

THE EDGE RINGS OF COMPACT GRAPHS

ZEXIN WANG AND DANCHENG LU

ABSTRACT. We define a simple graph as compact if it lacks even cycles and satisfies the odd-cycle condition. Our focus is on classifying all compact graphs and examining the characteristics of their edge rings. Let G be a compact graph and $\mathbb{K}[G]$ be its edge ring. Specifically, we demonstrate that the Cohen-Macaulay type and the projective dimension of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ are both equal to the number of induced cycles of G minus one and that the regularity of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is equal to the matching number of G_0 . Here, G_0 is a graph obtained from G by removing the vertices of degree one successively, such that every vertex in G_0 has a degree greater than 1.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, many authors have investigated the algebraic properties of edge rings of simple graphs. Consider a simple graph $G = (V, E)$ with vertex set $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and edge set $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$. The *edge ring* $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is defined to be the toric ring $\mathbb{K}[x_e : e \in E(G)] \subset \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, where $x_e = \prod_{x_i \in e} x_i$ for all $e \in E(G)$. Let $\mathbb{K}[E(G)]$ (or $\mathbb{K}[E]$ for short) denote the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[e_1, \dots, e_r]$ in variables e_1, \dots, e_r . Then, there is exactly one ring homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{K}[E(G)] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[V]$ such that $e_i \mapsto x_{e_i}$ $i = 1, \dots, r$. The kernel of the homomorphism map ϕ is called the *toric ideal* or the *defining ideal* of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ or G , which is denoted by I_G . It follows that $\mathbb{K}[G] \cong \mathbb{K}[E(G)]/I_G$. The main focus of these studies is to establish connections between the combinatorial properties of simple graphs and the algebraic properties of their edge rings, see e.g. [2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15] for some developments in this area.

In 1999, Ohsugi and Hibi demonstrated in [15] that $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is a normal domain if and only if G satisfies the odd-cycle condition. Recall a simple graph is said to satisfy the *odd-cycle* condition if, for every pair of cycles C_1 and C_2 , either C_1 and C_2 have at least one vertex in common or there is an edge that connects a vertex of C_1 to a vertex of C_2 . We call a simple graph to be *compact* if it not only satisfies the odd-cycle condition but also contains no even cycles. In this paper, we devote to investigating the properties of the edge rings of compact graphs.

Let G be a compact graph. The main results of this paper can be summarized as follows. Firstly, we demonstrate that the projective dimension and Cohen-Macaulay type of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ are both equal to the number of the induced cycles of G minus one. Additionally, we show that the regularity of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ coincides with the matching number of G_0 . Here, G_0 refers to the graph derived from G by successively removing all

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05E40,13A02; Secondary 06D50.

Key words and phrases. Compact graph, Odd-cycle condition, Regularity, Projective dimension, Canonical module, Euler formula.

vertices of degree one. This finding serves as an interesting complement to the result presented in [12, Theorem 1 (a)], which states if G is a non-bipartite graph satisfying the odd-cycle condition, the regularity of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ does not exceed the matching number of G . Finally, we determine the top graded Betti numbers of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. Here, for a simple graph G , a *matching* of G is a subset $M \subset E(G)$ where $e \cap e' = \emptyset$ for any distinct edges $e, e' \in M$, and the *matching number* of G , denoted by $\text{mat}(G)$, is the maximal cardinality of matchings of G .

The paper is organized as follows. Let G be a compact graph. Section 1 provides a brief overview of toric ideals of graphs and canonical modules. Section 2 classifies the compact graphs up to the (essentially) same edge rings. In Section 3 we compute the universal Gröbner bases for the toric ideals of compact graphs and then obtain their initial ideals with respect to some suitable monomial order. In Section 4, we show that all initial ideals obtained in Section 3 possess a “good” E-K splitting, enabling us to present a simple formula for the total Betti numbers of such ideals. Consequently, the regularity, projection dimension, and an upper bound for the Cohen-Macaulay type of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ are derived. Section 5 provides the top graded Betti numbers for $\mathbb{K}[G]$ by computing the minimal generators of its canonical module. In Section 6, a question regarding the Betti numbers for $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is posed.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we keep the notions given in Introduction and provide a brief review of the notation and fundamental facts that will be utilized later on.

1.1. Betti numbers and Canonical modules. Let $R := \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in variables x_1, \dots, x_n , which is standard graded. For a finitely generated graded R -module M , there exists the minimal graded free resolution of M that has the form:

$$(\S) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} R[-j]^{\beta_{p,j}(M)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} R[-j]^{\beta_{1,j}(M)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} R[-j]^{\beta_{0,j}(M)} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Here, $R[-j]$ is the cyclic free R -module generated in degree j . The number $\beta_{i,j}(M) := \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Tor}_i^R(M, \mathbb{K})_j$ is called the (i, j) -th *graded Betti number* of M and $\beta_i(M) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{i,j}$ is called the i -th *total Betti number* of M . Many homological invariants of M can be defined in terms of its minimal graded free resolution. The *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity* and *projective dimension* of M are defined to be

$$\text{reg}(M) := \max \{j - i \mid \beta_{i,j}(M) \neq 0\}$$

and

$$\text{pdim}(M) := \max \{i \mid \beta_{i,j}(M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } j\}.$$

Denote $\text{pdim}(M)$ by p . Then, $\beta_p(M)$ and $\beta_{p,j}(M), j \in \mathbb{Z}$ are referred to as the *top total Betti number* and the *top graded Betti numbers* of M , respectively.

By applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, R[-n])$ to the sequence (\S) , we obtain the following complex:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_0, R[-n]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_1, R[-n]) \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_p, R[-n]) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^p(M, R[-n]) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here, F_i denotes the free module $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} R[-j]^{\beta_{i,j}(M)}$. Assume further that M is Cohen-Macaulay. Then, it follows from the local duality (see [1]) that the above complex is exact and so it is a minimal free resolution of $\text{Ext}_R^p(M, R[-n])$. The module $\text{Ext}_R^p(M, R[-n])$, also denoted by ω_M , is called the *canonical module* of M . Note that

$$\text{Hom}_R(F_i, R[-n]) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_R(R[-j]^{\beta_{i,j}(M)}, R[-n]) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} R[-n + j]^{\beta_{i,j}(M)}.$$

Based on these discussions, we can derive the following well-known result.

Lemma 1.1. *Let M be a Cohen-Macaulay graded $R = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module, and ω_M its canonical module. Assume $p = \text{pdim}(M)$. Then $\beta_{i,j}(\omega_M) = \beta_{p-i,n-j}(M)$ for all i, j .*

The *Cohen-Macaulay type* of a finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay R -module M is defined to be the number

$$\text{type}(M) := \beta_p(M) = \beta_0(\omega_M),$$

where p is the projective dimension of M . In the following, we will consider the case when $M = \mathbb{K}[G]$ as a $\mathbb{K}[E(G)]$ -module.

1.2. Toric ideals of graphs. Let G be a simple graph, i.e., a finite graph without loops and multiple edges, with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. A *matching* of G is a subset $M \subset E(G)$ for which $e \cap e' = \emptyset$ for $e \neq e'$ belonging to M . The *matching number*, denoted by $\text{mat}(G)$, is the maximal cardinality of matchings of G . Recall that a walk of G of length q is a subgraph W of G such that $E(W) = \{\{v_0, v_1\}, \{v_1, v_2\}, \dots, \{v_{q-1}, v_q\}\}$, where v_0, v_1, \dots, v_q are vertices of G . A walk W of G is even if q is even, and it is closed if $v_0 = v_q$. A cycle is a special closed walk with edge set $\{\{v_0, v_1\}, \{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_{q-1}, v_q = v_0\}\}$ such that v_1, \dots, v_q are pairwise distinct and $q \geq 3$. A cycle is called *even* (resp. *odd*) if q is even (resp. odd). For a subset W of $V(G)$, the *induced subgraph* G_W is the graph with vertex set W and for every pair $x, y \in W$, they are adjacent in G_W if and only if they are adjacent in G . An induced cycle of G is a cycle C where no two non-consecutive vertices of C are adjacent in G .

The generators of the toric ideal of I_G are binomials which are tightly related to even closed walks in G . Given an even closed walk W of G with

$$E(W) = \{\{v_0, v_1\}, \{v_1, v_2\}, \dots, \{v_{2q-2}, v_{2q-1}\}, \{v_{2q-1}, v_0\}\},$$

we associate W with the binomial defined by

$$f_W := \prod_{j=1}^q e_{2j-1} - \prod_{j=1}^q e_{2j},$$

where $e_j = \{v_{j-1}, v_j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2q - 1$ and $e_{2q} = \{v_{2q-1}, v_0\}$. A binomial $f = u - v \in I_G$ is called a *primitive binomial* if there is no binomial $g = u' - v' \in I_G$ such that $u'|u$ and $v'|v$. An even closed walk W of G is a *primitive even closed walk* if its associated binomial f_W is a primitive binomial in I_G . It is known that the set

$$\{f_W : W \text{ is a primitive even closed walks of } G\}$$

is the universal Gröbner base of I_G by e.g. [17, Proposition 10.1.10] or [5, Proposition 5.19]. In particular, it is a Gröbner base of I_G with respect to any monomial order. The set of primitive even walks of a graph G was described in [13] explicitly.

Lemma 1.2. [13, Lemma 5.11] *A primitive even closed walk Γ of G is one of the following:*

- (i) Γ is an even cycle of G ;
- (ii) $\Gamma = (C_1, C_2)$, where each of C_1 and C_2 is an odd cycle of G having exactly one common vertex;
- (iii) $\Gamma = (C_1, \Gamma_1, C_2, \Gamma_2)$, where each of C_1 and C_2 is an odd cycle of G with $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) = \emptyset$ and where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are walks of G of the forms $\Gamma_1 = (e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_r})$ and $\Gamma_2 = (e_{i'_1}, \dots, e_{i'_r})$ such that Γ_1 combines $j \in e_{i_1} \cap e_{i'_r} \cap V(C_1)$ with $j' \in e_{i_r} \cap e_{i'_1} \cap V(C_2)$ and Γ_2 combines j' with j . Furthermore, none of the vertices belonging to $V(C_1) \cup V(C_2)$ appears in each of $e_{i_1} \setminus \{j\}$, $e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_{r-1}}$, $e_{i_r} \setminus \{j'\}$, $e_{i'_1} \setminus \{j\}$, $e_{i'_2}, \dots, e_{i'_{r-1}}$, $e_{i'_r} \setminus \{j'\}$.

We would like to note that in (iii) the sum of lengths of Γ_1 and Γ_2 must be even in order to ensure it is indeed an even closed walk.

1.3. Edge Cones and Canonical modules. Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. For any $f = \{i, j\} \in E(G)$ denote $v_f = \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j$, where \mathbf{e}_i is the i th unit vector of \mathbb{R}^n . The edge cone of G , denoted by $\mathbb{R}_+(G)$, is defined to be the cone of \mathbb{R}^n generated by $\{v_f \mid f \in E(G)\}$. In other words,

$$\mathbb{R}_+(G) = \left\{ \sum_{f \in E(G)} a_f v_f \mid a_f \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ for all } f \in E(G) \right\}.$$

If G satisfies the odd-cycle condition, then the edge ring $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is normal, see [15], and particularly, $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is Cohen-Macaulay, see [1, Theorem 6.3.5]. It follows that the ideal of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ generated all the monomials x^α with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap \text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(G))$ is the canonical module of $\mathbb{K}[G]$, see e.g. [1, section 6.3] for the details.

Let us describe the cone $\mathbb{R}_+(G)$ in terms of linear inequalities. For the description, we need to introduce some more notions on graphs.

