

---

# Differentiating Through Linear Solvers

---

Jan Hückelheim<sup>\*1</sup> Paul Hovland<sup>\*1</sup>

## Abstract

Computer programs containing calls to linear solvers are a known challenge for automatic differentiation. Previous publications advise against differentiating through the low-level solver implementation, and instead advocate for high-level approaches that express the derivative in terms of a modified linear system that can be solved with a separate solver call. Despite this ubiquitous advice, we are not aware of prior work comparing the accuracy of both approaches. With this article we thus empirically study a simple question: What happens if we ignore common wisdom, and differentiate through linear solvers?

## 1. Introduction

One of the merits of automatic, or algorithmic, differentiation (AD or autodiff) is that it is applied directly to algorithms, applying rule-based differentiation to the low-level functions intrinsic to a particular programming language and combining those derivatives according to the chain rule of differential calculus (Griewank & Walther, 2008). Nonetheless, when applying AD to programs including iterative solvers, conventional wisdom advises treating the solver as an intrinsic function, rather than “naively” differentiating through the low-level implementation details. This approach is particularly common in the case of fixed point iterations, where there is a wealth of established theory (Christianson, 1994; Griewank et al., 1993) and many AD tools support high-level differentiation of fixed-point iterative solvers that have labeled as such.

The situation for linear solvers is more complicated. While stationary iterative methods adhere to the theory of fixed point iterations, Krylov methods do not, and the theory in this regime is much less developed. Gratton et al. (2014) and Christianson (2018) have advanced the theory for conjugate gradient solvers (see Section 2 for more details). However, it is unclear how much of this theory carries over to solvers

for nonsymmetric systems, such as GMRES and BiCGStab. Furthermore, even in cases where theory argues for high-level differentiation, practical considerations such as difficulty in clearly delineating the solver interface or problems finding an appropriate preconditioner for the transposed system might argue in favor of low-level differentiation.

This paper examines empirically the tradeoffs between low-level differentiation and high-level differentiation of several linear solvers, as implemented in SPARSKIT (Saad, 1994). The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The next section provides additional background on low-level and high-level differentiation of linear solvers. Section 3 discusses our experimental design, followed by our experimental results in Section 4. We conclude with a summary of our findings and our plans for future work.

## 2. Background

Automatic differentiation has two main “modes,” forward (or tangent) and reverse (or adjoint) mode. In the so-called forward mode, the chain rule is applied starting with the independent variables and propagating derivatives forward to the dependent variables. In the so-called reverse mode, the chain rule is applied starting with the dependent variables and propagating backward to the independent variables. For this paper, we will focus primarily on the forward mode.

Conjugate gradient is the best-studied Krylov solver with respect to low-level differentiation. Gratton et al. (2014) examined the consequences of differentiating through a conjugate gradient (CG) solver, under the assumption that all of the iterates  $x_k$  are well-defined, that is, CG does not reach the exact solution  $A^{-1}b$  before iteration  $k$ . Christianson (2018) also considered low-level differentiation of CG, but in the case where the exact solution is in fact found, proving that in exact arithmetic and with appropriate modifications, both the solution to the linear system and its derivatives can be computed in no more than  $N$  iterations, for  $A \in \mathcal{R}^{N \times N}$ . Low-level differentiation of Krylov solvers for nonsymmetric systems is at present poorly understood at a theoretical level.

The alternative to low-level differentiation is high-level differentiation, treating the linear solve as an elementary function and applying the rules of matrix calculus to compute the

---

<sup>\*</sup>Equal contribution <sup>1</sup>Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL, USA. Correspondence to: Jan Hückelheim <jhueckelheim@anl.gov>.

derivatives directly. The derivation of such rules is straightforward for the forward mode. For independent variable  $u$  and since  $Ax = b$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial u}x + A\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial b}{\partial u}.$$

Consequently, one can compute  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}$  by solving the linear system  $Ay = \hat{b}$ , where  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} = y$  and

$$\hat{b} = \frac{\partial b}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial u}x.$$

Because the matrix  $A$  is used in both linear solves, we can reuse the preconditioner used to solve for  $x$ . The situation is more complicated for the reverse mode and nonsymmetric matrices, where the linear solve used to compute derivatives involves the matrix transpose,  $A^T$ .

