

A DATA-DRIVEN INTELLIGENT SUPPLY CHAIN DISRUPTION RESPONSE RECOMMENDER SYSTEM FRAMEWORK

Yang Hu¹, Pezhman Ghadimi¹

¹Laboratory for Advanced Manufacturing Simulation and Robotics, School of Mechanical & Materials
Engineering, University College Dublin, Dublin 4, IRELAND

ABSTRACT

In light of the Industry 4.0 era, the global pandemic, and wars, interest in deploying digital technologies to increase supply chain resilience (SCRes) is rising. The utilization of recommender systems as a supply chain (SC) resilience measure is neglected, although these systems can enhance SC resilience. To address this problem, this research proposed a data-driven supply chain disruption response framework based on intelligent recommender system techniques. A prototype implementation was conducted to validate the developed framework through a practical use case. Results show that the proposed framework can be implemented as an effective SC disruption mitigation measure in the SCRes response phase and help SC participants better react after the SC disruption.

Keywords: Supply chain resilience, Disruption risk, Recommender System, Supply chain risk management, Decision Support System

1 INTRODUCTION

Supply chains (SC) are becoming more sophisticated and complex with globalization, as well as more risks and uncertainty (Manners-Bell 2017). Modern supply chains were designed in an era of lean management and globalization, and they now face the challenge of adapting to revolutionary trends such as the technological revolution (i.e., Industry 4.0), global pandemics (i.e., COVID-19), and wars. In light of the COVID-19 pandemic, researchers and practitioners have become increasingly interested in deploying digital technologies to increase supply chain resilience (Ivanov, 2021).

Supply chain resilience (SCRes) means that a SC can recover from unexpected disruptions and regain or even improve a supply chain's original performance. Companies might even achieve competitive advantages when they rebound more successfully than their rivals (Spieske and Birkel, 2021). Supply chain resilience is a multidimensional and hierarchical structure with three primary dimensions: supply chain design quality, reactive and proactive capabilities (Chowdhury and Quaddus, 2017). To comprehensively approach the resilience goal, SC systems must be designed to withstand disruptions (low vulnerability), respond (Chowdhury and Quaddus, 2017), and recover from disruptions quickly and at a minimal cost (high recoverability) (Hosseini et al., 2019).

SCRes can be achieved by either creating redundancy or increasing flexibility (Sheffi and Rice Jr, 2005) proactively or reactively (Cheng and Lu, 2017) via internal or external collaboration (Ali et al., 2021) through (1) readiness, (2) response, (3) recovery, and (4) redesign stages (Hohenstein et al., 2015, Blackhurst et al., 2005). Hohenstein et al. (2015) classified SCRes based on ex-ante and post-ante disruptions. Specifically, an ex-ante strategy is a proactive approach consisting of redundancy and flexibility elements to create readiness, usually including capacity and inventory buffer, backup suppliers, and transportation channels (Ivanov et al., 2017). In contrast, a post-ante strategy is a reactive strategy employed in response to a disturbance. It involves the elements of agility, flexibility, and redundancy to

recover and grow, such as multi-sourcing, product/process transformation, capacity expansion and regionalisation (Ivanov et al., 2017; Hu and Ghadimi, 2023).

Supply chain resilience is based on a two-dimensional structure: proactive aspect (including proactive network design) and reactive aspect (including network redesign) (Chowdhury and Quaddus, 2017). Preparing ahead or taking proactive actions is the ground way of building a resilient supply chain in the first stage. However, the unknown-unknowns – black swan events such as pandemics, extreme natural disasters, terrorist attacks or wars- lie outside the realm of regular expectations (Aven, 2015). These kinds of events had severe, completely unforeseen impacts (Spieske and Birkel, 2021). While the possibility of a disrupted event was not unknown, management protocols fell short of preparing for this 'tail-risk' scenario (O'Brien and MacAskill, 2022). For instance, the rapidity of the COVID-19 disruption renders inappropriate proactive SCRes strategies such as readiness (Ali et al., 2021). In this case, proposing resilience strategies from the reactive aspect is essential, as ineffective or late deployment of (response) recovery actions resulted in long shortage periods (Hosseini and Ivanov, 2022).

