

DÉVISSAGE FOR GENERATION IN DERIVED CATEGORIES

SOUVIK DEY AND PAT LANK

ABSTRACT. This work exhibits that the essential image of the derived pushforward along a proper surjective morphism of Noetherian schemes generates the targets derived category of bounded complexes with coherent cohomology. There are two primary consequences to our work. First, we show that classical generators in the bounded derived category are preserved by derived pushforward along a proper surjective morphism of Noetherian schemes. Secondly, the bounded derived category coincides with the thick subcategory generated by perfect complexes and structure sheaves of closed integral subschemes supported in the singular locus of the ambient scheme.

1. INTRODUCTION

This note presents a technical lemma that asserts, on an open set of a Noetherian integral scheme, any pair of objects with full support in the bounded derived category can locally finitely build each other in at most one cone, cf. Lemma 3.1. An upshot to such a result is that it contributes a powerful understanding towards the behavior of generation amongst functors on derived categories arising in algebraic geometry and commutative algebra.

Recall a notion of generation for a triangulated category \mathcal{T} , which was introduced in [BvdB03]. An object G in \mathcal{T} is called a *classical generator* if the smallest thick subcategory containing G coincides with \mathcal{T} . In other words, every object in \mathcal{T} can be obtained from G using a finite combination of shifts, cones, finite coproducts, and retracts. If the number of cones required to finitely build an object from G is uniform, then G is referred to as a *strong generator*. The concept of strong generators has led to interesting invariants, as introduced in [ABIM10, Rou08].

The primary focus is on the derived category of bounded complexes with coherent cohomology on a Noetherian scheme X , which is denoted by $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$. There has been considerable progress made in understanding instances where $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ admits the aforementioned objects. These cases have been studied for quasi-excellent separated schemes of finite Krull dimension [Aok21, Nee21], Noetherian J -2 schemes [ELS20], Noetherian schemes admitting a separator [Jat21], and affine Noetherian schemes [IT16, IT19, DLT23]. Furthermore, in many concrete instances, there have been efforts to explicitly identify such objects [Rou08, BIL⁺23, Ola23], including noncommutative techniques [BDL23].

An intermediate step in arguments for generic freeness à la dévissage asserts that for any finitely generated module M over a commutative Noetherian ring R there exists a finite descending chain of submodules $\cdots \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_0 =: M$ whose corresponding quotients M_i/M_{i+1} are isomorphic to objects of the form R/\mathfrak{p} for some \mathfrak{p} is in $\text{Spec}(R)$. This is observed in the proof of [Gro65, Lemme 6.9.2]. If interpreted in our context, this tells us that any finitely generated R -module can be obtained from the collection of modules of the form R/\mathfrak{p} where \mathfrak{p} is in $\text{Spec}(R)$ using kernels, cokernels, and extensions.

The main result of this work is to demonstrate that the essential image of the derived pushforward along a proper surjective morphism of Noetherian schemes generates bounded derived category of the target of the morphism.

Theorem 1.1. If $\pi: Y \rightarrow S$ is a proper surjective morphism of Noetherian schemes, then the thick subcategory generated by the essential image of $\mathbb{R}\pi_*: D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y) \rightarrow D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ coincides with $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$.

Date: May 8, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14A30 (primary), 14F08 (secondary), 13D09, 32S45.

Key words and phrases. derived categories, thick subcategories, strong generation, classical generation, dévissage, modifications, alterations.

Theorem 1.1 significantly extends prior work of the second author in [Lan24]. If one is working in characteristic zero, then derived schemes are intimately related to what are called *differential graded schemes*, and so many of our results have an interesting interpretation in such context, cf. [Gai13, GR17a, GR17b] for details. In particular, we provide an alternative proof to related result in the literature in regards to proper descent for derived algebraic geometry over a field of characteristic zero, cf. [Gai13, Corollary 8.1.3].

Along the way to proving Theorem 1.1, we establish a lemma which provides a triangulated analogue of dévissage inspired arguments for generation in the bounded derived category, see Lemma 3.2. This lemma tells us the smallest thick subcategory generated by the structure sheaves of closed integral subschemes coincides with $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$.