### 3. Experimental Setup

We implemented low-level differentiation by applying the Tapenade AD tool (Hascoet & Pascual, 2013) to the SPARSKIT implementation of GMRES, TFQMR and BiCGStab. We modified the SPARSKIT implementation to replace Fortran language features that caused compilation errors after differentiation with Tapenade, such as computed and assigned goto statements, and created a head routine that implements the choice of linear solver with a branch instead of passing the name of an external subroutine as an argument. We also replaced the BLAS implementation in SPARSKIT with a more recent version (Blackford et al., 2002). We then implemented high-level differentiation of these solvers at the matrix calculus level, following the derivation in Section 2.

We evaluated both approaches using 65 matrices from the SuiteSparse collection (Davis & Hu, 2011) (see A for the list), comprising all of the nonsymmetric matrices from the Bai collection with up to 1,000 rows and columns. To facilitate our assessment of the effectiveness of both strategies, we manufactured the righthand sides  $b$  and  $\frac{\partial b}{\partial u}$  from known  $x$  and  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}$ , each with elements drawn from the uniform distribution  $[0, 1)$ . We monitored the progress after  $k$  iterations, for  $k \in [1, 50]$ , computing the L2 norm of the difference between the computed  $x$  (or  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}$ ) and the reference value.

### 4. Experimental Results

Figures 1 and 2 show the effectiveness of the two differentiation strategies in comparison with the original, undifferentiated linear solver. For the original solver, the curve shows the number of problems solved (out of 65) such that the L2 norm of the difference between the computed  $x$  and the reference value for  $x$  is less than some threshold ( $10^{-2}$  or  $10^{-4}$ ). For the two differentiation strategies, the curves

show the number of problems solved such that the L2 norm of the difference between the computed  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}$  and the reference value is less than the same threshold. A higher curve indicates that more problems are solved within a given number of iterations and a curve further to the left indicates that a given number of problems are solved in fewer iterations.

A few patterns emerge from our results. First, none of the linear solvers is able to solve more than two thirds of the problems to within  $10^{-4}$  of the reference solution in 2000 iterations, and restarted GMRES significantly underperforms TFQMR and BiCGStab. Second, in general high-level differentiation performs nearly as well as the original solver, but there are typically a few problems that require more iterations to achieve similar levels of accuracy. Third, the effectiveness of low-level differentiation is highly solver-dependent. For TFQMR and restarted GMRES, the low-level differentiation strategy is nearly as effective as high-level differentiation. In contrast, for BiCGStab, there is a sizable gap in performance between high-level and low-level differentiation, with the latter typically only able to solve half as many problems within a similar number of iterations.

Figures 3 and 4 show detailed results for two matrices, namely BFWA398 and BFWA62 from the collection. These matrices emerge from computational electromagnetics problems, and have reasonable condition numbers of  $2.993111e + 03$  and  $5.530615e + 02$ , respectively. The original linear solvers therefore converge well, as do the high-level differentiated solvers. Low-level differentiation through the solvers leads to very erratic behavior for BiCGStab, and divergence for GMRES in both cases. For TFQMR both differentiation strategies appear to work well.

### 5. Conclusions

We demonstrate with this article that the common advice is justified, and that high-level differentiation is indeed usually preferable to low-level differentiation. However, our work also shows a more nuanced picture: some linear solvers appear to be better suited for low-level differentiation than others, and in situations where high-level differentiation is not desirable – for example due to the manual development effort that is often involved – a careful solver choice may lead to useful gradients even with low-level approaches.

In future work, we plan to investigate reverse mode differentiation through solvers, extend the collection of solvers and preconditioners, and compare empirical results with the theory presented in previous work (Christianson, 2018). We are also interested in quantifying the influence of roundoff errors on our results.




Figure 1. Data profile showing the relative performance of the low-level and high-level differentiation strategies. The curve for the original linear solver shows the number of problems solved (out of 65) such that  $\|x - x_{\text{ref}}\|_2 < 10^{-2}$ . The curves for the differentiated linear solvers show the number of problems solved such that  $\|\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} - (\frac{\partial x}{\partial u})_{\text{ref}}\|_2 < 10^{-2}$ .