As the supply chain performance drops rapidly after disruption in a concise time frame indicated in Fig. 1 (Sheffi and Rice Jr, 2005), ineffective or late deployment of (response) recovery actions will result in long shortage periods (Hosseini and Ivanov, 2022), which means less response time is essential for mitigating SC disruption. Therefore, shortening the time consumed in the response phrase (Hohenstein et al., 2015) can be one of the feasible SCRes strategies. However, studies on SC disruption risk mitigation from a 'reactive' aspect are limited (Ivanov et al., 2017, Hu and Ghadimi, 2023). Of the three reactive strategies, attempts to construct an agile supply chain to respond to the unexpected SC disruption are insufficient. This is the first research gap this study will bridge.




Figure 1: SC performance in different stages.

In the response phase (Fig.1), companies will first access their internal resources to supplement the shortage, such as using inventory and capacity buffers (Ivanov et al., 2017). Hence, the SC performance drops relatively slowly after the initial response (Sheffi and Rice Jr, 2005). When the internal resources run out, the supply chain performance drops quickly after the company's initial reaction because recovery preparation work, such as expanding capacity or adjusting processes (Ivanov et al., 2017), takes a relatively long time. The time interval between the first initial internal reaction stage and recovery stage makes the performance curve drop dramatically, as the internal buffer resource has run out and new supplementary owned by the same company are not yet to come. In this case, a fast searching, recommending, and

visualizing tool to identify and illustrate external resources within the whole SC network is an ideal solution to tackle this problem. This research proposes that a real-time recommendation system can be utilized as the response system.

A recommender system (RS) is a tool that selects the most suitable items or services (Chiu et al., 2021) for an active user, considering existing information about the users and the items to predict each associated item/service utility (Dadouchi and Agard, 2021) by filtering helpful information from a vast database pool (Yassine et al., 2021). RS directly assists users in making decisions and satisfying their current information needs with accuracy, context, novelty, serendipity, real-time, and diversity dynamics consideration (Rana and Jain, 2015).

Resilience can be achieved by creating redundancy or increasing flexibility (Sheffi and Rice Jr, 2005). An agile supply chain information system will achieve high supply chain flexibility (Gupta et al., 2019). The primary mechanism of leveraging a recommender system to enhance supply chain resilience is that these systems can quickly overcome the challenges related to the incredible growth of information (Dadouchi and Agard, 2021). Therefore, it can assist supply chain actors in making appropriate decisions to use the current network state without additional resources (Dadouchi and Agard, 2021) in a concise time frame. The characteristics of fast detection and the use of available resources in the network can adequately help disrupted supply chain participants narrow the time gap between the response and recovery phases, achieving resilience in the first stage.

Not limited to only exploiting the information or knowledge naively, an intelligent recommender system (IRS) that employs artificial intelligence (AI) techniques (Borràs et al., 2014) has intelligent behavior with a set of capabilities such as information (knowledge) representation (clustering), learning, optimization and reasoning mechanisms (Borràs et al., 2014, Aguilar et al., 2017). The combination of these capabilities can exploit information (knowledge), update, and infer them (Aguilar et al., 2017). IRS can be applied in supply chain management (Pereira et al., 2022) to improve dealing speed (Sinha and Dhanalakshmi, 2019) and capture dynamics (You et al., 2019). However, the application of recommender systems in the supply chain management domain is still in its infancy. Research on leveraging the IRS as a resilience tool for SC disruption risk mitigation is scant. This is the second research gap this study will address.

To our knowledge, there is a limited framework based on IRS techniques to respond to the SC disruption. The contribution of this research is twofold. This study not only enriches the knowledge of the SCRes research domain from the reactive aspect but also presents a new application domain for the IRS. The proposed framework based on RS techniques aimed to respond quickly to the SC disruption can optimize several objectives (Pachot et al., 2021) with careful consideration for remaining resources inside the available supply network, such as available capacity and inventory space, truck-load utilization (Dadouchi and Agard, 2021), best transit routes (Wang et al., 2014) and human resources (Hargaden and Ryan, 2015).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: A literature review was conducted in Section 2. It follows Section 3, which describes the proposed framework and recommender system. In Section 4, the steps for system implementation are explained and illustrated. Finally, some remarks are concluded in Section 5.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