In other words, objects in $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ can be finitely built by structure sheaves of integral closed subschemes of X using a finite combination of cones, shifts, finite coproducts, and retracts. Subsequently, we refine this to show that a similar statement holds where the closed integral subschemes can be chosen to be those supported in the singular locus of the ambient scheme, cf. Proposition 1.2.

Proposition 1.2. Let X be a Noetherian scheme. For any classical generator P of $\text{perf } X$, one has that $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ coincides with the thick subcategory generated by P and objects of the form $i_* \mathbb{L} i^* P$ where $i: Z \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion from an integral scheme Z supported in the singular locus of X .

This refinement is a globalization of [Tak14, Corollary 4.3.1], which asserts a similar statement for the case of affine schemes, see Remark 3.3. It is worthwhile to note that our arguments are very different in flavor than that of [Tak14].

Our main result yields valuable consequences towards studying the behavior of classical generators under the derived pushforward. Specifically, we demonstrate that classical generators in the bounded derived category are preserved by derived pushforward along a proper surjective morphism of Noetherian schemes, cf. Corollary 3.5. This allows for one to explicitly identify strong generators in the bounded derived category of a variety over a field through the use of alterations, cf. Example 3.7.

Notation 1.3. Let X be a Noetherian scheme.

- (1) $D(X)$ is the derived category of \mathcal{O}_X -modules
- (2) $D_{\text{Qcoh}}(X)$ is the strictly full subcategory of objects in $D(X)$ whose cohomology sheaves are quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules
- (3) $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ is the strictly full subcategory of $D(X)$ whose objects are bounded with coherent cohomology sheaves
- (4) $\text{perf } X$ is the strictly full subcategory of $D(X)$ consisting of perfect complexes
- (5) $D_{\text{coh}}^b(R)$ denotes, by abuse of notation, $D_{\text{coh}}^b(\text{Spec}(R))$ for a commutative Noetherian ring R .

Acknowledgements. The first author was partially supported by the Charles University Research Center program No. UNCE/24/SCI/022, and Grant No. GA CR 23-05148S from the Czech Science Foundation. The second author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1928930 while in residence at the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute (formerly MSRI). The second author would like to thank Adeel A. Khan for discussions regarding [Gai13].

2. BACKGROUND

First, we will briefly cover basic notions on generation in triangulated categories. For a further treatment on necessary background, the reader is referred to [BvdB03, Rou08]. Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category with shift functor $[1]: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$.

Definition 2.1. If \mathcal{S} is a subcategory of \mathcal{T} , then we have the following constructions:

- (1) $\text{add}(\mathcal{S})$ is the smallest strictly full subcategory of \mathcal{T} containing \mathcal{S} that is closed under shifts, finite coproducts, and retracts
- (2) \mathcal{S} is said to be **thick** if it is closed under retracts and is a strictly full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{T}
- (3) $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ is the smallest thick subcategory in \mathcal{T} containing \mathcal{S}

- (4) $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle_0$ is the full subcategory consisting of all objects isomorphic to the zero object
- (5) $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle_1 := \text{add}(\mathcal{S})$
- (6) $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle_n := \text{add}(\{\text{cone}(\varphi) : \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle_{n-1}, \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle_1)\})$.

If \mathcal{S} consists of a single object G , then we write $\langle G \rangle$ for $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$.

Remark 2.2. In the notation of Definition 2.1, we have an exhaustive filtration for the smallest thick subcategory containing \mathcal{S} in \mathcal{T} :

$$\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle_0 \subseteq \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle_n = \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle.$$

Definition 2.3. Given objects E, G of \mathcal{T} , we have the following terminology:

- (1) E is said to be **finitely built** by G if E is in $\langle G \rangle$
- (2) G is said to be a **classical generator** if $\langle G \rangle = \mathcal{T}$
- (3) G is said to be a **strong generator** if there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $\langle G \rangle_n = \mathcal{T}$.

