}) \in \delta(0)$ as $g(a, x_3^{s(n-1)+1}) \in A \subseteq \delta(0)$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $g(x_1, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_1}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_n}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_n}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{s(n-1)+1}}, A^{(u)}) \neq 0$. Let us assume that $g(x_1, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_1}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_n}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_n}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{s(n-1)+1}}, A^{(u)}) \neq 0$. Let us assume that $g(x_1, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_1}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_n}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_n}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{s(n-1)+1}}, A^{(u)}) \neq 0$.

 $g(x_{u+1}^{s(n-1)+1}, A^{(u)}) \neq 0$, namely, we omit x_1^u . Hence we get $0 \neq g(x_{u+1}^{s(n-1)+1}, a_1^u) \in A$ for some $x_1^u \in A$. Then $0 \neq g(x_{u+1}^{s(n-1)+1}, f(x_1, a_1, 0^{(m-2)}), \cdots, f(x_u, a_u, 0^{(m-2)})) \subseteq A$, by induction hypothesis. Thus we obtain

$$g(x_{u+1}^{s(n-1)+1}, f(x_1, a_1, 0^{(m-2)}), \cdots, f(x_1, a_1, 0^{(m-2)})_{i_1}, \cdots, f(x_2, a_2, 0^{(m-2)})_{i_2}, \cdots, f(x_{n-1}, a_{n-1}, 0^{(m-2)})_{i_{n-1}}, \cdots, f(x_u, a_u, 0^{(m-2)})) \subseteq \delta(0)$$

or

$$g(x_{u+1}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_{u+1}}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_{u+2}}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_{u+(n-1)}}}, \cdots, x_{s(n-1)+1}, f(x_1, a_1, 0^{(m-2)}), \cdots, f(x_u, a_u, 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq \delta(0)$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, u\}$. Therefore we get the result that for some $i \in \{1, \dots, u\}$, $g(x_{u+1}^{s(n-1)+1}, \dots, x_n^t) \in \delta(0)$ or $g(x_{u+n}^{s(n-1)+1}, \dots, x_1^u) \in \delta(0)$ or

$$g(x_{u+1}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_{u+1}}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_{u+2}}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{i_{u+s}}}, \cdots, \underbrace{x_{s(n-1)+1}}_{s(n-1)}, f(x_1, a_1, 0^{(m-2)}), \dots, f(x_1, a_1, 0^{(m-2)})_{i_{u+(s+1)}}, f(x_{n-1-s}, a_{n-1-s}, 0^{(m-2)})_{i_{u+(n-1-s)}}, \dots, f(x_u, a_u, 0^{(m-2)})) \subseteq \delta(0),$$

a contradiction because $(x_1^{s(n-1)+1})$ is an $(s,n)-\delta(0)$ -zero of A. Consequently, $g(x_1,\cdots,\widehat{x_{i_1}},\cdots,\widehat{x_{i_2}},\cdots,\widehat{x_{i_u}},\cdots,x_{s(n-1)+1},A^{(u)}) = 0.$

Now, we give a copy of Nakayama's lemma for strongly weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideals.

Theorem 5.5. Assume that A is a strongly weakly (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal but is not (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal. Then the following hold:

- (i) $g(A^{(s(n-1)+1)}) = 0$
- (ii) If $M = k(A, 1_G^{(n-2)}, M)$ for some (m, n)-hypermodule M over G , then M = 0.

Proof. (i) Since A is not (s, n)-absorbing $\delta(0)$ -hyperideal, we obtain an (s, n)- $\delta(0)$ -zero $(x_1^{s(n-1)+1})$ of A. Let us assume that $g(A^{(s(n-1)+1)}) \neq 0$. Hence we have $g(a_1^{s(n-1)+1}) \neq 0$ for some $a_1^{s(n-1)+1} \in A$. Therefore we get the result that $0 \neq g(f(x_1, a_1, 0^{(m-2)}), \cdots, f(x_{s(n-1)+1}, a_{s(n-1)+1}, 0^{(m-2)})) \subseteq A$

by Theorem 5.4. By the hypothesis, we conclude that $g(f(x_1, a_1, 0^{(m-2)})^{(s-1)n-s+2}) \subseteq A$ or $\delta(0)$ contains a g-product of (s-1)n-s+2 of $f(x_i, a_i, 0^{(m-2)})^{(s-1)n-s+2}$. In the first possibility, we conclude that A contains $f(g(x_1^{(s-1)n-s+2}), g(x_1^{u_1}, a_1^{u_2}), g(a_1^{(s-1)n-s+2}), 0^{(m-u)})$ such that $u_1 + u_2 = u$

(s-1)n-s+2. It follows that $g(x_1^{(s-1)n-s+2}) \in A$. But this is a contradiction since $(x_1^{s(n-1)+1})$ is an $(s,n)-\delta(0)$ -zero of A. In the second possibility, we may assume that $g(f(x_2, a_2, 0^{(m-2)}), \dots, f(x_{(s-1)n-s+3}, a_{(s-1)n-s+3}, 0^{(m-2)}))$ is contained in $\delta(0)$. Thus we get the result that $f(g(x_2^{(s-1)n-s+3}), g(x_1^{u_1}, a_2^{u_2}), g(a_1^{(s-1)n-s+2}), 0^{(m-u)})$

is contained in $\delta(0)$ such that $u_1+u_2 = (s-1)n-s+2$ which implies $g(x_2^{(s-1)n-s+3}) \in \delta(0)$. It contradicts the fact that $(x_1^{s(n-1)+1})$ is an $(s,n)-\delta(0)$ -zero of A. Consequently, $g(A^{(s(n-1)+1)}) = 0$.