Literature was studied based on three categorizations. (1) Aspects of proposed SCRes strategies, (2) Applied techniques, and (3) Phase of SCRes. This body of literature summarizes that current research mainly focuses on developing SC resilience strategies from the perspective of proactive factors. Mathematical models (Chen and Chen, 2023, Caputo et al., 2023), simulation (Silva et al., 2023, Sani Mohammed et al., 2023) including digital twin (Ivanov, 2023), and fuzzy logic (Belhadi et al., 2022) are widely used for SC resilience assessment (Caputo et al., 2023, Sani Mohammed et al., 2023, Belhadi et al.,

2022), resilient supplier selection (Mohammed et al., 2021, Cavalcante et al., 2019), resilient SC network design (Chen and Chen, 2023, Silva et al., 2023) and disruption impact evaluation (Tsiamas and Rahimifard, 2021, Hosseini and Ivanov, 2022). AI-based methods such as deep learning (Cuong et al., 2023) and artificial neural networks (Lorenc and Kuźnar, 2021, Long et al., 2023) are applied to predict disruption. Table 1. Illustrated the comparison between studies.

Table 1: Comparison between research articles.

Reference	Action Aspect	Techniques	Phase of SCRes
(Belhadi et al., 2022)	Proactive	Fuzzy Wavelet Neural Network (FWNN)	Readiness
(Caputo et al., 2023)	Proactive	Mathematical Model	Readiness
(Cavalcante et al., 2019)	Proactive	Machine learning +Simulation	Readiness
(Chen and Chen, 2023)	Proactive	Mathematical Model	Readiness
(Cuong et al., 2023)	Proactive	Deep Learning	Readiness
(Hosseini and Ivanov, 2022)	Proactive	Mathematical Model+ Simulation	Readiness
(Hosseini et al., 2019)	Proactive+Reactive	Mathematical Model	Readiness+Response
(Ivanov, 2023)	Proactive+Reactive	Digital Twin, AI in general	Readiness+Response
(Long et al., 2023)	Proactive	Echo state network Model (ESN), Artificial Neural Network	Readiness
(Lorenc and Kuźnar, 2021)	Proactive	Artificial neural networks	Readiness
(Mohammed et al., 2021)	Proactive	Mathematical Model	Readiness
(Sani Mohammed et al., 2023)	Proactive	Simulation	Readiness
(Silva et al., 2023)	Proactive	Simulation	Readiness
(Singh et al., 2019)	Reactive	Multi	Recovery
(Tsiamas and Rahimifard, 2021)	Proactive	Simulation	Readiness
Present study	Reactive	Intelligent Recommendation Systems	Response

From the action aspect perspective, research focusing on developing resilience measures from the reactive aspect is limited. In the reactive SCRes frame, attempts to build SCRes at the response stage are insufficient. It can also be noted that leveraging a recommender system technique as an SC resilience tool, particularly for agile response, is neglected. Recommender systems can support resource-intensive processes such as supply chain management as they can increase item/service explorations and reduce the search costs for identifying relevant opportunities (van Capelleveen et al., 2021). Research interests in (I)RS from the supply chain management community have been raised recently. However, applying (intelligent) recommender in supply chain management is still in its infancy.

An intelligent recommender system (IRS) that employs artificial intelligence (AI) techniques (Borràs et al., 2014) has intelligent behavior with a set of capabilities such as information (knowledge) representation (clustering), learning, optimization, and reasoning mechanisms (Borràs et al., 2014, Aguilar et al., 2017). The combination of these capabilities can exploit extensive information (knowledge), update it, and infer it (Aguilar et al., 2017).

Current RSs poorly cover supply chain management, and the overlap between supply chain management and (intelligent) recommender systems is still limited (Dadouchi and Agard, 2021). Although the agility capability of (I)RS makes it possible to become an effective SC disruption risk mitigation tool, research exploring the potential of (I)RS as a resilience measure of SC disruption risk mitigation remains unfulfilled. Moreover, previous research usually addressed the SCRes problem from the static perspective, studies on generating real-time resilience strategy are rare, although the response time is vital for achieving SC resilience.