Example 2.4. The following list, though far from comprehensive, are familiar instances in both geometric and algebraic contexts where strong generators can be explicitly identified:

- (1) $R \oplus k$ is a strong generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(R)$ if (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a local Noetherian ring with an isolated singularity, cf. [KMVdB11, Proposition A.2]
- (2) \mathcal{O}_X is a strong generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ if X is a quasi-affine Noetherian regular scheme of finite Krull dimension, cf. [Ola23, Corollary 5]
- (3) $\text{perf } X$ admits a classical generator for X a Noetherian scheme, cf. [BvdB03, Theorem 3.1.1]
- (4) $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\dim X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}$ is a strong generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ if \mathcal{L} is an ample line bundle on a smooth quasi-projective variety X over a field, cf. [Rou08, Proposition 7.9]
- (5) $F_*^e(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\dim X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i})$ is a strong generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ if \mathcal{L} is an ample line bundle on a singular quasi-projective variety X over a perfect field of positive characteristic where $F: X \rightarrow X$ is the Frobenius morphism, cf. [BIL⁺23, Corollary 3.9].

Remark 2.5. ([ELS20, §4.2]) If \mathcal{K} is a thick subcategory of \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} denotes the Verdier quotient of \mathcal{T} by \mathcal{K} , then the sequence of natural functors

$$\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K}$$

is called a **Verdier localization**. Note that $q \circ i = 0$, i is the natural inclusion, and q is the natural quotient functor.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose \mathcal{K} is a thick subcategory of \mathcal{T} . If \mathcal{S} is a subcategory of \mathcal{T} such that $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle = \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K}$, then $\langle \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{S} \rangle = \mathcal{T}$.

Proof sketch. If E is an object of \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} , then there exists an $n \geq 0$ such that E is in $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle_n$ in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} . Recall maps in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} corresponds to a roof of a pair of maps in \mathcal{T} whose cones belong \mathcal{K} . An induction argument on n will tell us E belongs to $\langle \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{S} \rangle$ in \mathcal{T} . \square

Definition 2.7. Let X be a Noetherian scheme, E an object of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$, and $i: Z \rightarrow X$ a closed immersion.

- (1) $\text{Supp}(E) := \bigcup_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Supp}(\mathcal{H}^j(E))$ is called the **support** of E
- (2) E is **supported** on Z whenever $\text{Supp}(E)$ is contained in Z
- (3) $D_{\text{coh},Z}^b(X)$ is the strictly full subcategory of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ consisting of objects whose support is contained in Z

Remark 2.8. Let X be a Noetherian scheme, $i: U \rightarrow X$ an open immersion, and $Z := X \setminus U$ the associated closed subscheme with reduced induced structure. There exists a Verdier localization $i^*: D_{\text{coh}}^b(X) \rightarrow D_{\text{coh}}^b(U)$ with kernel $D_{\text{coh},Z}^b(X)$, cf. [ELS20, Theorem 4.4].

Remark 2.9. Let X be a Noetherian scheme. The Verdier quotient of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ by $\text{perf } X$ is called the **singularity category** of X , which we denote by $D_{\text{sg}}(X)$. This was introduced by [Buc21] in the case of Gorenstein local rings, and [Orl04] for the case of varieties over a field.

3. RESULTS

Next up, we prove our main results and to start, we need to establish our technical lemma that is akin to generic freeness of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules on a Noetherian integral scheme.

Lemma 3.1. Let X be a Noetherian integral scheme. If E and G are objects of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ with full support, then there exists an open immersion $i: U \rightarrow X$ such that in the category $D_{\text{coh}}^b(U)$ one has i^*E is in $\langle i^*G \rangle_1$ and i^*G is in $\langle i^*E \rangle_1$.