(ii) Let *M* be a (m, n)-hypermodule over *G* such that $M = k(A, 1_G^{(n-1)}, M)$. By (i), we have $k(g(A^{(s(n-1)+1)}), 1_G^{(n-1)}, M) = 0$. This implies that $0 = k(g(A^{(s(n-1)+1)}), 1_G^{(n-1)}, M)$

$$\begin{split} &= k(g(A^{(s(n-1))}, 1_G), A, 1_G^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= k(g(A^{(s(n-1))}, 1_G), 1_G^{(n-1)}, k(A, 1_G^{(n-1)}, M)) \\ &= k(g(A^{(s(n-1))}, 1_G), 1_G^{(n-1)}, M) \\ &= \cdots \\ &= k(A, 1_G^{(n-1)}, k(A, 1_G^{(n-1)}, M)) \\ &= k(A, 1_G^{(n-1)}, M) \\ &= M \end{split}$$

References

- R. Ameri, M. Norouzi, Prime and primary hyperideals in Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings, European Journal Of Combinatorics, (2013) 379-390.
- [2] M. Anbarloei, Unifing the prime and primary hyperideals under one frame in a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring, Comm. Algebra, 49 (2021) 3432-3446.
- [3] M. Anbarloei, J-hyperideals and their expansions in a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 52(1) (2023) 171-184.
- M. Anbarloei, Krasner (m,n)-hyperring of fractions, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistic, 16(1) (2023) 165-185.
- [5] M. Anbarloei, N-hyperideals and related extensions, Filomat, 38(8) (2024) 2755-2772.
- [6] S.M. Anvariyeh, S. Mirvakili, B. Davvaz, Fundamental relation on (m, n)-hypermodules over (m, n)-hyperrings, Ars Combin., 94 (2010) 273–288.
- [7] A. Asadi, R. Ameri, Direct limit of Krasner (m,n)-hyperrings, Journal of Sciences, 31 (1) (2020) 75-83.
- [8] S. Corsini, Prolegomena of hypergroup theory, Second edition, Aviani editor, Italy, (1993).
- [9] S. Corsini, V. Leoreanu, Applications of hyperstructure theory, Advances in Mathematics, vol. 5, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (2003).
- [10] B. Davvaz, V. Leoreanu-Fotea, Hyperring Theory and Applications, International Academic Press, Palm Harbor, USA, (2007).
- [11] B. Davvaz, T. Vougiouklis, n-ary hypergroups, Iran. J. Sci. Technol., 30 (A2) (2006) 165-174.
- [12] B. Davvaz, Fuzzy Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings, Comput. Math. with Appl., **59** (2010) 3879-3891.
- [13] B. Davvaz, G. Ulucak, U. Tekir, Weakly (k, n)-absorbing (primary) hyperideals of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 52(5) (2023) 1229-1238.
- [14] Z. Dongsheng, δ -primary ideals of commutative rings. Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, **41** (2001) 17-22.
- [15] B. Fahid, Z. Dongsheng, 2-Absorbing δ -primary ideals of commutative rings. Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, 57 (2017) 193-198.
- [16] K. Hila, K. Naka, B. Davvaz, On (k, n)-absorbing hyperideals in Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 69 (2018) 1035-1046.
- [17] V. Leoreanu, Canonical n-ary hypergroups, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., 24(2008).
- [18] V. Leoreanu-Fotea, B. Davvaz, n-hypergroups and binary relations, European J. Combin., 29 (2008) 1027-1218.
- [19] V. Leoreanu-Fotea, B. Davvaz, Roughness in n-ary hypergroups, Inform. Sci., 178 (2008) 4114-4124.
- [20] X. Ma, J. Zhan, B. Davvaz, Applications of rough soft sets to Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings and corresponding decision making methods, *Filomat*, **32** (2018) 6599-6614.
- [21] F. Marty, Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe, 8th Congress Math. Scandenaves, Stockholm, (1934) 45-49.
- [22] S. Mirvakili, B. Davvaz, Relations on Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings, European J. Combin., 31(2010) 790-802.
- [23] S. Mirvakili, B. Davvaz, Constructions of (m, n)-hyperrings, Matematicki Vesnik, **67** (1) (2015) 1-16.
- [24] M. Norouzi, R.Ameri, V. Leoreanu-Fotea, Normal hyperideals in Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta 26 (3) (2018) 197-211.
- [25] S. Omidi, B. Davvaz, Contribution to study special kinds of hyperideals in ordered semihyperrings, J. Taibah Univ. Sci., 11 (2017) 1083-1094.

15

M. ANBARLOEI

- [26] S. Ostadhadi-Dehkordi, B. Davvaz, A Note on Isomorphism Theorems of Krasner (m, n)hyperrings, Arabian Journal of Mathematics, 5 (2016) 103-115.
- [27] T. Vougiouklis, Hyperstructures and their representations, *Hadronic Press Inc.*, *Florida*, (1994).
- [28] M.M. Zahedi, R. Ameri, On the prime, primary and maximal subhypermodules, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., 5 (1999) 61-80.
- [29] J. Zhan, B. Davvaz, K.P. Shum, Generalized fuzzy hyperideals of hyperrings, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 56 (2008) 1732-1740.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, IMAM KHOMEINI INTERNATIONAL UNI-VERSITY, QAZVIN, IRAN.

Email address: m.anbarloei@sci.ikiu.ac.ir

16