- For a subset $W \subset V(G)$, let $G \setminus W$ be the subgraph induced on $V(G) \setminus W$. If $W = \{k\}$, then we write $G \setminus k$ instead of $G \setminus \{k\}$.
- For $j \in V(G)$, let $N_G(j) = \{i \in V(G) \mid \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}$, and for any subset $W \subset V(G)$, let $N_G(W) = \bigcup_{k \in W} N_G(k)$.
- A non-empty subset $T \subset V(G)$ is called an *independent set* if $\{j, k\} \notin E(G)$ for any $j, k \in T$.
- We call a vertex j of G *regular* if each connected component of $G \setminus j$ contains an odd cycle.
- We say that an independent set T of $V(G)$ is a *fundamental set* if
 - the bipartite graph on the vertex set $T \cup N_G(T)$ with the edge set $E(G) \cap \{\{j, k\} \mid j \in T, k \in N_G(T)\}$ is connected, and

- either $T \cup N_G(T) = V(G)$ or each of the connected components of the graph $G \setminus (T \cup N_G(T))$ contains an odd cycle.

It follows from [16, Theorem 3.2] or ([15, Theorem 1.7 (a)]) that $\mathbb{R}_+(G)$ consists of the elements $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying all the following inequalities:

$$(\Delta) \quad \begin{aligned} & x_u \geq 0 \quad \text{for any regular vertex } u; \\ & \sum_{v \in N_G(T)} x_v \geq \sum_{u \in T} x_u \quad \text{for any fundamental set } T. \end{aligned}$$

1.4. E-K splitting. Based on the approach in [6], Eliahou and Kervaire introduced the notion of splitting a monomial ideal.

Definition 1.3. Let I, J and K be monomial ideals such that $G(I)$, the unique set of minimal generators of I , is the disjoint union of $G(J)$ and $G(K)$. Then $I = J + K$ is an **Eliahou-Kervaire splitting** (abbreviated as “E-K splitting”) if there exists a splitting function

$$G(J \cap K) \rightarrow G(J) \times G(K)$$

sending $w \mapsto (\phi(w), \psi(w))$ such that

- (1) $w = \text{lcm}(\phi(w), \psi(w))$ for all $w \in G(J \cap K)$, and
- (2) for every subset $\emptyset \neq S \subset G(J \cap K)$, $\text{lcm}(\phi(S))$ and $\text{lcm}(\psi(S))$ strictly divide $\text{lcm}(S)$.

Lemma 1.4. [6, Proposition 3.1] *Let $I = J + K$ be an E-K splitting. Then, for all $i \geq 0$,*

$$(*) \quad \beta_i(I) = \beta_i(J) + \beta_i(K) + \beta_{i-1}(J \cap K), \beta_{i,j}(I) = \beta_{i,j}(J) + \beta_{i,j}(K) + \beta_{i-1,j}(J \cap K),$$

where $\beta_{-1,j}(J \cap K) = 0$ for all j by convention.

2. A CLASSIFICATION OF COMPACT GRAPHS

In this section, we aim to classify all the compact graphs up to the essentially same edge rings. We start by presenting the following straightforward observation, which we will not provide a proof for.

Lemma 2.1. *Let x_1 be a vertex of degree one in a simple graph G and let G' be the graph obtained from G by removing x_1 . Then I_G and $I_{G'}$ have the same set of minimal binomial generators. More precisely, if G has edge set $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ with $x_1 \in e_r$, then $I_G = I_{G'} \cdot \mathbb{K}[e_1, \dots, e_r]$ and $\mathbb{K}[G] \cong \mathbb{K}[G'] \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[e_r]$. Here, both $\mathbb{K}[e_1, \dots, e_r]$ and $\mathbb{K}[e_r]$ are polynomial rings by definitions.*

This observation indicates that the removal of vertices with a degree of one does not essentially alter the edge ring. Given a simple graph G , by iteratively removing all vertices of degree one, we obtain a new graph, denoted as G_0 , where every remaining vertex has a degree greater than one. It is evident that G and G_0 essentially share the same edge ring by Lemma 2.1. From this point forward, we will solely focus on simple graphs in which every vertex has a degree greater than one.

Definition 2.2. Let G be a connected simple graph where every vertex has a degree greater than one. We call G to be a *compact* graph if it does not contain any even cycles and satisfies the odd-cycle condition.

To give a complete classification of compact graphs we need a series of lemmas, in which the graph G is always a compact graph.

Lemma 2.3. *Every cycle of G is an induced cycle.*

Proof. Let C be a cycle of G that is not induced. We may label the vertices of C as $v_1 - v_2 - \cdots - v_{2s+1} - v_1$ in such a way there exists an index $i \notin \{2, 2s+1\}$ for which v_1 is adjacent to v_i . Consequently, we obtain two distinct cycles: $v_1 - v_2 - \cdots - v_i - v_1$ and $v_i - v_{i+1} - \cdots - v_{2s+1} - v_1 - v_i$. These cycles have lengths i and $2s+3-i$ respectively, and by virtue of this, precisely one of them must be an even cycle. This is impossible by our assumption. \square

Lemma 2.4. *For any distinct cycles C_1 and C_2 of G , one has $|V(C_1) \cap V(C_2)| \leq 1$.*

Proof. On the contrary, let us assume the existence of two distinct cycles, $C_1 : v_1 - v_2 - \cdots - v_{2s+1} - v_1$ and $C_2 : u_1 - u_2 - \cdots - u_{2t+1} - u_1$, such that the intersection of their vertex sets has at least two elements. Without loss of generality, we may assume $u_1 = v_1$.

Now, define i_1 as the smallest integer $i \geq 2$ such that v_i belongs to the vertex set of C_2 . We assert that $i_1 = 2$. To see this, suppose $i_1 \geq 3$. Then, since $v_{i_1} = u_{j_1}$ for some $j_1 \neq 1$, we can construct two cycles: $u_1 = v_1 - v_2 - \cdots - v_{i_1} = u_{j_1} - u_{j_1+1} - \cdots - u_{2t+1} - u_1$ and $u_1 = v_1 - v_2 - \cdots - v_{i_1} = u_{j_1} - u_{j_1-1} - \cdots - u_2 - u_1$. However, this is impossible because exactly one of these cycles is even, contradicting our assumption. Therefore, $i_1 = 2$, which means v_2 is a vertex of C_2 . Since C_2 is an induced cycle, v_2 must be either u_2 or u_{2t+1} . By relabeling the vertices of C_2 if necessary, we can assume $v_2 = u_2$.

If $|V(C_1) \cap V(C_2)| = 2$, i.e., $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) = \{v_1, v_2\}$, then an even cycle can be constructed:

$$u_1 = v_1 - v_{2s+1} - v_{2s} - \cdots - v_2 = u_2 - u_3 - \cdots - u_{2t+1} - u_1 = v_1.$$

This contradicts our assumption, indicating that the $|V(C_1) \cap V(C_2)| \geq 3$.

Next, let i_2 be the smallest integer $i \geq 3$ such that v_i belongs to the vertex set of C_2 . We assert that $i_2 = 3$. If i_2 is greater than 3, then two cycles are formed:

$$u_2 = v_2 - v_3 - \cdots - v_{i_2} = u_{j_2} - u_{j_2+1} - \cdots - u_{2t+1} - u_2$$

and

$$u_2 = v_2 - v_3 - \cdots - v_{i_2} = u_{j_2} - u_{j_2-1} - \cdots - u_3 - u_2,$$

where j_2 satisfies $v_{i_2} = u_{j_2}$. However, one of these cycles must be even, creating a contradiction.

Therefore, i_2 is indeed 3, implying that v_3 is a vertex of C_2 . Since C_2 is an induced cycle, v_3 must be u_3 .

Continuing this process, we ultimately arrive at the conclusion that C_1 and C_2 are identical, which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Every vertex of G belongs to at least one cycle.*

Proof. Assume on the contrary that there exists a vertex v in G that does not belong to any cycle. We first establish the claim that for any $u \in N_G(v)$, there exists a path $v - u - u_1 - \dots - u_s$ such that u_s belongs to at least one cycle in G , whereas each one of vertices u, u_1, \dots, u_{s-1} does not belong to any cycle in G .

Let $u \in N_G(v)$. If u belongs to at least one cycle in G , we are done. (In this case, $s = 0$). If u does not belong to any cycle in G , then, since $\deg(u) \geq 2$, there exists a vertex u_1 such that $u_1 \in N_G(u) \setminus \{v\}$. If u_1 belongs to a cycle, we are done. Otherwise, if u_1 does not belong to any cycle, we select $u_2 \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u\}$. If $u_2 = v$, then v belongs to the cycle $v - u - u_1 - u_2 = v$, contradicting our initial assumption.

Therefore, $v - u - u_1 - u_2$ is a path. If u_2 belongs to a cycle, we are done. If not, we continue this process, selecting $u_3 \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}$ and so on. Since G is a finite graph, there exists an integer $s \geq 0$ such that $v - u - u_1 - \dots - u_s$ is a path satisfying the condition required, thereby proving our claim.

Now, since $\deg(v) \geq 2$, we can select distinct vertices u and w in $N_G(v)$. By applying the previous claim, there exist paths $v - u - u_1 - \dots - u_s$ and $v - w - w_1 - \dots - w_t$, where each vertex in $\{v, u, w, u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}, w_1, \dots, w_{t-1}\}$ does not belong to any cycle, but u_s and w_t belong to cycles C_1 and C_2 respectively. Clearly, C_1 and C_2 must be disjoint, as otherwise v would belong to a cycle, contradicting our assumption.

However, this implies that there is an edge connecting C_1 and C_2 , due to the odd-cycle condition in G . This is again a contradiction, and hence we conclude that every vertex in G must belong to at least one cycle. \square

Lemma 2.6. *If v belong to the vertex set of a cycle C with $\deg(v) \geq 3$, then for any $u \in N_G(v) \setminus V(C)$ and for any cycle C_1 passing through u , one has either $V(C_1) \cap V(C) = \emptyset$ or $V(C_1) \cap V(C) = \{v\}$.*

Proof. If the intersection of the vertex sets $V(C_1)$ and $V(C)$ is neither empty nor equal to $\{v\}$, then by Lemma 2.4, it must be $\{w\}$ for some vertex w distinct from u . Since u and w both belong to $V(C_1)$, there exists a path $u - u_1 - \dots - u_s - w$, with each vertex along this path belonging to $V(C_1)$. Similarly, as v and w both belong to $V(C)$, there exists a path $w - w_1 - \dots - w_t - v$, where each vertex in this path is an element of $V(C)$. Consequently, we can construct a cycle $u - u_1 - \dots - u_s - w - w_1 - \dots - w_t - v - u$ that necessarily intersects C at at least two vertices: v and w . This is impossible by Lemma 2.4. \square

We now study what happens if a cycle contain two vertices of degree at least 3. In the proof of the following lemma, we use notation $u - \dots_C - v$ to represent any of two paths from u to v within the cycle C unless otherwise specified.

Lemma 2.7. *Let v_1 and v_2 be distinct vertices of degree at least 3 that belong to the vertex set of a cycle C . Then v_1 is adjacent to v_2 . Furthermore, for each $i = 1, 2$, if C_i is a cycle that passes through a vertex, say u_i , in $N_G(v_i) \setminus V(C)$, then C_i must pass through v_i . Moreover, $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. It is evident that $u_1 \neq u_2$, for otherwise, a cycle $v_1 - -_C - -v_2 - u_2 = u_1 - v_1$ would be formed. This cycle would intersect C at least at two vertices, v_1 and v_2 , which is impossible according to Lemma 2.4.