Proof. Consider a nonempty open affine U in X . The set S of points p in U such that E_p is in $\langle G_p \rangle_1$ is Zariski open, cf. [Let21, Proposition 3.5]. It is evident that S contains the generic point of X , and so S is nonempty. By the same reasoning, the set T of points p in X such that G_p is in $\langle E_p \rangle_1$ is Zariski open and nonempty. If both S and T contain the generic point η of X , then there exists a nonempty open affine W in $S \cap T$, i.e. consider a component in an open affine covering of $S \cap T$. Let $j: W \rightarrow X$ be the associated open immersion. For each p in W , one has E_p is in $\langle G_p \rangle_1$ and G_p is in $\langle E_p \rangle_1$. Therefore, it follows from a local-to-global principle on generation for affine schemes that j^*E is in $\langle j^*G \rangle_1$ and j^*G is in $\langle j^*E \rangle_1$, cf. [Let21, Corollary 3.4]. \square

Lemma 3.2. (Derived dévissage) Let X be a Noetherian scheme. For any perfect complex P on X with full support, one has that $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ coincides with the thick subcategory generated by P and objects of the form $i_*\mathbb{L}i^*P$ where $i: Z \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion from an integral scheme Z .

Proof. If $X = \emptyset$, there is nothing to check, so without loss of generality, X is nonempty. We argue by Noetherian induction, and so, assume the claim holds for any properly contained closed subscheme Z of X . Let E be an object of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$. If E has support properly contained in X , then the induction hypothesis tells us that E belongs to \mathcal{S} , and so we may reduce to the case where E has full support. Moreover, as objects in $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ are finitely built by their cohomology sheaves, we can further impose that E is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module.

Suppose the closed subset $\text{Supp}(E)$ has irreducible components Z_1, \dots, Z_n . There exists a short exact sequence of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'_1 \rightarrow 0$$

where $\text{Supp}(E_1) \subseteq Z_1$ and $\text{Supp}(E'_1) \subseteq \cup_{i=2}^n Z_i$, cf. [Sta23, Tag 01YD]. By applying this observation to E'_1 , then an induction argument on the minimal number of irreducible components allows us reduce to the case where X is an integral scheme. This means E is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module with full support on the integral scheme. There exists a dense open immersion $j: U \rightarrow X$ such that j^*E and j^*P finitely build one another in at most one cone in $D_{\text{coh}}^b(U)$, see Lemma 3.1. If $U = X$, then we are done, so assume U is a properly contained subset of X . Note that j^*E is a direct summand of an object of the form $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} j^*P^{\oplus r_n}[n]$, so we have an object j^*E and isomorphism $\phi: j^*A \oplus j^*E \rightarrow \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} j^*P^{\oplus r_n}[n]$ in $D_{\text{coh}}^b(U)$. There exists a roof in $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow g \\ \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} P^{\oplus r_n}[n] & & A \oplus E. \end{array}$$

Observe that the cones of f, g are supported on the properly contained closed subset Z of X (see Remark 2.8), and so the objects $\text{cone}(f), \text{cone}(g)$ are in \mathcal{S} by our inductive hypothesis. Therefore, B belongs to \mathcal{S} , and hence, so does $A \oplus E$. \square

Lemma 3.2 tells us that the smallest thick subcategory generated by objects of the form $i_*: Z \rightarrow X$ with i a closed immersion from an integral scheme coincides with that of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(Z)$. However, the following

result refines this to the class of closed subschemes supported in the singular locus of the ambient scheme. Moreover, this is a globalization of [Tak14, Corollary 4.3.1].

Proof of Proposition 1.2. By Lemma 3.2, we know that $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ coincides with the thick subcategory generated by P and objects of the form $i_*\mathbb{L}i^*P$ where $i: Z \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion from an integral scheme Z . Denote this collection of objects by \mathcal{S} . As $D_{\text{sg}}(X)$ is a Verdier quotient of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$, the thick subcategory generated by the images of the objects in \mathcal{S} coincides with $D_{\text{sg}}(X)$. However, we can refine the collection of images of objects in \mathcal{S} to those which have nonzero image in $D_{\text{sg}}(X)$.

From this collection of objects, let us check which objects of \mathcal{S} have zero image in $D_{\text{sg}}(X)$. Clearly, P being a perfect complex on X has a zero image in $D_{\text{sg}}(X)$.

