

REAL ROOTS OF RANDOM POLYNOMIALS: ASYMPTOTICS OF THE VARIANCE

YEN Q. DO AND NHAN D. V. NGUYEN

ABSTRACT. We compute the precise leading asymptotics of the variance of the number of real roots for a large class of random polynomials, where the random coefficients have polynomial growth. Our results apply to many classical ensembles, including the Kac polynomials, hyperbolic polynomials, their derivatives, and any linear combinations of these polynomials. Prior to this paper, such asymptotics was only established for the Kac polynomials in the 1970s, with the seminal contribution of Maslova. The main ingredients of the proof are new asymptotic estimates for the two-point correlation function of the real roots, revealing geometric structures in the distribution of the real roots of these random polynomials. As a corollary, we obtain asymptotic normality for the real roots for these random polynomials, extending and strengthening a related result of O. Nguyen and V. Vu.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the real roots of random polynomials,

$$(1.1) \quad P_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \xi_j c_j x^j,$$

where ξ_j 's are independent real-valued random variables with unit variance, and c_j 's are deterministic real-valued coefficients.

Let N_n denote the number of real roots of P_n .

The study of the distribution of N_n is a classical topic in probability with a long history. While leading asymptotics for the expectation of N_n in the large n limit have been established for many classical ensembles of random polynomials, analogous results for the variance of N_n are harder to come by, especially for random polynomials with non-Gaussian coefficients, and this is the main goal of the current paper.

We first include a brief history of the subject before discussing the class of random polynomials considered in the current work. As reported by Todhunter in [54, p. 618], as early as 1782, Waring was already interested

Date: May 8, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60G50, 60F05, 41A60.

Key words and phrases. Random polynomials, real roots, variance, universality, central limit theorem.

Y.D. has been supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1800855.

in the distribution of the number of ‘impossible’ (i.e., non-real) roots of a typical polynomial equation. However, it took roughly 150 years until the first concrete estimates appeared in the literature, in a joint work by Bloch and Pólya [9] in 1932. In their pioneering work [9], the authors investigated the expected number of real roots of random polynomials with independent coefficients taking random values in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ and obtained a crude estimate of $O(\sqrt{n})$. Here and later, we use the usual asymptotic notation $X = O(Y)$ or $X \ll Y$ to denote the bound $|X| \leq cY$, where c is independent of Y . Bloch and Pólya’s result has inspired many authors to consider estimates for the (average) number of real roots of random polynomials with independent and identically distributed (iid) coefficients, which became the *primary theme* for most earlier studies, with seminal contributions by Littlewood–Offord [35–37], Kac [30, 31], and Ibragimov–Maslova [27–29]. In particular, for random polynomials with iid centered Gaussian coefficients, Kac [30] derived an exact formula for $\mathbb{E}[N_n]$ and showed that

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbb{E}[N_n] = \frac{2}{\pi} \log n + o(\log n).$$

A key ingredient in Kac’s methods is a formula for the mean density of the number of real roots, also known as the one-point correlation function for the real roots (see, e.g., [53]). Kac’s formula and its generalization, the Kac–Rice formula, are now among the most fundamental tools when dealing with real roots of Gaussian random polynomials. See also [20] for a geometric interpretation of this formula. Furthermore, random polynomials with iid coefficients are now commonly referred to as Kac’s random polynomials [53]. The asymptotic formula (1.2) has also been extended to Kac’s polynomials with non-Gaussian coefficients [21, 27, 29, 31, 42, 52]. In particular, the error term in (1.2) has also been improved to $O(1)$ under a very general assumption on the coefficient distribution, see Nguyen–Nguyen–Vu [42]. In fact, for several interesting classes of coefficient distributions, it can be shown that $\mathbb{E}[N_n] = \frac{2}{\pi} \log n + C + o(1)$, where the constant C may depend on the coefficient distribution; for details, refer to [17]. For Gaussian polynomials, a complete asymptotic expansion of $\mathbb{E}[N_n]$ was derived by Wilkins [56].

During the 1970s, in a series of papers, Maslova [40, 41] initiated *two new themes* to the subject: the leading asymptotics for the variance $\text{Var}[N_n]$,

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Var}[N_n] = \left[\frac{4}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) + o(1) \right] \log n,$$

and the convergence (in distribution) of the standardization of N_n to a standard Gaussian,

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{N_n - \mathbb{E}[N_n]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[N_n]}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

The convergence in (1.4) is often referred to as the central limit theorem (CLT), or asymptotic normality, for the real roots of P_n . Maslova’s work has inspired numerous authors to explore similar results for other classes

of random polynomials and random functions, in both Gaussian and non-Gaussian settings [1–7, 10, 15, 19, 22, 24, 25, 38, 39, 44, 48, 50]. The current work is part of these themes.

Now, while earlier studies of the real roots of random polynomials with iid coefficients were mostly motivated by mathematical curiosity, it turns out that there is a deeper connection to the theory of random point processes in statistical physics. In the beautiful exposition [26], the authors examined random point processes that are invariants with respect to the group of isometries of the underlying Riemannian surfaces. In two dimensions, this classification leads to three classical ensembles of random point processes, corresponding to the isometry groups of the complex plane \mathbb{C} , the sphere $S^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, and the hyperbolic disk $\mathbb{D} = \{|z| < 1\}$:

- (1) random flat point processes, which are complex roots of the random flat (Weyl) series, $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{j!}} \xi_j z^j$, where $(\xi_j)_{j \geq 0}$ are independent standard complex Gaussian;
- (2) random elliptic point processes, which are complex roots of the random elliptic polynomials, $\sum_{j=0}^n \sqrt{\binom{n}{j}} \xi_j z^j$, where $(\xi_j)_{j \geq 0}$ are independent standard complex Gaussian;
- (3) random hyperbolic point processes, which are complex roots of the random hyperbolic series, $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{L(L+1)\dots(L+j-1)}{j!}} \xi_j z^j$, where $(\xi_j)_{j \geq 0}$ are independent standard complex Gaussian and $L > 0$ is a fixed parameter.

A central theme in the subject is to consider the real analogues of these random analytic functions when ξ_j 's are real-valued random variables and to study the distribution of the real roots of their polynomial approximations (except for the elliptic case when we already have a polynomial). In particular, Kac's random polynomials are polynomial approximations of the random hyperbolic series for $L = 1$. Additionally, there are higher-dimensional generalizations of random elliptic polynomials; see [2, 3, 32, 51].

We now recall the relevant existing results about variance asymptotics and asymptotic normality for the real roots of the above ensembles of random polynomials. In the following, the Gaussian setting is the situation when all random coefficients ξ_j are standard Gaussian. For random flat polynomials, variance asymptotics and the CLT for the number of real roots have been established for the Gaussian setting in a joint work of V. Vu and the first author [19]. Concerning random elliptic polynomials in the Gaussian setting, leading asymptotics for variances were computed by Bleher–Di [8] (see also [43]) and the CLT was proved by Dalmao [10] (see also [1]). These results were later generalized to higher dimensions in [2, 3]. As far as we know, the non-Gaussian extensions of [1–3, 8, 10, 19] remain open problems. As mentioned above, variance asymptotics and the CLT for the number of real roots of random Kac polynomials were proved by Maslova [40, 41] for a very general class of coefficient distributions. For random hyperbolic polynomials

and derivatives, the CLT was also proved for a very large class of coefficient distributions in Nguyen–Vu [44]. However, the methods in [44] do not lead to precise leading asymptotics for the variance, and this is addressed in the current paper. We also like to point out related results for random functions: variance asymptotics were considered in [6, 15, 24, 25, 38, 39] and CLTs were considered in [1, 4, 5, 16].

1.1. Statement of main results. As discussed above, among the three classical ensembles of random polynomials, random hyperbolic polynomials remain the ensemble for which the precise leading asymptotics for the variance of the number of real roots are not known (even for the Gaussian setting), other than the special case of Kac polynomials obtained by Maslova in the 1970s. The current paper addresses this question. Furthermore, our methods work for a very general class of random polynomials, which includes not only hyperbolic polynomials but also their derivatives or any linear combination of these polynomials, and our results also apply to both Gaussian and non-Gaussian settings.¹

We first state our result for random hyperbolic polynomials. To this end, we fix some notation. Given $\tau > -1/2$, consider

$$(1.5) \quad f_\tau(u) := \left(\sqrt{1 - \Delta_\tau^2(u)} + \Delta_\tau(u) \arcsin \Delta_\tau(u) \right) \Sigma_\tau(u) - 1,$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_\tau(u) &:= u^{\tau+1/2} \frac{u(1 - u^{2\tau+1}) - (2\tau + 1)(1 - u)}{1 - u^{2\tau+1} - (2\tau + 1)u^{2\tau+1}(1 - u)}, \\ \Sigma_\tau(u) &:= \frac{1 - u^{2\tau+1} - (2\tau + 1)(1 - u)u^{2\tau+1}}{(1 - u^{2\tau+1})^{3/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the constant

$$(1.7) \quad \kappa_\tau := \left(\frac{2\tau + 1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty f_\tau(\operatorname{sech}^2 v) dv + \frac{\sqrt{2\tau + 1}}{2} \right) \frac{1}{\pi}.$$

Some basic properties of f_τ (including integrability) are collected in Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 1.1 (Asymptotics of variances for hyperbolic polynomials). *Let ξ_0, \dots, ξ_n be real-valued independent random variables with zero mean, unit variance, and uniform bounded $(2 + \varepsilon)$ moments, for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $L > 0$ and consider the random hyperbolic polynomial*

$$P_{n,L}(x) = \xi_0 + \sqrt{L}\xi_1x + \dots + \sqrt{\frac{L(L+1)\cdots(L+n-1)}{n!}}\xi_nx^n.$$

¹We note that, other than Maslova's result from five decades ago, the only non-Gaussian results for variance asymptotics are for random trigonometric functions, as seen in [6, 15].

For any $k \geq 0$, let $N_{n,k}$ be the number of real roots of the k th derivative of $P_{n,L}$ (so $k = 0$ means $P_{n,L}$ itself). Then for $\tau = k + \frac{L-1}{2}$, we have

$$\text{Var}[N_{n,k}] = \left[2\kappa_\tau + \frac{2}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) + o(1) \right] \log n.$$

Remark 1.2. When $L = 1$, the random hyperbolic polynomial $P_{n,L}$ becomes a random Kac polynomial, so Theorem 1.1 applies to derivatives of the Kac polynomials, which are novel even in the Gaussian setting. Note that for $L = 1$ and $k = 0$, we have $\tau = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty f_\tau(\text{sech}^2 v) dv &= \int_0^\infty (\tanh^2 v + \tanh v \text{sech} v \arcsin(\text{sech} v) - 1) dv \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} - 2, \end{aligned}$$

thus, it follows from (1.7) that

$$\kappa_\tau = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right),$$

and this recovers Maslova's result given in (1.3) for the Kac polynomials.

Theorem 1.1 is a special case of the following more general result for random polynomials with coefficients having polynomial asymptotics. Below, we collect the assumptions for this slightly more technical theorem. We assume that there are fixed positive constants $C_0, C_1, C_2, N_0, \varepsilon$, and a fixed constant $\tau > -1/2$, such that

- (A1) ξ_0, \dots, ξ_n are independent real-valued random variables, with $\mathbb{E}[\xi_j] = 0$ for $j \geq N_0$, $\text{Var}[\xi_j] = 1$ for $j \geq 0$, and $\sup_{0 \leq j \leq n} \mathbb{E}[|\xi_j|^{2+\varepsilon}] < C_0$, and
- (A2) each c_j is real and may depend on both j and n , such that for $N_0 \leq j \leq n$, we have²

$$(1.8) \quad |c_j| = C_1 j^\tau (1 + o_j(1)),$$

and for $0 \leq j < N_0$, we have

$$|c_j| \leq C_2.$$

Theorem 1.3 (Asymptotics of variances for generalized Kac polynomials). *Assume that the polynomial P_n defined by (1.1) satisfies conditions (A1) and (A2). Then,*

$$\text{Var}[N_n] = \left[2\kappa_\tau + \frac{2}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) + o(1) \right] \log n,$$

where the implicit constants in the $o(1)$ term depend only on $N_0, C_0, C_1, C_2, \varepsilon, \tau$, and the rate of decay of $o_j(1)$ in condition (A2). Furthermore,

²The $o_j(1)$ notation in (1.8) means that this term can be bounded by some o_j independent of n such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} o_j = 0$.

N_n satisfies the CLT; that is, the following convergence in distribution to a normalized Gaussian holds as $n \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\frac{N_n - \mathbb{E}[N_n]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[N_n]}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

The second part of Theorem 1.3 concerning the asymptotic normality of the real roots of P_n is an immediate consequence of the first part regarding variance asymptotics and the following conditional result of O. Nguyen and V. Vu in [44] about the CLT for the real roots of generalized Kac polynomials.

Proposition 1.1 ([44, Theorem 1.2]). *Assume that ξ_j 's are independent with zero mean, unit variance, and $\sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mathbb{E}[|\xi_j|^{2+\varepsilon}] = O(1)$. Assume that for some fixed positive constants C_1, C_2, C_3 , and N_0 (independent of n), the following conditions hold:*

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} C_1 j^\tau \leq |c_j| \leq C_2 j^\tau & \text{if } N_0 \leq j \leq n, \quad \text{and} \\ c_j^2 \leq C_3 & \text{if } 0 \leq j < N_0. \end{cases}$$

Assume also that

$$(1.10) \quad \text{Var}[N_n] \gg \log n.$$

Then N_n satisfies the CLT.