Figure 2. Data profile showing the relative performance of the low-level and high-level differentiation strategies. The curve for the original linear solver shows the number of problems solved (out of 65) such that  $\|x - x_{\text{ref}}\|_2 < 10^{-4}$ . The curves for the differentiated linear solvers show the number of problems solved such that  $\|\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} - (\frac{\partial x}{\partial u})_{\text{ref}}\|_2 < 10^{-4}$ .




Figure 3. Convergence for the original solver and both differentiation strategies when applied to the BFWA62 matrix. The curves show the error in the system solution as a function of the iterations performed, where a lower value is better. The BICGStab and TFQMR solvers rapidly reach a value close to machine precision and remain relatively stable at that level. GMRES converges more slowly. High-level differentiation broadly follows this trend for all solvers. Low-level differentiation performs more erratically, and consistently underperforms high-level differentiation. Nevertheless, low-level differentiation appears to work reasonably well for TFQMR.




Figure 4. Convergence for the original solver and both differentiation strategies when applied to the BFWA398 matrix. Similar to the results in Figure 3, BICGStab and TFQMR rapidly converge and outperform GMRES. High-level differentiation performs worse than the original solver, but far better than low-level differentiation. Once again, TFQMR appears to be better suited for low-level differentiation and leads to reasonable results for both differentiation approaches.

## 6. Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by the Applied Mathematics activity within the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Advanced Scientific Computing Research Program, under contract number DE-AC02-06CH11357.

## References

- Blackford, L. S., Petitet, A., Pozo, R., Remington, K., Whaley, R. C., Demmel, J., Dongarra, J., Duff, I., Hammarling, S., Henry, G., et al. An updated set of basic linear algebra subprograms (blas). *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*, 28(2):135–151, 2002.
- Christianson, B. Reverse accumulation and attractive fixed points. *Optimization Methods and Software*, 3(4):311–326, 1994.
- Christianson, B. Differentiating through conjugate gradient. *Optimization Methods and Software*, 33(4-6):988–994, 2018.
- Davis, T. A. and Hu, Y. The university of florida sparse matrix collection. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, 38(1), dec 2011. ISSN 0098-3500. doi: 10.1145/2049662.2049663. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/2049662.2049663>.
- Gratton, S., Titley-Peloquin, D., Toint, P., and Ilunga, J. T. Differentiating the method of conjugate gradients. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 35(1):110–126, 2014.
- Griewank, A. and Walther, A. *Evaluating derivatives: principles and techniques of algorithmic differentiation*. SIAM, 2008.
- Griewank, A., Bischof, C., Corliss, G., Carle, A., and Williamson, K. Derivative convergence for iterative equation solvers. *Optimization methods and software*, 2(3-4): 321–355, 1993.
- Hascoet, L. and Pascual, V. The tapenade automatic differentiation tool: Principles, model, and specification. *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS)*, 39(3): 1–43, 2013.
- Saad, Y. SPARSKIT: a basic tool kit for sparse matrix computations, 1994.

## A. List of SuiteSparse Matrices

The following are the SuiteSparse (Davis & Hu, 2011) matrices used in our experiments.