We next show $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) = \emptyset$. If not, we may assume $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) = \{x\}$. There exists a least one path from x to u_1 within C_1 that does not pass through v_1 , and we denote it by $x - -_{C_1} - -u_1$. Additionally, let $u_2 - -_{C_2} - -x$ denote a path within C_2 that does not pass through v_2 . Then, since $V(C) \cap V(C_1) \subseteq \{v_1\}$, every vertex in the path $x - -_{C_1} - -u_1$ does not belong to $V(C)$. Similarly, every vertex in the path $u_2 - -_{C_2} - -x$ does not belong to $V(C)$. If $x \notin \{u_1, u_2\}$, then we obtain the cycle: $x - -_{C_1} - -u_1 - v_1 - -_C - -v_2 - u_2 - -_{C_2} - -x$. It's impossible because this cycle intersects with C at least at two vertices, v_1 and v_2 . Either the case $x = u_1$ or the $x = u_2$ leads to a similar contradiction. Hence, $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) = \emptyset$.

Next, we demonstrate that C_1 necessarily passes through v_1 . If not, then by Lemma 2.6, $V(C_1) \cap V(C) = \emptyset$. Since $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) = \emptyset$, there exists an edge $\{x_1, x_2\}$ such that $x_i \in V(C_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Assuming $V(C_2) \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$, it implies $V(C_2) \cap V(C) = \{v_2\}$.

Considering various possibilities, we have:

1. If $x_1 \neq u_1$ and $x_2 \neq v_2$, we arrive at the cycle: $x_1 - -_{C_1} - -u_1 - v_1 - -_C - -v_2 - -_{C_2} - -x_2 - x_1$.
2. If $x_1 = u_1$ and $x_2 \neq v_2$, the cycle is: $x_1 = u_1 - v_1 - -_C - -v_2 - -_{C_2} - -x_2 - x_1$.
3. If $x_1 = u_1$ and $x_2 = v_2$, the cycle becomes: $x_1 = u_1 - v_1 - -_C - -v_2 = x_2 - x_1$.
4. If $x_1 \neq u_1$ and $x_2 = v_2$, the cycle is: $x_1 - -_{C_1} - -u_1 - v_1 - -_C - -v_2 = x_2 - -x_1$.

However, all these scenarios are impossible due to Lemma 2.4. This proves $V(C_2) \cap V(C) = \emptyset$. However, we can prove this is also impossible analogously. This establishes that C_1 must pass through v_1 . Similarly, we can also demonstrate that C_2 necessarily passes through v_2 .

Finally, we show that v_1 is adjacent to v_2 . Suppose that v_1 is not adjacent to v_2 . Since $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) = \emptyset$, there is an edge $\{x_1, x_2\}$ such that $x_i \in V(C_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Note that either $x_1 \neq v_1$ or $x_2 \neq v_2$. We may assume $x_1 \neq v_1$. Then we obtain a cycle: either $x_1 - -_{C_1} - -v_1 - -_C - -v_2 - -_{C_1} - -x_2 - x_1$ if $x_2 \neq v_2$, or $x_1 - -_{C_1} - -v_1 - - - v_2 = x_2 - x_1$ if $v_2 = x_2$. This is again a contradiction. \square

A cycle is isolated if each of its vertices has a degree of 2. For convenience, we say a cycle of G to be *almost-isolated* if it has exactly one vertex of degree ≥ 3 .

Lemma 2.8. *If v_1 is a vertex with $\deg(v_1) \geq 3$, then v_1 belongs to at least one almost-isolated cycle C .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 we may let C be a cycle passing v_1 . If C is almost-isolated, our proof is complete. If C is not almost-isolated, we let v_2 be a vertex in $V(C)$ other than v_1 with degree at least 3.

For each $i = 1, 2$, we let $u_i \in N_G(v_i) \setminus V(C)$ and let C_i be a cycle passing through u_i . Then, according to Lemma 2.7, we have C_i passes v_i for $i = 1, 2$ and $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) = \emptyset$. Moreover, v_1 is adjacent to v_2 .

It remains to show that C_1 is a almost-isolated cycle. Suppose on the contrary that there is a vertex $v_1 \neq v_3 \in V(C_1)$ with a degree at least 3. Then v_1 and v_3

are vertices of degree at least 3 that belong to C_1 . Note that C_2 passes through the vertex v_2 , which belongs to $N_G(v_1) \setminus V(C_1)$. It follows that C_2 passes through v_1 by Lemma 2.7. However, this is contradicted to the fact that $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) = \emptyset$, completing the proof. \square

Lemma 2.9. *If v_1 and v_2 are distinct vertices with a degree of at least 3, then v_1 is adjacent to v_2 .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.8, there exist almost-isolated cycles C_1 and C_2 such that v_i belongs to C_i for $i = 1, 2$. It is clear that $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) = \emptyset$. According to the odd-cycle condition, there exists an edge $\{u, w\}$ connecting a vertex u from $V(C_1)$ to a vertex w from $V(C_2)$. This implies both u and w have a degree of at least 3. Since all vertices of C_1 apart from v_1 have a degree of 2, it follows that u must be v_1 . By a similar argument, we conclude that w is v_2 . Hence, v_1 is adjacent to v_2 . \square

Lemma 2.10. *There are no more than three vertices with a degree of at least 3.*

Proof. Let v_1, \dots, v_k be all the vertices with a degree of at least 3. By Lemma 2.9, the induced subgraph on $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ forms a complete graph. However, if k were to exceed 3 (i.e., $k \geq 4$), this induced subgraph would contain a 4-cycle, which is a contradiction. \square

We are now ready to present a complete classification for compact graphs.

Definition 2.11. A vertex in a compact graph is referred as a *big* vertex if its degree exceeds 2. A compact graph is classified as type i if it possesses exactly i big vertices, where i ranges from 0 to 3.

Theorem 2.12. *Every compact graph falls into type i for some $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Furthermore, the four distinct categories of compact graphs can be characterized as follows:*

- (1) *A compact graph of type 0 is simply an odd cycle.*
- (2) *A compact graph of type 1 is a finite collection of odd cycles that share a common vertex.*
- (3) *A compact graph of type 2 consists of two disjoint compact graphs of type 1, where the two big vertices are connected either by an edge or by an edge as well as a path of even length.*
- (4) *A compact graph of type 3 consists of three disjoint compact graphs of type 1, where every pair of big vertices is connected by an edge.*

Proof. The first statement follows from Lemma 2.10.

The proofs of (1) and (2) are straightforward.

(3) Let G be a compact graph of type 2 and let u and v denote its big vertices. Then u is adjacent to v by Lemma 2.9. Consider all the almost-isolated cycles passing through u and all the almost-isolated cycles passing through v . Let V_1 denote the set of all the vertices of these cycles. If $V_1 = V(G)$, then G consists of two disjoint compact graphs of type 1 where the two big vertices forms an edge.

Suppose that $V_1 \neq V(G)$. Then we define $V_2 = V(G) \setminus V_1$. Fix an arbitrary vertex $x \in V_2$. By Lemma 2.5, there exists a cycle C in G such that x belongs to the vertex

set of C . Since C is neither isolated nor almost-isolated, it is straightforward to deduce that u and v must also belong to the vertex set of C . By Lemma 2.3, C is an induced cycle, meaning that no two non-consecutive vertices of C are adjacent in G . Hence, C may be written as $u - x_1 - \dots - x_{2k-1} - v - u$, where $k \geq 1$ and x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1} are distinct vertices in V_2 .

Now, consider any other vertex $y \in V_2$. Then y also belongs to the vertex set of a cycle that contains both u and v . By Lemma 2.4, this cycle must be C . Consequently, $y \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}\}$ and it follows that $V_2 = \{x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}\}$. Therefore, G consists of two disjoint compact graphs of type 1, where the two big vertices u and v are connected not only by an edge but also by a path given by $u - x_1 - \dots - x_{2k-1} - v$.

(3) Let G be a compact graph of type 3, with u, v and w denoting its big vertices. It suffices to show that the set of vertices of almost-isolated cycles must be $V(G)$. Suppose on the contrary that there exists a vertex x that does not belong to the vertex set of any almost-isolated cycle of G . Then x must be a vertex of a cycle that contains at least two big vertices. However, the only such cycle in G is $u - v - w - u$. It follows that x must be one of u, v, w , a contradiction. \square

We introduce some more notation for the later use. Suppose $\underline{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$, $\underline{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ and $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k)$ are positive integral vectors with dimensions m, n and k respectively. We denote a compact graph of type 1, where the odd cycles have lengths $2p_1 + 1, \dots, 2p_m + 1$ respectively, as $A_{\underline{p}}$ or A_{p_1, \dots, p_m} .




FIGURE 1. The graph $A_{(1,2,1)}$

By $B_{\underline{p};\underline{q}}^0$ we mean a compact graph of type 2 where the two disjoint compact graphs of type 1 that compose it are $A_{\underline{p}}$ and $A_{\underline{q}}$ and where the two big vertices are connected by an edge. Furthermore, if $s > 0$ is an even number, then $B_{\underline{p};\underline{q}}^s$ represents the graph obtained by appending a path of length s connecting two big vertices to $B_{\underline{p};\underline{q}}^0$.

A compact graph of type 3 is denoted by $C_{\underline{p};\underline{q};\underline{r}}$ if the three disjoint compact graphs of type 1 that make up it are $A_{\underline{p}}$, $A_{\underline{q}}$ and $A_{\underline{r}}$ respectively.

3. UNIVERSAL GRÖBNER BASES AND INITIAL IDEALS

In this section, we will discuss the universal Gröbner bases and initial ideals of toric ideals of compact graphs, with respect to a specific monomial order. The main




FIGURE 2. The graph $B_{(2,1):(2,1)}^2$




FIGURE 3. The graph $C_{(2,1):(1,1):(2,1)}$

objective of this section is to identify suitable monomial orders that yield initial ideals with a favorable E-K splitting, as demonstrated in the subsequent section.

3.1. Compact graphs of type 1. Given positive integers $m \geq 2$ and p_1, \dots, p_m , we use A to denote the graph A_{p_1, \dots, p_m} for short. Thus A has vertex set

$$V(A) = \{u\} \cup \{u_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq 2p_i\}$$

and edge set

$$E(A) = \{\{u_{i,j}, u_{i,j+1}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq 2p_i - 1\} \\ \cup \{\{u, u_{i,1}\}, \{u, u_{i,2p_i}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

We label the edges of A as follows. For $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we let $e_{i,1} = \{u, u_{i,1}\}$ and $e_{i,2p_i+1} = \{u, u_{i,2p_i}\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, 2p_i - 1\}$ let $e_{i,j+1} = \{u_{i,j}, u_{i,j+1}\}$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, we put

$$e'_i = e_{i,1}e_{i,3} \cdots e_{i,2p_i+1} \text{ and } e''_j = e_{j,2}e_{j,4} \cdots e_{j,2p_j}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any integers $m \geq 2$ and positive integers p_1, \dots, p_m , the universal Gröbner basis for the toric ideal I_A is given by*

$$\mathcal{G} = \{e'_i e''_j - e''_i e'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}.$$

Proof. It follows from [15, Lemma 3.2] together with [16, Proposition 10.1.10]. \square

Going forward, we work in the standard graded polynomial ring

$$\mathbb{K}[E(A)] = \mathbb{K}[e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{1,2p_1+1}, \dots, e_{m,1}, \dots, e_{m,2p_m+1}].$$

Let $<$ denote the lexicographic monomial order on $\mathbb{K}[E(A)]$ satisfying

$$e_{1,1} < \dots < e_{1,2p_1+1} < \dots < e_{m,1} < \dots < e_{m,2p_m+1},$$

and let J_A denote the initial ideal of I_A with respect to the monomial order $<$.