We note that in [44], the lower bound (1.10) has been shown for a class of random polynomials satisfying the following extra condition:

$$(1.11) \quad \left| \frac{c_j}{c_n} \right| - 1 = O\left(e^{-(\log \log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}\right), \quad n - ne^{-\log^{1/5} n} \leq j \leq n - e^{\log^{1/5} n}.$$

This condition was later verified for derivatives of the Kac polynomials and hyperbolic polynomials, leading to the CLT for the real roots of these polynomials in [44]. Our asymptotic estimates for the variance of the real roots in these cases strengthen these results, as they provide the details about the denominator of $\frac{N_n - \mathbb{E}[N_n]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[N_n]}}$.

We also note that the class of random polynomials in Theorem 1.3 and the class of generalized random Kac polynomials satisfying Nguyen-Vu's condition (1.11) are substantially different. For the convenience of the reader, we include an example in Appendix A that satisfies (1.8) but does not satisfy Nguyen-Vu's condition (1.11).

Remark 1.4. The assumption $\tau > -1/2$ is utilized extensively throughout our proof. It might be intriguing to explore generalized Kac polynomials within the context where $\tau \leq -1/2$. It raises curiosity to investigate whether the method of this paper can be extended to estimate $\text{Var}[N_n]$, a pursuit we leave for further examination. For a recent comprehensive overview of these polynomials, we refer the reader to the work of Krishnapur, Lundberg, and Nguyen [33], where asymptotic behaviors of the expected number of real roots are provided, along with insights into bifurcating limit cycles.

Remark 1.5. Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.3, it was shown in [18] (see also [14]) that

$$(1.12) \quad \mathbb{E}[N_n] = \frac{1 + \sqrt{2\tau + 1}}{\pi} \log n + o(\log n).$$

For generalized Kac polynomials satisfying (1.9) (though not necessarily (1.8)), it was also shown in [18] that $\mathbb{E}[N_n]$ grows logarithmically with respect to n . The asymptotic formula (1.12) in the special cases when ξ_j 's are Gaussian and $c_j = j^\tau$ for some $\tau > 0$ was previously considered in [11, 12, 46, 47, 49, 50]. Note that (1.12) also recovers Maslova's result in [40, Theorem 2] for the first derivatives of Kac polynomials.

Remark 1.6. Generalized Kac polynomials have also garnered research attention in the mathematical physics community. In particular, Schehr and Majumdar [49, 50] established a connection between the persistence exponent of the diffusion equation with random initial conditions and the probability that a certain generalized Kac polynomial has no real root in a given interval. A more comprehensive treatment was later provided by Dembo and Mukherjee [13], who derived general criteria for continuity of persistence exponents for centered Gaussian processes. The authors of [13] then used these criteria to study the gap probabilities for both real roots of random polynomials and zero-crossings of solutions to the heat equation initiated by Gaussian white noise.

Remark 1.7. As a numerical illustration, let us consider the polynomial $P_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j j x^j$, which can essentially be viewed as the first derivative of the Kac polynomial. By Theorem 1.3, we have



$$\text{Var}[N_n] = C \log n + o(\log n),$$

where

$$C = 2\kappa_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \approx 0.575737845.$$

Figures 1 and 2 showcase numerical simulations that support this theoretical result. Additionally, the numerical evidence presented in Figure 3 seems to bolster the conjecture that $\text{Var}[N_n] - C \log n$ converges to a limit as n tends to infinity, with the limit possibly dependent on the distribution of ξ_j .

1.2. Main ideas of the proof. We now discuss some main ideas of our proof. To prove Theorem 1.3, our starting point is the universality argument of O. Nguyen and V. Vu in [44], reducing the proof to the Gaussian case. However, our consideration of the Gaussian setting differs from O. Nguyen and V. Vu's argument. In [44], the authors used a novel swapping argument to compare the Gaussian version of P_n with a classical Kac polynomial (using the assumption (1.11) and via the reciprocal formulation of P_n) and deduced the lower bound (1.10) from Maslova's variance estimate for real roots inside $[-1, 1]$. This elegant approach, however, only involves the real roots outside of $[-1, 1]$ of P_n and the consequential lower bound in [44] for

FIGURE 1. Plot of sample variances versus the degree n .FIGURE 2. Sample variances divided by $\log(n)$ are approaching C .

the variance is unfortunately not sharp. In our approach, we avoid treating Maslova's estimates as black boxes. The main ingredients in our evaluation of the variance for the Gaussian case are new asymptotic estimates for the two-point correlation function of the real roots of \tilde{P}_n (the Gaussian analog of P_n), as discussed in Section 3. These estimates reveal some underlying hyperbolic geometry inside the distribution of the real roots of generalized Kac polynomials (especially under the hypothesis (1.8)): the asymptotics depend on a certain notion of pseudo-hyperbolic distance between the real roots. One of the main challenges in the proof is the fact that there are various instants when one has to find the leading asymptotics for an algebraic




FIGURE 3. We subtract $C \log(n)$ from the sample variances, and the curves seem to converge to different values.

sum where the asymptotics of the summands may negate each other, particularly when the mentioned pseudo-hyperbolic distance is very small. A separate consideration is often required in such situations, where a geometric property of this distance (Lemma 3.3) becomes useful in the proof.

We would also like to mention that Maslova's proof in [40] for the Kac polynomials relies on very delicate computations for the variance of real roots inside carefully chosen local intervals. Extending such explicit computations to the setting of the current paper, where there are no closed-form formulas for c_j , appears to be very challenging. However, the estimates for the correlation functions in the current paper can certainly be utilized to derive asymptotic estimates for the variances of the number of real roots inside local intervals, and we include some local estimates in Theorem 2.2.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the universality method from [44] to reduce to the Gaussian case. Estimates for correlation functions are presented in Section 3, and the proof of the Gaussian case is presented in Section 4.

1.3. Notational conventions. For any subset I of \mathbb{R} , where I may depend on n , we denote by $N_n(I)$ the number of real roots of $P_n(x)$ in I (counted with multiplicity). In particular, $N_n = N_n(\mathbb{R})$ is the total number of real roots. These random variables may take values in $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

2. REDUCTION TO THE GAUSSIAN CASE

We begin by recalling the universality arguments in [44] to reduce Theorem 1.3 to the Gaussian case, and also to localize N_n to the core region \mathcal{I}_n , defined as follows.

Here and subsequently, $d \in (0, 1/2)$ is fixed, $d_n := \exp(\log^{\frac{d}{4}} n)$, $a_n := d_n^{-1}$, $b_n := n^{-1}d_n$, and $I_n := [1 - a_n, 1 - b_n]$. We define

$$\mathcal{I}_n = I_n \cup -I_n \cup I_n^{-1} \cup -I_n^{-1},$$

where for any given set S , we define $-S := \{-x : x \in S\}$ and $S^{-1} := \{x^{-1} : x \in S\}$.

Let $\tilde{P}_n(x)$ stand for the Gaussian analog of $P_n(x)$, in other words

$$\tilde{P}_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n \tilde{\xi}_j c_j x^j,$$

where $\tilde{\xi}_j$'s are iid standard Gaussian random variables and c_j 's satisfy assumption (A2). For $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\tilde{N}_n(S)$ the number of real roots of $\tilde{P}_n(x)$ inside S . The following results were proved in [44, Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 2.3].

Lemma 2.1 ([44]). *There exist positive constants c and λ such that for all sufficiently large n ,*

$$|\text{Var}[N_n(\mathcal{I}_n)] - \text{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(\mathcal{I}_n)]| \leq ca_n^\lambda + cn^{-\lambda}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[N_n^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{I}_n)] \leq \begin{cases} c((\log a_n)^4 + \log^2(nb_n)) & \text{if } b_n \geq 1/n, \\ c(\log a_n)^4 & \text{if } b_n < 1/n. \end{cases}$$

With the aid of Lemma 2.1, Theorem 1.3 will be proved once we prove the following theorem for the Gaussian case.

Theorem 2.2 (Gaussian case). *Fix $S_n \in \{-I_n, I_n\}$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(S_n)] &= (\kappa_\tau + o(1)) \log n, \\ \text{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(S_n^{-1})] &= \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) + o(1) \right] \log n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(\mathcal{I}_n)] = \left[2\kappa_\tau + \frac{2}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) + o(1) \right] \log n,$$

where κ_τ is given by (1.7) and the implicit constants in the $o(1)$ terms depend only on the constants N_0 , C_1 , C_2 , τ , and the rate of decay of $o_j(1)$ in condition (A2).

3. ESTIMATES FOR THE CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

The proof of Theorem 2.2 relies on the Kac-Rice formulas for the expectation and variance, which will be recalled below.

3.1. Kac-Rice formula for the variance of real roots. Let $r_n(x, y)$ denote the normalized correlator of \tilde{P}_n , defined as

$$r_n(x, y) := \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{P}_n(x)\tilde{P}_n(y)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[\tilde{P}_n(x)] \text{Var}[\tilde{P}_n(y)]}}.$$

Setting $k_n(x) := \sum_{j=0}^n c_j^2 x^j$, we see that

$$(3.1) \quad r_n(x, y) = \frac{k_n(xy)}{\sqrt{k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2)}}.$$

Thanks to the Kac-Rice formulas, the one-point correlation function for the real roots of \tilde{P}_n can be computed by (see e.g., [20])

$$\rho_n^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\partial^2 r_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x)},$$

and the two-point correlation function for the real roots of \tilde{P}_n can be computed by (for a proof, see e.g., [43, Lemma 2.1])

$$\rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(\sqrt{1 - \delta_n^2(x, y)} + \delta_n(x, y) \arcsin \delta_n(x, y) \right) \frac{\sigma_n(x, y)}{\sqrt{1 - r_n^2(x, y)}},$$

where

$$\sigma_n(x, y) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial^2 r_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x) - \frac{(\frac{\partial r_n}{\partial x}(x, y))^2}{1 - r_n^2(x, y)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 r_n}{\partial x \partial y}(y, y) - \frac{(\frac{\partial r_n}{\partial y}(x, y))^2}{1 - r_n^2(x, y)} \right)}$$

and

$$\delta_n(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sigma_n(x, y)} \left(\frac{\partial^2 r_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) + \frac{r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial x}(x, y) \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial y}(x, y)}{1 - r_n^2(x, y)} \right).$$

As is standard, for any interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{E}[\tilde{N}_n(I)] = \int_I \rho_n^{(1)}(x) dx$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(I)] = \iint_{I \times I} \left[\rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) - \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) \right] dy dx + \mathbb{E}[\tilde{N}_n(I)].$$

3.2. Asymptotics of correlation functions. The estimates for the variances rely on the formulas (3.2) and (3.3) and asymptotic estimates for the correlation functions $\rho_n^{(1)}$ and $\rho_n^{(2)}$, which will be established shortly. To this end, we first investigate the behavior of $r_n(x, y)$ for $x, y \in I_n \cup (-I_n)$, and thanks to (3.1), this will be done via estimates for $k_n(x)$ for $|x| \in I_n^2 := \{uv : u, v \in I_n\}$. Recall that $I_n = [1 - a_n, 1 - b_n]$, where $a_n = d_n^{-1} = \exp(-\log^{d/4} n)$ and $b_n = d_n/n$. In what follows, we will assume that n is sufficiently large and $S_n \in \{I_n, -I_n\}$.

By assumption (A2), we can write $c_j^2 = C_1^2 j^{2\tau} (1 + o_{j,n})$ for $N_0 \leq j \leq n$, where $o_{j,n} = o_j(1)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let*

$$\tau_n^0 := \max_{\log(\log n) \leq j \leq n} |o_{j,n}| + a_n^{\tau+1/2} + a_n.$$

Then it holds uniformly for $x \in I_n^2$ that

$$k_n(x) = \frac{C_1^2 \Gamma(2\tau + 1)}{(1-x)^{2\tau+1}} (1 + O(\tau_n^0))$$

and

$$k_n(-x) = O(\tau_n^0) k_n(x).$$

Here, the implicit constants have the same possible dependence mentioned in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. Clearly, $\tau_n^0 = o(1)$. By scaling invariance, we may assume $C_1 = 1$. For $x \in I_n^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_n(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} c_j^2 x^j + \sum_{j=N_0}^n j^{2\tau} (1 + o_{j,n}) x^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} c_j^2 x^j + (1 + O(\tau_n^0)) \sum_{j=1}^n j^{2\tau} x^j + O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \log(\log n) \rfloor} j^{2\tau} x^j\right) \\ &=: h(x) + (1 + O(\tau_n^0)) v_n(x) + O(t_n(x)). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $h(x)$ is bounded uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{R} , and these bounds are independent of n . For $O(t_n(x))$, we note that

$$|t_n(x)| = O([\log(\log n)]^{2\tau+1}) = \frac{O(a_n^{\tau+1/2})}{(1-x)^{2\tau+1}} = \frac{O(\tau_n^0)}{(1-x)^{2\tau+1}}, \quad x \in I_n^2.$$

The estimate for the middle term is based on the asymptotic of $v_n(x) := \sum_{j=1}^n j^{2\tau} x^j$. For $|x| < 1$, $v_n(x)$ converges to $v_\infty(x) = \text{Li}_{-2\tau}(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\text{Li}_s(z)$ is the polylogarithm function defined by

$$\text{Li}_s(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j^s}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

It is well-known that (see [55, p. 149])

$$\text{Li}_s(z) = \Gamma(1-s)(-\log z)^{s-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \zeta(s-m) \frac{(\log z)^m}{m!},$$

for $|\log z| < 2\pi$ and $s \notin \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. Thus, uniformly for $x \in I_n^2$ (and one could also let $x \in I_n^4$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Li}_{-2\tau}(x) &= \Gamma(2\tau + 1)(-\log x)^{-2\tau-1} + O(1) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2\tau + 1)}{(1-x)^{2\tau+1}} (1 + O(\tau_n^0)), \end{aligned}$$

where in the second estimate, we implicitly used the fact that $1 - x = O(a_n) = O(\tau_n^0)$.