3 THE PROPOSED INTELLIGENT DATA-DRIVEN SC DISRUPTION RESPONSE RECOMMENDER SYSTEM FRAMEWORK

This section presents the details of the proposed IRS, which aims to increase SCRes at the response phase to mitigate supply chain disruption risk. Figure 2 illustrates the mechanism of the developed IRS as an SC resilience tool. According to this process, Figure 3 explains the inner workflow of the proposed intelligent recommender system. An essential assumption of this work is that collaboration between different supply chain participants is solid and practical, especially in data exchange and information-sharing activities.




Figure 2: The architecture of proposed IRS framework

The steps of the developed IRS are described in the following:

Step 1. Identify and recommend available internal resources (redundancy) as the initial SC disruption mitigation reaction.

Step 2. Identify and recommend available external resources (redundancy) as the SC disruption mitigation reaction before the recovery stage.

The recommendation sequence is from step 1 to step 2. First, the IRS will recommend internal resources to the disrupted entity as an initial response to SC disruption. When the internal resources run out, the IRS will turn to available external resources to help mitigate SC disruption risk.

Step 1 represents the system's internal resources recommendation function in the initial response phase. In the initial response period, information on available internal resources, such as inventory/capacity buffer or human resources, will be searched to mitigate SC disruption risk, filtered in the company's internal database to identify the available internal resources and straightforwardly recommended to the disrupted entity.

After the initial response, with internal resources running out, the disrupted organization tends to acquire available resources within the supply network. This is a more efficient way to deal with the current shortage, as preparing new supplements will take a long time.

Step 2 illustrates the proposed system's external resources recommendation function in the response phase after the initial internal response action. In this period, information on available external resources such as inventory/capacity buffer or human resources will be searched and filtered in the central database shared by the disrupted entity and the external suppliers to identify the available external resources in the same network.

Unlike the internal straightforward recommendation, the external recommendation will consider constraints such as lead time, emergency cost, transportation channel or other constraints/criteria before generating the final recommendation results to make the recommendation meet the practical operation environment and the actual user needs, as the priority of user to select external resource will be various based on different disruption scenario and the exact user needs. Sometimes, the user will consider lead time the most critical factor in mitigating a sudden disruption; in other scenarios, the user may consider the emergency cost the most crucial criterion before getting recommendation results, as the shortage situation is not entirely urgent. This IRS will keep searching and filtering until all the requirements are met. After careful consideration, the recommendation results will finally be generated.

The most distinctive part of this IRS is considering practical constraints in the current network, as this will help SC practitioners make more appropriate decisions based on internal and external reality. This feature transforms the proposed IRS into technologically sophisticated, grounded, smart, and contextually relevant SCRes measures. Moreover, static and real-time recommendations can be conducted internally or externally to better match the complex and dynamic supply chain. The inner workflow of the proposed intelligent recommender system is explained in Figure 3




Figure 3: Inner workflow of the IRS.

This IRS can be used for both static and dynamic real-time data. The inner workflow was organized by data processing, recommendation algorithm selection, and recommendation service conduction three sections. The input data will be collected, cleaned, and used to generate a basic available resource profile in the first data processing step. Once the basic resource profile is generated, it will be used to match the existing user profile, as this is the primary mechanism of recommender systems, and the second algorithm selection step will be executed to make a suitable match between user and resource profiles. Different recommender algorithms will approach this end based on the characteristics of other problems and data patterns. Algorithms, including several classical types for recommendation, can be found in the IRS, for example the content-based filtering, the collaborative filtering, and the knowledge-based recommendation. AI-based recommender algorithms such as (unsupervised) machine learning, deep learning, and artificial neural network (ANN) are also embedded in this IRS. The final stage of this workflow is recommendation service conduction; once the algorithm is selected, the practical constraints and the potential real-time needs will be considered, and in this case, multi-criteria and temporal recommendations will be taken as the primary recommendation service.

4 IMPLEMENTATION

The proposed IRS conceptual framework can be implemented as a private intelligent information system owned by supply chain participants aiming to develop reaction agility and flexibility. Through this intelligent information hub, users, usually the disrupted companies, can find the current redundancy inside the organization as the initial reaction to SC disruption. Afterwards, available redundancy from other participants in the current supply network can also be identified. The intelligent recommender system can promote results rapidly according to users' requirements on the resource in demand, considering practical constraints such as lead time, production capacity, costs, and inspection results.