We look at those closed immersions $i: Z \rightarrow X$ with Z integral and $i_*\mathbb{L}i^*P$ has zero image in $D_{\text{sg}}(X)$. This is equivalent to $i_*\mathbb{L}i^*P$ being an object of $\text{perf } X$. Since P is a classical generator for $\text{perf } X$ and i is a finite morphism, we know that $\mathbb{L}i^*P$ is a classical generator for $\text{perf } Z$, and so \mathcal{O}_Z is finitely build by $\mathbb{L}i^*P$, cf. [Sta23, Tag 0BQT]. Hence, $i_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ belongs to $\text{perf } X$. If η is the generic point of Z , then $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$ finitely builds $\kappa(\eta)$, and so η is in the regular locus of X .

Our work tells us $i_*\mathbb{L}i^*P$ being a perfect complex ensures Z is not supported in the singular locus. Consequently, $D_{\text{sg}}(X)$ coincides with the thick subcategory generated by the objects of the form $i_*\mathbb{L}i^*P$ where $i: Z \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion from an integral scheme whose generic point does not belong to the regular locus of X . Since the singular locus of X is specialization closed, we see that any such closed subscheme in the collection is supported in the singular locus of X . Thus, the desired claim follows from Lemma 2.6. \square

Remark 3.3. Let X be a Noetherian scheme. Recall that Lemma 3.2 tells us $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ coincides with the thick subcategory generated by \mathcal{O}_X and objects of the form $i_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ where $i: Z \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion from an integral scheme Z . Suppose P is a classical generator for $\text{perf } X$. The proof of Proposition 1.2 can be adapted to show $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ coincides with the thick subcategory generated by P and objects of the form $i_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ where $i: Z \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion from an integral scheme Z supported in the singular locus of X .

Example 3.4. If X is a Noetherian scheme which has finitely many singular points, then $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ admits a classical generator via Proposition 1.2. For example, suppose X is a normal quasi-projective surface over a field with an ample line bundle \mathcal{L} and singular points p_1, \dots, p_n . By [Orl09, Theorem 4], the object $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$ is a classical generator for $\text{perf } X$. Let $\pi_j: \text{Spec}(\kappa(p_j)) \rightarrow X$ denote the associated closed immersion for each singular point with the reduced induced closed subscheme structure. By Proposition 1.2, the object

$$\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \pi_{1,*}\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(\kappa(p_1))} \oplus \dots \oplus \pi_{n,*}\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(\kappa(p_n))}$$

is a strong generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If $S = \emptyset$, there is nothing to check, so without loss of generality, S is nonempty. Similar to Lemma 3.2, we argue via Noetherian induction, so assume the claim holds for any properly contained closed subscheme Z of S . Let \mathcal{T} be the thick subcategory generated by the essential image of $\mathbb{R}\pi_*: D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y) \rightarrow D_{\text{coh}}^b(S)$. By Lemma 3.2, it suffices to show both \mathcal{O}_X and $i_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ belong to \mathcal{T} where $i: Z \rightarrow S$ ranges over all closed immersion with Z integral.

The induction hypothesis ensures $i_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ belongs to \mathcal{T} for each closed immersion $i: Z \rightarrow S$ with Z integral and properly contained in S . Indeed, let i denote such a morphism. There exists a fibered square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times_S Z & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & Z \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow i \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S \end{array}$$

Note that π_2 is a proper surjective morphism and π_1 is a closed immersion as these properties are stable under base change. Since the claim holds on all properly contained closed subschemes of S , the thick subcategory

generated by the essential image of $\mathbb{R}\pi_{2,*}: D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y \times_S Z) \rightarrow D_{\text{coh}}^b(Z)$ coincides with $D_{\text{coh}}^b(Z)$. Consequently, it follows that $i_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ belongs to \mathcal{T} as any object in the essential image of $i_*\circ\mathbb{R}\pi_{2,*}: D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y \times_S Z) \rightarrow D_{\text{coh}}^b(S)$ belongs to \mathcal{T} via the commutativity of the diagram above.