To estimate $\text{Li}_{-2\tau}(-x)$ for $x \in I_n^2$, we use the first estimate of the last display and the duplication formula (see [34, §7.12]),

$$\text{Li}_{-2\tau}(-x) + \text{Li}_{-2\tau}(x) = 2^{2\tau+1} \text{Li}_{-2\tau}(x^2),$$

and find that uniformly for $x \in I_n^2$,

$$\text{Li}_{-2\tau}(-x) = O(1).$$

For $|x| \in I_n^2$, we have

$$1 - |x| \geq b_n = d_n/n \quad \text{and} \quad |x|^{n+1} \leq (1 - b_n)^{2(n+1)} = O(e^{-2d_n}),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} j^{2\tau} x^j \right| &= |x|^{n+1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j + n + 1)^{2\tau} x^j \right| \\ &= |x|^{n+1} O \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^{2\tau} |x|^j + (n+1)^{2\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |x|^j \right) \\ &= |x|^{n+1} O \left(\text{Li}_{-2\tau}(|x|) + \frac{(n+1)^{2\tau}}{1 - |x|} \right) \\ &= o(e^{-d_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, uniformly for $x \in I_n^2$,

$$v_n(x) = \text{Li}_{-2\tau}(x) - \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} j^{2\tau} x^j = \frac{\Gamma(2\tau+1)}{(1-x)^{2\tau+1}} (1 + O(\tau_n^0)),$$

and

$$v_n(-x) = O(1).$$

Therefore, uniformly for $x \in I_n^2$,

$$k_n(x) = \frac{C_1^2 \Gamma(2\tau+1)}{(1-x)^{2\tau+1}} (1 + O(\tau_n^0)).$$

Since

$$k_n(-x) = h(-x) + C_1^2 \sum_{j=N_0}^n j^{2\tau} (-x)^j + C_1^2 \sum_{j=N_0}^n j^{2\tau} o_{j,n}(-x)^j,$$

it follows that

$$|k_n(-x)| \leq O(1) + O(1) + O(\tau_n^0 v_n(x)) = O(\tau_n^0) k_n(x), \quad x \in I_n^2,$$

where in the last estimate we used $a_n^{2\tau+1} = O(\tau_n^0)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The proof can also be applied to $k_n^{(i)}$ (where τ is replaced by $\tau + \frac{1}{2}i$). Note that the $o_{j,n}$ term may change, but it is not hard to see that for $i \geq 1$,

$$|c_j^2 j(j-1) \dots (j-i+1)| = C_1^2 j^{2\tau+i} (1 + O(o_{n,j}) + O(1/j)).$$

Since $(a_n)^c = O(\frac{1}{\log \log n})$ for any positive constant c , we then let

$$\tau_n := \max_{\log(\log n) \leq j \leq n} \left| \frac{|c_j|}{C_1 j^\tau} - 1 \right| + \frac{1}{\log \log n} = o(1),$$

and obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *For any $0 \leq i \leq 4$, it holds uniformly for $x \in I_n^2$ that*

$$k_n^{(i)}(x) = \frac{C_1^2 \Gamma(2\tau + i + 1)}{(1-x)^{2\tau+i+1}} (1 + O(\tau_n))$$

and

$$k_n^{(i)}(-x) = O(\tau_n) k_n^{(i)}(x).$$

Here, the implicit constants have the same possible dependence mentioned in Theorem 2.2.

For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $1 - xy \neq 0$, let us introduce the function

$$\alpha := \alpha(x, y) := 1 - \left(\frac{y-x}{1-xy} \right)^2 = \frac{(1-x^2)(1-y^2)}{(1-xy)^2}.$$

Clearly, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. It is well-known in complex analysis that

$$\varrho(z, w) := \frac{|z-w|}{|1-\bar{w}z|}$$

defines a metric on the hyperbolic disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and is known as the pseudo-hyperbolic distance on \mathbb{D} (see e.g., [23]). A related notion is

$$\frac{|x-y|^2}{(1-|x|^2)(1-|y|^2)} \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1,$$

which can be naturally extended to \mathbb{R}^n , where it is an isometric invariant for the conformal ball model $\mathbb{B}^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| < 1\}$, and the classical Poincaré metric on \mathbb{B}^n can also be computed from this invariant (see e.g., [45, §4.5]).

We first prove a property of the pseudo-hyperbolic distance that will be convenient later.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $0 \leq c < \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$ be a fixed constant. Suppose that for some $x, y \in (-1, 1)$ with the same sign, we have*

$$\varrho(x, y) \leq c.$$

Then, for every z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 between x and y , it holds that

$$\frac{1}{1-z_1 z_2} = \frac{1 + O(\varrho(x, y))}{1 - z_3 z_4}$$

and the implicit constant may depend on c . Consequently,

$$\varrho(z_1, z_2) \leq \varrho(x, y)[1 + O(\varrho(x, y))].$$

Proof. It is clear that in the conclusion of Lemma 3.3, the second desired estimate follows immediately from the first desired estimate and the inequality $|z_1 - z_2| \leq |x - y|$. Below, we prove the first estimate.

Without loss of generality, we may assume $|x| \leq |y|$. Since z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 are of the same sign, we have $x^2 \leq z_1 z_2, z_3 z_4 \leq y^2$, thus it suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{1 - y^2} = (1 + O(\varrho(x, y))) \frac{1}{1 - x^2}.$$

It follows from the given hypothesis that $\alpha = 1 - \varrho^2(x, y) \geq 1 - c^2$. Consequently,

$$\frac{|x - y|}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} \leq \frac{\varrho(x, y)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \frac{1}{1 - y^2} - \frac{1}{1 - x^2} &= \frac{y^2 - x^2}{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)} \leq \frac{2|x - y|}{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)} \\ &\leq \frac{2\varrho(x, y)/\sqrt{1 - c^2}}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)}} \\ &\leq \frac{2\varrho(x, y)/\sqrt{1 - c^2}}{1 - y^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{2\varrho(x, y)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}} \leq \frac{2c}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}} < 1$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1 - y^2} \leq \left(1 - \frac{2\varrho(x, y)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{1 - x^2} = (1 + O(\varrho(x, y))) \frac{1}{1 - x^2},$$

and the lemma follows. \square

In the following, we will establish asymptotic estimates for $r_n(x, y)$ and its partial derivatives. Under hypothesis (A2), \tilde{P}_n is very similar to a hyperbolic random polynomial. It is well-known that the root distributions of (complex) Gaussian hyperbolic polynomials are asymptotically invariant with respect to isometries of the hyperbolic disk \mathbb{D} . Thus, it seems natural to expect that the asymptotic estimates for the correlation functions of \tilde{P}_n will involve isometric invariants, such as the pseudo-hyperbolic distance. The next few lemmas will demonstrate this heuristic.

Lemma 3.4. *It holds uniformly for $(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n$ that*

$$(3.4) \quad r_n(x, y) = \alpha^{\tau+1/2} (1 + O(\tau_n))$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad 1 - r_n^2(x, y) = (1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1})(1 + O(\sqrt[4]{\tau_n})).$$

Proof. Inequality (3.4) follows immediately from (3.1) and Corollary 3.2.

To prove (3.5), we consider two cases depending on whether x and y are close in the pseudo-hyperbolic distance. Let $D := \{(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n : |\frac{y-x}{1-xy}| > \sqrt[4]{\tau_n}\}$ and $D' := (S_n \times S_n) \setminus D$ (see Figure 4).




FIGURE 4. D' is the intersection of the red region with one of the two blue squares.

First, if $(x, y) \in D$, then $1 - \alpha > \sqrt{\tau_n}$, and so by the mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1} &\geq (2\tau + 1)(1 - \alpha) \min(\alpha^{2\tau}, 1) \\ &\geq (2\tau + 1)\sqrt{\tau_n}\alpha^{2\tau+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can use (3.4) to obtain

$$1 - r_n^2(x, y) = 1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1}(1 + O(\tau_n)) = (1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1})(1 + O(\sqrt{\tau_n})),$$

which implies (3.5).

Now, if $(x, y) \in D'$, we have $\alpha = 1 + O(\sqrt{\tau_n})$. Therefore, using the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad 1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1} = (2\tau + 1)(1 - \alpha)(1 + O(\sqrt{\tau_n})).$$

Using Lemma 3.3, for any z_1, z_2 between x and y , we have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{1 - z_1 z_2} = \frac{1 + O(\sqrt[4]{\tau_n})}{1 - y^2} = \frac{1 + O(\sqrt[4]{\tau_n})}{1 - x^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{|1 - z_1 z_2|} = O(\sqrt[4]{\tau_n}).$$

Fix x . We then have

$$1 - r_n^2(x, y) = \frac{A(y)}{k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2)},$$

where $A(y) := k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2) - k_n^2(xy)$ for $y \in S_n$. Using (3.7), we find

$$k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2) = \left(\frac{C_1^2 \Gamma(2\tau + 1)}{(1 - x^2)^{2\tau+1}} \right)^2 (1 + O(\sqrt[4]{\tau_n})).$$

Now, $A(x) = 0$, $A'(x) = 0$, and for any z between x and y , we have

$$A''(z) = 2k_n(x^2)k'_n(z^2) + 4z^2k_n(x^2)k''_n(z^2) - 2x^2k''_n(xz)k_n(xz) - 2x^2(k'_n(xz))^2.$$

Using Corollary 3.2 and (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A''(z) &= \frac{C_1^4 \Gamma(2\tau + 1) \Gamma(2\tau + 2)}{(1 - x^2)^{4\tau + 4}} \left[2 + 4(2\tau + 2)(z^2 - x^2) + O(\sqrt[4]{\tau_n}) \right] \\ &= \frac{2C_1^4 \Gamma(2\tau + 1) \Gamma(2\tau + 2)}{(1 - x^2)^{4\tau + 4}} (1 + O(\sqrt[4]{\tau_n})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $A(x) = A'(x) = 0$, by the mean value theorem, there exists some z between x and y such that $A(y) = \frac{1}{2}A''(z)(y - x)^2$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - r_n^2(x, y) &= \frac{A(y)}{k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2)} = \frac{(y - x)^2 A''(z)}{2k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2)} \\ &= (2\tau + 1) \left(\frac{y - x}{1 - x^2} \right)^2 (1 + O(\sqrt[4]{\tau_n})) \\ &= (2\tau + 1) \left(\frac{y - x}{1 - xy} \right)^2 (1 + O(\sqrt[4]{\tau_n})), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (3.5) when combined with (3.6). \square

Our next task is to estimate the partial derivatives of $r_n(x, y)$. To simplify the computations and avoid messy algebra, let us introduce the function $\ell_n(x, y) := \log |r_n(x, y)|$. Note that

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial x}(x, y) = r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y), \quad \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial y}(x, y) = r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(x, y),$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\partial^2 r_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) = r_n(x, y) \left(\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) + \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(x, y) \right).$$

The following lemma indicates that one can take the natural log of (3.4) and then differentiate, and the estimates remain essentially valid.

Lemma 3.5. *It holds uniformly for $(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n$ that*

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) = \frac{2\tau + 1}{1 - x^2} \left(\frac{y - x}{1 - xy} \right) (1 + O(\sqrt{\tau_n}))$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) = \frac{2\tau + 1}{(1 - xy)^2} (1 + O(\tau_n)).$$

Proof. We start with the proof of (3.10). From (3.1), we have

$$\ell_n(x, y) = \log |k_n(xy)| - \frac{1}{2} \log k_n(x^2) - \frac{1}{2} \log k_n(y^2),$$

which leads to

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) = y \frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} - x \frac{k'_n(x^2)}{k_n(x^2)} = \frac{yk'_n(xy)k_n(x^2) - xk'_n(x^2)k_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)k_n(x^2)}.$$

Utilizing Corollary 3.2, it holds uniformly for $(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n$ that

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} = \frac{2\tau + 1}{1 - xy}(1 + O(\tau_n)) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k'_n(x^2)}{k_n(x^2)} = \frac{2\tau + 1}{1 - x^2}(1 + O(\tau_n)).$$

We now divide the proof into two cases, similar to the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Let $D := \{(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n : |\frac{y-x}{1-xy}| \geq \sqrt{\tau_n}\}$ and $D' := (S_n \times S_n) \setminus D$.