This research illustrated an example of the external resource recommendation, which is the crucial step in approaching SC resilience with IRS.

Firstly, the data used for generating resource profiles and user profiles was input based on the open supply chain data on Kaggle (<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/harshsingh2209/supply-chain-analysis>). Profiles are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: User and Resource Profiles

User Profile	External Resources Profile
Product Type	Supplier name
SKU	Location
Price	Lead time
Availability	Production volumes
Number of products sold	Manufacturing lead time
Revenue generated	Manufacturing costs
Customer demographics	Inspection results
Stock levels	Defect rates
Order quantities	Transportation modes
	Routes
	Costs

The IRS can detect user demand after the SC disruption according to features such as internal availability, stock levels, and order quantities. Next, supervised machine learning can be used for external resources recommendation based on the historical performance data based on features such as supplier name, location, lead time, cost (Cavalcante et al., 2019) and inspection results in this use case to gain the initial overview of the external resources. After the initial exploration, knowledge-based recommendation techniques can also be used in this case before the multi-criteria recommendation service, as the weights of recommendation criteria or constraints such as lead time, cost, production volume, and inspection results should be defined by internal experts of this disrupted organization beforehand (Burke, 2000).

In this use case, we do not use other AI-based algorithms but supervised machine learning mainly because the data is historical and well patterned and has a good structure. In other cases, if the input data are unpatterned, the embedded AI algorithm such as unsupervised machine learning or deep learning can be first used to detect the data pattern. In a supply chain resilience context, if the external resource profile is vague and unpatterned, AI algorithms can first help users cluster the external suppliers and give users a quick sketch of available external resources. Based on the rough results, knowledge-based recommendations can be used afterwards to assist disrupted organizations in finding available external resources from chaos. Real-time recommendation is also not used for this case, mainly because the dataset is static. The recommendations for this use case are listed in Table 3 based on the procedure discussed above.

Table 3. External Available Resource Recommendation.

Demand	Recommended Resource	Overall score
SKU32	Supplier 3	1.043993169
SKU9	Supplier 2	1.037888232
SKU76	Supplier 2	0.98991082
SKU75	Supplier 1	0.984446383
SKU56	Supplier 1	0.980386609
SKU30	Supplier 4	0.940934473
SKU10	Supplier 5	0.931832375

The key capability to help companies mitigate the SC disruption before they finish the recovery preparation work is quickly identifying and leveraging available redundancy from other participants in the current

supply network. The proposed IRS framework can perform as a new and crucial part of the SC resilience strategy from the reactive side.

5 CONCLUSION

This research proposed an intelligent recommender system framework to mitigate supply chain disruption risk. This IRS framework can be used for internal and external resource recommendations within a short time frame with constraints after supply chain disruption. Therefore, it can function as a resilience measure based on its fast response speed. The proposed IRS framework was validated with a practical use case. The results showed that it could be implemented as an effective SC disruption mitigation measure in the SCRes response phase and help SC participants better react after the SC disruption. This research study aims to contribute to supply chain resilience and recommender system development literature. From the knowledge perspective, it enriched the SC resilience toolkit on the reactive side, which previous SC resilience strategy proposals neglected. From the implementation perspective, it extended the application domain of the IRS to the supply chain resilience area, which was also insufficient in previous research. This study bridges these two gaps and sheds light on leveraging advanced digital tools as supply chain resilience measures for supply chain risk management researchers and practitioners.

To validate this framework, more concrete examples and use cases from different industrial domains can be proposed in the future. Moreover, this study illustrated the basic function of this framework with static datasets. In the future, dynamic, real-time recommendation experiments with different AI-based algorithms would be interesting to present and can be good evidence of this powerful framework.

One important fundamental aspect of this work is that effective collaboration was conducted, especially the information sharing between different supply chain participants, which may also be a barrier in practical settings. Studies on enhancing information collaboration can also be captured in the future.