Proposition 3.2. *The minimal set of monomial generators of J_A is given by*

$$\mathcal{M} = \{e_i''e_j' \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}.$$

Proof. Note that $e_i'e_j'' < e_i''e_j'$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, we can deduce from Lemma 3.1 that J_A is generated by \mathcal{M} . The minimality of \mathcal{M} can be checked directly. \square

3.2. Compact graphs of type 2. Assume that n, m and $p_1, \dots, p_m, q_1, \dots, q_n$ are given positive integers. Let $s \geq 0$ be an even number. We use B denote the graph $B_{p_1, \dots, p_m; q_1, \dots, q_n}^s$ for short. Then, we may assume that B has vertex set

$$\begin{aligned} V(B) &= \{u, v\} \cup \{w_1, \dots, w_{s-1}\} \\ &\cup \{u_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq 2p_i\} \cup \{v_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq 2q_i\} \end{aligned}$$

and edge set

$$\begin{aligned} E(B) &= \{\{u_{i,j}, u_{i,j+1}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq 2p_i - 1\} \\ &\cup \{\{u, u_{i,1}\}, \{u, u_{i,2p_i}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\} \\ &\cup \{\{u, v\}, \{u, w_1\}, \{v, w_{s-1}\}\} \cup \{\{w_i, w_{i+1}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s - 2\} \\ &\cup \{\{v_{i,j}, v_{i,j+1}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq 2q_i - 1\} \\ &\cup \{\{v, v_{i,1}\}, \{v, v_{i,2q_i}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

The edges of B are labeled as follows. For $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ let $e_{i,1} = \{u, u_{i,1}\}$ and $e_{i,2p_i+1} = \{u, u_{i,2p_i}\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, 2p_i - 1\}$ let $e_{i,j+1} = \{u_{i,j}, u_{i,j+1}\}$. Let $x = \{u, v\}$, $x_1 = \{u, w_1\}$ and $x_s = \{v, w_{s-1}\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, s - 2\}$ let $x_{i+1} = \{w_i, w_{i+1}\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ let $f_{i,1} = \{v, v_{i,1}\}$ and $f_{i,2q_i+1} = \{v, v_{i,2q_i+1}\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, 2q_i - 1\}$ let $f_{i,j+1} = \{v_{i,j}, v_{i,j+1}\}$.

We put

$$\begin{aligned} e_i' &= e_{i,1}e_{i,3} \cdots e_{i,2p_i+1} \text{ and } e_i'' = e_{i,2}e_{i,4} \cdots e_{i,2p_i}, \\ f_i' &= f_{i,1}f_{i,3} \cdots f_{i,2q_i+1} \text{ and } f_i'' = f_{i,2}f_{i,4} \cdots f_{i,2q_i}, \end{aligned}$$

and put

$$x' = x_1x_3 \cdots x_{s-1}, \text{ and } x'' = x_2x_4 \cdots x_s.$$

Note that if $s = 0$ then both x' and x'' vanish.

Lemma 3.3. *For any positive integers m, n and $p_1, \dots, p_m, q_1, \dots, q_n$ and an integer $s \geq 0$, the universal Gröbner basis of I_B is given by $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{G}_3 \cup \mathcal{G}_4 \cup \mathcal{G}_5 \cup \mathcal{G}_6$, where*

$$(i) \mathcal{G}_1 = \{e_i'e_j'' - e_i''e_j' \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\},$$

- (ii) $\mathcal{G}_2 = \{f'_i f''_j - f''_i f'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{G}_3 = \{e'_i f'_j - e''_i x^2 f''_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{G}_4 = \{e'_i x'^2 f''_j - e''_i x'^2 f'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$,
- (v) $\mathcal{G}_5 = \{e'_i x'' - e''_i x' x \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, and
- (vi) $\mathcal{G}_6 = \{f'_i x' - f''_i x'' x \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

It should be noted that $\mathcal{G}_4, \mathcal{G}_5$ and \mathcal{G}_6 vanish if $s = 0$.

Proof. By [13, Lemma 5.11], every primitive even closed walk of B is one of the followings:

- $(e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,2p_i+1}, e_{j,1}, \dots, e_{j,2p_j+1})$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq m$,
- $(f_{i,1}, \dots, f_{i,2q_i+1}, f_{j,1}, \dots, f_{j,2q_j+1})$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,
- $(e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,2p_i+1}, x, f_{j,1}, \dots, f_{j,2q_j+1}, x)$, where $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$,
- $(e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,2p_i+1}, x_1, \dots, x_s, f_{j,1}, \dots, f_{j,2q_j+1}, x_s, \dots, x_1)$, where $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$,
- $(e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,2p_i+1}, x_1, \dots, x_s, x)$, where $1 \leq i \leq m$, and
- $(f_{i,1}, \dots, f_{i,2q_i+1}, x, x_1, \dots, x_s)$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The result now follows from [16, Proposition 10.1.10]. \square

Let $<$ denote the lexicographic monomial ordering on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[E(B)]$ satisfying

$$e_{1,1} < \dots < e_{1,2p_1+1} < \dots < e_{m,1} < \dots < e_{m,2p_m+1} < x < x_1 < \dots < x_s \\ < f_{1,1} < \dots < f_{1,2q_1+1} < \dots < f_{n,1} < \dots < f_{n,2q_n+1}.$$

and let J_B be the initial ideal of I_B with respect to this order.

Proposition 3.4. *The minimal set of monomial generators of J_B is given by $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2 \cup \mathcal{M}_3 \cup \mathcal{M}_4 \cup \mathcal{M}_5$, where*

- (i) $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{e''_i e'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{M}_2 = \{f''_i f'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{M}_3 = \{e'_i f'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{M}_4 = \{e'_i x'' \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, and
- (v) $\mathcal{M}_5 = \{f'_i x' \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

It should be noted that \mathcal{M}_4 and \mathcal{M}_5 vanish if $s = 0$.

Proof. That \mathcal{M} is a generating set with respect to the given order follows from Lemma 3.3. That it is minimal follows from the fact that none of the monomials are divided by any of the others. \square

3.3. Compact graphs of type 3. Given positive integers m, n, k , as well as the tuples $\underline{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$, $\underline{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ and $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k)$, we denote the graph $C_{\underline{p}; \underline{q}; \underline{r}}$ as C for brevity. Here, p_i, q_i, r_i are all positive integers. By definition, we may assume C has vertex set

$$V(C) = \{u, v, w\} \cup \{u_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq 2p_i\} \\ \cup \{v_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq 2q_i\} \cup \{w_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 2r_i\},$$

and edge set

$$\begin{aligned}
E(C) = & \{\{u_{i,j}, u_{i,j+1}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq 2p_i - 1\} \\
& \cup \{\{u, u_{i,1}\}, \{u, u_{i,2p_i}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\} \\
& \cup \{\{v_{i,j}, v_{i,j+1}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq 2q_i - 1\} \\
& \cup \{\{v, v_{i,1}\}, \{v, v_{i,2q_i}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \\
& \cup \{\{w_{i,j}, w_{i,j+1}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 2r_i - 1\} \\
& \cup \{\{w, w_{i,1}\}, \{w, w_{i,2r_i}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\} \\
& \cup \{\{u, v\}, \{v, w\}, \{w, u\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We assign labels to the edges of C as follows: For $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let $e_{i,1} = \{u, u_{i,1}\}$ and $e_{i,2p_i+1} = \{u, u_{i,2p_i+1}\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, 2p_i - 1\}$, let $e_{i,j+1} = \{u_{i,j}, u_{i,j+1}\}$.

For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $f_{i,1} = \{v, v_{i,1}\}$ and $f_{i,2q_i+1} = \{v, v_{i,2q_i+1}\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, 2q_i - 1\}$, let $f_{i,j+1} = \{v_{i,j}, v_{i,j+1}\}$.

For $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let $g_{i,1} = \{w, w_{i,1}\}$ and $g_{i,2r_i+1} = \{w, w_{i,2r_i+1}\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, 2r_i - 1\}$, let $g_{i,j+1} = \{w_{i,j}, w_{i,j+1}\}$.

Furthermore, we define $x = \{u, v\}$, $y = \{v, w\}$, and $z = \{w, u\}$.

Lemma 3.5. *For any integers m, n, k and $p_1, \dots, p_m, q_1, \dots, q_n, r_1, \dots, r_k$, the universal Gröbner basis of I_C is given by in $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{G}_3 \cup \mathcal{G}_4 \cup \mathcal{G}_5 \cup \mathcal{G}_6 \cup \mathcal{G}_7 \cup \mathcal{G}_8 \cup \mathcal{G}_9 \cup \mathcal{G}_{10} \cup \mathcal{G}_{11} \cup \mathcal{G}_{12}$, where*

- (i) $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{e'_i e''_j - e''_i e'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{G}_2 = \{f'_i f''_j - f''_i f'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{G}_3 = \{g'_i g''_j - g''_i g'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq k\}$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{G}_4 = \{e'_i f'_j - e''_i x^2 f''_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$,
- (v) $\mathcal{G}_5 = \{f'_i g'_j - f''_i y^2 g''_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k\}$,
- (vi) $\mathcal{G}_6 = \{g'_i e'_j - g''_i z^2 e''_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$,
- (vii) $\mathcal{G}_7 = \{e'_i y^2 f''_j - e''_i z^2 f'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$,
- (viii) $\mathcal{G}_8 = \{f'_i z^2 g''_j - f''_i x^2 g'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k\}$,
- (ix) $\mathcal{G}_9 = \{g'_i x^2 e''_j - g''_i y^2 e'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$,
- (x) $\mathcal{G}_{10} = \{e'_i y - e''_i z x \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$,
- (xi) $\mathcal{G}_{11} = \{f'_i z - f''_i x y \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, and
- (xii) $\mathcal{G}_{12} = \{g'_i x - g''_i y z \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$.

Proof. In view of [13, Lemma 5.11], every primitive even closed walk of C is one of the followings:

- $(e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,2p_i+1}, e_{j,1}, \dots, e_{j,2p_j+1})$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq m$,
- $(f_{i,1}, \dots, f_{i,2q_i+1}, f_{j,1}, \dots, f_{j,2q_j+1})$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,
- $(g_{i,1}, \dots, g_{i,2r_i+1}, g_{j,1}, \dots, g_{j,2r_j+1})$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq k$,
- $(e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,2p_i+1}, x, f_{j,1}, \dots, f_{j,2q_j+1}, x)$, where $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$,
- $(f_{i,1}, \dots, f_{i,2q_i+1}, y, g_{j,1}, \dots, g_{j,2r_j+1}, y)$, where $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k$,
- $(g_{i,1}, \dots, g_{i,2r_i+1}, z, e_{j,1}, \dots, e_{j,2p_j+1}, z)$, where $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m$,
- $(e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,2p_i+1}, z, y, f_{j,1}, \dots, f_{j,2q_j+1}, y, z)$, where $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$,

- $(f_{i,1}, \dots, f_{i,2q_i+1}, x, z, g_{j,1}, \dots, g_{j,2r_j+1}, z, x)$, where $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k$,
- $(g_{i,1}, \dots, g_{i,2r_i+1}, z, x, e_{j,1}, \dots, e_{j,2p_j+1}, x, y)$, where $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m$,
- $(e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,2p_i+1}, z, y, x)$, where $1 \leq i \leq m$,
- $(f_{i,1}, \dots, f_{i,2q_i+1}, x, z, y)$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, and
- $(g_{i,1}, \dots, g_{i,2r_i+1}, y, x, z)$, where $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Now the result follows from [16, Proposition 10.1.10]. \square

Going forward, we work in the standard graded polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[E(C)]$, where the variables (i.e., the edges of C) is ordered as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{1,1} &< \dots < e_{1,2p_1+1} < \dots < e_{m,1} < \dots < e_{m,2p_m+1} < x < z < y \\ &< f_{1,1} < \dots < f_{1,2q_1+1} < \dots < f_{n,1} < \dots < f_{n,2q_n+1} < g_{1,1} < \dots \\ &< g_{1,2r_1+1} < \dots < g_{k,1} < \dots < g_{k,2r_k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let J_C denote the initial ideal of I_C with respect to the lexicographic monomial ordering $<$ on $\mathbb{K}[E(C)]$ induced by the above order of variables.