For $(x, y) \in D$, one observes that

$$\frac{1 - x^2}{|y - x|} = \frac{1 - xy}{|y - x|} + x \frac{y - x}{|y - x|} < \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tau_n}},$$

thus yielding

$$\max \left\{ \frac{\tau_n}{1 - x^2}, \frac{\tau_n}{1 - xy} \right\} < 2\sqrt{\tau_n} \frac{|y - x|}{(1 - x^2)(1 - xy)}.$$

Hence, (3.13) implies

$$\frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) = y \frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} - x \frac{k'_n(x^2)}{k_n(x^2)} = \frac{2\tau + 1}{1 - x^2} \left(\frac{y - x}{1 - xy} \right) (1 + O(\sqrt{\tau_n})).$$

We now suppose that $(x, y) \in D'$, then $\alpha = 1 + O(\tau_n)$. Using Lemma 3.3, for all z_1, z_2 between x and y , we have

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{1}{1 - z_1 z_2} = \frac{1 + O(\sqrt{\tau_n})}{1 - y^2} = \frac{1 + O(\sqrt{\tau_n})}{1 - x^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{|1 - z_1 z_2|} = O(\sqrt{\tau_n}).$$

Fix $x \in S_n$ and express $\frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y)$ as

$$\frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) = \frac{B(y)}{k_n(xy)k_n(x^2)},$$

where $B(y) := yk'_n(xy)k_n(x^2) - xk'_n(x^2)k_n(xy)$, treated as a function of $y \in S_n$. Then, $B(x) = 0$ and

$$B'(y) = k_n(x^2)[k'_n(xy) + xyk''_n(xy)] - x^2k'_n(x^2)k'_n(xy).$$

By employing Corollary 3.2 and (3.14), we find that for any z between x and y ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B'(z)}{k_n(x^2)k_n(xz)} &= \frac{2\tau + 1}{(1 - x^2)^2} \left[(1 - x^2) + xz(2\tau + 2) - x^2(2\tau + 1) + O(\sqrt{\tau_n}) \right] \\ &= \frac{2\tau + 1}{(1 - x^2)^2} [1 + (2\tau + 2)x(z - x) + O(\sqrt{\tau_n})] \\ &= \frac{2\tau + 1}{(1 - x^2)^2} [1 + O(\sqrt{\tau_n})]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using the mean value theorem and (3.14), we see that for some z between x and y ,

$$B(y) = B(x) + B'(z)(y - x) = B'(z)(y - x),$$

thus yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) &= \frac{(y - x)B'(z)}{k_n(xy)k_n(x^2)} = \frac{(2\tau + 1)(y - x)}{(1 - x^2)^2} (1 + O(\sqrt{\tau_n})) \\ &= \frac{2\tau + 1}{1 - x^2} \left(\frac{y - x}{1 - xy} \right) (1 + O(\sqrt{\tau_n})), \end{aligned}$$

and (3.10) is proved.

To establish (3.11), we utilize Corollary 3.2, leading to

$$\begin{aligned} (3.15) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) &= \frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} + xy \frac{k''_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} - xy \left(\frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{2\tau + 1}{1 - xy} + xy \frac{(2\tau + 1)(2\tau + 2)}{(1 - xy)^2} - xy \left(\frac{2\tau + 1}{1 - xy} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{\tau_n}{(1 - xy)^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{2\tau + 1}{(1 - xy)^2} (1 + O(\tau_n)), \end{aligned}$$

thereby concluding the proof. \square

As a consequence of the preceding estimates, we derive an asymptotic estimate for $\rho_n^{(1)}$.

Corollary 3.6. *Uniformly for $x \in S_n \cup (-S_n)$, it holds that*

$$(3.16) \quad \rho_n^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2\tau + 1}}{1 - x^2} (1 + O(\tau_n)).$$

We remark that a variant of (3.16) is also implicit in [18], with a stronger bound for the error term (but more stringent assumptions on c_j).

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to consider $x \in S_n$. Using $r_n(x, x) = 1$ and $\frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, x) = \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(y, y) = 0$, we see that

$$\rho_n^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\partial^2 r_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x)} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x)}.$$

Thus, (3.16) follows immediately from (3.11). \square

We now establish asymptotic estimates for $\rho_n^{(2)}$. Recall that

$$(3.17) \quad \rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(\sqrt{1 - \delta_n^2(x, y)} + \delta_n(x, y) \arcsin \delta_n(x, y) \right) \frac{\sigma_n(x, y)}{\sqrt{1 - r_n^2(x, y)}},$$

where, using (3.8) and (3.9), we write σ_n and δ_n as

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_n(x, y) &= \pi^2 \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) \\ &\times \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{(r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y))^2}{(1 - r_n^2(x, y)) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{(r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(x, y))^2}{(1 - r_n^2(x, y)) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(y, y)}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad \delta_n(x, y) = \frac{r_n(x, y)}{\sigma_n(x, y)} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) + \frac{\frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(x, y)}{1 - r_n^2(x, y)} \right).$$

To keep the proof from being too long, we separate the estimates into several lemmas.

Lemma 3.7. *Uniformly for $(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n$, it holds that*

$$(3.20) \quad \rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) = \frac{2\tau + 1}{\pi^2} \frac{1 + f_\tau(\alpha)}{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)} (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})),$$

where f_τ is defined as in (1.5). Furthermore, there exists a positive constant $\alpha_0 > 0$ (independent of n , but possibly dependent on the implicit constants and rate of convergence in conditions (A1) and (A2)) such that when $\alpha \leq \alpha_0$, the following holds

$$(3.21) \quad \rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) - \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) = \frac{O(\alpha^{2\tau+1})}{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)}.$$

Proof. (i) We begin with (3.20). To establish this, we first derive asymptotic estimates for $\sigma_n(x, y)$ and $\delta_n(x, y)$.

For $\sigma_n(x, y)$, we first show that

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} &(1 - r_n^2(x, y)) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x) - \left(r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{2\tau + 1}{(1 - x^2)^2} (1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1} - (2\tau + 1)(1 - \alpha)\alpha^{2\tau+1}) (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})). \end{aligned}$$

Define $g(u) = 1 - u^{2\tau+1} - (2\tau + 1)(1 - u)u^{2\tau+1}$ for $u \in [0, 1]$. It is evident that g is non-increasing on $[0, 1]$ and $g(1) = 0$. Hence, $g(\alpha) \geq 0$, implying

$$1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1} \geq (2\tau + 1)(1 - \alpha)\alpha^{2\tau+1}.$$

We then consider two cases. First, if $\sqrt[16]{\tau_n}(1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1}) \leq g(\alpha)$, then (3.22) follows immediately from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5.

Now, if $\sqrt[16]{\tau_n}(1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1}) > g(\alpha)$, we will show that x and y are close in the pseudo-hyperbolic distance, namely,

$$\frac{|x - y|}{|1 - xy|} = O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n}).$$

To see this, note that on $[0, 1)$ the inequality $g(u) - \sqrt[8]{\tau_n}(1 - u^{2\tau+1}) \leq 0$ implies

$$u^{2\tau+1} \geq (1 - \sqrt[8]{\tau_n}) \frac{1 - u^{2\tau+1}}{(2\tau+1)(1-u)} \geq (1 - \sqrt[8]{\tau_n}) \min(1, u^{2\tau}),$$

thanks to the mean value theorem. This further implies

$$u \geq \min \left(1 - \sqrt[8]{\tau_n}, (1 - \sqrt[8]{\tau_n})^{1/(2\tau+1)} \right) = 1 - O(\sqrt[8]{\tau_n}),$$

thus yielding $\alpha = 1 + O(\sqrt[8]{\tau_n})$ as desired.

Now, since $g(1) = g'(1) = 0$ and $g''(t) = (2\tau+2)(2\tau+1)(1 + O(\sqrt[8]{\tau_n}))$ for every $t \in [\alpha, 1]$, we can apply the mean value theorem to rewrite the right-hand side (RHS) of (3.22) as

$$(3.23) \quad \text{RHS} = \frac{(2\tau+1)^2(\tau+1)}{(1-x^2)^2} (1-\alpha)^2 (1 + O(\sqrt[8]{\tau_n})).$$

Fix x . We can rewrite the left-hand side of (3.22) as

$$(1 - r_n^2(x, y)) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) - \left(r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) \right)^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{2} A(y) A''(x) - B^2(y)}{k_n^3(x^2) k_n(y^2)},$$

recalling that $A(y) = k_n(x^2) k_n(y^2) - k_n^2(xy)$ and $B(y) = y k'_n(xy) k_n(x^2) - x k'_n(x^2) k_n(xy)$. Let $C(y) := \frac{1}{2} A(y) A''(x) - B^2(y)$. We check at once that

$$\begin{aligned} C(x) &= C'(x) = 0, \\ C''(x) &= \frac{1}{2} [A''(x)]^2 - 2[B'(x)]^2 = 0, \\ C'''(x) &= \frac{1}{2} A''(x) [A'''(x) - 6B''(x)] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and for all z between x and y ,

$$C^{(4)}(z) = \frac{1}{2} A^{(4)}(z) A''(x) - 2B(z) B^{(4)}(z) - 8B'(z) B'''(z) - 6[B''(z)]^2.$$

Using Lemma 3.3, it follows that for all z_1, z_2 between x and y , we have

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{1}{1 - z_1 z_2} = \frac{1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})}{1 - y^2} = \frac{1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})}{1 - x^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{|1 - z_1 z_2|} = O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n}).$$

Using Corollary 3.2 and (3.24), we obtain

$$k_n^3(x^2) k_n(y^2) = \left(\frac{C_1^2 \Gamma(2\tau+1)}{(1-x^2)^{2\tau+1}} \right)^4 (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})),$$

and for any z between x and y , by arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(z) &= \frac{C_1^4 \Gamma(2\tau+1) \Gamma(2\tau+2)}{(1-x^2)^{4\tau+4}} (z-x) \left(1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n}) \right) \\ &= \frac{C_1^4 \Gamma(2\tau+1) \Gamma(2\tau+2)}{(1-x^2)^{4\tau+3}} O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n}), \end{aligned}$$

and for $i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
B^{(i)}(z) &= k_n(x^2) \left[z k_n^{(i+1)}(xz) x^i + i k_n^{(i)}(xz) x^{i-1} \right] - k_n'(x^2) k_n^{(i)}(xz) x^{i+1} \\
&= \frac{C_1^4 \Gamma(2\tau+1) \Gamma(2\tau+i+1)}{(1-x^2)^{4\tau+i+3}} \\
&\quad \times ((2\tau+i+1) z x^i + i x^{i-1} (1-x^2) - (2\tau+1) x^{i+1} + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})) \\
&= \frac{C_1^4 \Gamma(2\tau+1) \Gamma(2\tau+i+1)}{(1-x^2)^{4\tau+i+3}} (i x^{i-1} + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
A''(x) &= \frac{2C_1^4 \Gamma(2\tau+1) \Gamma(2\tau+2)}{(1-x^2)^{4\tau+4}} (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})), \\
A^{(4)}(z) &= 16k_n^{(4)}(z^2) k_n(x^2) (1 + O(\tau_n)) - 2k_n^{(4)}(xz) k_n(xz) \\
&\quad - 8k_n'''(xz) k_n'(xz) - 6(k_n''(xz))^2 \\
&= \frac{C_1^4 \Gamma(2\tau+5) \Gamma(2\tau+1)}{(1-x^2)^{4\tau+6}} \\
&\quad \times \left[14 - \frac{8(2\tau+1)}{2\tau+4} - \frac{6(2\tau+2)(2\tau+1)}{(2\tau+4)(2\tau+3)} + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n}) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$C^{(4)}(z) = \frac{24C_1^8(\tau+1)\Gamma^2(2\tau+2)\Gamma^2(2\tau+1)}{(1-x^2)^{8\tau+10}} (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})).$$

Remark 3.8. We may arrive at this estimate by formally differentiating the leading asymptotics of $C(y)$ (obtained using Corollary 3.2) with respect to y , and then letting $y = x$. In general, when $\varrho(x, y)$ is $o(1)$ small, there might be cancellation inside the differentiated asymptotics. In such cases, the expression obtained from the formal differentiation may no longer be the leading asymptotics for the underlying derivative of $C(y)$. Lemma 3.3 is useful in examining the differentiated asymptotics, effectively allowing us to set $y = x$ at the cost of error terms of (theoretically) smaller orders.

Now, applying the mean value theorem and (3.24), we find that

$$\frac{C(y)}{k_n^3(x^2) k_n(y^2)} = \frac{C^{(4)}(z) \frac{(y-x)^4}{4!}}{k_n^3(x^2) k_n(y^2)} = \frac{(2\tau+1)^2(\tau+1)}{(1-x^2)^2} (1-\alpha)^2 (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})),$$

which gives (3.22) when combined with (3.23).