REFERENCES

- Aguilar, J., P. Valdiviezo-Díaz, and G. Riofrio. 2017. "A general framework for intelligent recommender systems". *Applied computing and informatics* 13(2):147–160.
- Ali, M. H., N. Suleiman, N. Khalid, K. H. Tan, M.-L. Tseng and M. Kumar. 2021. "Supply chain resilience reactive strategies for food SMEs in coping to COVID-19 crisis". *Trends in food science technology* 109:94–102.
- Aven, T. 2015. "Implications of black swans to the foundations and practice of risk assessment and management". *Reliability Engineering System Safety* 134:83–91.
- Belhadi, A., S. Kamble, S. Fosso Wamba, and M. M. Queiroz. 2022. "Building supply-chain resilience: an artificial intelligence-based technique and decision-making framework". *International Journal of Production Research* 60(14):4487–4507.
- Blackhurst*, J., C. W. Craighead, D. Elkins, and R. B. Handfield. 2005. "An empirically derived agenda of critical research issues for managing supply-chain disruptions". *International journal of production research* 43(19):4067–4081.
- Borràs, J., A. Moreno, and A. Valls. 2014. "Intelligent tourism recommender systems: A survey". *Expert systems with applications* 41(16):7370–7389.
- Burke, R. 2000. "Knowledge-based recommender systems". *Encyclopedia of library and information systems* 69(Supplement 32):175–186.
- Caputo, A., L. Donati, and P. Salini. 2023. "Estimating resilience of manufacturing plants to physical disruptions: Model and application". *International Journal of Production Economics* 266:109037.
- Cavalcante, I. M., E. M. Frazzon, F. A. Forcellini, and D. Ivanov. 2019. "A supervised machine learning approach to data-driven simulation of resilient supplier selection in digital manufacturing". *International Journal of Information Management* 49:86–97.
- Chen, S. and Y. Chen. 2023. "Designing a resilient supply chain network under ambiguous information and disruption risk". *Computers Chemical Engineering* 179:108428.
- Cheng, J.-H. and K.-L. Lu. 2017. "Enhancing effects of supply chain resilience: insights from trajectory and resource-based perspectives". *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal* 22(4):329–340.
- Chiu, M.-C., J.-H. Huang, S. Gupta, and G. Akman. 2021. "Developing a personalized recommendation system in a smart product service system based on unsupervised learning model". *Computers in Industry* 128:103421.
- Chowdhury, M. M. H. and M. Quaddus. 2017. "Supply chain resilience: Conceptualization and scale development using dynamic capability theory". *International Journal of Production Economics* 188:185–204.
- Cuong, T. N., H.-S. Kim, L. N. B. Long, and S.-S. You. 2023. "Seaport profit analysis and efficient management strategies under stochastic disruptions". *Maritime Economics Logistics*:1–29.
- Dadouchi, C. and B. Agard. 2021. "Recommender systems as an agility enabler in supply chain management". *Journal of*