By putting:

$$\begin{aligned} e'_i &= e_{i,1}e_{i,3} \dots e_{i,2p_i+1} \text{ and } e''_i = e_{i,2}e_{i,4} \dots e_{i,2p_i}, \\ f'_j &= f_{j,1}f_{j,3} \dots f_{j,2q_j+1} \text{ and } f''_j = f_{j,2}f_{j,4} \dots f_{j,2q_j}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g'_\ell = g_{\ell,1}g_{\ell,3} \dots g_{\ell,2r_\ell+1} \text{ and } g''_\ell = g_{\ell,2}g_{\ell,4} \dots g_{\ell,2r_\ell},$$

where $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq k$, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.6. *The minimal set of monomial generators of J_C is given by $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2 \cup \mathcal{M}_3 \cup \mathcal{M}_4 \cup \mathcal{M}_5 \cup \mathcal{M}_6 \cup \mathcal{M}_7 \cup \mathcal{M}_8 \cup \mathcal{M}_9$, where*

- (i) $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{e''_i e'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{M}_2 = \{f''_i f'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{M}_3 = \{g''_i g'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq k\}$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{M}_4 = \{e'_i f'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$,
- (v) $\mathcal{M}_5 = \{f'_i g'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k\}$,
- (vi) $\mathcal{M}_6 = \{g'_i e'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$,
- (vii) $\mathcal{M}_7 = \{e'_i y \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$,
- (viii) $\mathcal{M}_8 = \{f'_i z \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, and
- (ix) $\mathcal{M}_9 = \{g'_i x \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$.

Proof. That \mathcal{M} is a generating set with respect to the given order follows from Lemma 3.5. That it is minimal follows from the fact that none of the monomials are divided by any of the others. \square

4. PROJECTIVE DIMENSION AND REGULARITY

In this section, we aim to establish the following results. For convenience, we denote by $\mathfrak{t}(G)$ the number of induced cycles of G .

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a compact graph. Then there is a monomial order $<$ such that*

- (1) $\beta_i(\text{in}_<(I_G)) = (i+1) \binom{\mathfrak{t}(G)}{i+2}$ for all $i \geq 0$;
- (2) $\text{pdim}(\mathbb{K}[E(G)]/\text{in}_<(I_G)) = \mathfrak{t}(G) - 1$;
- (3) $\mathbb{K}[E(G)]/\text{in}_<(I_G)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring;
- (4) $\text{reg}(\mathbb{K}[E(G)]/\text{in}_<(I_G)) = \text{mat}(G)$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a compact graph. Then*

- (1) $\text{pdim}(\mathbb{K}[G]) = \mathfrak{t}(G) - 1$;
- (2) $\text{reg}(\mathbb{K}[G]) = \text{mat}(G)$.

It is known that if I is a graded ideal of a polynomial ring R such that $R/\text{in}_<(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay for some monomial order $<$, then R/I is also Cohen-Macaulay. Furthermore, we have $\text{reg}(R/I) = \text{reg}(R/\text{in}_<(I))$ and $\text{pdim}(R/I) = \text{pdim}(R/\text{in}_<(I))$. Based on these facts we could see that Corollary 4.2 follows immediately from Theorem 4.1. Regarding the proof of Theorem 4.1, we will provide it at the end of this section.

Assume through this section that m, n, k are positive integers and $\underline{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$, $\underline{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ and $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k)$ are integral tuples with positive entries. Also, we write $\underline{p}' = (p_1, \dots, p_{m-1})$, $\underline{q}' = (q_1, \dots, q_{n-1})$, and $\underline{r}' = (r_1, \dots, r_{k-1})$.

4.1. type one. In this subsection, we always use the monomial order given in Subsection 3.1, and denote the toric ideal of $A_{\underline{p}}$ and its initial ideal as I_m and J_m , respectively. Similarly, I_{m-1} and J_{m-1} represent the toric ideal of $A_{\underline{p}'}$ and its initial ideal respectively. Recall from Subsection 3.1 that $G(J_m) = \{e''_i e'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}$.

Proposition 4.3. *Denote by H_m the monomial ideal $(e''_1, \dots, e''_{m-1})$. Then $J_m = J_{m-1} + e'_m H_m$ is an E - K splitting. Furthermore $J_{m-1} \cap e'_m H_m = e'_m J_{m-1}$.*

Proof. First of all, it is easy to see that $G(J_m) = G(J_{m-1}) \sqcup G(e'_m H_m)$. Let us check $J_{m-1} \cap e'_m H_m = e'_m J_{m-1}$. Take any $e''_i e'_j \in G(J_{m-1})$, since $1 \leq i < j \leq m-1$, we have $e'_m e''_i e'_j \in e'_m H_m \cap J_{m-1}$. For the converse, take $e'_m e''_{i_1} \in G(e'_m H_m)$ and $e''_{i_2} e'_j \in G(J_{m-1})$. Here, $1 \leq i_1 \leq m-1$ and $1 \leq i_2 < j \leq m-1$. Then,

$$\text{lcm}(e'_m e''_{i_1}, e''_{i_2} e'_j) \in (e'_m e''_{i_2} e'_j) \subseteq e'_m J_{m-1}.$$

This shows $J_{m-1} \cap e'_m H_m = e'_m J_{m-1}$.

Next, we define functions ϕ and ψ as follows:

$$\phi : G(e'_m J_{m-1}) \rightarrow G(J_{m-1}), \quad e'_m e''_i e'_j \mapsto e''_i e'_j, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq m-1,$$

$$\psi : G(e'_m J_{m-1}) \rightarrow G(e'_m H_m), \quad e'_m e''_i e'_j \mapsto e'_m e''_i, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq m-1.$$

(1) Let u be a minimal generator of $e'_m J_{m-1}$. Then $u = e'_m e''_i e'_j$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq m-1$. It follows that

$$\text{lcm}(\phi(u), \psi(u)) = \text{lcm}(e''_i e'_j, e'_m e''_i) = e'_m e''_i e'_j = u.$$

(2) Let $C = e'_m (e''_{i_1} e'_{j_1}, \dots, e''_{i_k} e'_{j_k})$ be a non-empty subset of $G(e'_m J_{m-1})$, where $1 \leq i_q < j_q \leq m-1$ for $q = 1, \dots, k$. Then

$$\phi(C) = (e''_{i_1} e'_{j_1}, \dots, e''_{i_k} e'_{j_k}) \text{ and } \psi(C) = e'_m (e''_{i_1}, \dots, e''_{i_k}).$$

Since e''_i and e'_j are co-prime for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, we have

$$\text{lcm}(C) = e'_m \text{lcm}(\phi(C)) \text{ and } \text{lcm}(C) = \text{lcm}(\psi(C)) \text{lcm}(e'_{j_1}, \dots, e'_{j_k}).$$

This completes the proof. \square

In the following, we will utilize the following formula without explicitly referencing it: For a finitely generated graded module M over a standard graded polynomial ring, one has

$$\max\{j - i \mid \beta_{i-a, j-b}(M) \neq 0\} = \max\{\ell + b - (k + a) \mid \beta_{k, \ell}(M) \neq 0\} = \text{reg}(M) + b - a.$$

Proposition 4.4. *Let $m \geq 2$. Then $\text{reg}(J_m) = \text{mat}(A_p) + 1$.*

Proof. It is easy to check that $\text{mat}(A_p) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i$. We proceed with the induction on m . If $m = 2$, since J_2 is generated by a single monomial of degree $p_1 + p_2 + 1$, we obtain $\text{reg}(J_2) = p_1 + p_2 + 1$.

Suppose that $m > 2$. Then, by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$(\clubsuit) \quad \beta_{i, j}(J_m) = \beta_{i, j}(J_{m-1}) + \beta_{i, j-p_{m-1}}(H_m) + \beta_{i-1, j-p_{m-1}}(J_{m-1}).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{reg}(J_m) &= \max\{j - i \mid \beta_{i, j}(J_{m-1}) + \beta_{i-1, j-p_{m-1}}(J_{m-1}) + \beta_{i, j-p_{m-1}}(H_m) \neq 0\} \\ &= \max\{\text{reg}(J_{m-1}), \text{reg}(J_{m-1}) + p_m, \text{reg}(H_m) + p_m + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that H_m is generated by a regular sequence of degrees p_1, \dots, p_{m-1} . By using the Koszul theory, we obtain $\text{reg}(H_m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} p_i - m + 2$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{reg}(J_m) &= \max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^m p_i + 1, \sum_{i=1}^m p_i - m + 3\right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + 1, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let $m \geq 2$. Then $\beta_i(J_m) = (i + 1) \binom{m}{i+2}$ for all $i \geq 0$. In particular, $\text{pdim}(J_m) = m - 2$.*

Proof. We also employ the induction on m . The case that $m = 2$ or $i = 0$ is straightforward. If $m \geq 3$ and $i \geq 1$, then, by noting that the formula $\binom{m}{i} = \binom{m-1}{i-1} + \binom{m-1}{i}$ holds for all $m \geq 1$ and $i \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i(J_m) &= \beta_i(J_{m-1}) + \beta_i(H_m) + \beta_{i-1}(J_{m-1}) \\ &= (i + 1) \binom{m-1}{i+2} + \binom{m-1}{i+1} + i \binom{m-1}{i+1} \\ &= (i + 1) \binom{m}{i+2}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

We may compute the graded Betti numbers of J_m in a special case.

Proposition 4.6. *If $p_1 = \cdots = p_m = p$, then for all $i \geq 0$, we have*

$$\beta_{i,j}(J_m) = \begin{cases} \binom{m}{i+2}, & j = (i+2)p + \ell, \ell = 1, \dots, i+1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We use the induction on m . The case that $m = 2$ or $i = 0$ are obvious. So we suppose $m \geq 3$ and $i \geq 1$. By the induction hypothesis we have

$$\beta_{i,j}(J_{m-1}) = \begin{cases} \binom{m-1}{i+2}, & j = (i+2)p + \ell, \ell = 1, \dots, i+1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and so

$$\beta_{i-1,j-p-1}(J_{m-1}) = \begin{cases} \binom{m-1}{i+1}, & j = (i+2)p + \ell + 1, \ell = 1, \dots, i; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, by the theory of Koszul complex, we have

$$\beta_{i,j-p-1}(H_m) = \begin{cases} \binom{m-1}{i+1}, & j = (i+2)p + 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, the result follows by applying the equality (\clubsuit). \square

4.2. Type two. In this subsection, we denote the toric ideal of $B_{\underline{p};\underline{q}}^s$ and its initial ideal as $I_{m:n}^s$ and $J_{m:n}^s$ respectively. Similarly, $I_{m:n-1}^s$ and $J_{m:n-1}^s$ represent the toric ideal and its initial ideal of $B_{\underline{p};\underline{q}'}^s$ respectively. Here, we use the monomial order given in Subsection 3.2. The distinction between the case when $s > 0$ and the case when $s = 0$ is significant. Let us first consider the case when $s > 0$. Recall from Subsection 3.2 that $G(J_{m:n}^s)$ is the set $\{e_i'' e_j' \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \sqcup \{f_i'' f_j' \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \sqcup \{e_i' f_j' \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \sqcup \{e_i' x'' \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\} \sqcup \{f_i' x' \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Proposition 4.7. *Denote by $H_{m:n}^s$ the monomial ideal $(e_1', \dots, e_m', f_1'', \dots, f_{n-1}'', x')$. Then $J_{m:n}^s = J_{m:n-1}^s + f_n' H_{m:n}^s$ is an E-K splitting. Furthermore, $J_{m:n-1}^s \cap f_n' H_{m:n}^s = f_n' J_{m:n-1}^s$.*

Proof. First of all, it is routine to see that $G(J_{m:n}^s) = G(J_{m:n-1}^s) \sqcup G(f_n' H_{m:n}^s)$ and $J_{m:n-1}^s \cap f_n' H_{m:n}^s = f_n' J_{m:n-1}^s$.