From (3.22), it holds uniformly for $(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n$ that

$$1 - \frac{(r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y))^2}{(1 - r_n^2(x, y)) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x)} = \left(1 - \frac{(2\tau+1)(1-\alpha)\alpha^{2\tau+1}}{1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1}} \right) (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})).$$

Likewise,

$$1 - \frac{(r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(x, y))^2}{(1 - r_n^2(x, y)) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(y, y)} = \left(1 - \frac{(2\tau + 1)(1 - \alpha)\alpha^{2\tau+1}}{1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1}}\right) (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})).$$

Combining the above estimates with (3.18), we get

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{\sigma_n(x, y)}{\pi^2 \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y)} = \left(1 - \frac{(2\tau + 1)(1 - \alpha)\alpha^{2\tau+1}}{1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1}}\right) (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})).$$

For the asymptotics for $\delta_n(x, y)$, we now show that

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) + \frac{\frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(x, y)}{1 - r_n^2(x, y)} \\ &= \frac{2\tau + 1}{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)} \left(\alpha - \frac{(2\tau + 1)(1 - \alpha)}{1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1}} \right) (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})). \end{aligned}$$

The argument is similar to the proof of (3.22), so we will only mention the key steps. We may assume $\alpha = 1 + O(\sqrt[8]{\tau_n})$, otherwise (3.26) will follow from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5. With this constraint on α , we have

$$\alpha - \frac{(2\tau + 1)(1 - \alpha)}{1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(\alpha - 1)^2(2\tau + 1)(2\tau + 2)}{1 - \alpha^{2\tau+1}} \right) (1 + O(\sqrt[8]{\tau_n})).$$

Now, arguing as before and taking advantage of Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.3, and Corollary 3.2, it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y)(1 - r_n^2(x, y)) + \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(x, y) \\ &= -\frac{(2\tau + 1)^2(\tau + 1)(y - x)^4}{(1 - x^2)^4} (1 + O(\sqrt[8]{\tau_n})). \end{aligned}$$

Fix x . We then write the left hand side as $\frac{E(y)}{k_n^2(xy)k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} E(y) &= A(y)a(y) + B(y)b(y), \\ a(y) &:= [k'_n(xy) + k''_n(xy)xy]k_n(xy) - xy[k'_n(xy)]^2, \\ b(y) &:= xk'_n(xy)k_n(y^2) - yk'_n(y^2)k_n(xy). \end{aligned}$$

One can check that, as a function of y , $E(x) = E'(x) = E''(x) = E'''(x) = 0$. Indeed, by direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= A'(x) = b(x) = B(x) = 0, \\ A''(x) &= -2b'(x) = 2B'(x) = 2a(x), \\ A'''(x) &= -2b''(x) = 6B''(x) = 6a'(x), \end{aligned}$$

from which one can see that E vanishes up to the third derivative at $y = x$. Furthermore, using Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.2, we can show that, for all z between x and y ,

$$E^{(4)}(z) = -\frac{24(2\tau + 1)^2(\tau + 1)C_1^4(\Gamma(2\tau + 1))^4}{(1 - x^2)^{8\tau+8}} (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})),$$

which implies the desired estimate, thanks to an application of the mean value theorem.

Below, we include some computations in the spirit of Remark 3.8.

For simplicity, let $D_1 = C_1^2 \Gamma(2\tau + 1) \Gamma(2\tau + 2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
E(y) &= \frac{D_1^2}{2\tau + 1} \left(\frac{1}{(1-x^2)^{2\tau+1}(1-y^2)^{2\tau+1}} - \frac{1}{(1-xy)^{4\tau+2}} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{(1-xy)^{4\tau+3}} + \frac{(2\tau+2)xy}{(1-xy)^{4\tau+4}} - \frac{(2\tau+1)xy}{(1-xy)^{4\tau+4}} \right) \\
&\quad + D_1^2 \left(\frac{y}{(1-xy)^{2\tau+2}(1-x^2)^{2\tau+1}} - \frac{x}{(1-x^2)^{2\tau+2}(1-xy)^{2\tau+1}} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{x}{(1-xy)^{2\tau+2}(1-y^2)^{2\tau+1}} - \frac{y}{(1-y^2)^{2\tau+2}(1-xy)^{2\tau+1}} \right) \\
&\quad + \text{lower order terms} \\
&= \frac{D_1^2}{2\tau + 1} \left(\frac{1}{(1-x^2)^{2\tau+1}(1-y^2)^{2\tau+1}} - \frac{1}{(1-xy)^{4\tau+2}} \right) \frac{1}{(1-xy)^{4\tau+4}} \\
&\quad + D_1^2 \left(\frac{-(y-x)^2}{(1-xy)^{4\tau+4}(1-x^2)^{2\tau+2}(1-y^2)^{2\tau+2}} \right) \\
&\quad + \text{lower order terms,}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^k}{dy^k} \left(\frac{1}{(1-y^2)^{2\tau+1}} \right) &= \frac{(2y)^k (2\tau+1) \dots (2\tau+k)}{(1-y^2)^{2\tau+k+1}} + \text{lower order terms,} \\
\frac{d^k}{dy^k} \left(\frac{1}{(1-xy)^{2\tau+1}} \right) &= \frac{x^k (2\tau+1) \dots (2\tau+k)}{(1-xy)^{2\tau+k+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{(4)}(y) &= \frac{D_1^2 2(\tau+1)}{(2\tau+1)(1-x^2)^{8\tau+10}} \left(16(2\tau+1)(2\tau+3)(2\tau+4) \right. \\
&\quad + 32(2\tau+1)(2\tau+3)(4\tau+4) + 24(2\tau+1)(4\tau+4)(4\tau+5) \\
&\quad + 8(2\tau+1)(2)(4\tau+5)(4\tau+6) + 2(4\tau+5)(4\tau+6)(4\tau+7) \\
&\quad - 4(8\tau+6)(8\tau+7)(8\tau+9) - 24(2\tau+1)(4\tau+5) \\
&\quad \left. - 96(2\tau+1)(2\tau+2) - 48(2\tau+1)(2\tau+3) \right) \\
&\quad + \text{lower order terms} \\
&= \frac{(-24)D_1^2(\tau+1)}{(1-x^2)^{8\tau+10}} + \text{lower order terms,}
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

On account of (3.19), (3.25), and Lemma 3.4, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_n(x, y) &= \alpha^{\tau+1/2} \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha^{2\tau+1}) - (2\tau+1)(1-\alpha)}{1-\alpha^{2\tau+1} - (2\tau+1)\alpha^{2\tau+1}(1-\alpha)} (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})) \\
&= \Delta_\tau(\alpha) (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})),
\end{aligned}$$

where Δ_τ is defined by (1.6). Let

$$\Lambda(\delta) := \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} + \delta \arcsin \delta, \quad \delta \in [-1, 1].$$

Since $\Lambda(\delta) \geq 1$ and $|\Lambda'(\delta)| = |\arcsin \delta| \leq \pi/2$ for all $\delta \in (-1, 1)$, and $|\Delta_\tau(\alpha)| \leq 1$ for all $(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n$, it follows from the mean value theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\delta_n(x, y)) &= \Lambda(\Delta_\tau(\alpha)) + O(|\Delta_\tau(\alpha)| O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})) \\ (3.27) \quad &= \Lambda(\Delta_\tau(\alpha))(1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.5), (3.25), and (3.27) into (3.17), we deduce (3.20) as claimed.

(ii) We now discuss the proof of (3.21). In the computation below, we will assume $\alpha \leq \alpha_0$, a sufficiently small positive constant. Using Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\frac{(r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y))^2}{(1 - r_n^2(x, y)) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y)} = \frac{O(\alpha^{2\tau+1}) O\left(\frac{1}{(1-x^2)^2}\right)}{(1 + O(\alpha^{2\tau+1})) \frac{1}{(1-x^2)^2}} = 1 + O(\alpha^{2\tau+1}).$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{(r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(x, y))^2}{(1 - r_n^2(x, y)) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(y, y)} = 1 + O(\alpha^{2\tau+1}).$$

Using (3.18), it follows that

$$\sigma_n(x, y) = \pi^2 \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) (1 + O(\alpha^{2\tau+1})).$$

From Lemma 3.5, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) + \frac{\frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(x, y)}{1 - r_n^2(x, y)} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{(1 - xy)^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{(x - y)^2}{(1 - xy)^2 (1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)} \frac{1}{1 + O(\alpha^{2\tau+1})}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (3.19) that

$$\delta_n(x, y) = O(\alpha^{\tau+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Recall that $\Lambda(\delta) = \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} + \delta \arcsin \delta$, which satisfies $\Lambda'(0) = 0$ and $\Lambda''(\delta) = O(1)$ for δ near 0. Thus, $\Lambda(\delta) = 1 + O(\delta^2)$ near 0. Consequently, using Lemma 3.4 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_n^{(2)}(x, y)}{\rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y)} &= \Lambda(\delta_n(x, y)) \frac{\sigma_n(x, y)}{\pi^2 \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) \sqrt{1 - r_n^2(x, y)}} \\ &= (1 + O(\delta_n(x, y)^2)) (1 + O(\alpha^{2\tau+1})) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + O(\alpha^{2\tau+1})}} \\ &= 1 + O(\alpha^{2\tau+1}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.7. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Uniformly for $(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n$, it holds that*

$$(3.28) \quad \rho_n^{(2)}(-x, y) - \rho_n^{(1)}(-x)\rho_n^{(1)}(y) = \frac{2\tau + 1}{\pi^2} \frac{\alpha^{2\tau+1}}{(1-x^2)(1-y^2)} o(1).$$

Proof. Using (3.1) and Corollary 3.2, we have

$$r_n(-x, y) = \frac{k_n(-x, y)}{\sqrt{k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2)}} = \frac{O(\tau_n)k_n(x, y)}{\sqrt{k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2)}} = O(\tau_n)r_n(x, y) = o(1).$$

Now, by explicit computation (see also (3.12) and (3.15)),

$$\frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(-x, y) = y \frac{k'_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} + x \frac{k'_n(x^2)}{k_n(x^2)}.$$

Therefore, using (3.11) and Corollary 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(r_n(-x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(-x, y))^2}{\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(-x, -x)} \right| &\leq \left| \frac{2}{\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(-x, -x)} \frac{(k'_n(-xy))^2}{k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2)} \right| \\ &\quad + \left| 2r_n^2(-x, y) \frac{\left(\frac{k'_n(x^2)}{k_n(x^2)}\right)^2}{\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(-x, -x)} \right| \\ &= \frac{(1-x^2)^2}{(1-xy)^2} O(\tau_n^2) r_n^2(x, y) + O(r_n^2(-x, y)) \\ &= O(\tau_n^2) |r_n(x, y)|^2 = O(\tau_n^2) \alpha^{2\tau+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{(r_n(-x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(-x, y))^2}{(1-r_n^2(-x, y)) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(y, y)} = O(\tau_n^2) \alpha^{2\tau+1}.$$

Substituting these estimates into (3.18) yields

$$\sigma_n(-x, y) = \pi^2 \rho_n^{(1)}(-x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) (1 + O(\tau_n^2) \alpha^{2\tau+1}), \quad (x, y) \in S_n \times S_n.$$

Similarly, it holds uniformly for $(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n$ that

$$(3.29) \quad \delta_n(-x, y) = O(\tau_n) |r_n(x, y)| = O(\tau_n) \alpha^{\tau+1/2}.$$

While the proof is fairly similar, we include the details because there is an artificial singular term that appears when we use ℓ_n (instead of r_n) to compute δ_n via (3.19). To start, by explicit computation (see also (3.15)), we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(-x, y) = \frac{k'_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} - xy \frac{k''_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} + xy \left(\frac{k'_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} \right)^2,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_n(-x, y) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(-x, y) &= \frac{O(|k'_n(-xy)| + |k''_n(-xy)|)}{\sqrt{k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2)}} \\
 &\quad + r_n(-x, y)xy \left(\frac{k'_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} \right)^2 \\
 &= O(\tau_n) \frac{r_n(x, y)}{(1-xy)^2} + r_n(-x, y)xy \left(\frac{k'_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{O(\tau_n r_n(x, y))}{(1-x^2)(1-y^2)} + r_n(-x, y)xy \left(\frac{k'_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_n(-x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(-x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(-x, y) &= r_n(-x, y) \left(y \frac{k'_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} + x \frac{k'_n(x^2)}{k_n(x^2)} \right) \left(-x \frac{k'_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} - y \frac{k'_n(y^2)}{k_n(y^2)} \right) \\
 &= -r_n(-x, y)xy \left(\frac{k'_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} \right)^2 \\
 &\quad + O(\tau_n r_n(x, y)) \left(\frac{1}{1-xy} \frac{1}{1-x^2} + \frac{1}{1-xy} \frac{1}{1-y^2} + \frac{1}{1-x^2} \frac{1}{1-y^2} \right) \\
 &= -r_n(-x, y)xy \left(\frac{k'_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} \right)^2 + \frac{O(\tau_n r_n(x, y))}{(1-x^2)(1-y^2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.19) and the above estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_n(-x, y) &= \frac{r_n(-x, y)}{\sigma_n(-x, y)} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(-x, y) + \frac{\frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(-x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(-x, y)}{1 - r_n^2(-x, y)} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n(-x, y)} \frac{O(\tau_n r_n(x, y))}{(1-x^2)(1-y^2)} \\
 &\quad + \frac{r_n(-x, y)}{\sigma_n(-x, y)} xy \left(\frac{k'_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 - r_n^2(-x, y)} \right) \\
 &= O(\tau_n r_n(x, y)) + O\left(\frac{|r_n(-x, y)|^3}{|\sigma_n(-x, y)|} \left| \frac{k'_n(-xy)}{k_n(-xy)} \right|^2 \right) \\
 &= O(\tau_n r_n(x, y)) + O(\tau_n^3 r_n^3(x, y)) \\
 &= O(\tau_n r_n(x, y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (3.29).