<b>Id</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Group</b>	<b>Rows</b>	<b>Cols</b>	<b>Nonzeros</b>	<b>Kind</b>	<b>Date</b>
292	bfwa398	Bai	398	398	3,678	Electromagnetics Problem	1994
293	bfwa62	Bai	62	62	450	Electromagnetics Problem	1994
294	bfwa782	Bai	782	782	7,514	Electromagnetics Problem	1994
298	bwm200	Bai	200	200	796	Chemical Process Simulation Problem	1992
299	bwm2000	Bai	2,000	2,000	7,996	Chemical Process Simulation Problem	1992
300	cdde1	Bai	961	961	4,681	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem Sequence	1992
301	cdde2	Bai	961	961	4,681	Subsequent Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1992
302	cdde3	Bai	961	961	4,681	Subsequent Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1992
303	cdde4	Bai	961	961	4,681	Subsequent Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1992
304	cdde5	Bai	961	961	4,681	Subsequent Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1992
305	cdde6	Bai	961	961	4,681	Subsequent Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1992
306	ck104	Bai	104	104	992	2D/3D Problem	1986
307	ck400	Bai	400	400	2,860	2D/3D Problem	1986
308	ck656	Bai	656	656	3,884	2D/3D Problem	1986
309	dw1024	Bai	2,048	2,048	10,114	Electromagnetics Problem	1993
310	dw256A	Bai	512	512	2,480	Electromagnetics Problem	1993
311	dw256B	Bai	512	512	2,500	Electromagnetics Problem	1993
312	dw4096	Bai	8,192	8,192	41,746	Electromagnetics Problem	1993
313	lop163	Bai	163	163	935	Statistical/Mathematical Problem	1978
314	mhda416	Bai	416	416	8,562	Electromagnetics Problem	1994
316	odepa400	Bai	400	400	1,201	2D/3D Problem	1978
318	olm100	Bai	100	100	396	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
319	olm1000	Bai	1,000	1,000	3,996	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
320	olm2000	Bai	2,000	2,000	7,996	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
321	olm500	Bai	500	500	1,996	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
322	olm5000	Bai	5,000	5,000	19,996	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
323	pde225	Bai	225	225	1,065	2D/3D Problem	1982
324	pde2961	Bai	2,961	2,961	14,585	2D/3D Problem	1982
325	pde900	Bai	900	900	4,380	2D/3D Problem	1982
328	qh882	Bai	882	882	3,354	Power Network Problem	1994
329	rbsa480	Bai	480	480	17,088	Robotics Problem	1993
330	rbsb480	Bai	480	480	17,088	Robotics Problem	1993
331	rd2048	Bai	2,048	2,048	12,032	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
332	rd25000	Bai	5,000	5,000	29,600	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
333	rd2968	Bai	968	968	5,632	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
334	rw136	Bai	136	136	479	Statistical/Mathematical Problem	1978
335	rw496	Bai	496	496	1,859	Statistical/Mathematical Problem	1978
336	rw5151	Bai	5,151	5,151	20,199	Statistical/Mathematical Problem	1978
337	tub100	Bai	100	100	396	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
338	tub1000	Bai	1,000	1,000	3,996	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
1612	cryg10000	Bai	10,000	10,000	49,699	Materials Problem	1996
1613	cryg2500	Bai	2,500	2,500	12,349	Materials Problem	1996
1614	dw2048	Bai	2,048	2,048	10,114	Electromagnetics Problem	1993
1615	dw8192	Bai	8,192	8,192	41,746	Electromagnetics Problem	1993
1616	dwa512	Bai	512	512	2,480	Electromagnetics Problem	1993
1617	dwb512	Bai	512	512	2,500	Electromagnetics Problem	1993
1620	mhd1280a	Bai	1,280	1,280	47,906	Electromagnetics Problem	1994
1622	mhd3200a	Bai	3,200	3,200	68,026	Electromagnetics Problem	1994
1624	mhd4800a	Bai	4,800	4,800	102,252	Electromagnetics Problem	1994
1626	qh1484	Bai	1,484	1,484	6,110	Power Network Problem	1994
1627	qh768	Bai	768	768	2,934	Power Network Problem	1994
1628	rd21250	Bai	1,250	1,250	7,300	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
1629	rd21250i	Bai	1,250	1,250	7,300	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
1630	rd2200	Bai	200	200	1,120	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
1631	rd2200i	Bai	200	200	1,120	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
1632	rd22048_noL	Bai	2,048	2,048	12,032	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
1633	rd23200i	Bai	3,200	3,200	18,880	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
1634	rd2450	Bai	450	450	2,580	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
1635	rd2450i	Bai	450	450	2,580	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
1636	rd2800i	Bai	800	800	4,640	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1994
1637	tol21090	Bai	1,090	1,090	3,546	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1991
1638	tol22000	Bai	2,000	2,000	5,184	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1991
1639	tol2340	Bai	340	340	2,196	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1991
1640	tol24000	Bai	4,000	4,000	8,784	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1991
1641	tol290	Bai	90	90	1,746	Computational Fluid Dynamics Problem	1991

Table 1. Matrices used in our experiments.