We are left to verify that \mathcal{O}_X is in \mathcal{T} . First, consider the case X is integral. However, one can argue in a similar fashion for the proof of Lemma 3.2 to show the desired claim. There is an open immersion $i: U \rightarrow S$ with U dense such that $i^*\mathbb{R}\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ and \mathcal{O}_U finitely build one another in at most one cone in $D_{\text{coh}}^b(U)$, see Lemma 3.1. Note that $j^*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_U$. If $U = S$, then we would be done, so consider the case where U is a properly contained subset of S . Since \mathcal{O}_U is a direct summand of an object of the form $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} j^*\mathbb{R}\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_n}[n]$, there is an object j^*E and isomorphism $\phi: j^*A \oplus \mathcal{O}_U \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} j^*\mathbb{R}\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_n}[n]$ in $D_{\text{coh}}^b(U)$. This gives us a roof in $D_{\text{coh}}^b(S)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow g \\ \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_n}[n] & & A \oplus \mathcal{O}_X. \end{array}$$

The cones of f, g are supported on the properly contained closed subsets of S . Hence, the objects $\text{cone}(f)$ and $\text{cone}(g)$ are in \mathcal{T} by our inductive hypothesis. This tells us B belongs to \mathcal{T} , and thus, so does $A \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$.

Lastly, we need to check that \mathcal{O}_X is in \mathcal{T} when X is not integral. Let Z_1, \dots, Z_n be the irreducible components of X . There exists a short exact sequence of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E'_1 \rightarrow 0$$

where $\text{Supp}(E_1) \subseteq Z_1$ and $\text{Supp}(E'_1) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=2}^n Z_i$, cf. [Sta23, Tag 01YD]. Since E_1 and E'_1 are supported on properly contained closed subsets of X , our induction hypothesis tells us that E_1 and E'_1 belongs to \mathcal{T} . Thus, \mathcal{O}_X is in \mathcal{T} as desired. \square

Corollary 3.5. Suppose $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper surjective morphism of Noetherian schemes. If G is a classical generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y)$, then $\mathbb{R}\pi_*G$ is a classical generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, the thick subcategory generated by the essential image of the functor

$$\mathbb{R}\pi_*: D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y) \rightarrow D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$$

coincides with $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$. Since G is a classical generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y)$, $\mathbb{R}\pi_*G$ finitely builds any object in the essential image of the functor above. Hence, any object of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ is finitely built by $\mathbb{R}\pi_*G$. \square

The following is a slight alternative to the proof of [LO24, Lemma 3.14].

Corollary 3.6. Suppose $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper surjective morphism of Noetherian schemes. If G is a strong generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y)$, then $\mathbb{R}\pi_*G$ is a strong generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.5, $\mathbb{R}\pi_*G$ is a classical generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$. There exists an $n \geq 0$ such that \mathcal{O}_X is in $\langle \mathbb{R}\pi_*G \rangle_n$. Given P in $\text{perf } X$, one has from the projection formula that P is in $\langle \mathbb{R}\pi_*(G \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}\pi^*P) \rangle_n$. If $\langle G \rangle_k = D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y)$, then P is in $\langle \mathbb{R}\pi_*G \rangle_{nk}$. The desired claim follows from [LO24, Theorem 1.1]. \square

Example 3.7. Let X be a variety over a field. There exists a proper surjective morphism $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of varieties over k such that $\dim \tilde{X} = \dim X$ and \tilde{X} is a regular quasi-projective variety, cf. [dJ96, Theorem 4.1]. Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on \tilde{X} . By [Rou08, Proposition 7.31], we know that $G := \bigoplus_{i=-\dim X}^{\dim X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}$ is a strong generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(\tilde{X})$, and so $\mathbb{R}\pi_*G$ is a strong generator for $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ via Corollary 3.6.

REFERENCES

- [ABIM10] Luchezar L. Avramov, Ragnar-Olaf Buchweitz, Srikanth B. Iyengar, and Claudia Miller. Homology of perfect complexes. *Adv. Math.*, 223(5):1731–1781, 2010.
- [Aok21] Ko Aoki. Quasiexcellence implies strong generation. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 780:133–138, 2021.