Now, note that $\Lambda(\delta) = 1 + O(\delta^2)$ near 0, so it follows that

$$\Lambda(\delta_n(-x, y)) = 1 + O(\tau_n^2) \alpha^{2\tau+1}.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_n^{(2)}(-x, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \Lambda(\delta_n(-x, y)) \frac{\sigma_n(-x, y)}{\sqrt{1 - r_n^2(-x, y)}} \\ &= \rho_n^{(1)}(-x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) (1 + O(\tau_n^2) \alpha^{2\tau+1}),\end{aligned}$$

which yields (3.28) when combined with (3.16). \square

Note that the above analysis is directly applicable only to estimating the variances of the numbers of real roots inside subsets of $(-1, 1)$. For $(-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$, we will pass to the reciprocal polynomial

$$Q_n(x) := \frac{x^n}{c_n} \tilde{P}_n(1/x),$$

which converts the roots of \tilde{P}_n in $(-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$ to the roots of Q_n in $(-1, 1)$. Note that

$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \tilde{\xi}_{n-j} \frac{c_{n-j}}{c_n} x^j$$

is also a Gaussian random polynomial. Let $k_{Q_n}(x)$ denote the corresponding variance function,

$$k_{Q_n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{c_{n-j}^2}{c_n^2} x^j = \frac{x^n k_n(1/x)}{c_n^2}.$$

Recall that $I_n = [1 - a_n, 1 - b_n]$ where $a_n = d_n^{-1} = \exp(-\log^{d/4} n)$ and $b_n = d_n/n$. As we will see, for $x \in I_n$, $k_{Q_n}(x)$ converges to $\frac{1}{1-x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which suggests that Q_n would behave like a classical Kac polynomial (this heuristics is well-known, see e.g., [18]).

Let

$$(3.30) \quad e_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n\sqrt{a_n}} \left| \frac{|c_{n-j}|}{|c_n|} - 1 \right| + \frac{1}{\log \log n},$$

we will show the following.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\rho_{Q_n}^{(1)}$ and $\rho_{Q_n}^{(2)}$, respectively, denote the 1-point and 2-point correlation functions for the real roots of Q_n . Fix $S_n \in \{-I_n, I_n\}$. It holds uniformly for $x \in S_n$ that*

$$\rho_{Q_n}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 - x^2} (1 + O(e_n)).$$

Uniformly for $(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n$,

$$\rho_{Q_n}^{(2)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{1 + f_0(\alpha)}{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)} (1 + O(\sqrt[16]{e_n})),$$

where f_0 is defined as in (1.5) with $\tau = 0$. Furthermore, there is a positive constant $\alpha_1 > 0$ (independent of n , but possibly dependent on the implicit

constants and rate of convergence in conditions (A1) and (A2) such that when $\alpha \leq \alpha_1$, the following holds

$$\rho_{Q_n}^{(2)}(x, y) - \rho_{Q_n}^{(1)}(x)\rho_{Q_n}^{(1)}(y) = \frac{O(\alpha)}{(1-x^2)(1-y^2)}.$$

Also, uniformly for $(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n$,

$$\rho_{Q_n}^{(2)}(-x, y) - \rho_{Q_n}^{(1)}(-x)\rho_{Q_n}^{(1)}(y) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{\alpha}{(1-x^2)(1-y^2)} o(1).$$

Explicitly, we have

$$f_0(u) = (\sqrt{1-u} + \sqrt{u} \arcsin \sqrt{u})\sqrt{1-u} - 1.$$

Proof. Write

$$k_{Q_n}(x) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n\sqrt{a_n}} \frac{c_{n-j}^2}{c_n^2} x^j + \sum_{n\sqrt{a_n} < j \leq n} \frac{c_{n-j}^2}{c_n^2} x^j.$$

By the assumption (A2),

$$\frac{c_{n-j}^2}{c_n^2} = \begin{cases} 1 + o_n(1) & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq n\sqrt{a_n}, \\ O(n^{|2\tau|}) & \text{if } n\sqrt{a_n} < j \leq n, \end{cases}$$

where $o_n(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $x \in I_n^2$, it holds that

$$n^{|2\tau|+1} x^{n\sqrt{a_n}} \leq n^{|2\tau|+1} (1 - b_n)^{2n\sqrt{a_n}} = O(e^{-\sqrt{d_n}}) = O(a_n).$$

Consequently, by putting

$$e_n^0 := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n\sqrt{a_n}} \left| \frac{|c_{n-j}|}{|c_n|} - 1 \right| + a_n,$$

we see that uniformly for $x \in I_n^2$,

$$k_{Q_n}(x) = \frac{1}{1-x} (1 + O(e_n^0))$$

and

$$k_{Q_n}(-x) = O(1) + O(e_n^0)k_{Q_n}(x) = O(e_n^0)k_{Q_n}(x).$$

For the derivatives of k_{Q_n} , we will similarly compare them with the corresponding derivatives of the analogue of k_{Q_n} for the classical Kac polynomials, obtaining analogues of Lemma 3.1 (more precisely, Corollary 3.2) for k_{Q_n} . Note that to account for the derivatives of k_{Q_n} , one has to add an $O(1/j)$ term to e_n^0 (where $j \geq \log \log n$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.1). Thus, with e_n defined by (3.30) (which dominates e_n^0), we will have

$$(3.31) \quad k_{Q_n}^{(j)}(x) = \frac{j!}{(1-x)^{1+j}} (1 + O(e_n)) \quad \text{and} \quad k_{Q_n}^{(j)}(-x) = O(e_n)k_{Q_n}^{(j)}(x)$$

uniformly for $x \in I_2^2$, for $j = 0, 1, \dots, 4$. In other words, e_n plays the same role as τ_n in the prior treatment of \tilde{P}_n inside $(-1, 1)$.

Let $r_{Q_n}(x, y)$ denote the normalized correlator of Q_n ; that is,

$$r_{Q_n}(x, y) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[Q_n(x)Q_n(y)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Q_n(x)] \text{Var}[Q_n(y)]}} = \frac{k_{Q_n}(xy)}{\sqrt{k_{Q_n}(x^2)k_{Q_n}(y^2)}}.$$

It follows from (3.31) that, uniformly for $(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n$,

$$r_{Q_n}(x, y) = \left[\frac{(1-x^2)(1-y^2)}{(1-xy)^2} \right]^{1/2} (1 + O(e_n)) = \alpha^{1/2}(x, y)(1 + O(e_n)),$$

and

$$r_{Q_n}(-x, y) = O(e_n)r_{Q_n}(x, y).$$

The rest of the proof is entirely similar to the prior treatment for \tilde{P}_n , with $\tau = 0$ and $C_1 = 1$. \square

Our next task is to estimate the two-point real correlation function for \tilde{P}_n between the roots inside $(-1, 1)$ and those outside $(-1, 1)$.

Lemma 3.11. *Fix $S_n \in \{-I_n, I_n\}$ and $T_n \in \{-I_n^{-1}, I_n^{-1}\}$. It holds uniformly for $(x, y) \in S_n \times T_n$ that*

$$(3.32) \quad r_n(x, y) = o(e^{-d_n/2})$$

and

$$(3.33) \quad \rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) - \rho_n^{(1)}(x)\rho_n^{(1)}(y) = \rho_n^{(1)}(x)\rho_n^{(1)}(y)o(e^{-d_n/2}),$$

where $\rho_n^{(1)}(x)$ satisfies (3.16) and

$$(3.34) \quad \rho_n^{(1)}(y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{y^2 - 1} (1 + O(e_n)), \quad y \in T_n.$$

Proof. It is well-known that $\rho_n^{(1)}(y) = \frac{1}{y^2} \rho_{Q_n}^{(1)}(\frac{1}{y})$, which can be demonstrated through a change of variables (see, e.g., [18]) or explicit computations. Recall the definition of e_n from (3.30). Applying (3.31) and proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we see that

$$\rho_n^{(1)}(y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{y^2 - 1} (1 + O(e_n)), \quad y \in T_n,$$

which gives (3.34). It also follows that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(y, y) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 (y^2 - 1)^2} (1 + O(e_n)).$$

Since $k_n(x^2) \gg 1$ for $x \in S_n$, to show (3.32), it suffices to prove that

$$(3.35) \quad |k_n^{(m)}(xy)| = o\left(e^{-2d_n/3} \sqrt{k_n(y^2)}\right),$$

for any bounded integer $m \geq 0$. To see this, first note that from the polynomial growth of c_j , we obtain

$$|k_n^{(m)}(xy)| = O(n^{2\tau+1+m}(|xy|^{n+1} + 1)).$$

Using $k_n(y) = c_n^2 y^n k_{Q_n}(1/y)$ and the asymptotic behavior of k_{Q_n} given in (3.31), we see that

$$k_n(y^2) = c_n^2 \frac{y^{2n+2}}{y^2 - 1} (1 + o(1)) \gg n^{2\tau} |y|^{2(n+1)}, \quad y \in T_n.$$

For $(x, y) \in S_n \times T_n$ and any bounded constant c , we have

$$n^c |x|^n = O(n^c (1 - b_n)^n) = o(e^{-2d_n/3}), \quad \text{and similarly} \quad \frac{n^c}{|y|^n} = o(e^{-2d_n/3}).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{k_n^{(m)}(xy)}{\sqrt{k_n(y^2)}} \right| &= O\left(\frac{n^{O(1)}}{\sqrt{k_n(y^2)}} + \frac{n^{O(1)} |x|^{n+1} |y|^{n+1}}{\sqrt{k_n(y^2)}} \right) \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{n^{O(1)}}{|y|^{n+1}} \right) + O\left(n^{O(1)} |x|^{n+1} \right) \\ &= o(e^{-2d_n/3}), \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of (3.35), and thus verifying (3.32).

To prove (3.33), we first employ the explicit computation of $\frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}$ (see (3.12)) to estimate

$$\left| \frac{(r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y))^2}{\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{2y^2}{\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x)} \frac{(k'_n(xy))^2}{k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2)} \right| + \left| 2r_n^2(x, y) \frac{\left(\frac{k'_n(x^2)}{k_n(x^2)} \right)^2}{\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x)} \right|.$$

Using (3.35), we have

$$\frac{|k'_n(xy)|^2}{k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2)} = o(e^{-d_n}), \quad (x, y) \in S_n \times T_n.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 3.2 that

$$\frac{2y^2}{\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x)} = o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\left(\frac{k'_n(x^2)}{k_n(x^2)} \right)^2}{\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x)} = O(1).$$

Together with (3.32), we obtain

$$(3.36) \quad 1 - \frac{(r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y))^2}{(1 - r_n^2(x, y)) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, x)} = 1 + o(e^{-d_n}), \quad (x, y) \in S_n \times T_n.$$

Similarly, we also have

$$(3.37) \quad 1 - \frac{(r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(x, y))^2}{(1 - r_n^2(x, y)) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(y, y)} = 1 + o(e^{-d_n}), \quad (x, y) \in S_n \times T_n.$$

Substituting (3.36) and (3.37) into (3.18) yields

$$\sigma_n(x, y) = \pi^2 \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) (1 + o(e^{-d_n})), \quad (x, y) \in S_n \times T_n.$$

In the same manner, we can show that

$$\delta_n(x, y) = o(e^{-d_n/2}), \quad (x, y) \in S_n \times T_n.$$

To prove this, we proceed similarly to the proof of (3.29) in Lemma 3.9. Recall from (3.15) that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) = \frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} + xy \frac{k''_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} - xy \left(\frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} \right)^2,$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_n}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) &= \frac{O(|k'_n(xy)| + |k''_n(xy)|)}{\sqrt{k_n(x^2)k_n(y^2)}} - r_n(x, y) xy \left(\frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} \right)^2 \\ &= o(e^{-d_n/2}) - r_n(x, y) xy \left(\frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (3.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(x, y) &= r_n(x, y) \left(y \frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} - x \frac{k'_n(x^2)}{k_n(x^2)} \right) \left(x \frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} - y \frac{k'_n(y^2)}{k_n(y^2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As we will see, the main term on the right-hand side is $r_n(x, y) xy \left(\frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} \right)^2$. In the estimate below, we will use the crude estimate $|k'_n(t^2)/k_n(t^2)| \leq n$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Combining with (3.35), it follows that

$$r_n(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial x}(x, y) \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial y}(x, y) = r_n(x, y) xy \left(\frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} \right)^2 + o(e^{-d_n/2}).$$

Since $r_n(x, y) = o(e^{-d_n/2})$ and $\sigma_n(x, y) \gg 1$ (as proved above), using (3.19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n(x, y)} \left[r_n(x, y) xy \left(\frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{1 - r_n(x, y)^2} - 1 \right) + o(e^{-d_n/2}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n(x, y)} \left[\left(\frac{k'_n(xy)}{k_n(xy)} \right)^2 O(|r_n(x, y)|^3) + o(e^{-d_n/2}) \right] \\ &= o(e^{-d_n/2}), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired claim $\delta_n(x, y) = o(e^{-d_n/2})$.