- Intelligent Manufacturing* 32(5):1229–1248.
- Gupta, S., V. A. Drave, S. Bag, and Z. Luo. 2019. “Leveraging smart supply chain and information system agility for supply chain flexibility”. *Information Systems Frontiers* 21:547–564.
- Hargaden, V. and J. K. Ryan. 2015. “Resource planning in engineering services firms”. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management* 62(4):578–590.
- Hohenstein, N.-O., E. Feisel, E. Hartmann, and L. Giunipero. 2015. “Research on the phenomenon of supply chain resilience: a systematic review and paths for further investigation”. *International journal of physical distribution logistics management* 45(1/2):90–117.
- Hosseini, S. and D. Ivanov. 2022. “A multi-layer Bayesian network method for supply chain disruption modelling in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic”. *International Journal of Production Research* 60(17):5258–5276.
- Hosseini, S., D. Ivanov, and A. Dolgui. 2019. “Review of quantitative methods for supply chain resilience analysis”. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 125:285–307.
- Hu, Y. and P. Ghadimi. “A Review of Artificial Intelligence Application on Enhancing Resilience of Closed-loop Supply Chain”. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Engineering, Technology and Innovation (ICE/ITMC)*, 1–8: IEEE.
- Ivanov, D. 2021. “Digital supply chain management and technology to enhance resilience by building and using end-to-end visibility during the COVID-19 pandemic”. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*.
- Ivanov, D. 2023. “Intelligent digital twin (iDT) for supply chain stress-testing, resilience, and viability”. *International Journal of Production Economics*:108938.
- Ivanov, D., A. Dolgui, B. Sokolov, and M. Ivanova. 2017. “Literature review on disruption recovery in the supply chain”. *International Journal of Production Research* 55(20):6158–6174.
- Long, L. N. B., T. N. Cuong, H.-S. Kim, and S.-S. You. 2023. “Sustainability and robust decision-support strategy for multi-echelon supply chain system against disruptions”. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*:1–31.
- Manners-Bell, J. 2017. *Supply chain risk management: understanding emerging threats to global supply chains*. Kogan Page Publishers.
- O’Brien, S. and K. MacAskill. “Pandemic response in the energy sector and impacts for infrastructure resilience management”. In *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Engineering Sustainability*, Volume 176, 72–81: Thomas Telford Ltd.
- Pachot, A., A. Albouy-Kissi, B. Albouy-Kissi, and F. Chausse. “Multiobjective recommendation for sustainable production systems”. In *MORS workshop held in conjunction with the 15th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems (RecSys)*, 2021.
- Pereira, A. M., J. A. B. Moura, E. D. B. Costa, T. Vieira, A. R. Landim, E. Bazaki et al. 2022. “Customer models for artificial intelligence-based decision support in fashion online retail supply chains”. *Decision Support Systems* 158:113795.
- Powell, J. H. and N. Mustafee. 2017. “Widening Requirements Capture with Soft Methods: An Investigation of Hybrid M&S Studies in Health Care”. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* 68(10):1211–1222.
- Ramli, R., M. H. Miraz, K.-R. K. Mahamud, M. F. Omar and K. Kayat. 2019. “Collaborative-based web recommender system for homestay program: A bridging tool in a tourism supply chain”. *Int. J. Sup. Chain. Mgt* Vol 8(6):978.
- Rana, C. and S. K. Jain. 2015. “A study of the dynamic features of recommender systems”. *Artificial Intelligence Review* 43:141–153.
- Roy, D. and M. Dutta. 2022. “A systematic review and research perspective on recommender systems”. *Journal of Big Data* 9(1):59.
- Sani Mohammed, S., D. Schaefer, and J. Milisavljevic-Syed. 2023. “Towards pre-emptive resilience in military supply chains: A compromise decision support model-based approach”. *Production Manufacturing Research* 11(1):2220768.
- Sheffi, Y. and J. B. Rice Jr. 2005. “A supply chain view of the resilient enterprise”. *MIT Sloan management review*.
- Sinha, B. B. and R. Dhanalakshmi. 2019. “Evolution of recommender system over the time”. *Soft Computing* 23(23):12169–12188.
- Spieske, A. and H. Birkel. 2021. “Improving supply chain resilience through industry 4.0: A systematic literature review under the impressions of the COVID-19 pandemic”. *Computers Industrial Engineering* 158:107452.
- van Capelleveen, G., J. van Wieren, C. Amrit, D. M. Yazan and H. Zijm. 2021. “Exploring recommendations for circular supply chain management through interactive visualisation”. *Decision Support Systems* 140:113431.
- Wang, H., G. Li, H. Hu, S. Chen, B. Shen, H. Wu, et al. 2014. “R3: a real-time route recommendation system”. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment* 7(13):1549–1552.
- Yassine, A., L. Mohamed, and M. Al Achhab. 2021. “Intelligent recommender system based on unsupervised machine learning and demographic attributes”. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory* 107:102198.
- You, J., Y. Wang, A. Pal, P. Eksombatchai, C. Rosenburg and J. Leskovec. “Hierarchical temporal convolutional networks for dynamic recommender systems”. In *The world wide web conference*, 2236–2246.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

YANG HU is a PhD candidate of Laboratory for Advanced Manufacturing Simulation and Robotics, School of Mechanical & Materials Engineering, University College Dublin. Her research interest is supply chain risk management, particularly on mitigating supply chain disruption risk with advanced digital tools. Her email address is yang.hu@ucdconnect.ie.

PEZHMAN GHADIMI is an assistant professor of manufacturing systems at the School of Mechanical & Materials Engineering at the University College Dublin (UCD). His research interests span a number of areas with Circular Economy and Sustainable Development as an overarching research theme. He has been active in Sustainable Manufacturing, Sustainable Supply Chains, Operations Research, Data Analytics, and Risk Analysis. His email address is pezhman.ghadimi@ucd.ie.