- [BDL23] Anirban Bhaduri, Souvik Dey, and Pat Lank. A note on generation and descent for derived categories of noncommutative schemes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02840*, 2023.
- [BIL⁺23] Matthew R. Ballard, Srikanth B. Iyengar, Pat Lank, Alapan Mukhopadhyay, and Josh Pollitz. High frobenius push-forwards generate the bounded derived category. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.18085*, 2023.
- [Buc21] Ragnar-Olaf Buchweitz. *Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology. With appendices by Luchezar L. Avramov, Benjamin Briggs, Srikanth B. Iyengar and Janina C. Letz*, volume 262 of *Math. Surv. Monogr.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2021.
- [BvdB03] A. Bondal and M. van den Bergh. Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 3(1):1–36, 2003.
- [dJ96] A. J. de Jong. Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 83:51–93, 1996.
- [DLT23] Souvik Dey, Pat Lank, and Ryo Takahashi. Strong generation and (co)ghost index for module categories. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.13675*, 2023.
- [ELS20] Alexey Elagin, Valery A. Lunts, and Olaf M. Schnürer. Smoothness of derived categories of algebras. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 20(2):277–309, 2020.
- [Gai13] Dennis Gaitsgory. Ind-coherent sheaves. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 13(3):399–528, 2013.
- [GR17a] Dennis Gaitsgory and Nick Rozenblyum. *A study in derived algebraic geometry. Volume I: Correspondences and duality*, volume 221 of *Math. Surv. Monogr.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2017.
- [GR17b] Dennis Gaitsgory and Nick Rozenblyum. *A study in derived algebraic geometry. Volume II: Deformations, Lie theory and formal geometry*, volume 221 of *Math. Surv. Monogr.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2017.
- [Gro65] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. (Seconde partie). *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 24:1–231, 1965.
- [IT16] Srikanth B. Iyengar and Ryo Takahashi. Annihilation of cohomology and strong generation of module categories. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2016(2):499–535, 2016.
- [IT19] Srikanth B. Iyengar and Ryo Takahashi. Openness of the regular locus and generators for module categories. *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, 44(1):207–212, 2019.
- [Jat21] V. B. Jatoba. Strong generators in $D_{\text{perf}}(X)$ for schemes with a separator. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 149(5):1957–1971, 2021.
- [KMVdB11] Bernhard Keller, Daniel Murfet, and Michel Van den Bergh. On two examples by Iyama and Yoshino. *Compos. Math.*, 147(2):591–612, 2011.
- [Lan24] Pat Lank. Descent conditions for generation in derived categories. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 228(9):107671, September 2024.
- [Let21] Janina C. Letz. Local to global principles for generation time over commutative Noetherian rings. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 23(2):165–182, 2021.
- [LO24] Pat Lank and Noah Olander. Approximation by perfect complexes detects rouquier dimension. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.10146*, 2024.
- [Nee21] Amnon Neeman. Strong generators in $\mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 193(3):689–732, 2021.
- [Ola23] Noah Olander. Ample line bundles and generation time. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 800:299–304, 2023.
- [Ori04] D. O. Orlov. Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models. In *Algebraic geometry. Methods, relations, and applications. Collected papers. Dedicated to the memory of Andrei Nikolaevich Tyurin.*, pages 227–248. Moscow: Maik Nauka/Interperiodica, 2004.
- [Ori09] Dmitri Orlov. Remarks on generators and dimensions of triangulated categories. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 9(1):143–149, 2009.
- [Rou08] Raphaël Rouquier. Dimensions of triangulated categories. *J. K-Theory*, 1(2):193–256, 2008.
- [Sta23] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2023.
- [Tak14] Ryo Takahashi. Reconstruction from Koszul homology and applications to module and derived categories. *Pac. J. Math.*, 268(1):231–248, 2014.

S. DEY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: souvik.dey@matfyz.cuni.cz

P. LANK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SC 29208, U.S.A.

Email address: plankmathematics@gmail.com