Together with (3.17), we deduce (3.33) as desired. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

From Lemma 3.11, it follows that the numbers of real roots of \tilde{P}_n in $S_n \in \{-I_n, I_n\}$ and in $T_n \in \{-I_n^{-1}, I_n^{-1}\}$ are asymptotically independent.

Indeed, on account of (3.33) and (3.16), we have

$$\text{Cov}[\tilde{N}_n(S_n), \tilde{N}_n(T_n)] = \int_{S_n} dx \int_{T_n} \left(\rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) - \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) \right) dy$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= o(e^{-d_n/2}) \int_{S_n} \frac{1}{1-x^2} dx \int_{T_n} \frac{1}{y^2-1} dy \\
 &= o(e^{-d_n/2}) O(\log^2 n) \\
 &= o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\text{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(\mathcal{I}_n)] = \text{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(-I_n \cup I_n)] + \text{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(-I_n^{-1} \cup I_n^{-1})] + o(1).$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 2.2 now naturally divides into Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3. Before stating and proving these lemmas, we gather some basic facts about f_τ that will be convenient for the proof.

Lemma 4.1. *For $\tau > -1/2$, it holds that $\sup_{u \in [0,1]} |f_\tau(u)| < \infty$ and*

$$f_\tau(u) = \begin{cases} O(u^{2\tau+1}) & \text{as } u \rightarrow 0^+, \\ -1 + O(\sqrt{1-u}) & \text{as } u \rightarrow 1^-. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, given any $\varepsilon' \in (0, 1/2)$, f_τ is real analytic on $(\varepsilon', 1 - \varepsilon')$. In particular, the equation $f_\tau(u) = 0$ has at most finitely many real roots in $(0, 1)$, each of which has a finite vanishing order.

Proof. The estimates near 0 and 1 for f_τ follow directly from (1.5) and Taylor expansions. Specifically, for u near 0, one has $f_\tau(u) = 2\tau^2 u^{2\tau+1} (1 + o(1))$ if $\tau \neq 0$, and for $\tau = 0$, $f_0(u) = (-\frac{1}{3})u^2(1 + o(1))$. These endpoint estimates demonstrate that the roots do not accumulate at 0 or 1 (see Figure 5).





FIGURE 5. Plots of f_τ on $[0, 1]$ when $\tau = 1, 0, -\frac{1}{4}$.

Recall the definition of $\Delta_\tau(u)$ in (1.6). Note that $0 \geq \Delta_\tau(u) \geq -1$, and these inequalities are strict for $u \in (0, 1)$ (see Figure 6). Indeed, by writing $\Delta_\tau = u^{\tau+1/2} \frac{\text{Num}}{\text{Denom}}$, we will show that $\text{Num} < 0$ while $u^{\tau+1/2} \text{Num} + \text{Denom} >$

FIGURE 6. Plots of Δ_τ on $[0, 1]$ when $\tau = 1, 0, -\frac{1}{4}$.

0 for $u \in (0, 1)$. Firstly, through examination, we see that Num is strictly increasing on $(0, 1)$, so $\text{Num} < \text{Num}(1) = 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\tau+\frac{1}{2}}\text{Num} + \text{Denom} &= (1 - u^{2\tau+1})(1 + u^{\tau+\frac{3}{2}}) \\ &\quad - (2\tau + 1)(1 - u)(u^{\tau+\frac{1}{2}} + u^{2\tau+1}) \\ &=: h(u). \end{aligned}$$

If $\tau \geq 0$, it can be verified that $(1 - u^{2\tau+1}) - (2\tau + 1)(1 - u)u^\tau$ is decreasing for $u \in (0, 1)$. Hence, $(1 - u^{2\tau+1}) \geq (2\tau + 1)(1 - u)u^\tau$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} h(u) &\geq (2\tau + 1)(1 - u)(u^\tau + u^{2\tau+\frac{3}{2}} - u^{\tau+\frac{1}{2}} - u^{2\tau+1}) \\ &= (2\tau + 1)u^\tau(1 - u)(1 - \sqrt{u})(1 - u^{\tau+1}) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $-\frac{1}{2} < \tau < 0$, we will show that h is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1)$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} h'(u) &= (2\tau + 2)(\tau + \frac{3}{2})u^{\tau+\frac{1}{2}} + (2\tau + 2)(2\tau + 1)u^{2\tau+1} - (3\tau + \frac{5}{2})u^{3\tau+\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\quad - (2\tau + 2)(2\tau + 1)u^{2\tau} - (2\tau + 1)(\tau + \frac{1}{2})u^{\tau-\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du}(u^{-2\tau}h'(u)) &= (2\tau + 2)(\tau + \frac{3}{2})(\frac{1}{2} - \tau)u^{-\tau-\frac{1}{2}} + (2\tau + 2)(2\tau + 1) \\ &\quad - (3\tau + \frac{5}{2})(\tau + \frac{3}{2})u^{\tau+\frac{1}{2}} + (2\tau + 1)(\tau + \frac{1}{2})(\tau + \frac{1}{2})u^{-\tau-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &> u^{\tau+\frac{1}{2}} \left[(2\tau + 2)(\tau + \frac{3}{2})(\frac{1}{2} - \tau) + (2\tau + 2)(2\tau + 1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (3\tau + \frac{5}{2})(\tau + \frac{3}{2}) + (2\tau + 1)(\tau + \frac{1}{2})(\tau + \frac{1}{2}) \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $u^{-2\tau}h'(u)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, 1)$, and so $u^{-2\tau}h'(u) < h'(1) = 0$ for $u \in (0, 1)$. Consequently, $h' < 0$ and h is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1)$, which implies $h(u) > h(1) = 0$. This completes the proof of the claimed estimates for Δ_τ .

By continuity, the above considerations imply $\max_{u \in [\varepsilon', 1-\varepsilon']} |\Delta_\tau(u)| < 1$. Consequently, using the principal branch of \log , it is clear from the definition that f_τ has analytic continuation to a neighborhood of $[\varepsilon', 1-\varepsilon']$ in \mathbb{C} , thus the claimed properties regarding real zeros of f_τ follow. \square

Lemma 4.2. *It holds that*

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(S_n)] = (\kappa_\tau + o(1)) \log n, \quad S_n \in \{-I_n, I_n\},$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(-I_n \cup I_n)] = (2\kappa_\tau + o(1)) \log n.$$

Proof. (i) We start with (4.1). Let $\varepsilon' > 0$ be arbitrary. It suffices to show that for n large enough (depending on ε'), the following holds

$$|\text{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(S_n)] - \kappa_\tau \log n| = O(\varepsilon' \log n).$$

By (3.2) and (3.16),

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{N}_n(S_n)] = \int_{S_n} \frac{\sqrt{2\tau+1}}{\pi} \frac{1}{1-x^2} (1+o(1)) dx = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\tau+1}}{2\pi} + o(1) \right) \log n.$$

Now, using the change of variables $x = \tanh t$ and $y = \tanh s$, we see that

$$\frac{dx dy}{(1-x^2)(1-y^2)} = dt ds \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \text{sech}^2(s-t), \quad (t, s) \in J_n \times J_n,$$

where

$$J_n := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2-a_n}{a_n}, \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2-b_n}{b_n} \right) & \text{if } S_n = I_n, \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{b_n}{2-b_n}, \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{a_n}{2-a_n} \right) & \text{if } S_n = -I_n, \end{cases}$$

and it is clear that

$$|J_n| = \frac{1}{2} \log n - \log^{\frac{d}{4}} n + o(1).$$

Recall the constant $\alpha_0 > 0$ from Lemma 3.7. Then there is a constant $M_0 > 0$ such that $\text{sech}(t) < \alpha_0$ is equivalent to $|t| > M_0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\{S_n \times S_n : \alpha < \alpha_0\}} |\rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) - \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y)| dx dy \\ &= O \left(\iint_{\{J_n \times J_n : |s-t| > M_0\}} \text{sech}^{4\tau+2}(s-t) ds dt \right) \\ &= O \left(\int_{M_0}^{|J_n|} (|J_n| - v) \text{sech}^{4\tau+2}(v) dv \right) \\ &= |J_n| O \left(\int_{M_0}^{\infty} \text{sech}^{4\tau+2}(v) dv \right) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

We now can refine α_0 (making it smaller) so that

$$\int_{M_0}^{\infty} \operatorname{sech}^{4\tau+2}(v) dv < \varepsilon',$$

and it follows that

$$(4.3) \quad \iint_{\{S_n \times S_n : \alpha < \alpha_0\}} |\rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) - \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y)| dx dy = O(\varepsilon' \log n).$$

We now estimate the integral

$$\iint_{\{S_n \times S_n : \alpha \geq \alpha_0\}} |\rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) - \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y)| dx dy.$$

We separate the integration region into

$$\begin{aligned} (I) &:= \{(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n : \alpha \geq \alpha_0, |f_\tau(\alpha)| < \sqrt[32]{\tau_n}\}, \\ (II) &:= \{(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n : \alpha \geq \alpha_0, |f_\tau(\alpha)| \geq \sqrt[32]{\tau_n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will use the same change of variable $x = \tanh t$ and $y = \tanh s$, so that $\alpha = \operatorname{sech}^2(s - t)$.

For (I), using Lemma 4.1, it is clear that the set $E = \{u \in [\alpha_0, 1] : |f(u)| < \sqrt[32]{\tau_n}\}$ can be covered by a union of finitely many subintervals of $[\alpha_0, 1]$, each with a length of $o(1)$. Let $F = \{v : \operatorname{sech}^2(v) \in E\}$. Then it is clear that F may be covered by a union of finitely many intervals, each with a length of $o(1)$. (The implicit constant may depend on α_0). Thus, using the boundedness of f_τ and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{(I)} |\rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) - \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y)| dx dy &\leq O\left(\iint_{(I)} \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) dx dy\right) \\ &\leq \iint_{\{J_n \times J_n : |s-t| \in F\}} O(1) ds dt \\ &= o(|J_n|) \\ &= o(\log n). \end{aligned}$$

For (II), we note that

$$(1 + f_\tau(\alpha))(1 + O(\sqrt[16]{\tau_n})) = 1 + f_\tau(\alpha) + O(|f_\tau(\alpha)| \sqrt[32]{\tau_n}).$$

Thus, using Lemma 3.7, the above estimates (for region (I)), and the boundedness of f_τ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{(II)} \rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) - \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) dx dy \\ &= \iint_{(II)} \left(f_\tau(\alpha) + O(\sqrt[32]{\tau_n} |f_\tau(\alpha)|)\right) \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) dx dy \\ &= o(\log n) + \iint_{\{S_n \times S_n : \alpha \geq \alpha_0\}} \left(f_\tau(\alpha) + O(\sqrt[32]{\tau_n} |f_\tau(\alpha)|)\right) \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Making the change of variables $x = \tanh t$ and $y = \tanh s$ again, from Lemma 4.1, we know that $\int_0^\infty |f_\tau(\operatorname{sech}^2 v)| dv$ and $\int_0^\infty v |f_\tau(\operatorname{sech}^2 v)| dv$ both converge. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\{S_n \times S_n : \alpha \geq \alpha_0\}} f_\tau(\alpha) \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) dx dy \\ &= \frac{2\tau+1}{\pi^2} \iint_{\{J_n \times J_n : |t-s| \leq M_0\}} f_\tau(\operatorname{sech}^2(t-s)) ds dt \\ &= \frac{2(2\tau+1)}{\pi^2} \int_0^{M_0} (|J_n| - v) f_\tau(\operatorname{sech}^2 v) dv \\ &= \frac{2\tau+1}{\pi^2} \left(\int_0^\infty f_\tau(\operatorname{sech}^2 v) dv + O(\varepsilon') \right) \log n + o(\log n). \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation works for $|f_\tau|$, giving a contribution of order $O(\sqrt[3]{\tau_n} \log n) = o(\log n)$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\{S_n \times S_n : \alpha \geq \alpha_0\}} \left(\rho_n^{(2)}(x, y) - \rho_n^{(1)}(x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) \right) dx dy \\ &= \left(\frac{2\tau+1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty f_\tau(\operatorname{sech}^2 v) dv + O(\varepsilon') \right) \log n. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these with (4.3) and (3.3), we get

$$\operatorname{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(S_n)] = \left(\frac{2\tau+1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty f_\tau(\operatorname{sech}^2 v) dv + \frac{\sqrt{2\tau+1}}{2} + O(\varepsilon') \right) \frac{1}{\pi} \log n,$$

for any $\varepsilon' > 0$, which gives (4.1), recalling the definition of κ_τ in (1.7).