Let us define a function $\phi : G(f_n' J_{m:n-1}^s) \rightarrow G(J_{m:n-1}^s)$ that sends $f_n' u$ to u for all $u \in G(J_{m:n-1}^s)$.

Similarly, we define a function $\psi : G(f_n' J_{m:n-1}^s) \rightarrow G(f_n' H_{m:n}^s)$ using the following rules:

- $f_n' e_i'' e_j' \mapsto f_n' e_j'$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ and $f_n' f_i'' f_j' \mapsto f_n' f_i''$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n-1$;
- $f_n' e_i' f_j' \mapsto f_n' e_i'$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$;
- $f_n' e_i' x'' \mapsto f_n' e_i'$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $f_n' f_i' x' \mapsto f_n' x'$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

It is routine to check that conditions (1) and (2) of Definition 1.3 are satisfied, thus confirming that it is indeed an E-K splitting. \square

If $s = 0$, then $G(J_{m:n}^0)$ is the disjoint union $\{e'_i f'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \cup \{e''_i e'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \cup \{f''_i f'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Similarly, we obtain the following.

Proposition 4.8. Denote by $H_{m:n}^0$ the monomial ideal $(e'_1, \dots, e'_m, f''_1, \dots, f''_{n-1})$. Then $J_{m:n}^0 = J_{m:n-1}^0 + f'_n H_{m:n}^0$ is an E-K splitting, and $J_{m:n-1}^0 \cap f'_n H_{m:n}^0 = f'_n J_{m:n}^0$.

Proposition 4.9. Let $s \geq 0$ be an even number, $m, n \geq 1$. Then for all $i \geq 0$, we have

$$\beta_i(J_{m:n}^s) = \begin{cases} (i+1) \binom{m+n}{i+2}, & s = 0; \\ (i+1) \binom{m+n+1}{i+2}, & s > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We consider the following two cases.

Case $s=0$: We also employ the induction on n . The case that $m = n = 1$ or $i = 0$ is straightforward. If $m + n \geq 3$ and $i \geq 1$, then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i(J_{m:n}^0) &= \beta_i(J_{m:n-1}^0) + \beta_i(H_{m:n}^0) + \beta_{i-1}(J_{m:n-1}^0) \\ &= (i+1) \binom{m+n-1}{i+2} + i \binom{m+n-1}{i+1} + \binom{m+n-1}{i+1} \\ &= (i+1) \binom{m+n}{i+2}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Case $s > 0$: We also employ the induction on n . The case that $i = 0$ is straightforward. If $i \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i(J_{m:n}^s) &= \beta_i(J_{m:n-1}^s) + \beta_i(H_{m:n}^s) + \beta_{i-1}(J_{m:n-1}^s) \\ &= (i+1) \binom{m+n}{i+2} + i \binom{m+n}{i+1} + \binom{m+n}{i+1} \\ &= (i+1) \binom{m+n+1}{i+2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.10. Let $s \geq 0$ be an even number and $m, n \geq 1$. Then

$$\text{reg}(J_{m:n}^s) = \text{mat}(B_{p,q}^s) + 1.$$

Proof. *Case $s=0$:* In this case, $\text{mat}(B_{p,q}^0) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + 1$. We proceed with the induction on n . By Proposition 4.8, we have

$$\beta_{i,j}(J_{m:n}^0) = \beta_{i,j}(J_{m:n-1}^0) + \beta_{i,j-q_n-1}(H_{m:n}^0) + \beta_{i-1,j-q_n-1}(J_{m:n-1}^0).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{reg}(J_{m:n}^0) &= \max\{j - i \mid \beta_{i,j}(J_{m:n-1}^0) + \beta_{i-1,j-q_n-1}(J_{m:n-1}^0) + \beta_{i,j-q_n-1}(H_{m:n}^0) \neq 0\} \\ &= \max\{\text{reg}(J_{m:n-1}^0), \text{reg}(J_{m:n-1}^0) + q_n, \text{reg}(H_{m:n}^0) + q_n + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $H_{m:n}^0$ is generated by a regular sequence of degrees $p_1 + 1, \dots, p_m + 1, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}$. By using the Koszul theory, we obtain

$$\operatorname{reg}(H_{m:n}^0) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} q_i - n + 2.$$

Hence, if $n = 1$, since $\operatorname{reg}(J_{m:0}^0) = \operatorname{reg}(J_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + 1$, we have

$$\operatorname{reg}(J_{m:1}^0) = \max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^m p_i + q_1 + 1, \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + q_1 + 2\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + q_1 + 2.$$

This proves the case when $n = 1$.

If $n > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{reg}(J_{m:n}^0) &= \max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + 2, \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i - n + 3\right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Case $s > 0$: In this case, we have $\operatorname{mat}(B_{\underline{p};\underline{q}}^s) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \frac{s}{2}$. We proceed with the induction on n again. First, note that

$$\operatorname{reg}(H_{m:n}^s) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} q_i + \frac{s}{2} - n + 1.$$

If $n = 1$, then

$$\beta_{i,j}(J_{m:1}^s) = \beta_{i,j}(J_{m:0}^s) + \beta_{i,j-q_1-1}(H_{m:1}^s) + \beta_{i-1,j-q_1-1}(J_{m:0}^s).$$

Note that $G(J_{m:0}^s) = \{e_i'' e_j' \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \cup \{e_i' x'' \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. By putting $e_0'' = x''$, we may write $G(J_{m:0}^s) = \{e_i'' e_j' \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq m\}$. This is exactly the ideal studied in Subsection 4.1, and so it follows from Proposition 4.4 that

$$\operatorname{reg}(J_{m:0}^s) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \frac{s}{2} + 1.$$

Hence, $\operatorname{reg}(J_{m:1}^s) = \max\{\operatorname{reg}(J_{m:0}^s) + q_1, \operatorname{reg}(H_{m:1}^s) + q_1 + 1\} = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + q_1 + \frac{s}{2} + 1$.

Suppose that $n > 1$. Then, since

$$\beta_{i,j}(J_{m:n}^s) = \beta_{i,j}(J_{m:n-1}^s) + \beta_{i,j-q_n-1}(H_{m:n}^s) + \beta_{i-1,j-q_n-1}(J_{m:n-1}^s),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{reg}(J_{m:n}^s) &= \max\{\operatorname{reg}(J_{m:n-1}^s), \operatorname{reg}(J_{m:n-1}^s) + q_n, \operatorname{reg}(H_{m:n}^s) + q_n + 1\} \\ &= \max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \frac{s}{2} + 1, \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i - n + \frac{s}{2} + 2\right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \frac{s}{2} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

4.3. **Type three.** In this subsection, we denote the toric ideal of $C_{\underline{p}:q;x}$ and its initial ideal as $I_{m:n;k}$ and $J_{m:n;k}$ respectively. Similarly, $I_{m:n;k-1}$ and $J_{m:n;k-1}$ represent the toric ideal of $C_{\underline{p}:q;\underline{r}}$ and its initial ideal, respectively. Recall from Subsection 3.3 that $G(J_{m:n;k})$ is the disjoint union $\{e''_i e'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \cup \{f''_i f'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{g''_i g'_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq k\} \cup \{e'_i y \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{f'_i z \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{g'_i x \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{e'_i f'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \cup \{f'_i g'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k\} \cup \{g'_i e'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$.

Proposition 4.11. *Denote by $H_{m:n;k}$ the monomial ideal*

$$(x, e'_1, \dots, e'_m, f'_1, \dots, f'_n, g''_1, \dots, g''_{k-1}).$$

Then $J_{m:n;k} = J_{m:n;k-1} + g'_k H_{m:n;k}$ is an E-K splitting. Furthermore, we have

$$J_{m:n;k-1} \cap g'_k H_{m:n;k} = g'_k J_{m:n;k-1}.$$

Proof. First of all, it is routine to check that $G(J_{m:n;k}) = G(J_{m:n;k-1}) \sqcup G(g'_k H_{m:n;k})$ and $J_{m:n;k-1} \cap g'_k H_{m:n;k} = g'_k J_{m:n;k-1}$.

Define a function $\phi : G(g'_k J_{m:n;k-1}) \rightarrow G(J_{C_{m:n;k-1}})$ that sends $g'_k u$ to u for all $u \in G(J_{m:n;k-1})$.

Define a function $\psi : G(g'_k J_{m:n;k-1}) \rightarrow G(g'_k H_{m:n;k})$ by the following rules:

- $g'_k e''_i e'_j \mapsto g'_k e'_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ and $g'_k e'_i y \mapsto g'_k e'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$;
- $g'_k e'_i f'_j \mapsto g'_k e'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$;
- $g'_k f''_i f'_j \mapsto g'_k f'_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $g'_k f'_i z \mapsto g'_k f'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- $g'_k f'_i g'_j \mapsto g'_k f'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$;
- $g'_k g''_i g'_j \mapsto g'_k g''_i$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k-1$ and $g'_k g'_i x \mapsto g'_k x$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k-1$;
- $g'_k g'_i e'_j \mapsto g'_k e'_j$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$.

It is routine to check conditions (1) and (2) of Definition 1.3 are satisfied. \square

Proposition 4.12. *Let $m, n, k \geq 1$. Then $\beta_i(J_{m:n;k}) = (i+1) \binom{m+n+k+1}{i+2}$ for all $i \geq 0$. In particular, $\text{pdim}(J_{m:n;k}) = m+n+k-1$.*

Proof. We also employ the induction on k . The case that $i = 0$ is straightforward. If $i \geq 1$, then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i(J_{m:n;k}) &= \beta_i(J_{m:n;k-1}) + \beta_i(H_{m:n;k}) + \beta_{i-1}(J_{m:n;k-1}) \\ &= (i+1) \binom{m+n+k}{i+2} + \binom{m+n+k}{i+1} + i \binom{m+n+k}{i+1} \\ &= (i+1) \binom{m+n+k+1}{i+2}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Proposition 4.13. *Let $m, n, k \geq 1$. Then $\text{reg}(J_{m:n;k}) = \text{mat}(C_{\underline{p}:q;\underline{r}}) + 1$.*

Proof. Note that $\text{mat}(C_{\underline{p};\underline{q};\underline{r}}) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i + 1$. We proceed with the induction on k . If $k = 1$, then

$$\beta_{i,j}(J_{m:n:1}) = \beta_{i,j}(J_{m:n:0}) + \beta_{i,j-r_1-1}(H_{m:n:1}) + \beta_{i-1,j-r_1-1}(J_{m:n:0}).$$

Since $\text{reg}(J_{m:n:0}) = \text{reg}(J_{m:n}^2)$, we obtain $\text{reg}(J_{m:n:1}) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + r_1 + 2$.