(ii) We now prove (4.2). Using (3.28), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Cov}[\tilde{N}_n(-I_n), \tilde{N}_n(I_n)] &= \iint_{I_n \times I_n} \left(\rho_n^{(2)}(-x, y) - \rho_n^{(1)}(-x) \rho_n^{(1)}(y) \right) dx dy \\ &= \iint_{I_n \times I_n} \frac{2\tau+1}{\pi^2} \frac{\alpha^{2\tau+1}(x, y)}{(1-x^2)(1-y^2)} o(1) dx dy \\ &= o(1) \int_0^{|J_n|} (|J_n| - v) (\operatorname{sech}^2 v)^{2\tau+1} dv \\ &= o(1) \log n. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (4.1) and

$$\operatorname{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(-I_n \cup I_n)] = \operatorname{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(-I_n)] + \operatorname{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(I_n)] + 2 \operatorname{Cov}[\tilde{N}_n(-I_n), \tilde{N}_n(I_n)],$$

we deduce (4.2) as desired. \square

Lemma 4.3. *It holds that*

$$\operatorname{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(T_n)] = \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) + o(1) \right] \log n, \quad T_n \in \{-I_n^{-1}, I_n^{-1}\},$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}[\tilde{N}_n(-I_n^{-1} \cup I_n^{-1})] = \left[\frac{2}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) + o(1) \right] \log n.$$

Proof. The proof is entirely similar to the proof of Lemma 4.2 presented above (specialized to the case $\tau = 0$), making use of Lemma 3.10. \square

APPENDIX A. THE ASYMPTOTIC CONDITION (1.8) DOES NOT IMPLY THE CONCENTRATION CONDITION (1.11)

Consider the sequence $c_j = j^\tau \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^j}{\log j}\right)$, which satisfies (1.8), we will show that it does not satisfy (1.11).

First, observe that for $n - e^{(\log n)^{1/5}} \geq j \geq n - ne^{-(\log n)^{1/5}}$, we have

$$\frac{j^\tau}{n^\tau} = \left(1 + o\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right)^\tau = 1 + o\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right).$$

Now, if $n - j$ is odd, then we claim that

$$\left| \frac{1 + \frac{(-1)^j}{\log j}}{1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{\log n}} - 1 \right| \geq \frac{1}{\log n}.$$

To see this, consider two cases. First, if n is even, then

$$\frac{1 - \frac{1}{\log j}}{1 + \frac{1}{\log n}} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\log j} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\log n},$$

and if n is odd, then

$$\frac{1 + \frac{1}{\log j}}{1 - \frac{1}{\log n}} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{\log j} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{\log n},$$

so the claim is proved. It follows that, for n large,

$$\left| \frac{|c_j|}{|c_n|} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{j^\tau}{n^\tau} \frac{1 + \frac{(-1)^j}{\log j}}{1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{\log n}} - 1 \right| \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\log n} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\log \log n},$$

so condition (1.11) is not satisfied.

We remark that this example can be modified to show that the asymptotic condition (1.8) does not imply conditions similar to (1.11), where one requires a decay estimate for $\left| \frac{|c_j|}{|c_n|} - 1 \right|$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) that is stronger than the uniform decay rate for $\left| \frac{j^\tau}{n^\tau} - 1 \right|$ (over the range of j under consideration).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ancona, T. Letendre, Roots of Kostlan polynomials: moments, strong law of large numbers and central limit theorem, *Ann. H. Lebesgue* **4** (2021), 1659–1703. [MR4353974](#)
- [2] D. Armentano, J.-M. Azaïs, F. Dalmao, and J. R. León, Asymptotic variance of the number of real roots of random polynomial systems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **146** (2018), 5437–5449. [MR3866880](#)
- [3] D. Armentano, J.-M. Azaïs, F. Dalmao, and J. R. León, Central limit theorem for the number of real roots of Kostlan Shub Smale random polynomial systems, *Amer. J. Math.* **143** (2021), 1011–1042. [MR4291248](#)

- [4] J. M. Azaïs, F. Dalmao, and J. Leon, CLT for the zeros of classical random trigonometric polynomials, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **52** (2016), no. 2, 804–820. [MR3498010](#)
- [5] J. M. Azaïs and J. Leon, CLT for crossings of random trigonometric polynomials, *Electron. J. Probab.* **18** (2013) no. 68, 1–17. [MR3084654](#)
- [6] V. Bally, L. Caramellino, and G. Poly, Non universality for the variance of the number of real roots of random trigonometric polynomials, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **174** (2019), no. 3–4, 887–927. [MR3980307](#)
- [7] A. T. Bharucha-Reid and M. Sambandham, *Random polynomials*, Probab. Math. Statist., Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986. [MR0856019](#)
- [8] P. Bleher and X. Di, Correlations between zeros of a random polynomial, *J. Stat. Phys.* **88** (1997), no. 1–2, 269–305. [MR1468385](#)
- [9] A. Bloch and G. Pólya, On the roots of certain algebraic equations, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)* **33** (1932), no. 2, 102–114. [MR1576817](#)
- [10] F. Dalmao, Asymptotic variance and CLT for the number of zeros of Kostlan Shub Smale random polynomials, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **353** (2015), no. 12, 1141–1145. [MR3427922](#)
- [11] M. Das, The average number of maxima of a random algebraic curve, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **65** (1969), 741–753. [MR0239669](#)
- [12] M. Das, Real zeros of a class of random algebraic polynomials, *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **36** (1972), 53–63. [MR0322960](#)
- [13] A. Dembo and S. Mukherjee, No zero-crossings for random polynomials and the heat equation, *Ann. Probab.* **43** (2015), no. 1, 85–118. [MR3298469](#)
- [14] Y. Do, Real roots of random polynomials with coefficients of polynomial growth: a comparison principle and applications, *Electron. J. Probab.* **26** (2021), Paper No. 144, 45 pp. [MR4346676](#)
- [15] Y. Do, H. H. Nguyen, and O. Nguyen, Random trigonometric polynomials: universality and non-universality of the variance for the number of real roots, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **58** (2022), no. 3, 1460–1504. [MR4452640](#)
- [16] Y. Do, H. H. Nguyen, O. Nguyen, and I. E. Pritsker, Central Limit Theorem for the number of real roots of random orthogonal polynomials, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, to appear. Available at <https://imstat.org/journals-and-publications/Annales-de-l'institut-henri-poincare/Annales-de-l'institut-henri-poincare-accepted-papers/>
- [17] Y. Do, H. H. Nguyen, and V. Vu, Real roots of random polynomials: expectation and repulsion, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **111** (2015), no. 6, 1231–1260. [MR3447793](#)
- [18] Y. Do, O. Nguyen, and V. Vu, Roots of random polynomials with coefficients of polynomial growth, *Ann. Probab.* **46** (2018), no. 5, 2407–2494. [MR3846831](#)
- [19] Y. Do and V. Vu, Central limit theorems for the real zeros of Weyl polynomials, *Amer. J. Math.* **142** (2020), no. 5, 1327–1369. [MR4150647](#)
- [20] A. Edelman and E. Kostlan, How many zeros of a random polynomial are real? *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **32** (1995), no. 1, 1–37. [MR1290398](#)
- [21] P. Erdős and A. C. Offord, On the number of real roots of a random algebraic equation, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **6** (1956), 139–160. [MR0073870](#)
- [22] K. Farahmand, *Topics in Random Polynomials*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 393, Longman, Harlow, 1998. [MR1679392](#)
- [23] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Revised first edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 236, Springer, New York, 2007. [MR2261424](#)
- [24] L. Gass, Variance of the number of zeros of dependent Gaussian trigonometric polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **151** (2023), 2225–2239. [MR4556213](#)
- [25] A. Granville and I. Wigman, The distribution of the zeros of random trigonometric polynomials, *Amer. J. Math.* **133** (2011), no. 2, 295–357. [MR2797349](#)

- [26] J. B. Hough, M. Krishnapur, Y. Peres, and B. Virág, *Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions and determinantal point processes*, University Lecture Series, 51, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009, x+154 pp. [MR2552864](#)
- [27] I. A. Ibragimov and N. B. Maslova, The average number of zeros of random polynomials, *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* **23** (1968), no. 19, 171–172. [MR0238376](#)
- [28] I. A. Ibragimov and N. B. Maslova, The average number of real roots of random polynomials, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **199** (1971), 13–16. [MR0292134](#)
- [29] I. A. Ibragimov and N. B. Maslova, The mean number of real zeros of random polynomials. I. Coefficients with zero means, *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* **16** (1971), 229–248. [MR0286157](#) [English translation: *Theory Probab. Appl.* **16** (1971), no. 2, 228–248. <https://doi.org/10.1137/1116023>]
- [30] M. Kac, On the average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** (1943), 314–320. [MR0007812](#)
- [31] M. Kac, On the average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation. II, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)* **50** (1949), 390–408. [MR0030713](#)
- [32] E. Kostlan, On the distribution of roots of random polynomials, *From Topology to Computation: Proceedings of the Smalefest (Berkeley, CA, 1990)*, 419–431, Springer, New York, 1993. [MR1246137](#)
- [33] M. Krishnapur, E. Lundberg, and O. Nguyen, The number of limit cycles bifurcating from a randomly perturbed center, preprint, [arXiv:2112.05672v2](#), 2022.
- [34] L. Lewin, *Polylogarithms and associated functions. With a foreword by A. J. Van der Poorten*, North-Holland Publishing Co., New York-Amsterdam, 1981. [MR0618278](#)
- [35] J. E. Littlewood and A. C. Offord, On the number of real roots of a random algebraic equation. III, *Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S.* **12(54)** (1943), 277–286. [MR0009656](#)
- [36] J. E. Littlewood and A. C. Offord, On the distribution of the zeros and a -values of a random integral function. I, *J. London Math. Soc.* **20** (1945), 130–136. [MR0019123](#)
- [37] J. E. Littlewood and A. C. Offord, On the distribution of zeros and a -values of a random integral function. II, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **49** (1948), 885–952; errata **50** (1949), 990–991. [MR0029981](#)
- [38] D. S. Lubinsky and I. E. Pritsker, Variance of real zeros of random orthogonal polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **498** (2021), Paper No. 124954, 32 pp. [MR4202193](#)
- [39] D. S. Lubinsky and I. E. Pritsker, Variance of real zeros of random orthogonal polynomials for varying and exponential weights, *Electron. J. Probab.* **27** (2022), Paper No. 83, 32 pp. [MR4444378](#)
- [40] N. B. Maslova, The variance of the number of real roots of random polynomials, *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* **19** (1974), 36–51. [MR0334327](#) [English translation: *Theory Probab. Appl.* **19** (1974), no. 1, 35–52. <https://doi.org/10.1137/1119004>]
- [41] N. B. Maslova, The distribution of the number of real roots of random polynomials, *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* **19** (1974), 488–500. [MR0368136](#) [English translation: *Theory Probab. Appl.* **19** (1974), no. 3, 461–473. <https://doi.org/10.1137/1119055>]
- [42] H. H. Nguyen, O. Nguyen, and V. Vu, On the number of real roots of random polynomials, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **18** (2016), no. 4, 1550052, 17 pp. [MR3493213](#)
- [43] N. D. V. Nguyen, The number of real zeros of elliptic polynomials, preprint, [arXiv:2111.10875v3](#), submitted.
- [44] O. Nguyen and V. Vu, Random polynomials: central limit theorems for the real roots, *Duke Math. J.* **170** (2022), no. 17, 3745–3813. [MR4340724](#)
- [45] J. G. Ratcliffe, *Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 149, Springer, New York, 2006. [MR2249478](#)
- [46] M. Sambandham, On the average number of real zeros of a class of random algebraic curves, *Pacific J. Math.* **81** (1979), no. 1, 207–215. [MR0543744](#)
- [47] M. Sambandham, H. Gore, and K. Farahmand, The average number of point [points] of inflection of random algebraic polynomials, *Stochastic Anal. Appl.* **16** (1998), no. 4, 721–731. [MR1632566](#)

- [48] M. Sambandham, V. Thangaraj, and A. T. Bharucha-Reid, On the variance of the number of real roots of random algebraic polynomials, *Stochastic Anal. Appl.* **1** (1983), no. 2, 215–238. [MR0699265](#)
- [49] G. Schehr and S. N. Majumdar, Statistics of the number of zero crossings: from random polynomials to the diffusion equation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2007), 060603. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.060603](#)
- [50] G. Schehr and S. N. Majumdar, Real roots of random polynomials and zero crossing properties of diffusion equation, *J. Stat. Phys.* **132** (2008), no. 2, 235–273. [MR2415102](#)
- [51] M. Shub and S. Smale, *Complexity of Bezout’s theorem. II. Volumes and probabilities. Computational algebraic geometry (Nice, 1992)*, 267–285, Progr. Math. **109**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993. [MR1230872](#)
- [52] D. C. Stevens, The average number of real zeros of a random polynomial, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **22** (1969), 457–477. [MR0251003](#)
- [53] T. Tao and V. Vu, Local universality of zeroes of random polynomials, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2015**, no. 13, 5053–5139. [MR3439098](#)
- [54] I. Todhunter, *A History of the Mathematical Theory of Probability: From the Time of Pascal to that of Laplace*. Cambridge Library Collection - Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2014 (first published in 1865). DOI: [10.1017/CBO9781139923576](#)
- [55] C. Truesdell, On a function which occurs in the theory of the structure of polymers, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **46** (1945), 144–157. [MR0011344](#)
- [56] J. E. Wilkins Jr., An asymptotic expansion for the expected number of real zeros of a random polynomial, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103** (1988), no. 4, 1249–1258. [MR0955018](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA 22904, USA

Email address: yqd3p@virginia.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO BOULDER, BOULDER, COLORADO 80309, USA AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, QUY NHON UNIVERSITY, BINH DINH, VIETNAM

Email address: Nhan.Nguyen-1@colorado.edu, nguyenduvinh@qnu.edu.vn