Suppose that $k > 1$. Then, by Proposition 4.11, we have

$$\beta_{i,j}(J_{m:n:k}) = \beta_{i,j}(J_{m:n:k-1}) + \beta_{i,j-r_k-1}(H_{m:n:k}) + \beta_{i-1,j-r_k-1}(J_{m:n:k-1}).$$

It follows that $\text{reg}(J_{m:n:k})$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \max\{j - i \mid \beta_{i,j}(J_{m:n:k-1}) + \beta_{i-1,j-r_k-1}(J_{m:n:k-1}) + \beta_{i,j-r_k-1}(H_{m:n:k}) \neq 0\} \\ &= \max\{\text{reg}(J_{m:n:k-1}), \text{reg}(H_{m:n:k}) + r_k + 1, \text{reg}(J_{m:n:k-1}) + r_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $H_{m:n:k}$ is generated by a regular sequence of degrees $p_1 + 1, \dots, p_m + 1, q_1 + 1, \dots, q_n + 1, r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}, 1$, we obtain $\text{reg}(H_{m:n:k}) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - k + 2$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{reg}(J_{m:n:k}) &= \max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i + 2, \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i - k + 3\right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i + 2, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.1, we require some additional notation and facts. Recall a connected graph is *planar* if it can be drawn on a 2D plane such that none of the edges intersect. If a planar graph G is drawn in this way, it divides the plane into regions called *faces*. The number of faces is denoted by $f(G)$. The famous Euler formula states that for any planar graph G , we have

$$|E(G)| - |V(G)| = f(G) - 2.$$

If we assume that every edge of G belongs to at most one induced cycle, then there is a one-to-one correspondence between induced cycles and bounded faces of G . Since there is exactly one unbounded face of G , it follows that $f(G) = \mathfrak{t}(G) + 1$.

However, it is worth noting that the formula $f(G) = \mathfrak{t}(G) + 1$ does not hold in general. For example, if G is the complete graph with 4 vertices, then G is planar, but $f(G) = \mathfrak{t}(G) = 4$.

We are now ready to present the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Proof. (1) This is a combination of Propositions 4.5, 4.9 and Proposition 4.12.

(2) It follows immediately from (1).

(3) Since G is a compact graph, G is a planar graph and every edge of G belongs to at most one induced cycle. Hence, because of the discussion above, we have

$$|E(G)| - |V(G)| = \mathfrak{t}(G) - 1.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}(\mathbb{K}[E(G)]/\text{in}_<(I_G)) &= |E(G)| - \text{pdim}(\mathbb{K}[E(G)]/\text{in}_<(I_G)) \\ &= |V(G)| = \dim(\mathbb{K}[G]) \\ &= \dim(\mathbb{K}[E(G)]/\text{in}_<(I_G)). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the second last equality follows from [16, Corollary 10.1.21]. Hence, by definition, $\mathbb{K}[E(G)]/\text{in}_<(I_G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

(4) This is a combination of Propositions 4.4, 4.10 and Proposition 4.13. \square

5. COHEN-MACAULAY TYPES AND TOP GRADED BETTI NUMBERS

Assume that G is a compact graph. In this section we will compute the top graded Betti numbers of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. Since $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is Cohen-Macaulay, the regularity of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is determined by its top graded Betti numbers. Therefore, the regularity formula given in Section 4 could also be deduced from the results of this section. To present the top graded Betti numbers of $\mathbb{K}[G]$, we need to consider three cases. The most complex case is when G is a compact graph of type 3, and we will provide detailed proof specifically for this case. The proofs for the cases when G is a compact graph of type one or type two are similar, with only minor differences, so we will only provide an outline of the proofs for those cases.

The top graded Betti numbers of the edge rings of three types of compact graphs are presented in Propositions 5.2, 5.3 and Proposition 5.4, respectively. By combining the aforementioned results and their proofs, the following conclusion regarding the top total Betti numbers can be immediately derived.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a compact graph, and let I_G be the toric ideal of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. Denote by J_G the initial ideal of I_G with respect to the order given in Section 3. Then I_G and J_G share the same top graded Betti numbers. In particular, we have $\text{type}(\mathbb{K}[G]) = \mathfrak{t}(G) - 1$.*

5.1. type three. Let C denote the compact graph $C_{\underline{p}, \underline{q}, \underline{r}}$, whose vertex set $V(C)$ and edge set $E(C)$ are given explicitly in Subsection 3.3. In this subsection, we compute the minimal generators of the canonical module $\omega_{\mathbb{K}[C]}$ and then determine the top graded Betti numbers of the toric ring $\mathbb{K}[C]$.

It is easy to see that $|V(C)| = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k r_i + 3$. We use the following notions for all the entries of $\mathbb{R}^{|V(C)|}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^{|V(C)|} &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} a_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + a \mathbf{u} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} b_{i,j} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + b \mathbf{v} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{2r_i} c_{i,j} \mathbf{w}_{i,j} + c \mathbf{w} \mid \right. \\ &\quad \left. a_{i,j}, a, b_{i,j}, b, c_{i,j}, c \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } i, j \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{i,j}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_{i,j}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_{i,j}$ are the unit vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{|V(C)|}$, each $\mathbf{u}_{i,j}$ (resp. $\mathbf{v}_{i,j}, \mathbf{w}_{i,j}$) corresponds to $u_{i,j}$ (resp. $v_{i,j}, w_{i,j}$) (where $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2p_i$) (resp. $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2q_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2r_i$) and \mathbf{u} (resp. \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) corresponds to u (resp. v, w).

In what follows, we will construct $m + n + k$ integral vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{|V(C)|}$ and then show that they are minimal vectors of $\text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(C)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(C)|}$. Here, an integral vector in $\text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(C))$ is called *minimal* if it cannot be written as the sum of a vector in $\text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(C)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(C)|}$ and a nonzero vector of $\mathbb{R}_+(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(C)|}$. The construction is as follows:

For $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, let

$$\alpha_\ell := \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{2r_i} \mathbf{w}_{i,j} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} + 2\ell \mathbf{u}.$$

For $\ell = 1, \dots, n$, let

$$\beta_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{2r_i} \mathbf{w}_{i,j} + \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u} + 2\ell \mathbf{v}.$$

For $\ell = 1, \dots, k$, let

$$\gamma_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{2r_i} \mathbf{w}_{i,j} + \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} + 2\ell \mathbf{w}.$$

We now verify that $\alpha_\ell, \beta_\ell, \gamma_\ell \in \text{relint}\mathbb{R}_+(C)$ for all possible ℓ . For this, we put $u_i^{(1)} = \{u_{i,j} \mid j = 1, 3, \dots, 2p_i - 1\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $u_i^{(2)} = \{u_{i,j} \mid j = 2, 4, \dots, 2p_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $v_i^{(1)}, v_i^{(2)}, w_i^{(1)}, w_i^{(2)}$ are defined similarly.

We see the following:

- Each of $u_{i,j}$'s, $v_{i,j}$'s and $w_{i,j}$'s is a regular vertex of C , while u, v and w are not.
- An independent subset T of $V(C)$ is fundamental if and only if T is one of the following sets:

- (i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^m u_i^{(f_i)}$, where $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \{1, 2\}^m$;
- (ii) $\bigcup_{i=1}^n v_i^{(g_i)}$, where $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n$;
- (iii) $\bigcup_{i=1}^k w_i^{(h_i)}$, where $(h_1, \dots, h_k) \in \{1, 2\}^k$;
- (iv) $\{u\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m (u_i^{(f_i)} \setminus \{u_{i,1}, u_{i,2p_i}\}) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n v_i^{(g_i)} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k w_i^{(h_i)}$, where $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \{1, 2\}^m$, $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n$ and $(h_1, \dots, h_k) \in \{1, 2\}^k$;
- (v) $\{v\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n (v_i^{(g_i)} \setminus \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2p_i}\}) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m u_i^{(f_i)} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k w_i^{(h_i)}$, where $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \{1, 2\}^m$, $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n$ and $(h_1, \dots, h_k) \in \{1, 2\}^k$;
- (vi) $\{w\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k (w_i^{(h_i)} \setminus \{w_{i,1}, w_{i,2p_i}\}) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m u_i^{(f_i)} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n v_i^{(g_i)}$, where $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \{1, 2\}^m$, $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n$ and $(h_1, \dots, h_k) \in \{1, 2\}^k$.

It should be noted that there are 2^m fundamental sets in (i) and 2^{m+n+k} fundamental sets in (iv), and so on. Hence, it follows from (Δ) (see this in Subsection 1.3) that

a vector of $\mathbb{R}^{|V(C)|}$ of the form:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} a_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + a \mathbf{u} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} b_{i,j} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + b \mathbf{v} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{2r_i} c_{i,j} \mathbf{w}_{i,j} + c \mathbf{w}$$

belongs to $\mathbb{R}_+(C)$ if and only if the following inequalities are satisfied:

- (1) $a_{i,j} \geq 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2p_i$;
- (2) $b_{i,j} \geq 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2q_i$;
- (3) $c_{i,j} \geq 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2r_i$;
- (4) $\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} a_{i,j} - \sum_{\mathbf{u}_{i,j} \in T} a_{i,j} + a \geq \sum_{\mathbf{u}_{i,j} \in T} a_{i,j}$ for any $T \in (i)$;
- (5) $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} b_{i,j} - \sum_{\mathbf{v}_{i,j} \in T} b_{i,j} + b \geq \sum_{\mathbf{v}_{i,j} \in T} b_{i,j}$ for any $T \in (ii)$;
- (6) $\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{2r_i} c_{i,j} - \sum_{\mathbf{w}_{i,j} \in T} c_{i,j} + c \geq \sum_{\mathbf{w}_{i,j} \in T} c_{i,j}$ for any $T \in (iii)$;
- (7) $\sum + b + c \geq a + 2(\sum_{\mathbf{u}_{i,j} \in T} a_{i,j} + \sum_{\mathbf{v}_{i,j} \in T} b_{i,j} + \sum_{\mathbf{w}_{i,j} \in T} c_{i,j})$ for any $T \in (iv)$;
- (8) $\sum + c + a \geq b + 2(\sum_{\mathbf{u}_{i,j} \in T} a_{i,j} + \sum_{\mathbf{v}_{i,j} \in T} b_{i,j} + \sum_{\mathbf{w}_{i,j} \in T} c_{i,j})$ for any $T \in (v)$;
- (9) $\sum + a + b \geq c + 2(\sum_{\mathbf{u}_{i,j} \in T} a_{i,j} + \sum_{\mathbf{v}_{i,j} \in T} b_{i,j} + \sum_{\mathbf{w}_{i,j} \in T} c_{i,j})$ for any $T \in (vi)$.

Here, \sum denotes $\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} a_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} b_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{2r_i} c_{i,j}$. It is straightforward to check that α_ℓ satisfies these inequalities, with strict inequalities holding for each α_ℓ . This implies that $\alpha_\ell \in \text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(C)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(C)|}$.

Next, we show that α_ℓ is a minimal vector in $\text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(C)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(C)|}$, i.e., it cannot be written as a sum of an element in $\text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(C)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(C)|}$ and an element in $\mathbb{R}_+(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(C)|} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ for all $\ell = 1, \dots, m$.

Suppose on the contrary that $\alpha_\ell = \alpha' + \alpha''$ for some $\alpha' \in \text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(C)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(C)|}$ and $\alpha'' \in \mathbb{R}_+(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(C)|} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Write

$$\alpha' = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} a'_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + a' \mathbf{u} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} b'_{i,j} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + b' \mathbf{v} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{2r_i} c'_{i,j} \mathbf{w}_{i,j} + c' \mathbf{w},$$

$$\alpha'' = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} a''_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + a'' \mathbf{u} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} b''_{i,j} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + b'' \mathbf{v} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{2r_i} c''_{i,j} \mathbf{w}_{i,j} + c'' \mathbf{w}.$$

In view of the inequalities (1) - (3), we see that $a'_{i,j}, b'_{i,j}, c'_{i,j} \geq 1$ for all i, j . Because of the inequalities (4) - (6), we also see that $a', b', c' \geq 1$. Hence, $a''_{i,j} = b''_{i,j} = c''_{i,j} = b'' = c'' = 0$ for all possible i, j . From this together with (7) it follows that $a'' \leq 0$. Hence, $\alpha'' = \mathbf{0}$. This is a contradiction, which shows that α_ℓ is a minimal vector in $\text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(C)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(C)|}$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, m$. Likewise, so are β_ℓ 's and γ_ℓ 's.

Proposition 5.2. *Let C be defined as before. Assume $m \leq n \leq k$. Then $\text{type}(\mathbb{K}[C]) = m + n + k$, and the top graded Betti numbers of $\mathbb{K}[C]$ are given by*

$$\beta_{m+n+k,j}(\mathbb{K}[C]) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = \text{mat}(C) + n + m + \ell, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, k - n; \\ 2, & j = \text{mat}(C) + m + k + \ell, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, n - m; \\ 3, & j = \text{mat}(C) + k + n + \ell, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, m; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Every minimal vector in $\text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(C)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(C)|}$ corresponds to a minimal generator of $\omega_{\mathbb{K}[C]}$. It follows from the above discussion that $\text{type}(\mathbb{K}[C]) \geq m + n + k$. Since $\text{type}(\mathbb{K}[C])$ is equal to the top total Betti number of $\mathbb{K}[C]$, we conclude that $\text{type}(\mathbb{K}[C]) \leq m + n + k$ by Theorem 4.1. Thus the first conclusion follows. From this, we see that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ are all the minimal vectors of $\text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(C)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(C)|}$. Therefore, the set of monomials

$$\{x^{\alpha_\ell}, \ell = 1, \dots, m; \quad x^{\beta_\ell}, \ell = 1, \dots, n; \quad x^{\gamma_\ell}, \ell = 1, \dots, k\}$$

is a minimal generating set of $\omega_{\mathbb{K}[C]}$, which is an ideal of the edge ring $\mathbb{K}[C] \subset \mathbb{K}[V(C)]$. Note that every monomial x^α belonging to $\mathbb{K}[C]$, which is regarded as a graded module over the standard graded ring $\mathbb{K}[E(C)]$, has a degree of $\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|$. Hence,

$$\beta_{0,j}(\omega_{\mathbb{K}[C]}) = \begin{cases} 3, & j = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i + 1 + \ell, \ell = 1, \dots, m; \\ 2, & j = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i + 1 + \ell, \ell = m + 1, \dots, n; \\ 1, & j = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i + 1 + \ell, \ell = n + 1, \dots, k; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $|E(C)| = 2(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i) + m + n + k + 3$ and $\text{mat}(C) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i + 1$, the second conclusion follows by Lemma 1.1. \square

5.2. type one. Let A denote the compact graph $A_{\underline{p}}$, whose vertex set $V(A)$ and edge set $E(A)$ are given explicitly in Subsection 3.1. Then $|V(A)| = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + 1$. We may write

$$\mathbb{R}^{|V(A)|} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} a_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + a \mathbf{u} \mid \text{all } a_{i,j}, a \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Here, $\mathbf{u}_{i,j}, \mathbf{u}$ correspond the vertices of A in a natural way. Then, we could show the following vectors

$$\alpha_\ell := \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + 2\ell \mathbf{u}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, m - 1$$

are all the minimal vectors of $\text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(A)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(A)|}$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let A denote the compact graph $A_{\underline{p}}$. Then $\text{type}(\mathbb{K}[A]) = m - 1$, and the top graded Betti numbers of $\mathbb{K}[A]$ are given by*

$$\beta_{m-1,j}(\mathbb{K}[A]) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = \text{mat}(A) + \ell, \ell = 1, \dots, m - 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

5.3. type two. Let B^0 and B^s denote the compact graph $B_{\underline{p};\underline{q}}^0$ and $B_{\underline{p};\underline{q}}^s$ respectively. Their vertex sets $V(B^0)$ and $V(B^s)$ and edge sets $E(B^0)$ and $E(B^s)$ are given explicitly in Subsection 3.2. Then $|V(B^0)| = 2(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i) + 2$. We may write

$$\mathbb{R}^{|V(B^0)|} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} a_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} b_{i,j} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{v} \mid \text{all } a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Here, $\mathbf{u}_{i,j}, \mathbf{v}_{i,j}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ correspond the vertices of B^0 in the natural way. Then, we could show the following vectors

$$\alpha_\ell := \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + \mathbf{v} + (2\ell + 1)\mathbf{u}, \quad \ell = 0, \dots, m - 1$$

and

$$\beta_\ell := \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + \mathbf{u} + (2\ell + 1)\mathbf{v}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, n - 1$$

are all the minimal vectors of $\text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(B^0)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(B^0)|}$.

On the other hand, we have $|V(B^s)| = |V(B^0)| + s - 1$ and we may write

$$\mathbb{R}^{|V(B^s)|} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} a_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} b_{i,j} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} c_i \mathbf{w}_i + a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{v} \mid \text{all } a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}, c_i, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Here, $\mathbf{u}_{i,j}, \mathbf{v}_{i,j}, \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ correspond the vertices of B^s in the natural way. We may also show the following vectors

$$\alpha_\ell := \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \mathbf{w}_i + \mathbf{v} + 2\ell\mathbf{u}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, m$$

and

$$\beta_\ell := \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{2p_i} \mathbf{u}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2q_i} \mathbf{v}_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \mathbf{w}_i + \mathbf{u} + 2\ell\mathbf{v}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, n$$

are all the minimal vectors of $\text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+(B^s)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(B^s)|}$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let B^0 and B^s be defined as before. Assume $m \leq n$. Then the following statements hold:*

- $\text{type}(\mathbb{K}[B^0]) = m + n - 1$ and $\text{type}(\mathbb{K}[B^s]) = m + n$;
- the top graded Betti numbers of $\mathbb{K}[B^0]$ are given by

$$\beta_{m+n-1,j}(\mathbb{K}[B^0]) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = \text{mat}(B^0) + m - 1 + \ell, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, n - m; \\ 2, & j = \text{mat}(B^0) + n - 1 + \ell, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, m - 1; \\ 1, & j = \text{mat}(B^0) + m + n - 1. \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- the top graded Betti numbers of $\mathbb{K}[B^s]$ are given by

$$\beta_{m+n,j}(\mathbb{K}[B^s]) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = \text{mat}(B^s) + \ell, \quad \ell = m + 1, \dots, n; \\ 2, & j = \text{mat}(B^s) + n + \ell, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, m; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

6. A QUESTION

Let G be a compact graph, and let I_G be the toric ideal of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. Denote by J_G the initial ideal of I_G with respect to the order given in Section 3. As we have seen in the previous section, I_G and J_G share the same top graded Betti numbers. This naturally leads to the following question:

Does I_G and J_G always share the same graded Betti numbers?

Unfortunately, we are unable to provide a general answer to this question, except for a very specific case when G is a compact graph of type one.

In what follows, we use A to denote the compact graph A_p , where $\underline{p} = (\overbrace{p, \dots, p}^m)$ is a vector in \mathbb{Z}_+^m . Let $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ denote the polynomial $\sum_{i,j} \beta_{i,j}(I_A)(-1)^i t^j$ and $\sum_{i,j} \beta_{i,j}(J_A)(-1)^i t^j$, respectively. It is known $f(t) = g(t)$ and $\beta_{i,j}(I_A) \leq \beta_{i,j}(J_A)$ for all i, j .

Proposition 6.1. *If $2 \leq m \leq p + 3$, then*

$$\beta_{i,j}(I_A) = \beta_{i,j}(J_A) = \begin{cases} \binom{m}{i+2}, & j = (i+2)p + \ell, \ell = 1, \dots, i+1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Put $A_i = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \beta_{i,j}(J_A) \neq 0\}$ for all $i \geq 0$. Then, by Proposition 4.6, we have $A_i = \{(i+2)p + \ell \mid \ell = 1, \dots, i+1\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-2$, and is \emptyset otherwise. Given that $j \notin A_i$ it can be inferred that $\beta_{i,j}(J_A) = \beta_{i,j}(I_A) = 0$. Therefore, we will next consider only the case when $j \in A_i$.

(1) If $m \leq p + 2$ then it follows that $A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} = \emptyset$ for any distinct i_1 and i_2 . Consequently, for any $j \in A_i$, the coefficient of t^j in $f(t)$ is $(-1)^i \beta_{i,j}(J_A)$, while in $g(t)$ it is $(-1)^i \beta_{i,j}(I_A)$. Therefore we can deduce that $\beta_{i,j}(J_A) = \beta_{i,j}(I_A)$.

(2) If $m = p + 3$, then for any pair $i_1 \neq i_2$, $A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\{i_1, i_2\} = \{m-3, m-2\}$ and in that case $A_{m-3} \cap A_{m-2} = \{mp+1\} = \{(m-1)p + m-2\}$. If $j \neq mp+1$ then it follows that $\beta_{i,j}(I_A) = \beta_{i,j}(J_A)$ for the same reason as in (1). If $j = mp+1$ then, by comparing the coefficients of t^{mp+1} in polynomials $f(t)$ and $g(t)$, we conclude that

$$\beta_{m-3,mp+1}(I_A) - \beta_{m-2,mp+1}(I_A) = \beta_{m-3,mp+1}(J_A) - \beta_{m-2,mp+1}(J_A).$$

On the other hand, we have $\beta_{m-2,mp+1}(I_A) = \beta_{m-2,mp+1}(J_A)$ by Theorem 5.1. From this it follows that $\beta_{m-3,mp+1}(I_A) = \beta_{m-3,mp+1}(J_A)$, as required. \square

Acknowledgment: This project is supported by NSFC (No. 11971338) The authors are grateful to the software systems [4] for providing us with a large number of examples to develop ideas and test our results.

Data availability: The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Statement: On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings (Revised Edition)*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [2] J. Biermann, A. O’Keefe, A. V. Tuyl, *Bounds on the regularity of toric ideals of graphs*, *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, **85** (2017), 84-102.
- [3] K. Bhaskara, A. V. Tuyl, *Comparing invariants of toric ideals of bipartite graphs*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Series 10* (2023), 219-232.
- [4] CoCoATeam, *CoCoA: a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra*, Available at <http://cocoa.dima.unige.it>.
- [5] V. Ene, J. Herzog, *Gröbner Bases in Commutative Algebra*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 130 (American Mathematical Society, 2012).
- [6] S. Eliahou, M. Kervaire, *Minimal resolutions of some monomial ideals*, *J. Algebra* **129** (1990), no.1, 1-25.
- [7] G. Favacchio, G. Keiper, A. V. Tuyl, *Regularity and h-polynomials of toric ideals of graphs*, *Proceedings of the AMS*, **148**, (2020), 4665-4677.
- [8] F. Galetto, *Betti numbers of toric ideals of graphs: A case study*, *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*. **18** (2019), 1950226 (14 pages).
- [9] I. Gitler, C. E. Valencia, *Multiplicities of edge subrings*, *Discrete Math*, **302** (2005), 107–123.
- [10] H. T. Hà, S. K. Beyarslan, A. O’Keefe, *Algebraic properties of toric rings of graphs*, *Communications in Algebra*, **47**, (2019), 1-16.
- [11] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Monomial Ideals*, Graduate Text in Mathematics 260, Springer, (2011).
- [12] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *The Regularity of Edge Rings and Matching Numbers*, *Mathematics*, 2020, 8(1), 39; <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8010039>.
- [13] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, H. Ohsugi, *Binomial ideals*, Graduate Text in Mathematics 279, Springer, (2018).
- [14] R. Nandi, R. Nanduri, *On Betti numbers of toric algebras of certain bipartite graphs*, *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, **18** (2019), 1950231.
- [15] H. Ohsugi, T. Hibi, *Normal Polytopes Arising from Finite Graphs*, *J. Algebra*, **207** (1998), 406-429.
- [16] R. H. Villarreal, *Monomial algebras*, 2nd ed., Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (2015).
- [17] R. H. Villarreal, *On the equations of the edge cone of a graph and some applications*, *Manuscripta Math.***97** (1998), 309-317.

ZEXIN WANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS SCIENCE, SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, P.R.CHINA
Email address: zexinwang6@outlook.com

DANCHENG LU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS SCIENCE, SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, P.R.CHINA
Email address: ludancheng@suda.edu.cn